AUC Course: Machine Learning

Solutions to Assignment 2

Vincent Roest, 10904816 vincentr@live.nl

I Worked together with Bas Straathof in my quest to correct solutions

Problem 1:

a: We know that the hypothesis function looks like:

$$h(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \dots \theta_n x_n$$

We can easily rewrite this function as a vectorial expression in this way, with $x_0 = 1$:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This is, in the notation of the question, then:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta^T x$$

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta^{T} x^{(1)} \\ \theta^{T} x^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ \theta^{T} x^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{0} + \theta_{1} x_{1}^{(1)} + \dots + \theta_{n} x_{n}^{(1)} \\ \theta_{0} + \theta_{1} x_{1}^{(2)} + \dots + \theta_{n} x_{n}^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{0} + \theta_{1} x_{1}^{(m)} + \dots + \theta_{n} x_{n}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$

b: We know that the cost function is defined as follows:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$

Now using the vector-notation we found in **a**, we can write this as:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\theta^{T} x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right)^{2}$$

c: We know that the derivative of the cost function with respect to for instance θ_0 is given by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_0} & = & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \left(\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\theta^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right)^2 \right) \\ & = & \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \left(\left(\theta^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right)^2 \right) \\ & = & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\theta^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right) x_0^{(i)} \end{array}$$

Now, the gradient of the cost function is given by:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \left(\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_0} \cdots \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_n}\right)^T$$

Combining the two expressions we found, the gradient of the cost function is given by:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\theta^{T} x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right) x_{0}^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\theta^{T} x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right) x_{n}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$$

d: We know that the update rule for θ_i is defined as:

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

Which was derived from the fact that:

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$$

So combining the results of subquestions **a**, **b** and **c** and the expression above, the update rule for a θ_i can be written in the following vectorial way:

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\theta^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right) x_j^{(i)}$$

e: For the previous questions we were ignoring the jth feature of the ith data set, since I assumed that they are present in the x^i th data vector. In this question, we are asked not to ignore this. Then every vector of x would replaced by a matrix in the form of the following matrix, where n is the total number of the features and m the number of data points. In other words, the

features are on the rows and the examples on the columns. Let's say we have training set of houses, then the 1 feature of house 4 would be on the 4th row in the 1st column:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0^{(1)} & \cdots & x_n^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_0^{(m)} & \cdots & x_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$

However, if we use the matrix notation for X, as described in the above, we have to write for the definition of the hypothesis function:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = X\theta$$

We also have to think as to how we write the vector y:

$$y = (y^{(1)}y^{(2)}\dots y^{(m)})^T$$

. Then, we also get an updated form of the cost function. Matrix squaring is different from scalar squaring in the sense that the square of a matrix is given by the matrix times its transpose. Let us write this for the cost function and simplify:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} J(\theta) & = & \frac{1}{2m} \left(X \cdot \theta - y \right)^T \left(X \cdot \theta - y \right) \\ & = & \frac{1}{2m} \left(\theta^T X^T - y^T \right) \left(X \theta - y \right) \\ & = & \frac{1}{2m} \left(\theta^T X^T X \theta - 2 \theta^T X^T y + y y^T \right) \end{array}$$

Now that we have a different expression for the cost function, vectorial gradient expression with respect to θ from part **c** changes as well:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{m} \left(X^T X \theta - X^T y \right)$$

And finally, the update rule for θ_i in vector notation:

 $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \left(X^T X \theta - X^T y \right)$ where θ is a zero vector except for the j-th element in the vector

Problem 3:

a: The mean μ is defined as:

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i)}{n}$$

where X are the values for the random variables and n is the sample size. So:

$$\mu = \frac{55}{6}$$

The variance is given by:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i - \mu|^2}{n}$$

So, it is the squared distance from each point with respect to the mean divided by the sample size:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{(2 - \frac{55}{6})^2 + (5 - \frac{55}{6})^2 + (7 - \frac{55}{6})^2 + (7 - \frac{55}{6})^2 + (9 - \frac{55}{6})^2 + (25 - \frac{55}{6})^2}{6} = \frac{1973}{36}$$

From the dusty probability book I had lying around somewhere I found that a random variable is Normal distributed if:

$$X N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

So, the normal distribution is simply:

$$X N(\frac{55}{6}, \frac{1973}{36})$$

We could also numerically write the normal distribution now as:

$$f(x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

We can fill this in and find the distribution:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1973}{36}}\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(x-\frac{55}{6})^2}{2\frac{1973}{36}}}$$

b: The last expression comes in very useful for this question, since we have to plug in x = 20 to find our answer:

$$f(20) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1973}{36}\sqrt{2\pi}}} e^{\frac{-(20 - \frac{55}{6})^2}{2\frac{1973}{36}}} \approx 0.018$$

c: The fact that all variables are identically distributed means that their joint probability can be written as:

$$f_{X_1...X_6}(x_1,...x_6) = f_{X_1}(x_1) \cdot ... \cdot f_{X_6}(x_6)$$

Now we can easily calculate the values for the densities, just as we did in part b. For instance:

$$f_{X_1}(2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1973}{36}}\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(2-\frac{55}{6})^2}{2\frac{1973}{36}}} \approx 0.034$$

We do the same for i = 2..n and find the following values: $f_{X_2}(5) = 0.046, f_{X_3}(7) \approx 0.052, f_{X_4}(7) \approx 0.052, f_{X_5}(9) \approx 0.054$ and $f_{X_6}(25) \approx 0.0055$. We find the following probability:

$$f_{X_1...X_6}(x_1,...x_6) = 0.034 \cdot 0.046 \cdot 0.052 \cdot 0.052 \cdot 0.054 \cdot 0.0055 \approx 1.26 \cdot 10^{-9}$$

- d: From the last equation, we can already see that X = 25 has a smaller (order 10 smaller) probability than the rest of the points in the data set. Because X = 8, which replaces the value 25 in the second set, is closer to the sample mean, it will have a higher probability than X = 25, so the total joint probability will be larger. In short: $f_X(8) > f_X(25)$, so the joint probability of the second data set will be higher than that of the first data set.
- **e:** The covariance between X and Y is given by:

$$cov(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - \mu_X)(Y_i - \mu_Y)}{n}$$

We know the mean for the X was $\mu_X = \frac{55}{6}$. And using the formula below we can also determine the mean for Y:

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i)}{n} = \frac{65}{12}$$

Now we have everything to fill in the formula for the covariance:

$$cov(X,Y) \approx \frac{(-\frac{43}{6})(-\frac{17}{12}) + (-\frac{25}{6})(-\frac{17}{12}) + (-\frac{13}{6})(-\frac{5}{12}) + (-\frac{13}{6})(\frac{7}{12}) + (-\frac{1}{6})(\frac{31}{12}) + (\frac{95}{6})(\frac{55}{12})}{6} \approx 14.64$$

f: The difference between the MSE and the covariance is that the MSE measures the mean of the squared errors of 1 data set i.e. the vertical spread around the linear regression line, whereas the covariance measures the dependency of two different on each other. A similarity might be that they are both dependent on the data size and on the mean of their data. They measure a different thing, but are related through their components so to say.