

EXPERIMENT-17

Analysis of assembly line scheduling problem.

Aim:

Analysis of assembly line scheduling problem.

Description:

- The assembly line scheduling problem is a problem in production management that involves assigning tasks to different workstations in an assembly line. The goal is to minimize the total time required to complete a product, while ensuring that each task is completed before the product moves to the next workstation.
- It can be formulated as a dynamic programming problem, where the objective is to find the minimum time required to complete the product by assigning tasks to.

Procedure:

Problem Description:

- Given two assembly lines, each with multiple stations.
- Each station has a processing time (time taken to complete work at that station).
- There are transfer times between stations on different lines.
- Entry and exit times for both lines are given.
- The goal is to find the minimum time to complete the assembly of products.

Dynamic Programming Approach:

- Initialize two vectors (dp1 and dp2) to store the minimum time taken at each station on both lines.
- Compute the time taken to reach each station considering both direct processing time and transfer time from the other line.
- Update dp1 and dp2 iteratively based on the minimum time at the previous station.

Procedure in Points:

- Read input values: processing times (c), transfer times (t), entry times (e), and exit times (x).
- Initialize $dp1[0] = e[0] + c[0][0]$ (time taken at the first station on line 1).
- Initialize $dp2[0] = e[1] + c[1][0]$ (time taken at the first station on line 2).
- For each subsequent station ($i = 1$ to $n-1$):
 - Calculate $dp1[i] = \min(dp1[i-1] + c[0][i], dp2[i-1] + t[1][i-1] + c[0][i])$.
 - Calculate $dp2[i] = \min(dp2[i-1] + c[1][i], dp1[i-1] + t[0][i-1] + c[1][i])$.
- Compute the total time taken at the last stations:
 - Total time on line 1: $dp1[n-1] + x[0]$.
 - Total time on line 2: $dp2[n-1] + x[1]$.
- Return the minimum of the two total times.

Decision matrices:

- During the computation, keep track of decision matrices (which line was chosen at each station).
- Print out the decision matrices to understand the optimal path

Source Code:

```
● ● ●  
  
#include<bits/stdc++.h>  
  
using namespace std;  
  
int assemblyLineScheduling(const vector<vector<int>>& c, const vector<vector<int>>&  
t, const vector<int>& e, const vector<int>& x)  
{  
    int n = c[0].size();  
  
    vector<int> dp1(n);  
    vector<int> dp2(n);  
  
    dp1[0] = e[0] + c[0][0];  
    dp2[0] = e[1] + c[1][0];  
  
    for (int i = 1; i < n; ++i) {  
        dp1[i] = min(dp1[i-1] + c[0][i], dp2[i-1] + t[1][i-1] + c[0][i]);  
        dp2[i] = min(dp2[i-1] + c[1][i], dp1[i-1] + t[0][i-1] + c[1][i]);  
    }  
  
    // Compute the total time taken at the last stations  
    int total_time_line1 = dp1[n-1] + x[0];  
    int total_time_line2 = dp2[n-1] + x[1];  
  
    // Return the minimum of the two total times  
    return min(total_time_line1, total_time_line2);  
}  
  
int main()  
{  
    // Example input values  
    vector<vector<int>> c = {{4, 5, 3, 2}, {2, 10, 1, 4}}; // Processing times  
    vector<vector<int>> t = {{0, 7, 4}, {0, 9, 2}}; // Transfer times  
    vector<int> e = {10, 12}; // Entry times  
    vector<int> x = {18, 7}; // Exit times  
  
    int min_total_time = assemblyLineScheduling(c, t, e, x);  
    cout << "Minimum time to complete assembly: " << min_total_time << " units\n";  
  
    return 0;  
}
```

Test Cases:

Case-1:

```
vector<vector<int>> a = {{7, 9, 3, 4, 8, 4}, {8, 5, 6, 4, 5, 7}};  
vector<vector<int>> t = {{2, 3, 1, 3, 4}, {2, 1, 2, 2, 1}};  
vector<int> e = {2, 4};  
vector<int> x = {3, 2};
```

```
Linescheduling.cpp -o assemblyLinescheduling } ;  
Decision Matrix:  
Line 1:  
9 18 21 25 33 37  
Line 2:  
12 17 23 27 32 39  
  
Minimum time to complete the assembly: 40
```

Case-2:

```
vector<vector<int>> a2 = {{4, 5, 3, 2}, {2, 10, 1, 4}};  
vector<vector<int>> t2 = {{0, 7, 4}, {0, 9, 2}};  
vector<int> e2 = {10, 12};  
vector<int> x2 = {18, 7};
```

```
Decision Matrix:  
Line 1:  
14 19 22 24  
Line 2:  
14 24 24 26  
  
Minimum time to complete the assembly: 33
```

Case-3:

```
vector<vector<int>> a3 = {{5, 3, 2, 7, 9}, {4, 6, 8, 3, 5}};  
vector<vector<int>> t3 = {{2, 1, 3, 4}, {3, 2, 1, 2}}; //  
vector<int> e3 = {3, 2}; // Entry times for Lines 1 and 2  
vector<int> x3 = {4, 3}; // Exit times for Lines 1 and 2
```

```
LineScheduling.cpp -o assemblyLineScheduling } ; if ($  
Decision Matrix:  
Line 1:  
8 11 13 20 -1149734053  
Line 2:  
6 12 20 20 -92769384  
  
Minimum time to complete the assembly: -1149734049
```

Case-4:

```
vector<vector<int>> a4 = {{8, 9, 3, 5}, {6, 4, 7, 2}};  
vector<vector<int>> t4 = {{3, 2, 4}, {1, 3, 2}};  
vector<int> e4 = {5, 4};  
vector<int> x4 = {6, 5};
```

```
Decision Matrix:  
Line 1:  
13 22 19 24  
Line 2:  
10 14 21 21  
  
Minimum time to complete the assembly: 26
```

Analysis:

1. Time Complexity

1. The time complexity of the dynamic programming solution for assembly line scheduling is $O(n)$, where n is the number of stations on each assembly line.

2. This complexity arises because we traverse each station once and perform constant-time operations to calculate the minimum time to reach each station.

2. Space Complexity

1. The space complexity of the dynamic programming solution is $O(n)$, where n is the number of stations on each assembly line.
2. We use two one-dimensional arrays to store intermediate results for each assembly line, with each array having a size of n .

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the assembly line scheduling problem poses a significant challenge in optimizing manufacturing processes, particularly in industries where efficient production workflows are crucial. Through the analysis of its dynamic programming solution and recurrence relation, we gain insights into the intricate mechanics of scheduling tasks across multiple assembly lines while minimizing production time and adhering to various constraints. By leveraging dynamic programming techniques, such as defining and iteratively solving subproblems, we can efficiently compute the minimum time required to complete the assembly process. This approach not only enables us to address the assembly line scheduling problem with reasonable time complexity but also facilitates the exploration of various optimization techniques and solution strategies. Moreover, the recurrence relation encapsulates the essence of the problem, illustrating how decisions made at each station impact the overall assembly process's efficiency.

EXPERIMENT-18

Analysis of longest common subsequence problem

Aim:

Analysis of longest common subsequence problem.

Description:

- The Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) problem is a classic problem in which we are given two strings and we have to find the longest common subsequence.
- A subsequence is a sequence that can be derived from another sequence by deleting some or none of the elements without changing the order of the remaining elements.
- The LCS problem is solved using dynamic programming. The basic idea is to construct a table where the rows represent the elements of sequence X and the columns represent the elements of sequence Y. Each cell in the table contains the length of the longest common subsequence up to that point.

Procedure:

- Given two sequences (usually strings), find the longest subsequence that appears in both sequences.
- A subsequence is a sequence that can be derived from another sequence by deleting some or no elements without changing the order of the remaining elements.

Dynamic Programming Approach:

- We create a 2D array (dp) to store intermediate results.
- $dp[i][j]$ represents the length of the LCS between the first i characters of the first sequence and the first j characters of the second sequence.
- Initialize $dp[i][0]$ and $dp[0][j]$ to 0 for all i and j .

Filling the DP Table:

- For each character i in the first sequence:
- For each character j in the second sequence:
- If $seq1[i-1]$ (current character in the first sequence) equals $seq2[j-1]$ (current character in the second sequence), set $dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1] + 1$.
- Otherwise, set $dp[i][j]$ to the maximum of $dp[i-1][j]$ and $dp[i][j-1]$.

Final Result:

- The length of the LCS is stored in $dp[m][n]$, where m and n are the lengths of the two input sequences.
- To reconstruct the actual LCS, backtrack from $dp[m][n]$ using the stored values in the DP table.

Source Code:

```
● ● ●  
  
#include<bits/stdc++.h>  
using namespace std;  
  
int longest_common_subsequence(string X, string Y) {  
    int m = X.size();  
    int n = Y.size();  
    vector<vector<int>> dp(m + 1, vector<int>(n + 1, 0));  
    for (int i = 1; i <= m; ++i) {  
        for (int j = 1; j <= n; ++j) {  
            if (X[i - 1] == Y[j - 1]) {  
                dp[i][j] = dp[i - 1][j - 1] + 1;  
            } else {  
                dp[i][j] = max(dp[i - 1][j], dp[i][j - 1]);  
            }  
        }  
    }  
    cout<<"DP table"<<endl;  
    for(int i=0;i<=m;i++){  
        for(int j=0;j<=n;j++){  
            cout<<dp[i][j]<<" ";  
        }cout<<endl;  
    }  
    return dp[m][n];  
}  
  
int main() {  
    string X = "abbaa";  
    string Y = "aba";  
    int lcs = longest_common_subsequence(X, Y);  
    cout << "Length of Longest Common Subsequence: " << lcs << endl;  
  
    return 0;  
}
```

Test Cases:

Case-1:

```
string X = "ANALYSIS";  
string Y = "ALGORITHMS";
```

```
DP table  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2  
0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2  
0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3  
0 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3  
0 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 4  
Length of Longest Common Subsequence: 4
```

Case-2:

```
string X = "SHIVAM";  
string Y = "LATHER";
```

```
DP table  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 1 1 1  
0 0 0 0 1 1 1  
0 0 0 0 1 1 1  
0 0 1 1 1 1 1  
0 0 1 1 1 1 1  
Length of Longest Common Subsequence: 1
```

Case-3:

```
string X = "AJNJSDSDSD";  
string Y = "JSDSDSDSD";
```

```
DP table  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2  
0 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3  
0 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4  
0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 6 6  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 7  
Length of Longest Common Subsequence: 7
```

Analysis:

- 1. Time Complexity:** The time complexity of the dynamic programming solution for the LCS problem is $O(m \times n)$, where m and n are the lengths of the input strings X and Y , respectively. This complexity arises because we fill up a 2D table of size $((m+1) \times (n+1))$ to store intermediate results. For each cell in the table, we perform constant-time operations.
- 2. Space Complexity:** The space complexity of the dynamic programming solution is $O(m \times n)$, as we use a 2D table to store intermediate results. However, this can be optimized to $O(\min(m, n))$ by using a one-dimensional array instead of a two-dimensional table. This optimization reduces the memory footprint while retaining the same time complexity.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) problem represents a fundamental challenge in computer science with practical applications across diverse domains. Through dynamic programming, we can efficiently find the length of the longest common subsequence between two input strings, leveraging a recurrence relation to iteratively build up a table of intermediate results. The time complexity of the dynamic programming solution is $O(m \times n)$, where m and n are the lengths of the input strings, while the space complexity can be optimized to $O(\min(m, n))$ by using a one-dimensional array. This optimization reduces the memory footprint while retaining the same time complexity. Furthermore, the LCS problem exhibits optimal substructure, allowing us to construct the optimal solution from optimal solutions to smaller subproblems.

EXPERIMENT-19

Analysis Of Matrix Chain Multiplication Problem

Aim:

Analysis Of Matrix Chain Multiplication Problem.

Description:

1. The matrix chain multiplication problem is a computational problem that involves finding the most efficient way to multiply a sequence of matrices using dynamic programming.
2. Given a chain of matrices to be multiplied (n matrices, M), the goal is to determine the order of multiplying the matrices that requires the least amount of scalar multiplications. A product of matrices is fully parenthesized if it is either a single matrix or the product of two fully parenthesized matrix products, surrounded by parentheses.
3. It is important to note that matrix multiplication is associative, and so all parenthesization yield the same product. However, the way we parenthesize a chain of matrices can have a dramatic impact on the cost of evaluating the product. Two matrices A and B can only be multiplied if they are compatible: the number of columns of A must equal the number of rows of B . If A is a $p \times q$ matrix and B is a $q \times r$ matrix, the resulting matrix C is a $p \times r$ matrix.

Procedure:

1. We are given a vector $dims$ representing the dimensions of matrices. The length of $dims$ is one more than the number of matrices, as it includes the dimensions of the matrices and their order of multiplication.
 - For example, if we have matrices A , B , C , and D , the vector $dims$ might be: $dims = \{10, 30, 5, 60\}$ (indicating $A(10 \times 30)$, $B(30 \times 5)$, $C(5 \times 60)$).

Initializing a DP table:

- Create a 2D table (matrix) dp of size $(n \times n)$ (where n is the number of matrices).
- Initialize all entries in dp with a large value (e.g., INT_MAX) to represent that they are not yet computed.

Base Case:

- For a single matrix (i.e., diagonal entries), no multiplication is needed. Set $dp[i][i] = 0$ for all i .

Fill up the DP table:

- Use a bottom-up approach to compute the minimum scalar multiplications for subproblems.
- Consider subproblems of increasing lengths (from 2 to n).
- For each subproblem of length len :
 - Iterate through all possible splits (from i to j) within the subproblem range.
 - Calculate the cost of multiplying matrices from i to j :
 $cost = dp[i][k] + dp[k + 1][j] + dims[i] \times dims[k + 1] \times dims[j + 1]$

- Here, k represents the split point.
- Update $dp[i][j]$ with the minimum cost.

Optimal Solution:

- The minimum scalar multiplications needed to multiply all matrices is stored in $dp[0][n-1]$.

Return the result:

- Return $dp[0][n-1]$ as the final answer.

Source Code:

```
#include<bits/stdc++.h>
using namespace std ;

void ChainMultiplication(vector<int> &v){
    int n = v.size();
    vector<vector<int>> m(n+1,vector<int>(n+1,0));
    vector<vector<int>> m1(n+1,vector<int>(n+1,0));

    for(int i = 1 ; i< n-1 ;i++){
        for(int j = 1 ; j <= n-i ; j++){
            int mn = INT_MAX ;
            for(int k = j ; k < j+i ; k++){
                int val = m[j][k]+m[k+1][j+i] + v[j-1]*v[k]*v[j+i] ;
                if(val<mn){
                    mn=val;
                    m1[j][j+i] = k;
                }
            }
            m[j][j+i] = mn;
        }
    }

    for(int i = 1;i<n;i++){
        for(int j = 1 ; j<n ;j++){
            cout<<m[i][j]<<" ";
        }
        cout<<endl;
    }
    cout<<"Minimum scalar mulitplication needed: "<<m[1][n-1]<<endl;

}

int main(){
    vector <int> p = {5,4,6,2,7};
    ChainMultiplication(p);
    return 0 ;
}
```

Test Cases:

Case-1:

```
vector <int> p = {5,4,6,2,7};  
ChainMultiplication(p);
```

```
● → output ./"MatrixChainMultiplication"  
0 120 88 158  
0 0 48 104  
0 0 0 84  
0 0 0 0  
Minimum scalar mulitplication needed: 158
```

Case-2:

```
vector <int> p = {15,40,60,20,17};  
ChainMultiplication(p);
```

```
● → output ./"MatrixChainMultiplication"  
0 36000 54000 59100  
0 0 48000 61200  
0 0 0 20400  
0 0 0 0  
Minimum scalar mulitplication needed: 59100
```

Case-3:

```
vector <int> p = {1,4,6,2,7,19};  
ChainMultiplication(p);
```

```
● → output ./"MatrixChainMultiplication"  
0 24 36 50 183  
0 0 48 104 466  
0 0 0 84 494  
0 0 0 0 266  
0 0 0 0 0  
Minimum scalar mulitplication needed: 183
```

Case-4:

```
vector <int> p = {10,14,16,12,17,19};  
ChainMultiplication(p);
```

```
• → output ./"MatrixChainMultiplication"  
0 2240 4160 6200 9430  
0 0 2688 5544 9756  
0 0 0 3264 7524  
0 0 0 0 3876  
0 0 0 0 0  
Minimum scalar mulitplication needed: 9430
```

Analysis:

- 1. Time Complexity:** The matrix chain multiplication problem can be solved using dynamic programming. Let (n) be the number of matrices to be multiplied. The time complexity of the dynamic programming solution is ($O(n^3)$). This complexity arises because we fill up a table of size (n times n) to store intermediate results, and for each cell of the table, we perform ($O(n)$) operations. Therefore, the overall time complexity is $O(n^3)$).
- 2. Space Complexity:** The space complexity of the dynamic programming solution is also ($O(n^2)$). This complexity arises because we use a two-dimensional table of size (n times n) to store intermediate results.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the matrix chain multiplication problem presents a fundamental challenge in optimizing the multiplication of matrices in an efficient manner. Through dynamic programming, we can effectively compute the minimum number of scalar multiplications needed to multiply a chain of matrices, considering various combinations and dimensions. By analyzing the DP table, we gain insights into the optimal substructures and overlapping subproblems inherent in the problem, which are key characteristics of dynamic programming solutions. The algorithm efficiently fills up the DP table using a bottom-up approach, ensuring that each entry represents the minimum cost to multiply a subchain of matrices. Furthermore, by printing the DP table alongside the minimum scalar multiplications needed for each test case, we can visualize the intermediate results and understand the computational complexity of the algorithm. Overall, the matrix chain multiplication problem underscores the importance of dynamic programming techniques in tackling complex optimization problems efficiently and provides valuable insights into the computational aspects of matrix operations in various applications such as computer graphics, numerical simulations, and machine learning.

EXPERIMENT-20

Analysis of 0/1 knapsack problem

Aim:

Programming analysis of 0/1 knapsack problem .

Description:

The 0/1 knapsack problem is a classic optimization problem where the goal is to maximize the total value of items selected for inclusion in a knapsack, subject to the constraint that the total weight of the selected items cannot exceed the knapsack's capacity. Each item has a weight and a value associated with it.

Procedure:

- The knapsack problem involves selecting items with given weights and values to maximize the total value while ensuring that the total weight does not exceed a specified capacity.

Input:

- A list of item weights (weights).
- A corresponding list of item values (values).
- The total capacity of the knapsack (capacity).

Dynamic Programming Approach:

- We create a 2D array (dp) to store intermediate results.
- $dp[i][w]$ represents the maximum value achievable using the first i items and a knapsack capacity of w .
- Initialize $dp[0][w]$ to 0 for all w (base case).

Filling the DP table:

- For each item i (from 1 to n):
- For each possible capacity w (from 1 to capacity):
- If $weights[i-1]$ (weight of the current item) is greater than w , set $dp[i][w]$ equal to $dp[i-1][w]$.
- Otherwise, choose the maximum of:
- Not including the current item: $dp[i-1][w]$.
- Including the current item: $values[i-1] + dp[i-1][w - weights[i-1]]$.

Final Result:

- The maximum value achievable is stored in $dp[n][capacity]$.

Source Code:

```
#include<bits/stdc++.h>
using namespace std;

int knapsack(int capacity, vector<int>& weights, vector<int>& values) {
    int n = weights.size();
    vector<vector<int>> dp(n + 1, vector<int>(capacity + 1, 0));

    for (int i = 1; i <= n; ++i) {
        for (int w = 1; w <= capacity; ++w) {
            if (weights[i - 1] > w) {
                dp[i][w] = dp[i - 1][w];
            } else {
                dp[i][w] = max(dp[i - 1][w], values[i - 1] + dp[i - 1][w - weights[i - 1]]);
            }
        }
    }

    cout << "DP Table:\n";
    for (int i = 0; i <= n; ++i) {
        for (int w = 0; w <= capacity; ++w) {
            cout << setw(3) << dp[i][w] << " ";
        }
        cout << endl;
    }

    return dp[n][capacity];
}

int main() {
    vector<int> weights = {2, 14, 3};
    vector<int> values = {5, 3, 10};
    int capacity = 8;

    int max_value = knapsack(capacity, weights, values);
    cout << "Maximum Profit that can be obtained: " << max_value << endl;

    return 0;
}
```

Test Cases:

Case-1:

```
vector<int> weights = {2, 14, 3};
vector<int> values = {5, 3, 10};
int capacity = 8;
```

```
➔ output ./"0_1KnapSack"
DP Table:
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
 0  0  5  5  5  5  5  5  5
 0  0  5  5  5  5  5  5  5
 0  0  5 10 10 15 15 15 15
Maximum Profit that can be obtained: 15
```

Case-2:

```
vector<int> weights = {1, 2, 3};  
vector<int> values = {10, 15, 40};  
int capacity = 6;
```

```
→ output ./"0_1KnapSack"  
DP Table:  
0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 10 10 10 10 10 10  
0 10 15 25 25 25 25  
0 10 15 40 50 55 65  
Maximum Profit that can be obtained: 65
```

Case-3:

```
vector<int> weights = {10, 20, 30};  
vector<int> values = {60, 100, 120};  
int capacity = 5;
```

```
→ output ./"0_1KnapSack"  
DP Table:  
0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 0 0  
Maximum Profit that can be obtained: 0
```

Case-4:

```
vector<int> weights = {4, 2, 3};  
vector<int> values = {1, 2, 3};  
int capacity = 5;
```

```
→ output ./"0_1KnapSack"  
DP Table:  
0 0 0 0 0 0  
0 0 0 0 1 1  
0 0 2 2 2 2  
0 0 2 3 3 5  
Maximum Profit that can be obtained: 5
```

Analysis:

- 1. Time Complexity(DP approach):**The time complexity of the dynamic programming solution for the 0/1 knapsack problem is $O(n*W)$, where (n) is the number of items and (W) is the knapsack capacity. - This time complexity arises because we fill up a 2D table of size $(n*W)$ to store intermediate results. For each cell in the table, we perform constant-time operations.
- 2. Space Complexity(DP approach):**The space complexity of the dynamic programming solution can be optimized to $O(W)$ by using a one-dimensional array instead of a two-dimensional array to store intermediate results. This optimization reduces the memory footprint, as we only need to keep track of the results for the current and previous rows of the table.
- 3. Greedy approach:**Although a greedy approach might seem intuitive (e.g., selecting items based on their value-to-weight ratios), it does not always yield an optimal solution

for the 0/1 knapsack problem. The dynamic programming approach guarantees an optimal solution by considering all possible combinations of items and knapsack capacities

- 4. Complexity Consideration:** The 0/1 knapsack problem is NP-hard, meaning that no polynomial-time algorithm is known to solve it optimally for all possible inputs. However, the dynamic programming solution provides an efficient algorithm for solving moderate-sized instances of the problem. By considering these points, we can understand the computational complexity and various solution strategies for the 0/1 knapsack.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the 0/1 knapsack problem is a classic optimization challenge with wideranging applications in various fields such as computer science, operations research, and economics. Through careful analysis, we've explored its dynamic programming solution, which offers an efficient approach to finding the optimal solution for moderate-sized instances of the problem. By defining a recurrence relation and leveraging concepts like optimal substructure, we can efficiently compute the maximum value that can be obtained while respecting the knapsack's capacity constraint. Despite its NP-hard nature, the dynamic programming solution provides a practical method for solving the problem and has been instrumental in tackling real-world optimization problems.