Practical Session 04

Linear Classification

1 Naive Bayes

Problem 1: In LDA we assume that all classes share the same covariance matrix Σ . The Naive Bayes classifier assumes that all d features of a sample $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ are conditionally independent given the class, i.e.

$$p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_d|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i|y)$$

In the case of continuous data where the likelihood is a normal distribution, this corresponds to **diagonal** covariance matrices that however are different for each class (not shared). The generative process is thus:

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{c}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_c)$$

Show that using different Σ_c 's for each class leads to quadratic decision boundaries.

For simplicity, let's consider a binary classification problem $C = \{0, 1\}$. Decision boundary DB can be defined as a set of points, for which the probabilities of both classes are equal. More formally we can write this as

$$DB = \{ x : p(y = 1 \mid x) = p(y = 0 \mid x) \}$$

Assuming that $p(y = c \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \neq 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x}, c$

$$DB = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \frac{p(y=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{p(y=0 \mid \boldsymbol{x})} = 1 \}$$
$$= \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \ln \frac{p(y=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{p(y=0 \mid \boldsymbol{x})} = 0 \}$$

This means that finding the decision boundary is equivalent to solving $\ln \frac{p(y=1|x)}{p(y=0|x)} = 0$ for x.

For Gaussian discriminant analysis with $p(x \mid y = c) = \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu_c, \Sigma_c)$

$$\ln \frac{p(y=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{p(y=0 \mid \boldsymbol{x})} = \ln \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y=1)p(y=1)p(\boldsymbol{x})}{p(\boldsymbol{x})p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y=0)p(y=0)}$$

$$= \ln (p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y=1)p(y=1)) - \ln (p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y=0)p(y=0))$$

$$= \ln \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}) - \ln \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}) + \ln \frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{0}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi)^{D}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}| - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi)^{D}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}| + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}) + \ln \frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{0}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\ln \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}|} + \ln \frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{0}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^{T}[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}]\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x}^{T}[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} + \log \frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{0}} + \frac{1}{2}\ln \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}|}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{W}_{2}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{w}_{0}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{W}_{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} \right] \\ \boldsymbol{w}_{1} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \\ w_{0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} + \log \frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{0}} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}|} \end{aligned}$$

If both classes had the same covariance matrix $(\Sigma_0 = \Sigma_1)$, the quadratic terms $\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \Sigma_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \Sigma_1^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}$ would cancel out and we would receive the linear decision boundary, like we did in the lecture (also, $\ln \frac{|\Sigma_0|}{|\Sigma_1|} = 0$). Otherwise, if $\Sigma_0 \neq \Sigma_1$ we get a quadratic decision boundary.

Derivation for the multiclass C > 2 setting is analogous, but more messy, so we leave it out.

2 Multi-Class Classification

Problem 2: Consider a generative classification model for C classes defined by prior class probabilities $p(y=c) = \pi_c$ and general class-conditional densities $p(\boldsymbol{x}|y=c,\boldsymbol{\theta}_c)$ where \boldsymbol{x} is the input feature vector and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C$ are further model parameters. Suppose we are given a training set $\mathcal{D} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^N$ where $y^{(n)}$ is a binary target vector of length C that uses the 1-of-C(one-hot) encoding scheme, so that it has components $y_c^{(n)} = \delta_{ck}$ if pattern n is from class y = k. Assuming that the data points are iid, show

that the maximum-likelihood solution for the prior probabilities is given by

$$\pi_c = \frac{N_c}{N}$$

where N_c is the number of data points assigned to class y = c.

The likelihood function of the parameters $\{\pi_c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C$ is given by

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\{\pi_c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^C (p(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_c)\pi_c)^{y_c^{(n)}}$$

so the log-likelihood is given by

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\pi_c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C y_c^{(n)} \log \pi_c + \text{const in } \pi_c$$

We can rephrase π_C of the last class:

$$\pi_C = 1 - \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} \pi_c$$

leading to

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\pi_c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} y_c^{(n)} \log \pi_c + y_C^{(n)} \log \left[1 - \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} \pi_c\right] + \text{const in } \pi_c$$

Setting the derivative with respect to π_c to zero we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\pi_c} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} - \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{C} \pi_i} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_C^N$$

Plugging in what we are supposed to show, i.e. $\pi_c = \frac{N_c}{N}$ we find that the equality holds:

$$N = \sum_{c=1}^{C} N_c,$$

ending the proof.

Problem 3: Using the same classification model as in the previous question, now suppose that the class-conditional densities are given by Gaussian distributions with a shared covariance matrix, so that

$$p(x|y=c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c) = p(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_c) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$

Show that the maximum likelihood solution for the mean of the Gaussian distribution for class C_c is given

by

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_c = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\{n | \boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} \in C_c\}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}$$

which represents the mean of those feature vectors assigned to class C_c .

Similarly, show that the maximum likelihood solution for the shared covariance matrix is given by

$$\Sigma = \sum_{c=1}^{C} \frac{N_c}{N} \mathbf{S}_c$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_c = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\{n | \boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} \in C_c\}} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c) (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^T.$$

Thus Σ is given by a weighted average of the covariances of the data associated with each class, in which the weighting coefficients N_c/N are the prior probabilities of the classes.

If we substitute $p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_c) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_c, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ into $\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\pi_c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C)$ and then use the definition of the multivariate Gaussian, we obtain

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\pi_c, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} (\log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c) + \log \pi_c)$$

Dropping terms independent of μ_c and Σ we get

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} (\log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c))$$

Setting the derivative of the above equation w.r.t μ_c , (obtained using $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^T a) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(a^T x) = a$), i.e. using

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c}}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c}) \\ = &\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c}} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c} \right) \\ = &- 2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x} + 2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c} \\ = &- 2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c}) \end{split}$$

to zero we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} \Sigma^{-1} (x^{(n)} - \mu_c) = 0.$$

Making use of what we learned in the last problem, i.e.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} = N_c,$$

we can re-arrange this to obtain

$$\mu_c = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} x^{(n)}.$$

Using the trace trick $(a = \text{Tr}(a) \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{ABC}) = \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{BCA}))$ we can rewrite our original expression $\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C y_c^{(n)} (\log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c))$ as

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_c\}_{c=1}^C) = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} (\log |\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^T).$$

We can now use $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} Tr(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B}^T$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \ln |\mathbf{A}| = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T$ and $\ln |\mathbf{A}^{-1}| = -\ln |\mathbf{A}|$ to calculate the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$. Setting this to zero we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_c^{(n)} (\mathbf{\Sigma} - (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c) (\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)^T) = 0.$$

Making use of $\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_c^{(n)} = N_c$, we can re-arrange this to obtain

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sum_{c=1}^{C} \frac{N_c}{N} \mathbf{S}_c$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_{c} = \frac{1}{N_{c}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_{c}^{(n)} (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c}) (\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{c})^{T}.$$

Note that we do not enforce that Σ should be symmetric, but the solution shows that it is automatically symmetric.

Problem 4: Error measures for classification

- ROC curve and AUC
- PR curve and AUC a.k.a. average precision

References

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Confusion_matrix
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Precision_and_recall
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Receiver_operating_characteristic

- Interactive demo for ROC curves http://www.navan.name/roc/
- \bullet PR $\rm AUC$ vs ROC AUC https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/7207/roc-vs-precision-and-recall-curves
- http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/model_evaluation.html