Professors d'IDI - UPC

### **IDI** – Interaction Design (II)

### Outline

#### Session 1:

- Understanding the fundamentals of basic interaction in UI
  - Background (Information Theory)
  - · Hick-Hyman Law: Measuring Choice-Reaction Time
  - Fitts' Law: Measuring Pointing Time
  - Crossing and Steering Laws: Continuous Gestures
- Fitts' Law in UI Design
  - Applications in UI Design
  - Accelerating Target Acquisition
- Exercises

#### Session 2:

- Pointing Devices
- Typing & Keyboards
- Mobile Interaction Design

### **Outline**

#### Session 1:

- Understanding the fundamentals of basic interaction in UI
  - Background (Information Theory)
  - · Hick-Hyman Law: Measuring Choice-Reaction Time
  - Fitts' Law: Measuring Pointing Time
  - Crossing and Steering Laws: Continuous Gestures
- Fitts' Law in UI Design
  - Applications in UI Design
  - Accelerating Target Acquisition
- Exercises

#### Session 2:

- Pointing Devices
- Typing & Keyboards
- Mobile Interaction Design

- Direct-control devices:
  - Work directly on the surface of the screen
  - Direct "touch" in VR



- Work away from the surface
- Mapping of the user movement to a pointing element (cursor/ray).












- Direct-control devices:
  - Old
    - Lightpen worked back in 1976
  - May produce fatigue:
    - Moving the lightpen on the screen required much effort
    - Should have a surface to rest the arm



- Direct-control devices. Issues:
  - Imprecision in pointing. Many factors:
    - Quality of the screen:
      Capacitive screens less precise than resistive
    - Size of the pointer
      Fat and not-so-fat fingers









- Direct-control devices. Issues:
  - Land-on strategy:
    - Select on clicking point
    - Faster feedback
    - Prone to errors




- <u>Lift-off strategy:</u>
  - Initial click creates "cursor", dragging used for precision pointing, lift-off selects
  - More time consuming





- Direct-control devices. Advantages:
  - Touch screens can be designed with no moving parts
    - Durable
    - Only device that has survived Walt Disney's theme parks
  - Multi-touch allows for complex data entry or manipulation
    - Pinch-to-zoom gestures







- Direct-control devices. Other issues:
  - Pens may be more suitable for some tasks
    - Reduce occlusion
    - Familiar to users
    - But require to be picked up and put down
    - Pens are more accurate than fingers
  - Fingers are less precise than wrist-based movement

#### Indirect-control devices:

- Examples:
  - Mouse, trackball, joystick, graphics tablets...
- Issues:
  - Alleviate hand fatigue
  - Eliminate screen occlusion
  - Mouse is the clear king
    - Cost-effective
    - Precise
    - Hand has a surface to rest on
    - Buttons easy to press
    - Long movements require to pick up mouse and replace
      - May be improved using accelerated moves



### Outline

#### Session 1:

- Understanding the fundamentals of basic interaction in UI
  - Background (Information Theory)
  - Hick-Hyman Law: Measuring Choice-Reaction Time
  - Fitts' Law: Measuring Pointing Time
  - Crossing and Steering Laws: Continuous Gestures
- Fitts' Law in UI Design
  - Applications in UI Design
  - Accelerating Target Acquisition
- Exercises

#### Session 2:

- Pointing Devices
- Typing & Keyboards
- Mobile Interaction Design

#### QWERTY keyboard layout:

- Design by Christopher Latham Shole.
- The placement of the keys reduces key jams.
- Keys commonly typed together are placed at large physical distance
  - In a typing machine
  - Changing hands
  - Assuming language is English
- Does not make sense with computers
- Not everybody writes in English






QWERTY keyboard layout:




Other ergonomic layouts: AZERTY




optimized for French

#### Dvorak layout:

- Vowels in one hand
  - Combinations with consonants impose hand change
- Most common letters at the places the fingers rest on the keyboard





#### Dvorak layout:

- Invented with the objective of reducing travel distances
  - 10-finger typing
- Improvements of up to 30%
  - Other researchers say 5–10%
  - Typing Guinness world record held by a Barbara Blackburn with a DVORAK keyboard in a typewriter for many years
    - 150 wpm for 50 minutes
- Less errors
- Also optimized for English
- Low level of acceptance

- Keyboard layouts
  - Improves posture and reduces tension
  - No proven advantage



## Typing & Keyboards.

- Keyboard arrangements should be designed so that:
  - 1. Balance the loads on the right and left hands
  - 2. Maximize the load on the home row
  - 3. Maximize the frequency of alternating hand sequences
    - Alternating fingers avoids the need to wait for the end of the movement of the first finger before starting the second movement.
  - 4. Minimizing the frequency of same finger typing







Especially good job: 3

- Experiment with keyboards layouts is difficult
  - Users get their proficiency for practice
  - It requires months of training in any layout
  - The same people would require to be training back to original arrangement for starting a new experiment
- It is commonly accepted formal results based as predictive human performance model rather than user testing for evaluation



Source: http://minuum.com/

- Touchable layouts (some issues)
  - Size depends on screen size
  - Limited and occluded text
  - Require significant visual attention
    - No physical feedback. Sometimes sound
  - Distance from the keyboard to the insertion point
    - Especially on larger form factors
  - Errors: accidentally touching the screen
  - Touch and stylus based may be a good combined with stroke gestures or other ideas...

- Expert typing model [Bi2013]:
  - Based on Fitts' Law
  - Time to move the tapping device with a single finger from one key (i) to another (j) depends on the distance and the width of the keys:

$$MT_{ij} = a + b \log_2 \left( \frac{D_{ij}}{W_{ij}} + 1 \right)$$

- $D_{ij}$  is the distance between keys *i* and *j*,
- W<sub>ij</sub> is the width of each key
- Bi et al. also use the effective width

- Fitts Law accurately predicts pointing movement
  - If improvement required, it can help us modify our UI
    - Change target width:
      - Increase size for faster reach
    - Change distance:
      - Move targets closer to reduce movement time
    - Change pointer movement:
      - Increase speed

$$MT_{ij} = a + b \log_2 \left( \frac{D_{ij}}{W_{ij}} + 1 \right)$$


- Improving mobile layouts:
  - Different parameters to take into account:
    - 10-finger typing? As of tablets
    - 2-thumb typing? Mobiles/tablets.
    - 1-finger typing? Most commonly mobile
- Optimize for the number of fingers
  - Tactile screen form factor
  - Maybe hand positions too








- Proposed mobile layouts. Minuum:
  - Two or one finger typing
  - Compressing the three key rows into one
    - Reduction of distances (in vertical)
    - Larger targets (the whole region of e. g. QAZ)
    - Proficient word prediction/correction is required
  - More room in your screen









Minuum is intended to type everywhere:




- Digram-based layout for single-finger typing [Lewis99]:
  - Optimized distances
  - Up to 25 wpm (over the typical 20 wpm on a complete QWERTY)






- Single finger gesture typing [Kristensson2012, Zhai2012]
  - The finger traverses all the letters of a word without lifting off the screen
  - More comfortable (subjective evaluation) in tablets [Nguyen2012]
  - Not faster than regular typing (objective evaluation) in tablets [Nguyen2012]. Not so negative




- Proposed mobile layouts. KALQ:
  - Optimize layout for better 2 thumb typing
  - Analyzed hand position, digram frequency, tablet orientation...



- Two finger gesture typing [Bi2012]
  - The two thumbs swipe to compose a word
    - Lifting the finger when a part of the word belongs to the other thumb
    - Or with a continuous trace
  - Finger traveling shortened by 50%
  - Speed does not increase over one finger entry (objective evaluation). Not so negative
  - High demand of attention (subjective evaluation)





Designing virtual keyboards. Elements to consider for usability:

- Auto-correction
- Auto-capitalization
- Input data type & custom keyboards
- (Multiple-)Language support

#### 1. Auto-correction:

- Only suitable if proper dictionaries:
  - Commonly, users do not notice the corrections
  - Some data such as address very prone to wrong correction
  - 92% sites do it wrong
- Best practices:
  - Skip auto-correction for certain fields
  - Usually, it is safer to opt for a predictive approach and let the user to choose the best option.

#### 2. Auto-capitalization:


- In e-mail addresses, disable auto-capitalization
  - Even if correct, people tries to fix



#### 3. Appropriate layouts for the input data type:


- Virtual keyboards are small
  - $\circ$  An iPhone 4 character (portrait) measures 4  $\times$  5.9 mm
    - Minimum recommended clickable size is  $6.85 \times 6.85$  mm
  - Increase typos, validation errors...
  - 60% top mobile websites do it wrong
- Dedicated keyboards may increase the size enough (phone numbers, ZIP codes, currency...)
  - Invoke them, and do it consistently


#### Dedicated keyboards examples (space gain):



#### 4. (Multiple-)Language support:

- Most custom keyboards provide the possibility of changing the language on demand
  - In many cases correctors or word predictions mix languages







### Outline

#### Session 1:

- Understanding the fundamentals of basic interaction in UI
  - Background (Information Theory)
  - · Hick-Hyman Law: Measuring Choice-Reaction Time
  - Fitts' Law: Measuring Pointing Time
  - Crossing and Steering Laws: Continuous Gestures
- Fitts' Law in UI Design
  - Applications in UI Design
  - Accelerating Target Acquisition
- Exercises

#### Session 2:

- Pointing Devices
- Typing & Keyboards
- Mobile Interaction Design