# **Titanic EDA - Summary of Findings**

## 1. Missing Values

- Age: Approximately 20% missing. Instead of dropping the rows, values were imputed based on average age grouped by passenger class (Pclass), since older passengers tended to be in higher classes.
- Cabin: Too many missing values, so the column was dropped from analysis.
- **Embarked**: Only one missing value found; the corresponding row was removed.

### 2. Survival Distribution

- Women had significantly higher survival rates compared to men.
- Children and first-class passengers had the highest survival chances.
- Third-class passengers had the lowest survival rate overall.

#### 3. Passenger Class Insights

- **First-class** passengers were typically older and wealthier, and thus had better access to lifeboats.
- Third-class passengers were the largest group onboard and had the highest mortality rate.

## 4. Age Distribution

- Younger passengers, especially children, were more likely to survive.
- Among older passengers, those in first class had notably higher survival rates than those in other classes.

# 5. Fare Analysis

- A **higher fare** was strongly associated with higher chances of survival.
- This aligns with wealthier passengers being placed in more favorable positions on the ship.

#### 6. Embarkation Port

- Most passengers boarded from **Southampton**, followed by **Cherbourg** and **Queenstown**.
- Passengers from Cherbourg had the highest survival rates, likely due to a higher proportion of first-class passengers.

# 7. Feature Engineering

- Categorical variables such as **Sex** and **Embarked** were converted into numerical dummy variables using one-hot encoding.
- This prepared the data for further machine learning modeling.