

```
In [1]: 1 import Pkg
2 Pkg.activate(@__DIR__)
3 Pkg.instantiate()
4 using LinearAlgebra, Plots; plotly()
5 import ForwardDiff as FD
6 import MeshCat as mc
7 using Test
```

Activating environment at `C:\Users\athar\Desktop\test\HW1\_S23-main\Project.toml`  
 Warning: For saving to png with the `Plotly` backend `PlotlyBase` and `PlotlyKaleido` need to be installed.  
 err =  
 ArgumentError: Package PlotlyBase not found in current path:  
 - Run `import Pkg; Pkg.add("PlotlyBase")` to install the PlotlyBase package.  
 @ Plots C:\Users\athar\.julia\packages\Plots\QZRtR\src\backends.jl:552

## Julia Warnings

Just like Python, Julia lets you do the following:

```
In [2]: 1 let
2     x = [1,2,3]
3     @show x
4     y = x # NEVER DO THIS, EDITING ONE WILL NOW EDIT BOTH
5
6     y[3] = 100 # this will now modify both y and x
7     x[1] = 300 # this will now modify both y and x
8
9     @show y
10    @show x
11 end
```

```
x = [1, 2, 3]
y = [300, 2, 100]
x = [300, 2, 100]
```

```
Out[2]: 3-element Vector{Int64}:
 300
   2
 100
```

```
In [3]: 1 # to avoid this, here are two alternatives
2 let
3     x = [1,2,3]
4     @show x
5
6     y1 = 1*x           # this is fine
7     y2 = deepcopy(x)  # this is also fine
8
9     x[2] = 200 # only edits x
10    y1[1] = 400 # only edits y1
11    y2[3] = 100 # only edits y2
12
13    @show x
14    @show y1
15    @show y2
16 end
```

```
x = [1, 2, 3]
x = [1, 200, 3]
y1 = [400, 2, 3]
y2 = [1, 2, 100]
```

```
Out[3]: 3-element Vector{Int64}:
  1
  2
 100
```

## Optional function arguments

We can have optional keyword arguments for functions in Julia, like the following:

```

In [4]: 1  ## optional arguments in functions
        2
        3  # we can have functions with optional arguments after a ; that have default values
        4  let
        5      function f1(a, b; c=4, d=5)
        6          @show a,b,c,d
        7      end
        8
        9      f1(1,2)           # this means c and d will take on default value
       10      f1(1,2;c = 100,d = 2) # specify c and d
       11      f1(1,2;d = -30)     # or we can only specify one of them
       12  end

```

```

(a, b, c, d) = (1, 2, 4, 5)
(a, b, c, d) = (1, 2, 100, 2)
(a, b, c, d) = (1, 2, 4, -30)

```

```
Out[4]: (1, 2, 4, -30)
```

## Q1: Integration (20 pts)

In this question we are going to integrate the equations of motion for a double pendulum using multiple explicit and implicit integrators. We will write a generic simulation function for each of the two categories (explicit and implicit), and compare 6 different integrators.

The continuous time dynamics of the cartpole are written as a function:

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

In the code you will see `xdot = dynamics(params, x)`.

### Part A (10 pts): Explicit Integration

Here we are going to implement the following explicit integrators:

- Forward Euler (explicit)
- Midpoint (explicit)
- RK4 (explicit)

```

In [5]: 1 # these two functions are given, no TODO's here
2 function double_pendulum_dynamics(params::NamedTuple, x::Vector)
3     # continuous time dynamics for a double pendulum given state x,
4     # also known as the "equations of motion".
5     # returns the time derivative of the state,  $\dot{x}$  (dx/dt)
6
7     # the state is the following:
8      $\theta_1, \dot{\theta}_1, \theta_2, \dot{\theta}_2 = x$ 
9
10    # system parameters
11    m1, m2, L1, L2, g = params.m1, params.m2, params.L1, params.L2, params.g
12
13    # dynamics
14    c = cos( $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ )
15    s = sin( $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ )
16
17     $\dot{x} = [$ 
18         $\dot{\theta}_1;$ 
19         $(m_2 * g * \sin(\theta_2) * c - m_2 * s * (L_1 * c * \dot{\theta}_1^2 + L_2 * \dot{\theta}_2^2) - (m_1 + m_2) * g * \sin(\theta_1)) / (L_1 * (m_1 + m_2 * s^2));$ 
20         $\dot{\theta}_2;$ 
21         $((m_1 + m_2) * (L_1 * \dot{\theta}_1^2 * s - g * \sin(\theta_2) + g * \sin(\theta_1) * c) + m_2 * L_2 * \dot{\theta}_2^2 * s * c) / (L_2 * (m_1 + m_2 * s^2));$ 
22    ]
23
24    return  $\dot{x}$ 
25 end
26 function double_pendulum_energy(params::NamedTuple, x::Vector)::Real
27     # calculate the total energy (kinetic + potential) of a double pendulum given a state x
28
29
30    # the state is the following:
31     $\theta_1, \dot{\theta}_1, \theta_2, \dot{\theta}_2 = x$ 
32
33    # system parameters
34    m1, m2, L1, L2, g = params.m1, params.m2, params.L1, params.L2, params.g
35
36    # cartesian positions/velocities of the masses
37    r1 = [L1 * sin( $\theta_1$ ), 0, -params.L1 * cos( $\theta_1$ ) + 2]
38    r2 = r1 + [params.L2 * sin( $\theta_2$ ), 0, -params.L2 * cos( $\theta_2$ )]
39    v1 = [L1 *  $\dot{\theta}_1$  * cos( $\theta_1$ ), 0, L1 *  $\dot{\theta}_1$  * sin( $\theta_1$ )]
40    v2 = v1 + [L2 *  $\dot{\theta}_2$  * cos( $\theta_2$ ), 0, L2 *  $\dot{\theta}_2$  * sin( $\theta_2$ )]
41
42    # energy calculation
43    kinetic = 0.5 * (m1 * v1' * v1 + m2 * v2' * v2)
44    potential = m1 * g * r1[3] + m2 * g * r2[3]
45    return kinetic + potential
46 end

```

Out[5]: double\_pendulum\_energy (generic function with 1 method)

Now we are going to simulate this double pendulum by integrating the equations of motion with the simplest explicit integrator, the Forward Euler method:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \Delta t \cdot f(x_k) \quad \text{Forward Euler (explicit)}$$

```

In [6]: 1 """
2     x_{k+1} = forward_euler(params, dynamics, x_k, dt)
3
4     Given  $\dot{x} = \text{dynamics}(\text{params}, x)$ , take in the current state  $x$  and integrate it forward  $\Delta t$ 
5     using Forward Euler method.
6     """
7     function forward_euler(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, x::Vector, dt::Real)::Vector
8          $\dot{x} = \text{dynamics}(\text{params}, x)$ 
9         # TODO: implement forward euler
10        # error("forward euler not implemented")
11        return x +  $\dot{x} \cdot dt$ 
12    end

```

Out[6]: forward\_euler

```

In [7]: 1 include(joinpath(@__DIR__, "animation.jl"))
2
3 let
4
5     # parameters for the simulation
6     params = (
7         m1 = 1.0,
8         m2 = 1.0,
9         L1 = 1.0,
10        L2 = 1.0,
11        g = 9.8
12    )
13
14    # initial condition
15    x0 = [pi/1.6; 0; pi/1.8; 0]
16
17    # time step size (s)
18    dt = 0.01
19    tf = 30.0
20    t_vec = 0:dt:tf
21    N = length(t_vec)
22
23    # store the trajectory in a vector of vectors
24    X = [zeros(4) for i = 1:N]
25    X[1] = 1*x0
26
27    # TODO: simulate the double pendulum with `forward_euler`
28    # X[k] = `x_k`, so X[k+1] = forward_euler(params, double_pendulum_dynamics, X[k], dt)
29
30    for k in 1:N-1
31        X[k+1] = forward_euler(params, double_pendulum_dynamics, X[k], dt)
32    end
33
34    # calculate energy
35    E = [double_pendulum_energy(params,x) for x in X]
36
37    @show @test norm(X[end]) > 1e-10 # make sure all X's were updated
38    @show @test 2 < (E[end]/E[1]) < 3 # energy should be increasing
39
40    # plot state history, energy history, and animate it
41    display(plot(t_vec, hcat(X...)', xlabel = "time (s)", label = ["θ1" "θ1 dot" "θ2" "θ2 dot"]))
42    display(plot(t_vec, E, xlabel = "time (s)", ylabel = "energy (J)"))
43    meshcat_animate(params,X,dt,N)
44
45
46 end
47
48

```

```


#= In[7]:37 == @test(norm(X[end]) > 1.0e-10) = Test Passed


```

```

#= In[7]:38 == @test(2 < E[end] / E[1] < 3) = Test Passed


```





[ Info: MeshCat server started. You can open the visualizer by visiting the following URL in your browser:  
<http://127.0.0.1:8713>

Out[7]:



Now let's implement the next two integrators:

**Midpoint:**

$$x_m = x_k + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \cdot f(x_k)$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \Delta t \cdot f(x_m)$$

**RK4:**

$$k_1 = \Delta t \cdot f(x_k)$$

$$k_2 = \Delta t \cdot f(x_k + k_1/2)$$

$$k_3 = \Delta t \cdot f(x_k + k_2/2)$$

$$k_4 = \Delta t \cdot f(x_k + k_3)$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + (1/6) \cdot (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

```
In [8]: 1 function midpoint(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, x::Vector, dt::Real)::Vector
2         # TODO: implement explicit midpoint
3         # error("midpoint not implemented")
4         x_k = dynamics(params, x)
5         x_m = x + dt * x_k / 2
6         return x + dynamics(params, x_m) .* dt
7     end
8 function rk4(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, x::Vector, dt::Real)::Vector
9         # TODO: implement RK4
10        # error("rk4 not implemented")
11        k1 = dynamics(params, x) .* dt
12        k2 = dynamics(params, x + k1 / 2) .* dt
13        k3 = dynamics(params, x + k2 / 2) .* dt
14        k4 = dynamics(params, x + k3) .* dt
15        return x + (k1 + 2*k2 + 2*k3 + k4) / 6
16    end
```

Out[8]: rk4 (generic function with 1 method)

```
In [9]: 1 function simulate_explicit(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, integrator::Function, x0::Vector, dt::Real, tf::Real)
2         # TODO: update this function to simulate dynamics forward
3         # with the given explicit integrator
4
5
6         # take in
7         t_vec = 0:dt:tf
8         N = length(t_vec)
9         X = [zeros(length(x0)) for i = 1:N]
10        X[1] = x0
11
12        # TODO: simulate X forward
13        for k in 1:N-1
14            X[k+1] = integrator(params, dynamics, X[k], dt)
15        end
16
17        # return state history X and energy E
18        E = [double_pendulum_energy(params, x) for x in X]
19        return X, E
20    end
```

Out[9]: simulate\_explicit (generic function with 1 method)

```
In [10]: 1 # initial condition
2 const x0 = [pi/1.6; 0; pi/1.8; 0]
3
4 const params = (
5     m1 = 1.0,
6     m2 = 1.0,
7     L1 = 1.0,
8     L2 = 1.0,
9     g = 9.8
10 )
```

Out[10]: (m1 = 1.0, m2 = 1.0, L1 = 1.0, L2 = 1.0, g = 9.8)

## Part B (10 pts): Implicit Integrators

Explicit integrators work by calling a function with  $x_k$  and  $\Delta t$  as arguments, and returning  $x_{k+1}$  like this:

$$x_{k+1} = f_{\text{explicit}}(x_k, \Delta t)$$

Implicit integrators on the other hand have the following relationship between the state at  $x_k$  and  $x_{k+1}$ :

$$f_{\text{implicit}}(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Delta t) = 0$$

This means that if we want to get  $x_{k+1}$  from  $x_k$ , we have to solve for a  $x_{k+1}$  that satisfies the above equation. This is a rootfinding problem in  $x_{k+1}$  (our unknown), so we just have to use Newton's method.

Here are the three implicit integrators we are looking at, the first being Backward Euler (1st order):

$$f(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Delta t) = x_k + \Delta t \cdot \dot{x}_{k+1} - x_{k+1} = 0 \quad \text{Backward Euler}$$

Implicit Midpoint (2nd order)

$$x_{k+1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k+1})$$

$$f(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Delta t) = x_k + \Delta t \cdot \dot{x}_{k+1/2} - x_{k+1} = 0 \quad \text{Implicit Midpoint}$$

Hermite Simpson (3rd order)

$$x_{k+1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(x_k + x_{k+1}) + \frac{\Delta t}{8}(\dot{x}_k - \dot{x}_{k+1})$$

$$f(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Delta t) = x_k + \frac{\Delta t}{6} \cdot (\dot{x}_k + 4\dot{x}_{k+1/2} + \dot{x}_{k+1}) - x_{k+1} = 0 \quad \text{Hermite-Simpson}$$

When you implement these integrators, you will update the functions such that they take in a dynamics function,  $x_k$  and  $x_{k+1}$ , and return the residuals described above. We are NOT solving these yet, we are simply returning the residuals for each implicit integrator that we want to be 0.

```
In [11]: 1 # since these are explicit integrators, these function will return the residuals described above
2 # NOTE: we are NOT solving anything here, simply return the residuals
3 function backward_euler(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, x1::Vector, x2::Vector, dt::Real)::Vector
4 #     error("backward euler not implemented")
5     return x1 + dt .* dynamics(params, x2) - x2
6 end
7 function implicit_midpoint(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, x1::Vector, x2::Vector, dt::Real)::Vector
8 #     error("implicit midpoint not implemented")
9     x_mid = (x1 + x2) / 2
10    return x1 + dt .* dynamics(params, x_mid) - x2
11 end
12 function hermite_simpson(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, x1::Vector, x2::Vector, dt::Real)::Vector
13 #     error("hermite simpson not implemented")
14     xk_dot = dynamics(params, x1)
15     xk1_dot = dynamics(params, x2)
16
17     xk_half = 0.5 * (x1 + x2) + dt .* (xk_dot - xk1_dot) / 8
18     xk_half_dot = dynamics(params, xk_half)
19     return x1 + dt .* (xk_dot + 4 * xk_half_dot + xk1_dot) / 6 - x2
20 end
```

Out[11]: hermite\_simpson (generic function with 1 method)

```
In [12]: 1 # TODO
2 # this function takes in a dynamics function, implicit integrator function, and x1
3 # and uses Newton's method to solve for an x2 that satisfies the implicit integration equations
4 # that we wrote about in the functions above
5 function implicit_integrator_solve(params::NamedTuple, dynamics::Function, implicit_integrator::Function, x1::Vector, dt::Real)
6
7     # initialize guess
8     x2 = 1*x1
9
10    # TODO: use Newton's method to solve for x2 such that residual for the integrator is 0
11    # DO NOT USE A WHILE LOOP
12
13    for i = 1:max_iters
14        # TODO: return x2 when the norm of the residual is below tol
15
16        xn = implicit_integrator(params, dynamics, x1, x2, dt)
17        Δx = - FD.jacobian(x2 -> implicit_integrator(params, dynamics, x1, x2, dt), x2) \ xn
18        x2 = x2 + Δx
19
20        if norm(xn) < tol
21            return x2
22        end
23    end
24    error("implicit integrator solve failed")
25 end
```

Out[12]: implicit\_integrator\_solve (generic function with 1 method)

```
In [13]: 1 @testset "implicit integrator check" begin
2
3     dt = 1e-1
4     x1 = [.1, .2, .3, .4]
5
6     for integrator in [backward_euler, implicit_midpoint, hermite_simpson]
7         println("-----testing $integrator -----")
8         x2 = implicit_integrator_solve(params, double_pendulum_dynamics, integrator, x1, dt)
9         @test norm(integrator(params, double_pendulum_dynamics, x1, x2, dt)) < 1e-10
10     end
11
12 end
```

```
-----testing backward_euler -----
-----testing implicit_midpoint -----
-----testing hermite_simpson -----
Test Summary: | Pass Total
implicit integrator check | 3 3
```


Out[13]: Test.DefaultTestSet("implicit integrator check", Any[], 3, false, false)

```
In [14]: 1 function simulate_implicit(params::NamedTuple,dynamics::Function,implicit_integrator::Function,x0::Vector,dt::Real,tf::Real;
2         t_vec = 0:dt:tf
3         N = length(t_vec)
4         X = [zeros(length(x0)) for i = 1:N]
5         X[1] = x0
6
7         # TODO: do a forward simulation with the selected implicit integrator
8         # hint: use your `implicit_integrator_solve` function
9
10        for k in 1:N-1
11            X[k+1] = implicit_integrator_solve(params, dynamics, implicit_integrator, X[k], dt, tol=tol)
12        end
13
14        E = [double_pendulum_energy(params,x) for x in X]
15        @assert length(X)==N
16        @assert length(E)==N
17        return X, E
18    end
```

Out[14]: simulate\_implicit (generic function with 1 method)

```
In [15]: 1 function max_err_E(E)
2         E0 = E[1]
3         err = abs.(E .- E0)
4         return maximum(err)
5     end
6     function get_explicit_energy_error(integrator::Function, dts::Vector)
7         [max_err_E(simulate_explicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,integrator,x0,dt,tf)[2]) for dt in dts]
8     end
9     function get_implicit_energy_error(integrator::Function, dts::Vector)
10        [max_err_E(simulate_implicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,integrator,x0,dt,tf)[2]) for dt in dts]
11    end
12
13
14    const tf = 2.0
15    let
16        # here we compare everything
17        dts = [1e-3,1e-2,1e-1]
18        explicit_integrators = [forward_euler, midpoint, rk4]
19        implicit_integrators = [backward_euler, implicit_midpoint, hermite_simpson]
20
21        explicit_data = [get_explicit_energy_error(integrator, dts) for integrator in explicit_integrators]
22        implicit_data = [get_implicit_energy_error(integrator, dts) for integrator in implicit_integrators]
23
24        plot(dts, hcat(explicit_data...),label = ["Forward Euler" "Midpoint" "RK4"],xaxis=:log10,yaxis=:log10, xlabel = "Δt (s)"
25        plot!(dts, hcat(implicit_data...),ls = :dash, label = ["Backward Euler" "Implicit Midpoint" "Hermite Simpson"])
26        plot!(legend=:bottomright)
27    end
28
```

Out[15]:




What we can see above is the maximum energy error for each of the integration methods. In general, the implicit methods of the same order are slightly better than the explicit ones.



```

In [16]: 1 @testset "energy behavior" begin
2
3     # simulate with all integrators
4     dt = 0.01
5     t_vec = 0:dt:tf
6     E1 = simulate_explicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,forward_euler,x0,dt,tf)[2]
7     E2 = simulate_implicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,backward_euler,x0,dt,tf)[2]
8     E3 = simulate_implicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,implicit_midpoint,x0,dt,tf)[2]
9     E4 = simulate_implicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,hermite_simpson,x0,dt,tf)[2]
10    E5 = simulate_explicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,midpoint,x0,dt,tf)[2]
11    E6 = simulate_explicit(params,double_pendulum_dynamics,rk4,x0,dt,tf)[2]
12
13    # plot forward/backward euler and implicit midpoint
14    plot(t_vec,E1, label = "Forward Euler (explicit)")
15    plot!(t_vec,E2, label = "Backward Euler (implicit)")
16    display(plot!(t_vec,E3, label = "Implicit Midpoint",xlabel = "Time (s)", ylabel="Energy (J)"))
17
18    # test energy behavior
19    E0 = E1[1]
20
21    @test 2.5 < (E1[end] - E0) < 3.0
22    @test -3.0 < (E2[end] - E0) < -2.5
23    @test abs(E3[end] - E0) < 1e-2
24    @test abs(E0 - E4[end]) < 1e-4
25    @test abs(E0 - E5[end]) < 1e-1
26    @test abs(E0 - E6[end]) < 1e-4
27 end
28

```



Test Summary: | Pass Total  
energy behavior | 6 6

Out[16]: Test.DefaultTestSet("energy behavior", Any[], 6, false, false)

Another important takeaway from these integrators is that explicit Euler results in unstable behavior (as shown here by the growing energy), and implicit Euler results in artificial damping (losing energy). Implicit midpoint however maintains the correct energy. Even though the solution from implicit midpoint will vary from the initial energy, it does not move secularly one way or the other.

```
In [13]: import Pkg
Pkg.activate(@__DIR__)
Pkg.instantiate()
using LinearAlgebra, Plots
import ForwardDiff as FD
using MeshCat
using Test
using Plots;
```

Activating environment at `~/ocrl\_ws/16-745/HW1\_S23/Project.toml`

## Q2: Equality Constrained Optimization (20 pts)

In this problem, we are going to use Newton's method to solve some constrained optimization problems. We will start with a smaller problem where we can experiment with Full Newton vs Gauss-Newton, then we will use these methods to solve for the motor torques that make a quadruped balance on one leg.

### Part A (10 pts)

Here we are going to solve some equality-constrained optimization problems with Newton's method. We are given a problem

$$\min_x f(x) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{st } c(x) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Which has the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda^T c(x),$$

and the following KKT conditions for optimality:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = \nabla_x f(x) + \left[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \right]^T \lambda = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$c(x) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Which is just a root-finding problem. To solve this, we are going to solve for a  $z = [x^T, \lambda]^T$  that satisfies these KKT conditions.

### Newton's Method with a Linesearch

We use Newton's method to solve for when  $r(z) = 0$ . To do this, we specify `res_fx(z)` as  $r(z)$ , and `res_jac_fx(z)` as  $\partial r / \partial z$ . To calculate a Newton step, we do the following:

$$\Delta z = - \left[ \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \right]^{-1} r(z_k)$$

We then decide the step length with a linesearch that finds the largest  $\alpha \leq 1$  such that the following is true:

$$\phi(z_k + \alpha \Delta z) < \phi(z_k)$$

Where  $\phi$  is a "merit function", or `merit_fx(z)` in the code. In this assignment you will use a backtracking linesearch where  $\alpha$  is initialized as  $\alpha = 1.0$ , and is divided by 2 until the above condition is satisfied.

NOTE: YOU DO NOT NEED TO (AND SHOULD NOT) USE A WHILE LOOP ANYWHERE IN THIS ASSIGNMENT.

```
In [14]: function linesearch(z::Vector, Δz::Vector, merit_fx::Function;
           max_ls_iters = 10)::Float64 # optional argument with a default value

    # TODO: return maximum α≤1 such that merit_fx(z + α*Δz) < merit_fx(z)
    # with a backtracking linesearch (α = α/2 after each iteration)

    # NOTE: DO NOT USE A WHILE LOOP
    α = 1.0
    for i = 1:max_ls_iters
        # TODO: return α when merit_fx(z + α*Δz) < merit_fx(z)
        if merit_fx(z + α*Δz) < merit_fx(z)
            return α
        end
        α = α/2
    end
    error("linesearch failed")
end

function newtons_method(z0::Vector, res_fx::Function, res_jac_fx::Function, merit_fx::Function,
                        tol = 1e-10, max_iters = 50, verbose = false)::Vector{Float64}

    # TODO: implement Newton's method given the following inputs:
    # - z0, initial guess
    # - res_fx, residual function
    # - res_jac_fx, Jacobian of residual function wrt z
    # - merit_fx, merit function for use in linesearch

    # optional arguments
    # - tol, tolerance for convergence. Return when norm(residual)<tol
    # - max_iter, max # of iterations
    # - verbose, bool telling the function to output information at each iteration

    # return a vector of vectors containing the iterates
    # the last vector in this vector of vectors should be the approx. solution

    # NOTE: DO NOT USE A WHILE LOOP ANYWHERE

    # return the history of guesses as a vector
    Z = [zeros(length(z0)) for i = 1:max_iters]
```

```

Z[1] = z0

for i = 1:(max_iters - 1)

    # NOTE: everything here is a suggestion, do whatever you want to

    # TODO: evaluate current residual
    curr_r = res_fx(Z[i])
    norm_r = norm(curr_r) # TODO: update this
    if verbose
        print("iter: $i    |r|: $norm_r    ")
    end

    # TODO: check convergence with norm of residual < tol
    # if converged, return Z[1:i]
    if norm_r < tol
        return Z[1:i]
    end

    # TODO: caculate Newton step (don't forget the negative sign)

    ΔZ = -res_jac_fx(Z[i]) \ curr_r

    # TODO: linesearch and update z
    α = linesearch(Z[i], ΔZ, merit_fx)
    Z[i+1] = Z[i] + α .* ΔZ

    if verbose
        print("α: $α \n")
    end

end
error("Newton's method did not converge")
end

```

Out[14]: newtons\_method (generic function with 1 method)

```

In [15]: @testset "check Newton" begin

    f(_x) = [sin(_x[1]), cos(_x[2])]
    df(_x) = FD.jacobian(f, _x)
    merit(_x) = norm(f(_x))

    x0 = [-1.742410372590328, 1.4020334125022704]

    X = newtons_method(x0, f, df, merit; tol = 1e-10, max_iters = 50, verbose = :debug)

    # check this took the correct number of iterations
    # if your linesearch isn't working, this will fail
    # you should see 1 iteration where α = 0.5
    @test length(X) == 6

    # check we actually converged
    @test norm(f(X[end])) < 1e-10

end

```

```

iter: 1    |r|: 0.9995239729818045    α: 1.0
iter: 2    |r|: 0.9421342427117169    α: 0.5
iter: 3    |r|: 0.1753172908866053    α: 1.0
iter: 4    |r|: 0.0018472215879181287    α: 1.0
iter: 5    |r|: 2.1010529101114843e-9    α: 1.0
iter: 6    |r|: 2.5246740534795566e-16    Test Summary: | Pass Total
check Newton | 2      2

```

```
Out[15]: Test.DefaultTestSet("check Newton", Any[], 2, false, false)
```


We will now use Newton's method to solve the following constrained optimization problem. We will write functions for the full Newton Jacobian, as well as the Gauss-Newton Jacobian.

```

In [16]: let
  Q = [1.65539  2.89376; 2.89376  6.51521];
  q = [2;-3]
  cost(x) = 0.5*x'*Q*x + q'*x + exp(-1.3*x[1] + 0.3*x[2]^2) # cost function
  contour(-1:.1:1,-1:.1:1, (x1,x2)-> cost([x1;x2]),title = "Cost Function",
    xlabel = "X1", ylabel = "X2",fill = true)
  plot!(-1:.1:1, -0.3*(-1:.1:1).^2 - 0.3*(-1:.1:1) .- .2, lw = 3, label = "constraint")
end

```

```
Out[16]:
```



```

In [25]: # we will use Newton's method to solve the constrained optimization problem
function cost(x::Vector)
  Q = [1.65539  2.89376; 2.89376  6.51521]
  q = [2;-3]
  return 0.5*x'*Q*x + q'*x + exp(-1.3*x[1] + 0.3*x[2]^2)
end
function constraint(x::Vector)
  norm(x) - 0.5
end
# HINT: use this if you want to, but you don't have to

```

```

function constraint_jacobian(x::Vector)::Matrix
    # since `constraint` returns a scalar value, ForwardDiff
    # will only allow us to compute a gradient of this function
    # (instead of a Jacobian). This means we have two options for
    # computing the Jacobian: Option 1 is to just reshape the gradient
    # into a row vector

    # J = reshape(FD.gradient(constraint, x), 1, 2)

    # or we can just make the output of constraint an array,

    # where is this '_x' defined?
    constraint_array(_x) = [constraint(_x)]
    J = FD.jacobian(constraint_array, x)

    # assert the jacobian has # rows = # outputs
    # and # columns = # inputs
    @assert size(J) == (length(constraint(x)), length(x))

    return J
end

function kkt_conditions(z::Vector)::Vector
    # TODO: return the KKT conditions

    x = z[1:2]
    λ = z[3:3]

    # TODO: return the stationarity condition for the cost function
    # and the primal feasibility

    # error("kkt not implemented")
    primal_feasibility = [constraint(x)]

    J = FD.gradient(cost, x)

    δc = constraint_jacobian(x)

    stationarity = J + δc' * λ

    return [stationarity ;primal_feasibility]
end

function fn_kkt_jac(z::Vector)::Matrix
    # TODO: return full Newton Jacobian of kkt conditions wrt z
    x = z[1:2]
    λ = z[3:3]
    β = 1e-3
    # TODO: return full Newton jacobian with a 1e-3 regularizer
    # error("fn_kkt_jac not implemented")
    primal_feasibility = constraint(x)

    H = FD.hessian(x -> cost(x), x)

    δc = constraint_jacobian(x)

```

```

double_derivative_of_L = H + FD.jacobian(x -> (constraint_jacobian(x)' * λ

kkt_jacobian = [double_derivative_of_L δc'; δc 0]

#     e = eigvals(kkt_jacobian)
#     while !(sum(e .> 0) == length(x) && sum(e .< 0) == length(λ))
#         kkt_jacobian = kkt_jacobian + Diagonal([β*ones(length(x)); -β*ones(l
#         e = eigvals(kkt_jacobian)
#     end
kkt_jacobian = kkt_jacobian + Diagonal([β*ones(length(x)); -β*ones(length(

return kkt_jacobian
end

function gn_kkt_jac(z::Vector)::Matrix
# TODO: return Gauss-Newton Jacobian of kkt conditions wrt z
x = z[1:2]
λ = z[3]
β = 1e-3
# TODO: return Gauss-Newton jacobian with a 1e-3 regularizer
# error("gn_kkt_jac not implemented")
primal_feasibility = constraint(x)

H = FD.hessian(x -> cost(x), x)

δc = constraint_jacobian(x)

double_derivative_of_L = H

gn_kkt_jacobian = [double_derivative_of_L δc'; δc 0]

#     e = eigvals(gn_kkt_jacobian)
#     while !(sum(e .> 0) == length(x) && sum(e .< 0) == length(λ))
#         gn_kkt_jacobian = gn_kkt_jacobian + Diagonal([β*ones(length(x)); -β*
#         e = eigvals(gn_kkt_jacobian)
#     end
gn_kkt_jacobian = gn_kkt_jacobian + Diagonal([β*ones(length(x)); -β*ones(l

return gn_kkt_jacobian
end

```

Out[25]: gn\_kkt\_jac (generic function with 1 method)

In [26]: @testset "Test Jacobians" begin

```

# first we check the regularizer
z = randn(3)
J_fn = fn_kkt_jac(z)
J_gn = gn_kkt_jac(z)

# check what should/shouldn't be the same between
@test norm(J_fn[1:2,1:2] - J_gn[1:2,1:2]) > 1e-10
@test abs(J_fn[3,3] + 1e-3) < 1e-10
@test abs(J_gn[3,3] + 1e-3) < 1e-10
@test norm(J_fn[1:2,3] - J_gn[1:2,3]) < 1e-10
@test norm(J_fn[3,1:2] - J_gn[3,1:2]) < 1e-10

end

```

Test Summary: | Pass Total  
 Test Jacobians | 5 5

Out[26]: Test.DefaultTestSet("Test Jacobians", Any[], 5, false, false)

In [27]: @testset "Full Newton" begin

```

z0 = [-.1, .5, 0] # initial guess
merit_fx(_z) = norm(kkt_conditions(_z)) # simple merit function
Z = newtons_method(z0, kkt_conditions, fn_kkt_jac, merit_fx; tol = 1e-4, ma
R = kkt_conditions.(Z)

# make sure we converged on a solution to the KKT conditions
@test norm(kkt_conditions(Z[end])) < 1e-4
@test length(R) < 6

# -----plotting stuff-----
Rp = [[abs(R[i][ii]) + 1e-15 for i = 1:length(R)] for ii = 1:length(R[1])]

plot(Rp[1],yaxis=:log,ylabel = "|r|",xlabel = "iteration",
      yticks= [1.0*10.0^(-x) for x = float(15:-1:-2)],
      title = "Convergence of Full Newton on KKT Conditions",label = "|r_1|
plot!(Rp[2],label = "|r_2|")
display(plot!(Rp[3],label = "|r_3|"))

contour(-.6:.1:0,0:.1:.6, (x1,x2)-> cost([x1;x2]),title = "Cost Function",
        xlabel = "X1", ylabel = "X2",fill = true)
xcirc = [.5*cos(θ) for θ in range(0, 2*pi, length = 200)]
ycirc = [.5*sin(θ) for θ in range(0, 2*pi, length = 200)]
plot!(xcirc,ycirc, lw = 3.0, xlim = (-.6, 0), ylim = (0, .6),label = "cons
z1_hist = [z[1] for z in Z]
z2_hist = [z[2] for z in Z]
display(plot!(z1_hist, z2_hist, marker = :d, label = "xk"))
# -----plotting stuff-----
end



```

```

iter: 1    |r|: 1.7188450769812715    α: 1.0
iter: 2    |r|: 0.8150495962203247    α: 1.0
iter: 3    |r|: 0.025448943695826287    α: 1.0
iter: 4    |r|: 9.501514353500914e-5

```





**Test Summary:** | **Pass** **Total**  
 Full Newton | 2 2

Out[27]: Test.DefaultTestSet("Full Newton", Any[], 2, false, false)

In [28]: @testset "Gauss-Newton" begin

```
z0 = [-.1, .5, 0] # initial guess
merit_fx(z) = norm(kkt_conditions(z)) # simple merit function
```

```

# the only difference in this block vs the previous is `gn_kkt_jac` instead
Z = newtons_method(z0, kkt_conditions, gn_kkt_jac, merit_fx; tol = 1e-4, max_iter = 10)
R = kkt_conditions.(Z)

# make sure we converged on a solution to the KKT conditions
@test norm(kkt_conditions(Z[end])) < 1e-4
@test length(R) < 10

# -----plotting stuff-----
Rp = [[abs(R[i][ii]) + 1e-15 for i = 1:length(R)] for ii = 1:length(R[1])]

plot(Rp[1],yaxis=:log,ylabel = "|r|",xlabel = "iteration",
      yticks= [1.0*10.0^(-x) for x = float(15:-1:-2)],
      title = "Convergence of Full Newton on KKT Conditions",label = "|r_1|")
plot!(Rp[2],label = "|r_2|")
display(plot!(Rp[3],label = "|r_3|"))



contour(-.6:.1:0,0:.1:.6, (x1,x2)-> cost([x1;x2]),title = "Cost Function",
        xlabel = "X1", ylabel = "X2",fill = true)
xcirc = [.5*cos(θ) for θ in range(0, 2*pi, length = 200)]
ycirc = [.5*sin(θ) for θ in range(0, 2*pi, length = 200)]
plot!(xcirc,ycirc, lw = 3.0, xlim = (-.6, 0), ylim = (0, .6),label = "constraint")
z1_hist = [z[1] for z in Z]
z2_hist = [z[2] for z in Z]
display(plot!(z1_hist, z2_hist, marker = :d, label = "xk"))
# -----plotting stuff-----
end

```

```

iter: 1    |r|: 1.7188450769812715    α: 1.0
iter: 2    |r|: 0.8150495962203247    α: 1.0
iter: 3    |r|: 0.19186516708148574    α: 1.0
iter: 4    |r|: 0.04663490553083029    α: 1.0
iter: 5    |r|: 0.01332977842954523    α: 1.0
iter: 6    |r|: 0.0037714013578573355    α: 1.0
iter: 7    |r|: 0.001071165054782875    α: 1.0
iter: 8    |r|: 0.00030392210707413806    α: 1.0
iter: 9    |r|: 8.625764141582568e-5

```



Test Summary: | **Pass** **Total**  
Gauss-Newton | **2** **2**

```
Out[28]: Test.DefaultTestSet("Gauss-Newton", Any[], 2, false, false)
```

Part B (10 pts): Balance a quadruped

Now we are going to solve for the control input  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$ , and state  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{30}$ , such that the quadruped is balancing up on one leg. First, let's load in a model and display the rough "guess" configuration that we are going for:


In [29]: `include(joinpath(@__DIR__, "quadruped.jl"))`

```
# -----these three are global variables-----
model = UnitreeA1()
mvis = initialize_visualizer(model)
const x_guess = initial_state(model)
# -----

set_configuration!(mvis, x_guess[1:state_dim(model)÷2])
render(mvis)
```

Info: MeshCat server started. You can open the visualizer by visiting the following URL in your browser:  
<http://127.0.0.1:8707>  
 WARNING: redefinition of constant x\_guess. This may fail, cause incorrect answers, or produce other errors.

Out[29]:



Now, we are going to solve for the state and control that get us a statically stable stance on just one leg. We are going to do this by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,u} \quad & \frac{1}{2}(x - x_{guess})^T(x - x_{guess}) + \frac{1}{2}10^{-3}u^T u \\ \text{st} \quad & f(x, u) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \begin{matrix} (5) \\ (6) \end{matrix}$$

Where our primal variables are  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{30}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$ , that we can stack up in a new variable  $y = [x^T, u^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{42}$ . We have a constraint  $f(x, u) = \dot{x} = 0$ , which will ensure the resulting configuration is stable. This constraint is enforced with a dual variable  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{30}$ . We are now ready to use Newton's method to solve this equality constrained optimization problem, where we will solve for a variable  $z = [y^T, \lambda^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{72}$ .

In this next section, you should fill out `quadruped_kkt(z)` with the KKT conditions for this optimization problem, given the constraint is that `dynamics(model, x, u) = zeros(30)`. When forming the Jacobian of the KKT conditions, use the Gauss-Newton approximation for the hessian of the Lagrangian (see example above if you're having trouble with this).

```
In [30]: # initial guess
const x_guess = initial_state(model)

# indexing stuff
const idx_x = 1:30
const idx_u = 31:42
const idx_c = 43:72

# I like stacking up all the primal variables in y, where y = [x;u]
# Newton's method will solve for z = [x;u;λ], or z = [y;λ]

function quadruped_cost(y::Vector)
    # cost function
    @assert length(y) == 42
    x = y[idx_x]
    u = y[idx_u]

    return 0.5 * (x - x_guess)' * (x - x_guess) + 0.5 * (10^-3) * u' * u
end

function quadruped_constraint(y::Vector)::Vector
    # constraint function
    @assert length(y) == 42
    x = y[idx_x]
    u = y[idx_u]

    return dynamics(model, x, u)
end

function quadruped_kkt(z::Vector)::Vector
    @assert length(z) == 72
    x = z[idx_x]
    u = z[idx_u]
    λ = z[idx_c]

    y = [x;u]

    primal_feasibility = quadruped_constraint(y)
```

```

J = FD.gradient(quadruped_cost, y)

δc = FD.jacobian(y -> quadruped_constraint(y), y)

stationarity = J + δc' * λ

return [stationarity ; primal_feasibility]
end

function quadruped_kkt_jac(z::Vector)::Matrix
  @assert length(z) == 72
  x = z[idx_x]
  u = z[idx_u]
  λ = z[idx_c]
  β = 1e-5
  y = [x;u]

  H = FD.hessian(quadruped_cost, y)
  δc = FD.jacobian(_y -> quadruped_constraint(_y), y)

  double_derivative_of_L = H

  kkt_jacobian = [double_derivative_of_L + β.*LinearAlgebra.I δc'; δc -β.*Li

  return kkt_jacobian
end

```

WARNING: redefinition of constant x\_guess. This may fail, cause incorrect answers, or produce other errors.

Out[30]: quadruped\_kkt\_jac (generic function with 1 method)

```

In [31]: function quadruped_merit(z)
  # merit function for the quadruped problem
  @assert length(z) == 72
  r = quadruped_kkt(z)
  return norm(r[1:42]) + 1e4*norm(r[43:end])
end

@testset "quadruped standing" begin

  z0 = [x_guess; zeros(12); zeros(30)]
  Z = newtons_method(z0, quadruped_kkt, quadruped_kkt_jac, quadruped_merit;
  set_configuration!(mvis, Z[end][1:state_dim(model)+2])
  R = norm.(quadruped_kkt.(Z))

  display(plot(1:length(R), R, yaxis=:log, xlabel = "iteration", ylabel = "|r

  @test R[end] < 1e-6
  @test length(Z) < 25

  x,u = Z[end][idx_x], Z[end][idx_u]

  @test norm(dynamics(model, x, u)) < 1e-6


end

```

```

iter: 1    |r|: 217.37236872332227    α: 1.0
iter: 2    |r|: 166.30172438182936    α: 1.0
iter: 3    |r|: 41.47732635798011    α: 0.25
iter: 4    |r|: 30.165366152039404    α: 1.0
iter: 5    |r|: 9.547200505196036    α: 1.0
iter: 6    |r|: 3.1522081784314824    α: 1.0
iter: 7    |r|: 0.6883639538094173    α: 1.0
iter: 8    |r|: 0.020229959592048152    α: 1.0
iter: 9    |r|: 1.6831359405438966    α: 1.0
iter: 10   |r|: 0.30646762831705066    α: 1.0
iter: 11   |r|: 0.02538589021613    α: 1.0
iter: 12   |r|: 0.006601332797307665    α: 1.0
iter: 13   |r|: 0.0010077080791744822    α: 1.0
iter: 14   |r|: 0.00016605797758123474    α: 1.0
iter: 15   |r|: 2.5750782144045896e-5    α: 1.0
iter: 16   |r|: 4.103870588678761e-6    α: 1.0
iter: 17   |r|: 6.439334108144757e-7

```



```

Test Summary: | Pass Total
quadruped standing | 3 3

```

```
Out[31]: Test.DefaultTestSet("quadruped standing", Any[], 3, false, false)
```

```
In [32]: let
```

```

# let's visualize the balancing position we found


z0 = [x_guess; zeros(12); zeros(30)]
Z = newtons_method(z0, quadruped_kkt, quadruped_kkt_jac, quadruped_merit;
# visualizer
mvis = initialize_visualizer(model)
set_configuration!(mvis, Z[end][1:state_dim(model)+2])
render(mvis)

```

end

└ **Info:** MeshCat server started. You can open the visualizer by visiting the following URL in your browser:  
└ <http://127.0.0.1:8708>

Out[32]:




Open Controls




Meshcat plots were no rendering Q2 Part B hence attaching it here:

Meshcat result 1



Meshcat result 2



```
In [6]: import Pkg
Pkg.activate(@__DIR__)
Pkg.instantiate()
using LinearAlgebra, Plots
import ForwardDiff as FD
using Printf
using JLD2
```

Activating environment at `~/ocrl\_ws/16-745/HW1\_S23/Project.toml`

## Q2 (20 pts): Augmented Lagrangian Quadratic Program Solver

Here we are going to use the augmented lagrangian method described [here in a video](#), with [the corresponding pdf here](#) to solve the following problem:

$$\min_x \quad \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + q^T x \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad Ax - b = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$Gx - h \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

where the cost function is described by  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , an equality constraint is described by  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and an inequality constraint is described by  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

By introducing a dual variable  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$  for the equality constraint, and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  for the inequality constraint, we have the following KKT conditions for optimality:

$$Qx + q + A^T \lambda + G^T \mu = 0 \quad \text{stationarity} \quad (4)$$

$$Ax - b = 0 \quad \text{primal feasibility} \quad (5)$$

$$Gx - h \leq 0 \quad \text{primal feasibility} \quad (6)$$

$$\mu \geq 0 \quad \text{dual feasibility} \quad (7)$$

$$\mu \circ (Gx - h) = 0 \quad \text{complementarity} \quad (8)$$

where  $\circ$  is element-wise multiplication.

```
In [22]: # TODO: read below
# NOTE: DO NOT USE A WHILE LOOP ANYWHERE
"""
The data for the QP is stored in `qp` the following way:
    @load joinpath(@__DIR__, "qp_data.jld2") qp

which is a NamedTuple, where
    Q, q, A, b, G, h = qp.Q, qp.q, qp.A, qp.b, qp.G, qp.h

contains all of the problem data you will need for the QP.

Your job is to make the following function
```

```

x, λ, μ = solve_qp(qp; verbose = true, max_iters = 100, tol = 1e-8)

You can use (or not use) any of the additional functions:
You can use (or not use) any of the additional functions:
You can use (or not use) any of the additional functions:
You can use (or not use) any of the additional functions:

as long as solve_qp works.
"""

function cost(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector)::Real
    0.5*x'*qp.Q*x + dot(qp.q,x)
end
function c_eq(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector)::Vector
    qp.A*x - qp.b
end
function h_ineq(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector)::Vector
    qp.G*x - qp.h
end

function mask_matrix(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ::Real)::Matrix
#     error("not implemented")

#     possible point of failure maybe think of some diff method to calculate

    h = h_ineq(qp ,x)
    Ip = zeros(length(h),length(h))

    for i = 1:length(h)
        if h[i] < 0 && μ[i] == 0
            Ip[i,i] = 0
        else
            Ip[i,i] = ρ
        end
    end
    return Ip
end

function lagrangian(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ::Real)::
    cx = c_eq(qp ,x)
    hx = h_ineq(qp ,x)
    return cost(qp ,x)+ λ' * cx + μ' * hx
end

function augmented_lagrangian(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector,
#
#     error("not implemented")

    cx = c_eq(qp ,x)
    hx = h_ineq(qp ,x)

    Ip = mask_matrix(qp ,x , μ, ρ)

    lag = lagrangian(qp ,x , λ ,μ, ρ)

    return lag + 0.5 * ρ * cx' * cx + 0.5 * hx' * Ip * hx

```

```

end

function augmented_lag_grad(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ)
    Ip = mask_matrix(qp, x, μ, ρ)
    return qp.Q * x + qp.q + qp.A' * (λ + ρ * c_eq(qp, x)) + qp.G' * (μ + Ip * ρ)
end

function augmented_lag_hessian(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ)
    Ip = mask_matrix(qp, x, μ, ρ)
    return qp.Q + ρ * qp.A' * qp.A + qp.G' * Ip * qp.G
end

function stationarity(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ::Real)
    return FD.gradient(x -> augmented_lagrangian(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ), x)

#      δcx = FD.jacobian(x-> c_eq(qp, x), x)
#      δhx = FD.jacobian(x-> h_ineq(qp, x), x)
#      return FD.gradient(x-> cost(qp, x), x) + δcx'*λ + δhx'*μ
end

function logging(qp::NamedTuple, main_iter::Int, AL_gradient::Vector, x::Vector)
    # TODO: stationarity norm
    stationarity_norm = norm(stationarity(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ)) # fill this in
    @printf("%3d % 7.2e % 7.2e % 7.2e % 7.2e % 7.2e %5.0e\n",
           main_iter, stationarity_norm, norm(AL_gradient), maximum(h_ineq(qp, x), Inf),
           norm(c_eq(qp, x), Inf), abs(dot(μ, h_ineq(qp, x))), ρ)
end

function kkt_cond(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ::Real)
    cx = c_eq(qp, x)
    hx = h_ineq(qp, x)

#      return [stationarity(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ) ; cx; hx; μ; abs(dot(μ, h_ineq(qp, x)))]
    return stationarity(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ)
end

function newton_step(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, λ::Vector, μ::Vector, ρ::Real)
    kkt_jacobian = FD.hessian(x -> augmented_lagrangian(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ), x)
    return -kkt_jacobian \ kkt_cond(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ)
end

function solve_qp(qp; verbose = true, max_iters = 100, tol = 1e-8)
    x = zeros(length(qp.q))
    λ = zeros(length(qp.b))
    μ = zeros(length(qp.h))

    φ = 10
    α = 1.0
    ρ = 1.0

    if verbose
        @printf "iter    |∇Lx|        |∇ALx|        max(h)        |c|        compl\n"
        @printf "-----\n"
    end

# TODO:
    for main_iter = 1:max_iters

```

```

        if verbose
            logging(qp, main_iter, augmented_lag_grad(qp, x, λ, μ, ρ), x, λ, μ, ρ)
        end
    #
    #   return x, λ, μ
    #   Use Newton method to calculate the change in x
    Δx = newton_step(qp,x,λ,μ,ρ)

    #       skipping line search as it is specified that use α = 1

    #       update x
    x = x + α.*Δx

    #       update λ & μ
    λ = λ + ρ * c_eq(qp ,x)
    μ = max.(0, (μ + ρ * h_ineq(qp ,x) ) )

    ρ = ρ * φ

    # TODO: convergence criteria based on tol
    if norm(c_eq(qp,x), Inf) < tol && max(0,maximum(h_ineq(qp,x))) < tol
        return x, λ, μ
    end
end
error("qp solver did not converge")
end
let
    # example solving qp
    @load joinpath(@__DIR__, "qp_data.jld2") qp
    x, λ, μ = solve_qp(qp; verbose = true, tol = 1e-8)
end

```

iter	$ \nabla L_x $	$ \nabla \mathcal{L}_x $	max(h)	c	compl	ρ
1	5.60e+01	5.60e+01	4.38e+00	6.49e+00	0.00e+00	1e+00
2	7.50e+01	7.50e+01	1.55e+00	1.31e+00	2.64e+00	1e+01
3	9.63e+01	9.63e+01	2.96e-02	3.04e-01	4.74e-02	1e+02
4	4.21e+01	4.21e+01	6.37e-03	1.35e-02	7.39e-03	1e+03
5	2.34e+03	2.34e+03	6.84e-02	1.55e-04	4.67e+00	1e+04
6	2.12e+03	2.12e+03	2.12e-06	3.74e-06	2.71e-04	1e+05
7	1.30e-01	1.30e-01	-1.94e-08	3.42e-08	2.18e-08	1e+06

Out[22]: ([-0.326230805713403, 0.24943797997177494, -0.43226766440520603, -1.4172246971241924, -1.3994527400875825, 0.6099582408523401, -0.07312202122166526, 1.303147752200007, 0.5389034791065863, -0.7225813651685381], [-0.1283519512258231, -2.837624169038528, -0.8320804497331935], [0.03635294279645507, 0.0, 0.0, 1.0594444951620436, 0.0])

## QP Solver test (10 pts)

```

In [23]: # 10 points
using Test
@testset "qp solver" begin
    @load joinpath(@__DIR__, "qp_data.jld2") qp
    x, λ, μ = solve_qp(qp; verbose = true, max_iters = 100, tol = 1e-6)

    @load joinpath(@__DIR__, "qp_solutions.jld2") qp_solutions
    @test norm(x - qp_solutions.x, Inf) < 1e-3;
end

```

```
@test norm(λ - qp_solutions.λ,Inf)<1e-3;
@test norm(μ - qp_solutions.μ,Inf)<1e-3;
end
```

iter	∇L <sub>x</sub>	∇AL <sub>x</sub>	max(h)	c	compl	ρ
1	5.60e+01	5.60e+01	4.38e+00	6.49e+00	0.00e+00	1e+00
2	7.50e+01	7.50e+01	1.55e+00	1.31e+00	2.64e+00	1e+01
3	9.63e+01	9.63e+01	2.96e-02	3.04e-01	4.74e-02	1e+02
4	4.21e+01	4.21e+01	6.37e-03	1.35e-02	7.39e-03	1e+03
5	2.34e+03	2.34e+03	6.84e-02	1.55e-04	4.67e+00	1e+04
6	2.12e+03	2.12e+03	2.12e-06	3.74e-06	2.71e-04	1e+05

Test Summary: | Pass Total  
qp solver | 3 3

Out[23]: Test.DefaultTestSet("qp solver", Any[], 3, false, false)

# Simulating a Falling Brick with QPs

In this question we'll be simulating a brick falling and sliding on ice in 2D. You will show that this problem can be formulated as a QP, which you will solve using an Augmented Lagrangian method.

## The Dynamics

The dynamics of the brick can be written in continuous time as

$$M\dot{v} + Mg = J^T\lambda$$

where  $M = mI_{2\times 2}$ ,  $g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 9.81 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is the normal force. The velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and position  $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are composed of the horizontal and vertical components.

We can discretize the dynamics with backward Euler: \$\$

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{k+1} \\ q_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_k \\ q_k \end{bmatrix} + \Delta t \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{m} J^T \lambda_{k+1} - g \\ v_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

\$\$

We also have the following contact constraints:

$$Jq_{k+1} \geq 0 \quad (\text{don't fall through the ice}) \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda_{k+1} \geq 0 \quad (\text{normal forces only push, not pull}) \quad (10)$$

$$\lambda_{k+1} Jq_{k+1} = 0 \quad (\text{no force at a distance}) \quad (11)$$

## Part (a): QP formulation (5 pts)

Show that these discrete-time dynamics are equivalent to the following QP by writing down the KKT conditions.

$$\text{minimize}_{v_{k+1}} \quad \frac{1}{2} v_{k+1}^T M v_{k+1} + [M(\Delta t \cdot g - v_k)]^T v_{k+1} \quad (12)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad -J(q_k + \Delta t \cdot v_{k+1}) \leq 0 \quad (13)$$

**TASK:** Write down the KKT conditions for the optimization problem above, and show that it's equivalent to the dynamics problem stated previously. Use LaTeX markdown.

**PUT ANSWER HERE:**

Comparing the above given cost function and constraints to the form

$$\min_x \quad \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + q^T x \quad (14)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad Ax - b = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$Gx - h \leq 0 \quad (16)$$

we have,

$$Q = M = mI_{2 \times 2}$$

$$x = v_{k+1}$$

$$q_k = M(\Delta t \cdot g - v_k)$$

$$G = -J\Delta t$$

$$h = Jq_k$$

Since, there is not equality constraint  $Ax - b$  does not exist

The KKT conditions for the above are:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta x} = Qx + q + G^T \mu = 0 \quad \text{stationarity} \quad (17)$$

$$(18)$$

$$Gx - h \leq 0 \quad \text{primal feasibility} \quad (19)$$

$$\mu \geq 0 \quad \text{dual feasibility} \quad (20)$$

$$\mu \circ (Gx - h) = 0 \quad \text{complementarity} \quad (21)$$

Now we will expand these conditions to retrieve the discrete-time dynamics:

1. Expanding the stationarity:

$$Qx + q + G^T \mu = 0$$

$$Mv_{k+1} + M(\Delta t \cdot g - v_k) + (-J\Delta t)^T \mu = 0$$

a. since  $\Delta t$  is a scalar  $(-J\Delta t)^T = -J^T \Delta t$

b. setting  $\mu = \lambda_{k+1}$  where  $\lambda_{k+1} \geq 0$  (normal force only push, not pull) eq 11

$$Mv_{k+1} + M(\Delta t \cdot g - v_k) + -J^T \Delta t \lambda_{k+1} = 0$$

$$M(v_{k+1} + (\Delta t \cdot g - v_k)) + -J^T \Delta t \lambda_{k+1} = 0$$

$$M(v_{k+1} + (\Delta t \cdot g - v_k)) = J^T \Delta t \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$(v_{k+1} + (\Delta t \cdot g - v_k)) = M^{-1} J^T \Delta t \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$v_{k+1} = -(\Delta t \cdot g - v_k) + M^{-1} J^T \Delta t \lambda_{k+1}$$

$$v_{k+1} = v_k + \Delta t \cdot (-g + M^{-1} J^T \lambda_{k+1})$$

Which is the dynamics equation 1

2. Expanding  $Gx - h \leq 0$  - primal feasibility

$$Gx - h \leq 0$$

$$-J\Delta t v_{k+1} - Jq_k \leq 0$$

$$J\Delta t v_{k+1} + Jq_k \geq 0$$

$$J(\Delta t v_{k+1} + q_k) \geq 0$$

setting  $q_{k+1} = (\Delta t v_{k+1} + q_k)$  from the dynamics eq2

$$Jq_{k+1} \geq 0$$

Which gives us the constraint - don't fall through the ice eq 9

3. Expanding  $\mu \circ (Gx - h) = 0$  - complementarity

$$\lambda_{k+1} \circ Jq_{k+1} = 0 \text{ - no force at a distance eq 11}$$

Thus we can see that the KKT conditions are equivalent to the dynamics problem stated previously.

## Brick Simulation (5 pts)

```
In [12]: function brick_simulation_qp(q, v; mass = 1.0, Δt = 0.01)
```



```

# TODO: fill in the QP problem data for a simulation step
# fill in Q, q, G, h, but leave A, b the same
# this is because there are no equality constraints in this qp

g = [0;9.81]
J = [0 1]
M = mass .* [1.0 0.0 ; 0.0 1.0]
qp = (
    Q = M,
    q = M * (Δt .* g - v),
    A = zeros(0,2), # don't edit this
    b = zeros(0),   # don't edit this
    G = -J * Δt,
    h = J * q
)

return qp
end

```

Out[12]: brick\_simulation\_qp (generic function with 1 method)

In [13]: @testset "brick qp" begin

```

q = [1,3.0]
v = [2,-3.0]

qp = brick_simulation_qp(q,v)

# check all the types to make sure they're right
qp.Q::Matrix{Float64}
qp.q::Vector{Float64}
qp.A::Matrix{Float64}
qp.b::Vector{Float64}
qp.G::Matrix{Float64}
qp.h::Vector{Float64}

@test size(qp.Q) == (2,2)
@test size(qp.q) == (2,)
@test size(qp.A) == (0,2)
@test size(qp.b) == (0,)
@test size(qp.G) == (1,2)
@test size(qp.h) == (1,)

@test abs(tr(qp.Q) - 2) < 1e-10
@test norm(qp.q - [-2.0, 3.0981]) < 1e-10
@test norm(qp.G - [0 -.01]) < 1e-10
@test abs(qp.h[1] - 3) < 1e-10

end

```

```

Test Summary: | Pass Total
brick qp      | 10    10

```

Out[13]: Test.DefaultTestSet("brick qp", Any[], 10, false, false)

In [46]: include(joinpath(@\_\_DIR\_\_, "animate\_brick.jl"))

```

function kkt_brick(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, μ::Real)

```

```

    return qp.Q * x + qp.q + qp.G' * μ
end

function brick_newton_step(qp::NamedTuple, x::Vector, μ::Real)
    kkt_func(x) = [kkt_brick(qp,x,μ)]
    @show kkt_brick(qp,x,μ)
    @show qp
    @show x
    @show μ
    kkt_jacobian = FD.jacobian(dx -> kkt_func(dx), x)
    @show kkt_jacobian(qp,x,μ)
    return -kkt_jacobian \ kkt_brick(qp,x,μ)
end

let

    dt = 0.01
    T = 3.0

    t_vec = 0:dt:T
    N = length(t_vec)

    qs = [zeros(2) for i = 1:N]
    vs = [zeros(2) for i = 1:N]

    qs[1] = [0, 1.0]
    vs[1] = [1, 4.5]

    mass = 1.0
    tol = 1e-5
    g = [0;9.81]
    J = [0 1]
    λ = 9.81
    # TODO: simulate the brick by forming and solving a qp
    # at each timestep. Your QP should solve for vs[k+1], and
    # you should use this to update qs[k+1]

    for k = 1:N-1
#       Use Newton method to calculate the change in x
        brick_qp = brick_simulation_qp(qs[k], vs[k])
        vs[k+1], _, λ = solve_qp(brick_qp; verbose = false, tol = 1e-8)
        qs[k+1] = qs[k] + vs[k+1]*dt
    end

    xs = [q[1] for q in qs]
    ys = [q[2] for q in qs]

    @show @test abs(maximum(ys)-2)<1e-1
    @show @test minimum(ys) > -1e-2
    @show @test abs(xs[end] - 3) < 1e-2

    xdot = diff(xs)/dt
    @show @test maximum(xdot) < 1.0001
    @show @test minimum(xdot) > 0.9999
    @show @test ys[110] > 1e-2
    @show @test abs(ys[111]) < 1e-2

```

```

@show @test abs(ys[112]) < 1e-2

display(plot(xs, ys, ylabel = "y (m)", xlabel = "x (m)"))

animate_brick(qs)

end

# In[46]:52 == @test(abs(maximum(ys) - 2) < 0.1) = Test Passed
# In[46]:53 == @test(minimum(ys) > -0.01) = Test Passed
# In[46]:54 == @test(abs(xs[end] - 3) < 0.01) = Test Passed
# In[46]:57 == @test(maximum(xdot) < 1.0001) = Test Passed
# In[46]:58 == @test(minimum(xdot) > 0.9999) = Test Passed
# In[46]:59 == @test(ys[110] > 0.01) = Test Passed
# In[46]:60 == @test(abs(ys[111]) < 0.01) = Test Passed
# In[46]:61 == @test(abs(ys[112]) < 0.01) = Test Passed


```

b'  
\\n'

└ **Info:** MeshCat server started. You can open the visualizer by visiting the following URL in your browser:  
└ <http://127.0.0.1:8702>

Out[46]:

Open Controls



In [ ]:

In [ ]: