

CS 425 / ECE 428

Distributed Systems

Fall 2016

Indranil Gupta (Indy)

Dec 1, 2016

Lecture 28: Datacenter Disasters

All slides © IG

TAKE A GUESS!

Which of the following do you think the leading cause of datacenter outages?

1. Power outage
2. Over-heating
3. Human error
4. Fire
5. DOS attacks

TAKE A GUESS!

Which of the following do you think the leading cause of datacenter outages?

1. Power outage
2. Over-heating
3. Human error (70%)
4. Fire
5. DOS attacks

HUMAN ERROR EXAMPLES

- A system operator mistakenly deleted the \$38 billion Alaska Permanent Fund database and then deleted its backup.
- A maintenance contractor's mistake shut down the Oakland Air Traffic Control Center.
- A State of Virginia technician pulled the wrong controller and crashed a redundant SAN that already had suffered a controller failure.
- A technician with DBS Bank made an unauthorized repair on a redundant SAN and took down both sides.

Source:

[http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0704/
data_center_outages-lessons.pdf](http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0704/data_center_outages-lessons.pdf)

HUMAN ERROR EXAMPLES (2)

- A system administrator closed all applications on one server in an active/active pair to upgrade it and then shut down the operating server.
- A test technician failed to disable a fire alarm actuator prior to testing the fire suppression system.
- Siren noise damaged several disks, including the virtual backup disks.
- (hosting.com) Incorrect breaker operation sequence executed by servicing vendor caused a shutdown of UPS and offline time to websites of 1-5 hours
- Thirteen million German web sites went dark when an operator mistakenly uploaded an empty zone file.
- (And many more!)

Source:

[http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0704/
data_center_outages-lessons.pdf](http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0704/data_center_outages-lessons.pdf)

WHY STUDY OUTAGES?

- They're fun! (Schadenfreude!)
- But really – so that we can learn lessons
- Learn more about the actual behavior of systems in the real world
- Design better systems in the future
- Not our goal to say some companies are worse than others
 - In fact, companies which suffer outages run better and more robust infrastructure afterwards!
 - “What doesn't kill you, makes you stronger”
- We'll see a few case studies of outages
 - And learn lessons from them

I. AWS Outage

OVERVIEW

- Occurred on April 21st, 2011
- AWS published a post-mortem analysis
 - <http://aws.amazon.com/message/65648/>
- Not our goal to say AWS is a bad infrastructure
 - In fact, quite the opposite – AWS treated customers very well
 - After the outage, AWS is still market leader
 - AWS fixed infrastructure to prevent recurrence
- During the outage
 - Several companies using AWS EC2 went down, e.g., Reddit, FourSquare
 - AWS dashboard showed problems with EC2, and other storage
 - Lasted 3.5 days (at least)
 - Led to some data loss

BACKGROUND

- AWS [Regions](#): Separate datacenters.
 - E.g., us-east-1, us-west-1, etc.
 - Each region consists of [availability zones](#)
 - can have automatic data replication across zones in a region
(but not all customers do it)
- AWS Elastic Block Storage (**EBS**) – mountable storage “devices”, accessible from EC2 instances
- 1 EBS volume runs inside an Availability Zone
 - Two networks: primary n/w used for EC2 and EBS control plane; secondary n/w used for overflow – has *lower capacity*
 - Control information replicated across zones (for availability)
- EBS volumes replicated for durability
 - Each volume has a primary replica
 - If out of sync or node failure, replicas programmed to do aggressive re-mirroring of data

TIMELINE OF OUTAGE

- *12.47 AM: Routine primary n/w capacity upgrade in an av. zone in US East Region*
- Traffic shifted off several primary n/w routers to other primary n/w routers
 - Critical Error: someone shifted traffic for one such router to a secondary n/w router
- => Several EBS volumes now had no/bad primary n/w
 - Primary n/w disconnected
 - Second n/w has low capacity and thus overwhelmed
 - Many primary replicas had no backup
- Team discovered critical error and rolled it back

(Is it over yet?)

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- Team discovered critical error and rolled it back
 - Due to network partitioning, many primary replicas thought they had no backup: these automatically started re-mirroring aggressively
 - *All at once*: free n/w cap quickly used, replicas stuck in loop
 - Re-mirroring *storm*: 13% of EBS volumes
- N/w unavailable for Control Plane
 - Unable to serve “create volume” API requests for EBS
 - Control plane ops have long time-out; began to back up
 - When thread pool filled up, control plane started to reject create volume requests
- *2.40 AM: Team disabled all new “create volume” API requests*
- *2.50 AM: all error rates and latencies for EBS APIs start to recover*

(Is it over yet?)

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- Two issues made things worse
 - Primaries searching for potential replicas did not back off
 - A race condition existed in EBS code that was only triggered by high request rates: activated now, caused more node failures
- *5.30 AM: Error rates and latencies increase again*
- Re-mirroring is negotiation b/w EC2 node, EBS node, and EBS control plane (to ensure 1 primary)
 - Due to race condition, EBS nodes started to fail
 - Rate of negotiations increased
 - Caused more node failures (via race), and rinse-n-repeat
 - “Brown-out” of EBS API functionalities
- *8.20 AM: Team starts disabling all communication b/w EBS cluster in affected av. zone and EBS control plane*
 - Av. zone still down, but control plane recovering slowly

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- *11.30 am: Team figures out how to prevent EBS servers in av. zone from futile re-mirroring*
 - Affected av. zone slowly recovers
- Customers still continued to face high error rates for new EBS-backed EC2 instances until noon
 - Another new EBS control plane API had recently been launched (for attaching new EC2 instances to volumes)
 - Its error rates were being shadowed by new errors
- Noon: No more volumes getting stuck
- But 13% volumes still in stuck state

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- Long tail of recovery
 - Read more on the post-mortem to find out how team addressed this
 - By noon April 24th, all but 1.04 % of volumes had been restored
 - Eventually, 0.07% volumes could not be recovered, and were lost forever
- This outage also affected relational database service (RDS) that were single – av. zone.

GENERAL LESSONS LEARNT

Large outages/failures

- Often start from human error
- But balloon due to *cascading* sub-failures

SPECIFIC LESSONS LEARNT

Ways this outage could have been avoided:

- Audit n/w configuration change processes, create a step-by-step protocol for upgrades
- Higher capacity in secondary n/w
- Prevent re-mirroring storm: backing off rather than aggressively retry
- Fixing race condition
- Users who wrote code to take advantage of multiple av. zones within region not affected
- Better tools for communication, health (AWS Dashboard), service credit for customers (multi-day credit)

II. Facebook Outage

OVERVIEW

- Outage occurred on 23rd September, 2010
- FB Unreachable for 2.5 hours (worst in past 4 years)
- Facebook published post-mortem
 - [https://www.facebook.com/notes/facebook-engineering/
more-details-on-todays-outage/431441338919](https://www.facebook.com/notes/facebook-engineering/more-details-on-todays-outage/431441338919)
- Not our goal to say Facebook is a bad infrastructure
 - In fact, after the outage, Facebook is still market leader in social networks
 - Facebook fixed infrastructure to prevent recurrence

BACKGROUND

- Data stored in a persistent store, and cache
 - Persistent store = many servers
 - Cache = many servers running a distributed cache system
- Includes configuration data
- FB has automated system for verifying configuration values in the cache
 - and replace invalid values with updated values from the store

TIMELINE

- On Sep 23, FB made a change to the persistent copy of a configuration
 - Change was invalid
- All clients (FB cache servers) saw invalid value
 - All attempted to fix it
 - All queried cluster of databases
 - Databases overwhelmed quickly by 100K's queries per second
- Team fixed the invalid configuration

(Is it over yet?)

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- When client received error from DB, it interpreted it as invalid and deleted cache entry
 - When DB failed to respond => client created more queries
 - No back off
 - Rinse-n-repeat
 - (Cascading failures)

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- FB's Solution
 - Turn off entire FB website
 - Stop all traffic to DB cluster
 - DB recovers
 - Slowly allow users back on: allowed clients to slowly update caches
 - Took until later in day for entire site to be back up

LESSONS LEARNT

- New configuration system design
- When cannot access resource
 - Don't retry aggressively
 - But instead, back off
 - Each time a request fails, wait twice as long as last time
 - Called “Exponential backoff”
 - Used in networking protocols like 802.11 to avoid congestion

III. The Planet Outage

OVERVIEW

- Outage occurred on 31st May, 2008
- Source:
[http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0309/
planet_explosion.pdf](http://www.availabilitydigest.com/public_articles/0309/planet_explosion.pdf)
- The Planet – 4th largest web hosting company, supported
22K websites
 - 6 datacenters: Houston (2), Dallas (4)
- Took down 9K servers and 7.5K businesses

TIMELINE

- 5.55 pm: Explosion in H1 Houston DC
 - Short circuit in transformer set it on fire
 - Caused an explosion of battery-acid fumes from UPS backup
 - (Cascading failures)
 - Blew out 3 walls of first floor

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- No servers were damaged, but 9K servers brought down
- Fire department evacuated building
 - Directed that backup generators could not be turned on
 - Due to fire hazard, no staff allowed back in until 10 pm
- Reportedly, the Planet staff had to physically ship some critical servers to their other DCs (on pickups)
 - But limited by power and cooling at other DCs

TIMELINE (CONTD.)

- 5 pm Jun 2: Power restored to second floor
- Jun 4: First floor servers were being restored one rack at a time
- All the while: The Planet provided frequent updates to customers (15 min to 1 hour)

LESSONS LEARNT

- Backup data & services across DCs, perhaps across different providers
 - “Business Continuity Plans”
 - Whose responsibility would this be?
 - Provider?
 - Customer? More difficult due to extra work and data lock-in across providers.
- May cost customers more
 - Like insurance premiums?

Wrap-up

OUTAGES ARE INEVITABLE

- Outages are inevitable
- We've seen how AWS, Facebook, The Planet kept affected users updated throughout
 - Frequent updates
 - Coupons/discounts
 - Published post-mortems afterwards
 - All these bolster customer confidence
- Many companies run dashboards with real-time information
 - Google Apps status dashboard
 - AWS dashboard

NOT ALL COMPANIES ...

Not all companies are as open as those discussed

- RIM Apr 2007 – day-long outage; no details
- Hostway Jul 2007 – informed customers that it would move its DC Miami → Tampa, and that outage would be 12 hours
 - Outage was 3-7 days

OVERALL LESSONS LEARNT

- Datacenter fault-tolerance akin to human ailments/medicine today
 - Most common illnesses (crash failures) addressed
 - But uncommon cases can be horrible (unexpected outages)
- *Testing* is important
 - American Eagle, during a disaster, discovered that they could not fail over to backup DC
- Failed upgrades common cause of outage
 - Need a fallback plan

OVERALL LESSONS LEARNT (2)

- Data availability and recovery
 - BCP, Disaster-tolerance
 - Cross-DC replication, either by provider or by customer
- Consistent Documentation
 - A Google AppEngine outage prolonged because ops did not know which version of docs to use for recovery
 - Google's fix: mark old documents explicitly as “deprecated”
- Outages always a cascading series of failures
 - Need more ways to break the chain and prevent outages

OVERALL LESSONS LEARNT (3)

- Other sources of outages
 - DOS-resistance
 - Internet outages
 - Under-sea cable cut, DNS failures, Government blocking Internet (mostly via DNS)
 - Solution: Alternate DNS services
- Many failures are unexpected
- But there are also planned outages (e.g., kernel upgrades)
 - Need to be planned well
 - Steps documented and followed
 - Fallback plans in place

ANNOUNCEMENTS

- MP4 due this Sunday, demos Monday
 - Demo instructions and demo signup sheet on Piazza
- HW4 due next Tuesday
- Next Tuesday lecture: wrap up. Mandatory lecture.