# PEAS Representation

PEAS is a type of model on which an AI agent works upon. When we define an AI agent or rational agent, then we can group its properties under PEAS representation model. It is made up of four words:

- **P:** Performance measure
- **E:** Environment
- **A:** Actuators
- **S:** Sensors

Here performance measure is the objective for the success of an agent's behavior.

PEAS for self-driving cars:

Let's suppose a self-driving car then PEAS representation will be:

Performance: Safety, time, legal drive, comfort

Environment: Roads, other vehicles, road signs, pedestrian

Actuators: Steering, accelerator, brake, signal, horn

Sensors: Camera, GPS, speedometer, odometer, accelerometer, sonar.

Example of Agents with their PEAS representation

Agent	Performance measure	Environment	Actuators	Sensors
1. Medical Diagnose	• Healthy patient • Minimized cost	• Patient • Hospital • Staff	• Tests • Treatments	Keyboard (Entry of symptoms)
2. Vacuum Cleaner	• • • • • Cleanness Efficiency Battery life Security	• • • Room • Table • Wood floor • Carpet Various obstacles	• • • Wheels Brushes Vacuum Extractor	• Camera • Dirt detection sensor • Cliff sensor • Bump Sensor • Infrared Wall Sensor
3. Part - picking Robot	• Percentage of parts in correct bins.	• Conveyor belt with parts, • Bins	• Jointed Arms • Hand	• • Camera Joint angle sensors.

Agent Environment in AI

An environment is everything in the world which surrounds the agent, but it is not a part of an agent itself. An environment can be described as a situation in which an agent is present.

The environment is where agent lives, operate and provide the agent with something to sense and act upon it. An environment is mostly said to be non-feministic.

Features of Environment

An environment can have various features from the point of view of an agent:

1. Fully observable vs. Partially Observable
2. Static vs. Dynamic
3. Discrete vs. Continuous
4. Deterministic vs. Stochastic
5. Single-agent vs. Multi-agent
6. Episodic vs. sequential
7. Known vs. Unknown
8. Accessible vs. Inaccessible

1. Fully observable vs. Partially Observable:

- If an agent sensor can sense or access the complete state of an environment at each point of time then it is **a fully observable** environment, else it is **partially observable**.
- A fully observable environment is easy as there is no need to maintain the internal state to keep track history of the world.
- An agent with no sensors in all environments then such an environment is called as **unobservable**.

2. Deterministic vs. Stochastic:

- If an agent's current state and selected action can completely determine the next state of the environment, then such environment is called a deterministic environment.
- A stochastic environment is random in nature and cannot be determined completely by an agent.
- In a deterministic, fully observable environment, agent does not need to worry about uncertainty.

3. Episodic vs. Sequential:

- In an episodic environment, there is a series of one-shot actions, and only the current percept is required for the action.
- However, in Sequential environment, an agent requires memory of past actions to determine the next best actions.

4. Single-agent vs. Multi-agent

- If only one agent is involved in an environment, and operating by itself then such an environment is called single agent environment.
- However, if multiple agents are operating in an environment, then such an environment is called a multi-agent environment.
- The agent design problems in the multi-agent environment are different from single agent environment.

5. Static vs. Dynamic:

- If the environment can change itself while an agent is deliberating then such environment is called a dynamic environment else it is called a static environment.
- Static environments are easy to deal because an agent does not need to continue looking at the world while deciding for an action.
- However for dynamic environment, agents need to keep looking at the world at each action.
- Taxi driving is an example of a dynamic environment whereas Crossword puzzles are an example of a static environment.

6. Discrete vs. Continuous:

- If in an environment there are a finite number of percepts and actions that can be performed within it, then such an environment is called a discrete environment else it is called continuous environment.
- A chess game comes under discrete environment as there is a finite number of moves that can be performed.
- A self-driving car is an example of a continuous environment.

7. Known vs. Unknown

- Known and unknown are not actually a feature of an environment, but it is an agent's state of knowledge to perform an action.
- In a known environment, the results for all actions are known to the agent. While in unknown environment, agent needs to learn how it works in order to perform an action.
- It is quite possible that a known environment to be partially observable and an Unknown environment to be fully observable.

8. Accessible vs. Inaccessible

- If an agent can obtain complete and accurate information about the state's environment, then such an environment is called an Accessible environment else it is called inaccessible.
- An empty room whose state can be defined by its temperature is an example of an accessible environment.
- Information about an event on earth is an example of Inaccessible environment.

Turing Test in AI

In 1950, Alan Turing introduced a test to check whether a machine can think like a human or not, this test is known as the Turing Test. In this test, Turing proposed that the computer can be said to be an intelligent if it can mimic human response under specific conditions.

Turing Test was introduced by Turing in his 1950 paper, "Computing Machinery and Intelligence," which considered the question, "Can Machine think?"

Turing Test

Computer Player A

Human responder Player B

● Question To Respondents
● Answers to Question

Interrogator Player C

The Turing test is based on a party game "Imitation game," with some modifications. This game involves three players in which one player is Computer, another player is human responder, and the third player is a human Interrogator, who is isolated from other two players and his job is to find that which player is machine among two of them.

Consider, Player A is a computer, Player B is human, and Player C is an interrogator. Interrogator is aware that one of them is machine, but he needs to identify this on the basis of questions and their responses.

The conversation between all players is via keyboard and screen so the result would not depend on the machine's ability to convert words as speech.

The test result does not depend on each correct answer, but only how closely its responses like a human answer. The computer is permitted to do everything possible to force a wrong identification by the interrogator.

The questions and answers can be like:

Interrogator: Are you a computer?

PlayerA (Computer): No

Interrogator: Multiply two large numbers such as (256896489*456725896)

Player A: Long pause and give the wrong answer.

In this game, if an interrogator would not be able to identify which is a machine and which is human, then the computer passes the test successfully, and the machine is said to be intelligent and can think like a human.

"In 1991, the New York businessman Hugh Loebner announces the prize competition, offering a $100,000 prize for the first computer to pass the Turing test. However, no AI program to till date, come close to passing an undiluted Turing test".

Chatbots to attempt the Turing test:

ELIZA: ELIZA was a Natural language processing computer program created by Joseph Weizenbaum. It was created to demonstrate the ability of communication between machine and humans. It was one of the first chatterbots, which has attempted the Turing Test.

Parry: Parry was a chatterbot created by Kenneth Colby in 1972. Parry was designed to simulate a person with **Paranoid schizophrenia**(most common chronic mental disorder). Parry was described as "ELIZA with attitude." Parry was tested using a variation of the Turing Test in the early 1970s.

Eugene Goostman: Eugene Goostman was a chatbot developed in Saint Petersburg in 2001. This bot has competed in the various number of Turing Test. In June 2012, at an event, Goostman won the competition promoted as largest-ever Turing test content, in which it has convinced 29% of judges that it was a human. Goostman resembled as a 13-year old virtual boy.

The Chinese Room Argument:

There were many philosophers who really disagreed with the complete concept of Artificial Intelligence. The most famous argument in this list was "**Chinese Room**."

In the year **1980, John Searle** presented "**Chinese Room**" thought experiment, in his paper "**Mind, Brains, and Program**," which was against the validity of Turing's Test. According to his argument, "**Programming a computer may make it to understand a language, but it will not produce a real understanding of language or consciousness in a computer**."

He argued that Machine such as ELIZA and Parry could easily pass the Turing test by manipulating keywords and symbol, but they had no real understanding of language. So it cannot be described as "thinking" capability of a machine such as a human.

Features required for a machine to pass the Turing test:

- **Natural language processing:** NLP is required to communicate with Interrogator in general human language like English.
- **Knowledge representation:** To store and retrieve information during the test.
- **Automated reasoning:** To use the previously stored information for answering the questions.
- **Machine learning:** To adapt new changes and can detect generalized patterns.
- **Vision (For total Turing test):** To recognize the interrogator actions and other objects during a test.
- **Motor Control (For total Turing test):** To act upon objects if requested.