Note regarding the Markov property of our system.

- We are saying that S6+1 is specified by St bon a bixed action a cusing a conditional pmb.

P St+11st (St+1).

(note that this is intuitively the Markov property. We are using this property in verilying the definition for Harkov property below).

The joint distribution of the sandom variables involved in one system can be written down as

(A): Pgo Si Sz ... \$6 (80, 81 ... SE)

= PS1/80(81). PS2/81 (82). PES3/82 (83)... PSE/SE-1 (SE)

for a fixed unihal state so (so that PSo/so(so) = 1).

We will like this property to verify the Markov property.

* Thanks to & Raman and Allam Vasshilk for pointing out the

cis cular like argument here and the mistake in the sequence of

cis cular like argument here and the mistake in the sequence of

Steps used in class. Please note that we are starting with a

Steps used in class. Please note that we are starting with a

property that we have not yet defined to be Harkov (but is

property that we have not yet defined to be mistake in the sequence of

the own a Markov property).

In order to show that $-PS_{t|S_{t-1}}$... so $(s_t) = PS_{t|S_{t-1}}(s_t)$ we use $PS_{t|S_{t-1}}$... so $(s_t) = PS_{t,S_{t-1}}$... So $(s_{t,S_{t-1}}...s_0)$ $= PS_{t|S_{t-1}}(s_t) PS_{t-1|S_{t-2}}(s_{t-1}) ... PS_{1|S_0}(s_1)$ $= PS_{t-1|S_{t-2}}(s_{t-1}) ... PS_{1|S_0}(s_1)$ $= PS_{t-1|S_{t-2}}(s_{t-1}) ... PS_{1|S_0}(s_1)$

- PSE/SE-1 (SE).