

Thermodynamic postulates

- Equilibrium states:** There exists equilibrium states of a macroscopic system that are characterized by a small number of extensive variables.
- Entropy maximization:** The values assumed by the extensive parameters of an isolated composite system in the absence of an internal constraint are those that maximize the entropy over the set of all constrained macroscopic states. ($\Delta S \geq 0$)
- Additivity:** The entropy of a composite system is additive over the constituent subsystems ($S(E_a) + S(E_b) = S(E_a + E_b)$)
- Continuity and differentiability:** The entropy is a continuous and differentiable function of the extensive parameters.
- Extensivity:** The entropy is an extensive function of the extensive variables (not the case when boundary effects are important). ($S(\lambda E, \lambda V) = \lambda S(E, V)$)
- Monotonicity:** The entropy is a monotonically increasing function of the energy for equilibrium values of the energy.
- The Nernst postulate:** The entropy of any (real/quantum) system is non-negative. ($\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} S(T) \geq 0$)

Mathematical identities

$$N! \approx N^N e^{-N} (\cdot \sqrt{2\pi N}), \quad \ln(N!) \approx N \ln N - N$$
$$\int dx \, \delta(u - ax) = \frac{1}{a} \int dx \, \delta(u/a - x)$$
$$\int f(x) \delta(g(x)) \, dx = \sum_j \frac{f(x_j)}{g'(x_j)}$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x \ln x = 0$$

Extensivity:

$$U = TS - PV + \mu N \implies 0 = SdT - VdP + Nd\mu$$

Standard set of second derivatives

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right)_{P,N} - \text{(th. exp.)}, \quad \kappa_T = -\frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial P} \right)_{T,N} - \text{(isoth. comp.)}$$
$$c_{P/V} = \frac{T}{N} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_{P/V,N} - \text{(spec. heat pr. part. at const. P/V)}$$
$$c_P = c_V + \frac{\alpha^2 TV}{N\kappa_T}, \quad \kappa_S = \kappa_T - \frac{TV\alpha^2}{Nc_P}$$

Stability Conditions

$$(\partial_S^2 U)_{V,N} = \frac{T}{Nc_V} \geq 0, \quad (\partial_V^2 U)_{S,N} = \frac{1}{V\kappa_S} \geq 0$$
$$(\partial_V^2 F)_{T,N} = \frac{1}{V\kappa_T} \geq 0, \quad (\partial_T^2 F)_{V,N} = -\frac{N}{T} c_V \leq 0$$

From Legendre trans., different conditions are negative reciprocals of each other, e.g. $(\partial_S^2 U)_{V,N} = -1/(\partial_T^2 F)_{V,N}$

Phase Transitions

To illustrate, consider the van der Waals Fluid. From ideal gas, add attraction term, $-aN^2/V$, for neighboring particles, and restrict volume due to hard particle spheres, $V \rightarrow V - bN$. This yields

$$F_{IG} = -Nk_B T \left[\ln(V/N) + \frac{3}{2} \ln(k_B T) + X \right]$$
$$F_{VdW} = -Nk_B T \left[\ln \left(\frac{V - bN}{N} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \ln(k_B T) + X \right] - a(N^2/V)$$

This yields the following expression for pressure and energy

$$P = \frac{Nk_B T}{V - bN} - \frac{aN^2}{V^2}, \quad U = \frac{3}{2} Nk_B T - a \left(\frac{N^2}{V} \right)$$

PT BOLTZMANN+MAX-BOLT

Classical statistical mechanics

Microcanonical ensemble: Assign equal prob. to each microstate, $P_s = 1/W$, where W is the number of microstates in energy range.

$$\Omega(E, V, N) = \frac{1}{h^{3N} N!} \int dq \int dp \, \delta(E - H(p, q))$$
$$Z = \int dE \, \Omega(E, V, N) \exp(-\beta E) = \frac{1}{h^{3N} N!} \int dq \int dp \, e^{-\beta H(q, p)}$$

Liouville Theorem

Systems near region in phase space (ensemble), view as density on grained scale h^{3N} . The number of systems in region (Q, P) at t is then $\rho(Q, P, t) dQ dP$. No time dependece for macr. quant. in equil., so const. density. Follow Newton's e.o.m., obey continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \left(\frac{\partial(\rho \dot{q}_{\alpha})}{\partial q_{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial(\rho \dot{p}_{\alpha})}{\partial p_{\alpha}} \right)$$

Hamilton's equations $\dot{q}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\alpha}}, \dot{p}_{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{\alpha}}$ make the derivatives of the dotted terms cancel, get

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \dot{q}_{\alpha} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_{\alpha}} \dot{p}_{\alpha} \right)$$

Change in density found by tot. t -der, and is equal to zero (**Liouville theorem**)

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \dot{q}_{\alpha} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p_{\alpha}} \dot{p}_{\alpha} \right) = 0$$

In equil. SM, ρ itself should be t ind. Want $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \{H, \rho\}, \quad \{A, B\} = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_{\alpha}} \right)$$

If $\{H, \rho\} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$. If $\rho = \rho(H)$, or $\{H, \rho(H, \{c_i\})\}$ where c_i is const. of motion, the Poisson brackets become zero. Thus, the microcan. ens. is const. in time, depends on H only.

$$\rho(Q, P) = \frac{1}{h^{3N} N!} \delta(E - H(Q, P))$$

The ergodic hypothesis

ESM: ens. avg. $\langle f \rangle$. Experimentally, measure single system over finite t , average $\bar{f} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt f(T)$. Ergodic hypothesis: $\langle f \rangle = \bar{f}$. May have $\langle f \rangle \neq \bar{f}$.

QM statistical mechanics

$$P_n = \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z}$$
$$Z = \sum_l \Omega(l) \exp(-\beta E_l), \quad \Omega(l) = \text{Degeneracy of energy level } l$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial(\beta F)}{\partial \beta} \right)_{V,N} = -\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta} \implies \beta F = -\ln Z + f(V, N)$$
$$S = -k_B \sum_n P_n \ln P_n$$

For identical particles $f(V, N) = 0$, for distinguishable particles $f(V, N) = -\ln N!$.

Third law of TD

Let $l = 0$ be the lowest energy level. The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \Omega(0) e^{-\beta E_0} \left(1 + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\Omega(l)}{\Omega(0)} e^{-\beta(E_l - E_0)} \right) \rightarrow \Omega(0) e^{-\beta E_0}$$
$$P_n \rightarrow P_0 = \frac{1}{\Omega(0)} \implies S = k_B \ln \Omega(0) = \text{const.}$$

Switching sums and products

$$Z = \sum_{\{n_j\}} \prod_{j=1}^N \exp(-\beta E_{n_j}) = \prod_{j=1}^N \left(\sum_{n_j} \exp(-\beta E_{n_j}) \right)$$

Energies and density of states for 3D quantum ideal gas.

$$\epsilon_{\vec{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k^2 = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2m L^2} n^2 = \epsilon_{\vec{n}}$$
$$D(\epsilon) = \int_0^{\infty} dn_x dn_y dn_z \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\vec{n}}) = \frac{V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \epsilon^{1/2}$$

Grand Canonical Ensemble

In equilibrium with a reservoir. Can exchange energy and particles.

$$P(E, N) = \frac{1}{Z} \Omega(E, V, N) e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}, \quad Z = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_E \Omega e^{-\beta(E - \mu N)}$$

Denote QM state by α , occupation number n_{α} ($n_{\alpha} = 0, 1$ for e.g. electrons).

$$Z = \sum_{\{n_{\epsilon}\}} \prod_{\epsilon} e^{-\beta(\epsilon - \mu)n_{\epsilon}} = \prod_{\epsilon} \sum_{n_{\epsilon}} e^{-\beta(\epsilon - \mu)n_{\epsilon}} = \prod_{\epsilon} Z_{\epsilon}$$
$$\langle n_{\epsilon} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{\epsilon}} \sum_{n_{\epsilon}} n_{\epsilon} e^{-\beta(\epsilon - \mu)n_{\epsilon}}, \quad \langle N \rangle = \sum_{\epsilon} \langle n_{\epsilon} \rangle, \quad U = \langle E \rangle = \sum_{\epsilon} \epsilon \langle n_{\epsilon} \rangle$$

Bosons and Fermions

Using + for fermions and − for bosons:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle n_\epsilon \rangle &= \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} \pm 1}, \quad \mathcal{Z} = \begin{cases} \prod_\epsilon (1 + \exp(-\beta(\epsilon-\mu))), & \mathbf{f} \\ \prod_\epsilon (1 - \exp(-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)))^{-1}, & \mathbf{b} \end{cases} \\ \ln \mathcal{Z} &= \pm \sum_\epsilon \ln(1 \pm e^{-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)}) \approx \pm \int_0^\infty d\epsilon D(\epsilon) \ln(1 \pm e^{-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)}) \\ N &= \int_0^\infty d\epsilon D(\epsilon) (\exp[\beta(\epsilon-\mu)] \pm 1)^{-1} \\ U &= \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \epsilon D(\epsilon) (\exp[\beta(\epsilon-\mu)] \pm 1)^{-1} \\ \ln \mathcal{Z} &= \beta PV \text{ (for extensive system)}\end{aligned}$$

Bose-Einstein statistics

Since $\langle n_\epsilon \rangle > 0$, must have $\epsilon > \mu$ for bosons. Set lowest energy state as $\epsilon = 0 \implies \mu < 0$. At low-T, using $x = \beta\epsilon$, $D(\epsilon) = \chi\epsilon^{1/2}$ and $e^{\beta\mu} = \lambda$

$$N = \chi(k_B T)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{1/2}}{\lambda^{-1}e^x - 1}$$

Since $\mu < 0 \implies \lambda^{-1} > 1$. N should be const., but decreases by $T^{3/2}$ for red. T . Need λ^{-1} small, but $\lambda^{-1} = 1$ is the absolute limit. At $\lambda = 1$, the integral is

$$N = \chi(k_B T_E)^{3/2} 2.315 \implies k_B T_E = \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m} \right) \left(\frac{N}{2.612V} \right)^{2/3}$$

N expression invalid for $T < T_E$. Can't approximating the sum as an integral at low T , since ground state is heavily occupied and the summand unsmooth. Treat lowest energy level separate.

$$\begin{aligned}N &= N_0 + \int_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+}^\infty d\epsilon D(\epsilon) \langle n_\epsilon \rangle, \quad \text{Error goes to zero as } \eta \rightarrow 0 \\ &= N_0 + N \left(\frac{T}{T_E} \right)^{3/2} = [\exp(-\beta\mu) - 1]^{-1} = N \left[1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_E} \right)^{3/2} \right]^{-1} \\ \mu &\approx -\frac{k_B T}{N} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_E} \right)^{3/2} \right]^{-1}, \quad \text{expanding small } \beta\mu \text{ for } T < T_E\end{aligned}$$

Fermi-Dirac statistics

The occupation number as $T \rightarrow 0$ is (MULTIPLY D BY FACTOR 2 FOR ELECTRONS)

$$\begin{aligned}f(\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} + 1} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} \Theta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon), \quad \epsilon_F \equiv \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \mu(T, N) \\ \implies N &= \sum_{\vec{k}} f(\epsilon_{\vec{k}}) = \int_0^{\epsilon_F} d\epsilon D(\epsilon) = X \frac{2}{3} \epsilon_F^{3/2}, \quad U = X \frac{2}{5} \epsilon_F^{5/2} \\ \implies \epsilon_F &\propto (N/V)^{2/3}, \quad \text{since } X \propto V \\ U/N &= \frac{3}{5} \epsilon_F \xrightarrow[T=0]{\text{Euler eq.}} PV = \frac{2}{5} \epsilon_F N \\ \epsilon_F &= y(N/V)^{2/3} \implies \kappa_T^{-1} = \frac{2}{3} \epsilon_F \frac{N}{V}\end{aligned}$$

Sommerfeld expansion

At low non-zero T , valid for $k_B T / \epsilon_F \ll 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}I &= \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) f(\epsilon) \\ f(\mu+x) &= \frac{1}{e^{\beta x} + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{e^{-\beta x} + 1} = 1 - f(\mu-x) \\ I &= \int_0^\mu d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) - \int_0^\mu d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) \frac{1}{e^{-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} + 1} + \int_\mu^\infty d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} + 1}\end{aligned}$$

The first term is the step func. contr., the latter two are corrections. Substitute $z = -\beta(\epsilon - \mu)$ and $z = \beta(\epsilon - \mu)$ for the two corr. terms, respectively. Approx. $z = \beta\mu \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\mu + z/\beta) - \phi(\mu - z/\beta) &= \frac{2z}{\beta} \phi'(\mu) + \frac{2}{3!} \left(\frac{z}{\beta} \right)^3 \phi'''(\mu) + \dots \\ I &= \int_0^\mu d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) + \int_0^\infty \frac{d\epsilon}{\beta} \frac{\phi(\mu + z/\beta) - \phi(\mu - z/\beta)}{1 + e^z} \\ &= \int_0^\mu d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) + (k_B T)^2 \phi'(\mu) 2 \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z}{e^z + 1} + (k_B T)^4 \phi'''(\mu) \frac{2}{3!} \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z^3}{e^z + 1} \\ &= \int_0^\mu d\epsilon \phi(\epsilon) + (k_B T)^2 \phi'(\mu) \frac{\pi^2}{6} + (k_B T)^4 \phi'''(\mu) \frac{7\pi^4}{360} + \mathcal{O}(T^6)\end{aligned}$$

$$U : \phi(\epsilon) = X\epsilon^{3/2} \implies U = X[2/5\mu^{5/2} + \pi^2/4(k_B T)^2\mu^{1/2}] + \mathcal{O}(T^4)$$

Need μ , $N(T=0)$ known, should be const. for incr. T .

$$\begin{aligned}N &= X \frac{2}{3} \epsilon_F^{3/2} = X \frac{2}{3} \mu^{3/2} + X \frac{\pi^2}{12} (k_B T)^2 \mu^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(T^4) \\ \implies \epsilon_F^{3/2} &= \mu^{3/2} \left[1 + \frac{\pi^2}{8} \left(\frac{k_B T}{\mu} \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(T^4)\end{aligned}$$

$(k_B T / \mu)^2$ is small and can be replaced by $(k_B T / \epsilon_F)^2$ with and error $\mathcal{O}(T^4)$, and is solved by iteration. Solving the above equation for μ and taylor expanding the term of power $(-2/3)$ yields

$$\mu \approx \epsilon_F \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \left(\frac{k_B T}{\epsilon_F} \right)^2 + \dots \right)$$

Plugging in for U and expanding $\mu^{5/2}$ and $\mu^{1/2}$ up to T^2 gives

$$U = \frac{2}{5} X \epsilon_F^{5/2} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} (k_B T)^2 X \epsilon_F^{1/2} \implies C_V = \frac{\pi^2}{2} N k_B \left(\frac{k_B T}{\epsilon_F} \right) + \mathcal{O}(T^3)$$

where $X \epsilon_F^{3/2} = 3/2 \cdot N$. The linear dependence is observed for metals at low T .

Semiconductors - Fermions at low temperature

Periodic solids have energy bands separated by energy gaps where $D(\epsilon_V < \epsilon < \epsilon_C) = 0$, where ϵ_V is the upper energy limit of the *valence band* (VB) and ϵ_C is the lower energy of the *conductor band* (CB). At $T = 0$ electrons fill up every state up to ϵ_F , and where ϵ_F is found is strongly affecting the behavior of materials. Typical metals have the fermi energy in the CB. But, for a full VB and empty CB we need a high energy to excite electrons (Insulator or semiconductor for small gap). The specific heat is then not linear in T as we found for $T = 0$ in the Sommerfeld expansion.

The number of electrons is given by

$$\begin{aligned}N &= \int_0^{\epsilon_V} d\epsilon D_V(\epsilon) f(\epsilon) + \int_{\epsilon_C}^\infty d\epsilon D_C(\epsilon) f(\epsilon) \\ &= \int_0^{\epsilon_V} d\epsilon D_V(\epsilon) \quad \text{for low } T\end{aligned}$$

since $f(\epsilon < \mu) = 1$ and $f(\epsilon > \mu) = 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$. Want the same N as we increase T . Subtracting the two terms yield

$$0 = \int_0^{\epsilon_V} d\epsilon D_V(\epsilon) [f(\epsilon) - 1] + \int_{\epsilon_C}^\infty d\epsilon D_C(\epsilon) f(\epsilon)$$

At small, finite T , assuming μ in the middle of the gap gives $f(\epsilon) - 1 = -1/(e^{-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} + 1) \approx -e^{\beta(\epsilon-\mu)}$ for $\epsilon < \epsilon_V$ and $f(\epsilon) \approx e^{-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)}$ for $\epsilon > \epsilon_C$. Setting $x = \beta(\epsilon - \epsilon_C)$ and $y = \beta(\epsilon_V - \epsilon)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_{\epsilon_C}^\infty d\epsilon D_C(\epsilon) e^{-\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} - \int_0^{\epsilon_V} d\epsilon D_V(\epsilon) e^{\beta(\epsilon-\mu)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\beta} D_C(x/\beta + \epsilon_C) e^{\beta(\mu - \epsilon_C) - x} + \int_{\beta\epsilon_V \rightarrow \infty}^0 \frac{dy}{\beta} D_V(\epsilon_V - y/\beta) e^{\beta(\epsilon_V - \mu) - y}\end{aligned}$$

With a factor $e^{\beta\mu}$ in both integrals, we get the ratio

$$e^{2\beta\mu} = e^{\beta(\epsilon_V + \epsilon_C)} \left[\frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{\beta} D_V(\epsilon_V - y/\beta) e^{-y}}{\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\beta} D_C(x/\beta + \epsilon_C) e^{-x}} \right]$$

Taking the log to obtain μ expression, we assume $D_C(\epsilon) = A(\epsilon - \epsilon_C)^a$ for $\epsilon > \epsilon_C$ and $D_V(\epsilon) = B(\epsilon_V - \epsilon)^b$ for $\epsilon < \epsilon_V$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= \frac{\epsilon_V + \epsilon_C}{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \ln((k_B T)^{b-a} X) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_V + \epsilon_C}{2} + \frac{b-a}{2} k_B T \ln k_B T + \frac{1}{2} k_B T \ln X\end{aligned}$$

where X is the remaining integral expression that is independent of T . For $a = b$ we get

$$\mu = \frac{\epsilon_V + \epsilon_C}{2} + \frac{1}{2} k_B T \ln(B/A)$$

which is linear in T .

Using the expression for μ with $A \sim B$ at low T one find $N_C \sim e^{-\beta/2(\epsilon_C - \epsilon_V)}$ and is exponentially suppressed at low T .

Extrinsic Semiconductors (adding dopants)

Add impurity in the gap just below ϵ_C . Two kind of states:

- Bond state: b,s = band number and \vec{k} , $\{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

- Donor state: d,s = where the state is, $\{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

Cost much energy to have both up and down in the same donor state (Coulomb). Ignore Coulomb in overall model, but omit two spins in a single donor state. The partition function is $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_b \mathcal{Z}_d$. For \mathcal{Z}_b we use $\epsilon_{b,s} = \epsilon_b$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_b &= \prod_b \left(\sum_{n_{b,\uparrow}} e^{-\beta(\epsilon_b - \mu) n_{b,\uparrow}} \right) \left(\sum_{n_{b,\downarrow}} e^{-\beta(\epsilon_b - \mu) n_{b,\downarrow}} \right) \\ &= \prod_b (1 + 2e^{-\beta(\epsilon_b - \mu)} + e^{-2\beta(\epsilon_b - \mu)})\end{aligned}$$

For donor levels, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_d &= \prod_d \mathcal{Z}_{d,1} = \prod_d (1 + 2 \exp(-\beta\epsilon_d - \mu)) \\ n_d &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} e^{\beta(\epsilon_d - \mu)} + 1}\end{aligned}$$

The factor $1/2$ in n_d for donor levels has given the name *semiconductor statistics* to the occupation number.

The Harmonic solid

1D crystal lattice with spacing a . Pos.: $r_j = R_j + x_j$, $R_j = a \cdot j$ is equil. pos., x_j is the deviation and $j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Model as springs, with P.BC,

$$H = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \dot{x}_j^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_j (x_{j+1} - x_j)^2$$

Introduce Fourier transform to remove couplings.

$$X_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j x_j e^{-ikR_j}, \quad x_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k X_k e^{ikR_j}, \quad x_j \in \mathbb{R} \implies X_k^* = X_{-k}$$

P.BC: $x_{j+N} = x_j \implies k = \frac{2\pi}{Na} n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, for $\tilde{k} = 2\pi z/a$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_{\tilde{k}} = X_k$. All info about x_j gotten from X_k in $k \in [-\pi/a, \pi/a)$. This is the *First Brillouin zone*. Then

$$n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \begin{cases} \pm(N-1)/2 & \text{for } N \text{ odd} \\ \pm(N/2-1), N/2 & \text{for } N \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Now, the kinetic energy can be expressed through the fourier modes, using a geometric series

$$\sum_j \dot{x}_j^2 = \sum_j \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,k'} \dot{X}_k \dot{X}'_k e^{i(k+k')R_j}$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{i(k+k')R_j} = N \delta_{n,-n'} \implies \sum_j \dot{x}_j^2 = \sum_k \left| \dot{X}_k \right|^2$$

Doing a similar calculation for the potential term, one obtains eventually

$$H = \frac{m}{2} \sum_k \left| \dot{X}_k \right|^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_k 4 \sin^2(ka/2) |X_k|^2$$

H is now a collection of independent harmonic oscillators with stiffness K_k and frequency, ω_k with

$$K_k = 4K \sin^2(ka/2), \quad \omega_k^2 = K_k/m = \tilde{\omega}^2 4 \sin^2(ka/2)$$

The harmonic solid is essentially a sum of indipendent QM harmonic oscillators. The partition function is a product over k , since H is a sum over k . It is also a sum over occupation number n , and thus yields

$$Z = \prod_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k (n+1/2)}, \quad F = \sum_k \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2} + k_B T \ln \left(1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k} \right) \right)$$
$$U = \sum_k \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_k}{2} + \frac{\hbar \omega_k}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega_k} - 1} \right)$$
$$U = \frac{Na}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} dk \left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_k + \frac{\hbar \omega_k}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega_k} - 1} \right) \approx \frac{Na}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} dk \left(\frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_k + k_B T \right)$$

Last equality valid for large N and high T , respectively. High T : $c_V = d \cdot k_B$.

Debye approximation

For $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ second term in the U integral dies, but $\omega_k \rightarrow 0$ for certain k . Only low-energy modes sign. excited at low- T (higher ones exp. suppressed).

$\omega_k \approx \tilde{\omega} ka$ for small k . *Debye approximation*: $\hbar \omega_k = \hbar v |\vec{k}|$ as lin. rel., v is speed of sound (interpolate between known low and high T sol).

3D, approximate 1st BZ by sphere of radius k_D so it contains $3N$ modes. Have n_x, n_y, n_z positive with 3 modes each.

$$3N = \frac{3}{8} \int_0^{n_D} 4\pi n^2 dn \implies n_D = (6N/\pi)^{1/3}, \quad k_D = \left(\frac{6\pi^2}{a^3} \right) \quad \text{No N for Olav}$$

Debye energy: $\hbar \omega_D \equiv \hbar v k_D$. *Debye temperature*: $\theta_D \equiv \hbar \omega_D / k_B$. Using spherical coordinates and then setting $x = \beta \hbar v k$, we get

$$U = \text{const} + 3N \left(\frac{a}{2\pi} \right)^3 \int_{\text{1st B.Z.}} d^3k \frac{\hbar v |\vec{k}|}{e^{\beta \hbar v |\vec{k}|} - 1} \quad (\text{gen. HS in 3D})$$
$$= \text{const} + 9N \frac{k_B T}{(\theta_D/T)^3} \int_0^{\theta_D/T} dx \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1}$$

For high T ($T \gg \theta_D$) we have $x < 1$ and we get

$$U = \text{const} + 9N \frac{k_B T}{(\theta_D/T)^3} \int_0^{\theta_D/T} dx x^2 = \text{const} + 3N k_B T$$

At $T \ll \theta_D$, approximate upper limit as $\theta_D/T \rightarrow \infty$. Integral becomes $\pi^4/15$.

$$U = \text{const} + \frac{3N\pi^4}{5} \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar \omega_D)^3}, \quad c_V = \frac{12}{5} \pi^4 k_B \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar \omega_D} \right) \propto T^3$$

which is the same T dependency as for insulators, and is observed to be correct for insulating crystals. Approx excellent at high and low T . Spec. heat with T^3 -dependence at low T , correct for lattice vib in real crystals, and const. $3k_B$ at high T (Dulong and Petit).

Summary of the Debye approximation: 1 - Replace true energy spectrum with approx that is linear in k , and sph-symm., $\epsilon(\vec{k}) = \hbar v |\vec{k}|$. 2 - Replace true B.Z. by sph-symm. 3 - Choose size of B.Z so it contains exactly N k 's and $3N$ modes.

Ising model

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j - h \sum_i \sigma_i$$

Ising chain (1D)

Set $h = 0$. $H = -J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ Use O.BC, define $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$, $\tau_i = \sigma_{i-1} \sigma_i$, $\tau_i = \pm 1$. Then $H = -J \sum_{i=2}^N \tau_i$, independent of τ_1 (invar. for all spins flipped).

$$Z = \sum_{\tau_1} \prod_{i=2}^N \left(\sum_{\tau_i} e^{\beta J \tau_i} \right) = 2(2 \cosh(\beta J))^{N-1}$$
$$U = -(N-1)J \tanh(\beta J), \quad c = k_B \beta^2 J^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \frac{1}{\cosh^2(\beta J)}$$

Ising chain with transfer matrices

$J \neq 0$, $h \neq 0$, use P.BC. ($\sigma_{N+1} = \sigma_1$). Write H symmetrically

$$H = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} - \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1})$$
$$Z = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \prod_{i=1}^N T_{\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\beta J - \beta h} & e^{-\beta J} \\ e^{-\beta J} & e^{\beta J + \beta h} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$Z = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} T_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \dots T_{\sigma_N, \sigma_1} = \text{Tr} \left(T^N \right) = \lambda_1^N + \lambda_2^N$$

For large N , let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, so $Z = \lambda_1^N$

$$\lambda_1 = e^{\beta J} \cosh(\beta J) + \sqrt{e^{2\beta J} \cosh^2(\beta h) - 2 \sinh(2\beta J)}$$
$$\frac{F}{N} = -k_B T \ln \lambda_1 \xrightarrow{h=0} -k_B T \ln(2 \cosh(\beta J))$$

for $h = 0$, we get $F/N = -k_B T \ln(2 \cosh(\beta J))$. There is no phase transition in 1D. The magnetization, m , and magnetic susceptibility, χ , is given by

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \langle \sigma_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta N} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial h} = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial F}{\partial h}, \quad \chi = \frac{\partial m}{\partial h} = \frac{1}{\beta N} \frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial h^2}$$

Mean Field Approximation

Approximate $m = 1/N \sum_j \langle \sigma_j \rangle = m_j$, i.e. all spins have the same average. Define the deviation from the average $\tilde{\sigma}_j = \sigma_j - m$, assuming that it's small. The interaction term now becomes

$$\sigma_j \sigma_k \approx m^2 + m(\tilde{\sigma}_j + \tilde{\sigma}_k) \implies \sigma_j \sigma_k \approx -m^2 + m(\sigma_j + \sigma_k)$$

In the Hamiltonian, include factor 1/2 for the double counting of spin. Sum over $\sigma_j \sigma_j + \delta$. Introduce $z = 2d = \sum_{\delta} 1$. PBC: Shift $j \rightarrow j' = j + \delta$

$$H = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_j \sum_{\delta} \left(-m^2 + m\sigma_j + m\sigma_{j+\delta} \right) = Jm^2 \frac{Nz}{2} - Jmz \sum_j \sigma_j$$

Adding the field again, defining $h_{\text{eff}} = Jmz + h$, we get $H_{\text{MFA}} = Jm^2 \frac{Nz}{2} - h_{\text{eff}}$. Since all spins are the same on average, sum over σ_1 N times. The partition function now becomes

$$Z = e^{-\beta Jm^2 Nz/2} (2 \cosh(\beta h_{\text{eff}}))^N = e^{-\beta Jm^2 Nz/2} Z_1^N$$

Now, we can calculate the average magnetization, remembering that $m = m_j \implies m = m_1 = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$, so we get

$$m = \frac{1}{Z_1} \sum_{\sigma_1=-1}^{+1} \sigma_1 e^{(\beta h_{\text{eff}} \sigma_1)} = \tanh(\beta h + \beta Jzm)$$

where the last equality is the MFA self-consistent equation for m , but there is no closed form solution for it. For $h = 0$, set $x = \beta Jzm \implies \frac{k_B T}{Jz} x = \tanh(x)$. For disordered we may have the LHS bigger than 1, which means that $m = 0$. For the ordered phase, $k_B T/Jz < 1$, there are 3 solutions, $x = \pm 1, 0$, but $x = 0$ turns out to be unstable. The critical temperature is $k_B T_c = zJ = 4J$ for the square lattice. The exact value, however is $k_B T_c \approx 2.26J$.

The unstable solution of $m = 0$ in the ordered phase is due to the fact that F must be minimized to be stable, while $k_B T/Jz < 1$ corresponds to a maxima of F for $m = 0$.

For $T \lesssim T_c$ with $h = 0$, m is small, and we can expand to solve for m

$$m \approx \beta Jzm - \frac{1}{3} (\beta m Jz)^3 \implies m^2 = 3 \left(\frac{T}{T_c} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c} \right)$$

where we have used that $Jz = k_B T_c$. Near T_c , the squared term is negligible, and we get

$$m \propto \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right)^{1/2}$$

We always have $m \propto (\dots)^\beta$, and for MFA $\beta = 1/2$, while the 2D Ising model has $\beta = 1/8$ in reality.

Phase Transitions (PT) - Generalities

Definitions:

- **Phase:** Region in phase space where free energy is analytic (function of its arguments)
- **Phase transition:** Non-analytic
- **First order PT:** The derivative of the free energy is discontinuous.
- **Continuous PT:** All first derivatives of free energy is continuous, but one or more higher order derivatives are discontinuous.

Existence of PT

Energy/Entropy argument: The partition function is $Z = \exp(-\beta F) = \sum_s \exp[-\beta H(s)]$, and at low T , Z is dominated by the lowest energy configurations. Assume there are N_g such states with energy E_g . This yields $Z \approx N_g \exp(-\beta E_g) = \exp[-\beta(E_g - k_B T \ln N_g)]$. Approximating the entropy as $S \approx k_B T \ln N_g$ we get $F_g(\text{low } T) \approx E_g - k_B T \ln N_g$. N_g is usually small. Excited states have $E > E_g$ and are not favored at low T , unless there are considerably many of them, such that their entropy becomes significant. Denote excitation by E_{ex} , which is not much larger than E_g , with N_{ex} so that $F_{\text{ex}} = E_{\text{ex}} - k_B T \ln N_{\text{ex}}$. We expect a PT when $F_g = F_{\text{ex}}$. For small $\ln N_g$ we then get

$$k_B T_c \approx \frac{\Delta E}{\ln N_{\text{ex}}}$$

We expect excited states to dominate Z for $T > T_c$, and E_g states to dominate for $T < T_c$.

For the 1D ising model of N spins with open boundary conditions and no applied magnetic field, there are $N_g = 2$ ground states (all up or all down), with $E_g = -J(N-1)$. The first excited states is with approximately half of spins up and the other half down, with $E_{\text{ex}} = E_g + 2J$ and $N_{\text{ex}} = N-1$. We then get

$$k_B T_c = 2J/(\ln(N-1) - \ln 2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for } N \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence, no PT at finite T in 1D Ising.

For the 2D Ising model, we have $N_g = 2$ again. The energy difference is $\Delta E = 2J \cdot l$, where l is the circumference of the domain enclosing a collection of oppositely directed spins. The number of excited states are given by the number of ways of doing a random walk on the lattice with l steps that encloses a domain, and is approximately $N_{\text{ex}} \approx N(z-1)^l \cdot 2$, where N contribution is from the number of starting points, and $z = 4$ is the number of neighbors in 2D lattice. Thus,

$$k_B T_c = \frac{2J \cdot l}{\ln(2N(z-1)^l) - \ln 2} = \frac{2J \cdot l}{\ln N + l \cdot \ln 3}$$

For large N there are also large l -s dominating the domain, so that

$$k_B T_c \sim \frac{2Jl}{l \ln(z-1)} = \frac{2J}{\ln 3} = 1.82J$$

the exact value for 2D Ising is $k_B T_c \approx 2.269J$.

Landau argument for PT existence

A symmetry can't be continuously deformed into another symmetry. Thus: Two phases with different symmetries are always separated by one or more PT's (There can still be PT between phases of same symmetries).

Critical exponents

Many quantities behave like power laws of t close to T_c for cont. PT's. E.g.

$$C(r) = \langle (m(r) - \langle m(r) \rangle)(m(0) - \langle m(0) \rangle) \rangle \sim f(r)e^{-r/\xi}$$

And with $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ at T_c , we get $C(r) \rightarrow f(r)$.

General parameters

$\alpha :$

$c_V \sim \frac{1}{|t|^\alpha}$

$\beta :$

$m \sim (-t)^\beta \text{ (order param. } t < 0)$

$\gamma : \quad \chi = \left. \frac{\partial m}{\partial H} \right|_{H=0}$

$\sim \frac{1}{|t|^\gamma} \text{ (} t = 0)$

$\delta :$

$m \sim |H|^{1/\delta} \text{ order param. dep. on field (} t=0)$

$\nu :$

$\xi \sim \frac{1}{|t|^\nu}$

$\eta :$

$C(r) \sim \frac{1}{|r|^{d-2+\eta}} \text{ corr func (} r \ll \xi)$

Scaling laws:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(2-\eta) &= \gamma \\ \alpha + 2\beta + \gamma &= 2 \\ \beta(\delta-1) &= \gamma \\ 2-\alpha &= \nu d \end{aligned}$$

Renomrmalization group

Universality: Same behavior at PT for several different microscopic systems.

RG-trans: Trans. betw. different microscopic models behaving the same at macroscopic scales.

Example: 1D Ising with a const., C .

$$Z = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} T_{s_a s_{2a}} \cdots T_{s_{Na} s_a} \cdot \quad T_{i=j} = e^{\beta J + \beta C}, \quad T_{i \neq j} = e^{-\beta J + \beta C}$$

Now, perform sum over every second site. Sum over s_{2a} yields $T^2 = 2e^{2\beta C} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(2\beta J) & 1 \\ 1 & \cosh(2\beta J) \end{pmatrix} = e^{\beta C'} \exp\left(\beta J' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = T'$. The new transfer matrix is for the Ising chain with spin on every second site. Now, $H' = -\sum_r (J' s_r s_{r+a'} + C')$, $a' = 2a$, with

$$2e^{2\beta C} \cosh(2\beta J) = e^{\beta C'} e^{\beta J'}, \quad 2e^{2\beta C} = e^{\beta C'} e^{-\beta J'}$$
$$K_1 \equiv e^{-2\beta J}, \quad K'_1 \equiv e^{-2\beta J'}, \quad K_2 \equiv e^{-2\beta C}, \quad K'_2 \equiv e^{-2\beta C'}$$

Now, $K_1 = 1 \implies \beta J \rightarrow 0$ (disordered) and $K_1 \implies \beta J \rightarrow \infty$ (ordered). Solving for K'_1 , we get $K'_1 = \frac{2K_1}{1+K_1}$. Since $K_1 \in (0, 1)$ then $1 + K_1^2 > 1$. Iterating (subsequent RG trans.) for $K_1 > 0$ we will converge to $K_1 = 1$. Then, for $K_1 \gtrsim 0$, $K'_1 \approx 2K_1$. We have $K_1 = K_1(a)$, $K'_1 = K_1(2a)$.

In general, for incr. latt. spacing $s > 1$, we have $K(sa) = s^{y_k} K(a)$. y_k is scaling expo., repr. repulsive fp when positive (relevant), attractive fp when negative (irrelevant) (marginal for $y_k = 0$, go beyond lin.).

The scaling relation in general is, for any dim-full quantity, Q with satial dim D , measured in units off latt. spacing, $\left[\hat{Q}(\{K\}) = s^D \hat{Q}(\{K s^{y_k}\}) \right]$.

Usually two relevant coupling, t and h . Drop hats $\xi(h, t) = s \xi(h s^{y_h}, t s^{y_t})$, $y_h, y_t > 0$. arbitrary $s > 1$, choose it s.t. $t s^{y_t} = 1 \implies s = t^{-1/y_t}$.

$$\xi(h, t) = t^{-1/y_t} \xi(h t^{-y_h/y_t}, 1), \quad h = 0 \implies \xi(0, t) = \frac{1}{t^{1/y_t}} \xi(0, 1)$$

where $x(0, 1)$ is a number. Compare to crit. exp. we see that $\xi \sim 1/|t^\nu| \implies \nu = 1/y_t$. For $m = -T df(h=0)/dh$, choose $s = (-t)^{-1/y_t}$.

Finite size scaling

Numerics: Finite system size, L . Intr. dimless len $L^{-1} = (L/a)^{-1}$, for $a' = as$ dimless len incr. as $L'^{-1} = sL^{-1}$. Then, corr.len (drop h) scales as (choose $s = L$)

$$\xi(t, L^{-1}) = L \xi(t L^{y_t}, 1) = L g(t L^{y_t}), \quad \xi(t L^{y_t} \rightarrow \infty) \sim 1/t^\nu \implies g(x) \rightarrow 1/x^{1/y_t}$$
$$(\text{Fin.L near } t=0): g(x) = g(0) + x g'(0) \implies \xi(t, L^{-1})/L = g(0) + t L^{y_t} g'(0)$$

At $t = 0$, RHS ind. of L . Compute ξ/L for different L , T_c found where curves cross. Exponent, ν , gotten by computing $\partial_T (\xi/L)_{(T=T_c)} = L^{y_t} g'(0)/T_c$. Plot log of LHS vs. log of L , get straight line with slope y_t .

Cumulant expansion

Use mom. gen. func.

$$P_k = \int dx P(x) e^{-ikx} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ik)^m}{m!} \langle x^m \rangle$$
$$\ln P_k \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-ik)^\ell}{\ell!} \langle x^\ell \rangle_c$$

Equate P_k with the exponentiated $\ln P_k$, compare powers of $(-ik)$. Yields $\langle x \rangle_c = \langle x \rangle$, $\langle x^2 \rangle_c = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2, \dots, \langle x^p \rangle$: Draw p dots, connect in all possible ways. Cluster of m dots is $\langle x^m \rangle_c$, (disjoint: $m = 0$).

Part. func. for interacting gas, $H = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} + \tilde{u}(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$Z = Z_0 \int \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{V^N} e^{-\beta \tilde{u}} = Z_0 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^m}{m!} \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{V} \cdots \frac{d^3 q_N}{V} [\tilde{u}(q_1, \dots, q_n)]^m$$

so $Z = Z_0 \sum_m (-\beta)^m / m! \langle \tilde{u}^m \rangle$. For free energy, $\ln Z \sim F$, we get

$$\ln Z = \ln Z_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^\ell}{\ell!} \langle \tilde{u}^\ell \rangle_c$$

With assumptions of potential, can now find contr. to F from cumulants. Assuming $\tilde{u}(q \dots) = \sum_{i < j} u(\vec{q}_i - \vec{q}_j)$ and $u(-q) = u(q)$, the first cum. is

$$\langle \tilde{u}^1 \rangle_c = \langle \tilde{u} \rangle = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \int d^3 q/V u(\vec{q})$$

Diag. for pair-wise int. P pairs for $\langle \tilde{u}^p \rangle$, connect each by dotted line. Label points, numbers on different pairs can be equal. Merge opints if numbers on different pairs are equal. Find the number of ways, G , to assign labels to diagram to get a spec. topology. For a given diagram there is a factor $u(q_i - q_j)$ for each dotted line. An integral $\int d^3 q/V$ for each point. A factor G . The sum of G for each order p should equal $(N(N-1)/2)^p$. **Disconnected and one-particle reducable diagrams don't contribute.**

Cluster expansion

For hard-core (u big for $q \rightarrow 0$), the p -th term is $\sim \int u^p(q)$. But $p+1$ term is bigger, so the series can't be truncated. Use

$$p_1(-\beta) + p_2(-\beta)^2/2! + \cdots + p_p(-\beta)^p/p! = \frac{N(N-1)}{2V} \int d^3 q (e^{-\beta u} - 1)$$

where the integrand is $f(q)$.
CUMULANT EXPANSION CLUSTER EXPANSION VIRIAL EXPANSION
DIFFUSION EQ. RW MARKOV

Random Walks

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{R=0}^N P_N(R) &= 1 \\ \langle R \rangle &= \sum_R R \cdot P_N(R) = NP \\ \left\langle R^2 \right\rangle &= \sum_R R^2 P_N(R) = NP(1-p) + N^2 P^2 \\ \sigma^2 &= P(1-P)N \\ p_N(R) &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi P(1-P)N}} \exp \left[-\frac{(R-PN)^2}{2P(1-P)N} \right]\end{aligned}$$

so the binomial distribution can be approximated by a Gaussian for sufficiently large R and N .

This gives $\langle X_N \rangle = Nl(2P - 1)$ and $\left\langle x_N^2 \right\rangle = 4l^2NP(1-P) + \langle x_N \rangle^2$ so we get $\left\langle x_N^2 \right\rangle - \langle x_N \rangle^2 = 4l^2NP(1-P)$.

Now, for R, N large, with $P = 1/2$ once again, we can approximate with a Gaussian. Introduce probability density $P_N(x) \equiv P(x)/2l$, since $P(x)$ is the Gaussian distribution for discrete x . Then, for $t = N\Delta t$ and $D \equiv \frac{l^2}{2\Delta t}$ we get

$$P_N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi 2Dt}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\cdot 2Dt}}$$

and we get a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance $2Dt$. With M random walkers (particles), all with $x(t=0) = 0$, the density of walkers per unit length at time t , $\rho(x,t)$, fulfills the Diffusion equation

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x,t) &= Mp(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial \rho(x,t)}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^2 \rho(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\end{aligned}$$

This result holds for any RW with a symmetric step distribution. Consider general RW with $x(t+\Delta t) = x(t)+l$, where l is now a random length (variable) with a probability distribution $\chi(l)$ that is independent of t . The distribution is normalized and symmetric, with $\int dl \chi(l) \cdot l^2 = a^2$, where the limits are $l = \pm\infty$. For fixed l we previously had $\chi(l) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(l-a) + \delta(l+a))$.

Now, what is the distribution $p(x,t+\Delta t)$, given $p(x,t)$. Found by summing all possible paths from $x-l$ up to x between the two times, weighted with the probability of the l 's.

$$\begin{aligned}p(x,t+\Delta t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl p(x-l,t) \chi(l) \\ p(x-l,t) &= p(x,t) - l \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{l^2}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 p(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \dots \\ \implies p(x,t+\Delta t) &= p(x,t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl \chi(l) - \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl \chi(l) \cdot l^2 \\ &= p(x,t) + \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\end{aligned}$$

Moving $p(x,t)$ to the LHS and dividing by Δt yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{p(x,t+\Delta t) - p(x,t)}{\Delta t} &= \frac{a^2}{2\Delta t} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}, \quad \text{for } \Delta t \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow\end{aligned}$$

So all info about microscopics are contained in D .

Markov Chains

Random process where random move only depends on current state of the system, not its history. For a two-state system, let $P_i(n)$ be the probability of finding the system in state $i = 1, 2$ after n steps. Define the transition probability as $W_{1\leftarrow 2} \equiv W_{12}$. For the next step, we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1(n+1) \\ P_2(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1(n) \\ P_2(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

So $W_{ij} \geq 0$, and the total probability of transferring out of a given state must sum to 1, so $W_{11} + W_{21} = 1$.
The Master's equation, given as

$$\frac{dP_i}{dt} = \sum_j (\omega_{ij} P_j - \omega_{ji} P_i), \quad \omega_{ii} = 0$$