Algorithm Design and Analysis

Vinsong

September 15, 2025

Abstract

The lecture note of 2025 Fall Algorithm Design and Analysis by professor 呂學一. 希望我可以活著度過這學期～～～～

Contents

Chapter 0

Introduction

Lecture 1

4 Sep. 14:20

0.1 Design and Analysis

0.1.1 Design

Remark. **Find the point to cut into the problem.**

Question (Coffee and Milk). 把 500 毫升的咖啡倒入 10 毫升，再從 510 毫升牛奶咖啡取 10 毫升倒入 490 毫升牛奶中，試問兩邊比例？

Answer. 兩邊都固定 500 毫升，一邊少的必定出現在另一邊，**切入點對了根本不用計算** ⊛

0.1.2 Analysis

Question (Card). 把牌洗亂（平均）需要幾次？

Note. **定義何為亂？**
排列出現機率皆為

$$\frac{1}{52!}$$

七次是充分必要條件（嚴謹分析 on paper）n card should shuffle $\frac{3}{2}\log_2 n + \theta$ times. ⊛

Definition 0.1.1 (亂). With n-cards, we have to let the probbability of every combination become

$$\frac{1}{n!}$$

Question (Top-in shuffle). Consider Top-in shuffle with the cards. How to get it "randomly" ?

Answer. Define the k-th section to be 初始底牌從底下數上來是 k-th card.

1. bottom $k-1$ cards must be 亂
2. 每次都可以用 n/k 次將他洗亂，因為出現機率皆為 k/n

We can shuffle $n \cdot H_n$ times. ⊛

Theorem 0.1.1. 底下 $k-1$ 張卡片永遠是亂的

Proof. 考慮 top-in shuffle，利用數學歸納法

- 第一輪要插入底牌下方，只有 1 個空隙，因此必須插入，因此插入的機率是

$$\frac{1}{1!}$$

- 底下如果有 k 張牌，假設下面 k 張是亂的，表示他的排列 $k!$ 種，每種順序機率都是

$$\frac{1}{k!}$$

- 再插入一張，共有 $k+1$ 個空隙，排起來每種順序出現的機率為

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} = \frac{1}{(k+1)!}$$

符合亂的定義

■

第 k 階段插入到下面都是從 n 個空隙裡面找到 k 個空隙插入，因此出現機率必定為 $\frac{k}{n}$，因此需要 shuffle 次數為

$$\frac{n}{k}$$

接著考慮第 n 階段，底牌不是亂的，因此要再洗一次，因此最終的和為

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{i} = n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} = n \cdot H_n$$

Note. choose another card to be "bottom", 可以減少第一次的 $1/n$ 就可以少 $n/1$ 次 shuffle. 因此可以把次數減少為：

$$n \cdot H_n - n$$

Remark. **簡單的分析點交換就可以造成巨大的影響**

0.2 Jargons

Definition 0.2.1 (Problems). 「問題」(Problem) 是一個對應關係，就是一個函數

- 演算法核心是在探討問題的解決難易度
- 有些問題確定很難，就不用妄想想出簡單演算法

Definition 0.2.2 (Instance). 「個例」(instance)，也就是問題的合法輸入

Definition 0.2.3 (Computation Model). 「計算模型」(Computation Model)，也就是遊戲規則，同個問題在不同的規則下可能難易度不同

- Comparision base & Computation base

Definition 0.2.4 (Algorithm). 「演算法」Algorithm is a detail step-by-step instruction

- 符合規則
- 詳細步驟

Definition 0.2.5 (Hardness). 「難度」(Hardness)，想知道一個「**問題**」有多難解，用最厲害的一個「**解法**」，對於每個「**個例**」，都至少要用多少「**工夫**」才能解完

- 魔方問題：對於所有解法，存在至少一個初始 instance 讓解法需要 20 次才能轉完，切入點是找到一個固定的初始狀態，這是一個已經最佳化的問題

Theorem 0.2.1 (Confirm Hardness). 用 **upper bound** 和 **lower bound** 去夾起來決定難度

- 當 **upper bound** = **lower bound** 的時候，我們才知道問題的確切難度
- 有些情況，就算夾起來也不一定可以確定難度

Proof.



■

Note. 我們在這門課都討論 worst case

Chapter 1

Complexity for a Problem

Lecture 2

1.1 函數成長率 (Rate of Growth)

11 Sep. 13:20

Question (棋癡國王與文武大臣). 國王愛下棋，文武大臣要獎賞

- 武大臣每下一個棋子，獎賞多一袋米，起始為一袋米
- 文大臣每下一個棋子，獎賞雙倍，起始為一粒米

Answer. 棋盤 64 格

- 武大臣：n 袋米
- 文大臣：2^n 粒米

2^n 的成長率遠遠高於 n，單位的影響不及成長率 ⊛

1.2 成長率的比較

Note. 雖然 200 年前就有 Asymptotic Notation 的概念，但直到 1970 年代才被演算法分析之父 Donald Ervin Knuth 正式定義到 CS 領域內。

Question (Why Asymptotic Notation). 為什麼要用 Asymptotic Notation ?

Answer. 問題難度通常單位不一致

- $n = 3$ 魔方問題要 20 轉
- n 個信封的老大問題要 $n - 1$ 次比較

兩者難度無法比較 ⊛

Definition 1.2.1 (Rate of Growth). 沒有人有明確定義，但是成長率很好比較，有很多東西也是無法定義但可以比較，e.g. 無限集合可以比大小。

1.3 Big Oh Notation

Definition 1.3.1 (Big Oh Notation). For functions $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

to satisfy the extistence of positive constants c and n_0 such that the inequality

$$0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

holds for all integer $n \geq n_0$.

Note. $f(n), g(n)$ should be non-negative for sufficiently large n.

The definition of

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

says that there exist a positive constant c such that the value of $f(n)$ is upper-bounded by $c \cdot g(n)$ for all sufficiently large positive n.

Remark. 因此 $O(g(n))$ 可以理解成一個成長率不高過 g 的函數所成的集合

1.3.1 等號左邊也有 Big-Oh

Definition 1.3.2. The equality $O(g(n)) = O(h(n))$ signifies that

$$f(n) = O(h(n))$$

holds for all functions $f(n)$ with

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

i.e. $O(g(n)) = O(h(n))$ signifies that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ implies $f(n) = O(h(n))$.

The equality $=$ in $O(g(n)) = O(h(n))$ is more like $\subseteq$, i.e., $O(g(n)) \subseteq O(h(n))$.

Theorem 1.3.1. $O(g(n)) = O(h(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = O(h(n))$.

Proof. Consider the two directions separately.

- For the ($\Rightarrow$) case: For $f(n) = O(g(n))$ signifies that there exist positive constants c_1 and n_1 such that
$$0 \leq f(n) \leq c_1 \cdot g(n), \ \forall n \geq n_1$$
For $f(n) = O(h(n))$ signifies that there exist positive constants c_2 and n_2 such that
$$0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot h(n), \ \forall n \geq n_2$$

- For the ($\Leftarrow$) case:

  > **As previously seen** (Definition 1.3.1).
  >
  > $$g(n) = O(h(n)) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists\, c_1, n_1 > 0, \ \forall n \geq n_1, \ 0 \leq g(n) \leq c_1 \cdot h(n)$$

  Let f be the function such that $f(n) = O(g(n))$. Then, by definition, we can deduce that
  $$\exists\, c_2, n_2 > 0, \ \forall n \geq n_2, \ 0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n).$$

Assume $n \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Then, we have

$$0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n) \leq c_2 \cdot (c_1 \cdot h(n)) = (c_1 c_2) \cdot h(n).$$

Thus, we can conclude that

$$f(n) = O(g(n)) = O(h(n))$$

Hence,

$$O(g(n)) = O(h(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = O(h(n)).$$

■

1.4 Big-Oh 的運算

Question. 所以，Big-Oh 相加的意思是什麼？

Definition 1.4.1 (Big-Oh Addition). The equality

$$O(g_1(n)) + O(g_2(n)) = O(h(n))$$

signifies that the equality

$$f_1(n) + f_2(n) = O(h(n))$$

holds for any functions $f_1(n)$ and $f_1(n)$ with

$$f_1(n) = O(g_1(n))$$

$$f_2(n) = O(g_2(n)).$$

That is, $f_1(n) = O(g_1(n))$ and $f_2(n) = O(g_2(n))$ together imply $f_1(n) + f_2(n) = O(h(n))$.

Remark. 雖然 $O(g_1(n)) + O(g_2(n))$ 看起來像是兩個集合的聯集，但相同集合想法**無法帶到減乘除**。

Definition 1.4.2 (Big-Oh $\circ$). The equality

$$O(g_1(n)) \circ O(g_2(n)) = O(h(n))$$

$$g_1(n) \circ O(g_2(n)) = O(h(n))$$

集合的復合操作

Notation.

$$\{f_1(n) \circ f_2(n) \mid f_1(n) \in S_1 \text{ and } f_2(n) \in S_2\}$$

可以被理解成

- 把 $=$ 解成 $\subseteq$
- 把 $g_1(n)$ 理解成 $\{g_1\}$
- $O(g1(n))$ 解為成長率不超過 g_1 的成長率的所有函數所組成的集合

Remark. 減乘除應被理解成與剛剛加法類似的模式，而無法被理解為集合的運算

Definition 1.4.3 (Big-Oh $-$, $\cdot$, $/$)**.** (Take $-$ as the example) The equality

$$O(g_1(n)) - O(g_2(n)) = O(h(n))$$

signifies the equality

$$f_1(n) - f_2(n) = O(h(n))$$

holds for any functions $f_1(n)$ and $f_2(n)$ with

$$f_1(n) = O(g_1(n))$$

$$f_2(n) = O(g_2(n))$$

Question. Proof or disproof:

$$O(n)^{O(\log_2 n)} = O(2^n)$$

Answer. First, we take log on both sides:

$$\text{LHS} = O(\log n) \cdot O(\log n) = (O(\log n))^2$$

$$\text{RHS} = O(n)$$

LHS grows slower than RHS, therefore the original statement is true. ⊛

Remark. log 的底數不影響成長率，因此可忽略。

Definition 1.4.4 (Big-Oh 套 Big-Oh)**.** The equality

$$O(O(g(n))) = O(h(n))$$

signifies that the equality

$$O(f(n)) = O(h(n))$$

holds for any function f with

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

i.e. $f(n) = O(g(n))$ implies $O(f(n)) = O(h(n))$.

Theorem 1.4.1. $g(n) = O(h(n))$ if and only if $O(O(g(n))) = O(h(n))$

Proof. Consider the two directions separately.

- For the ($\Rightarrow$) case:

  > **As previously seen** (Definition 1.3.1).
  >
  > $$g(n) = O(h(n)) \implies \exists\, c_0, n_0 > 0,\ \forall n \geq n_0,\ 0 \leq g(n) \leq c_0 \cdot h(n)$$

  $f(n) = O(O(g(n)))$ signifies that for $c_1, c_2, n_1, n_2 > 0$

  $$\forall n \geq n_1,\ 0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot u(n); \quad \forall n \geq n_2,\ 0 \leq u(n) \leq c_1 \cdot g(n)$$

  Get all together, we have

  $$0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot (c_1 \cdot g(n)) \leq c_2 c_1 c_0 \cdot h(n) \quad \implies \quad f(n) = O(h(n))$$

Thus, we can conclude that

$$O(O(g(n))) = O(h(n))$$

- (??????????????????) For the ($\Leftarrow$) case: We know that $f(n) = O(O(g(n)))$ signifies that for $c_1, c_2, n_1, n_2 > 0$

$$\forall n \geq n_1,\ 0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot u(n); \quad \forall n \geq n_2,\ 0 \leq u(n) \leq c_1 \cdot g(n)$$

, $f(n) = O(h(n))$ signifies that for $c_3, n_3 > 0$

$$\forall n \geq n_3,\ 0 \leq f(n) \leq c_3 \cdot h(n)$$

(??????????????????)

■

Theorem 1.4.2 (Rules of Computation in Big-Oh)**.** The following statements hold for functions $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that there is a constant n_0 such that $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ for any integer $n \geq n_0$:

- **Rule 1**: $f(n) = O(f(n))$.
- **Rule 2**: If c is a positive constant, then $c \cdot f(n) = O(f(n))$.
- **Rule 3**: $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if and only if $O(f(n)) = O(g(n))$.
- **Rule 4**: $O(f(n)) \cdot O(g(n)) = O(f(n) \cdot g(n))$.
- **Rule 5**: $O(f(n) \cdot g(n)) = f(n) \cdot O(g(n))$

Proof. For **Rule 5**: By the Definition 1.3.1 ■

1.5 More Asymptotic Notation