#### **CONTENTS**

Contents			1
1.			
2.	Adverserial loss term 2.1 Hinge version	ATTACH	<b>2</b> 3
Bibliography			4
	- Chapter 1	nand and details	

The command I used was mostly adapted from the README file in the sean directory. The paths to the images and labels were relative paths to the 2000 training images and labels I randomly (seeded) sampled from the CelebA-HQ dataset. As with SEAN these were cropped to 256x256 during training.

The difference with the SEAN command is that I enabled the CLADE norm<sub>mode</sub>, which is specific to CLADE without it SPADE resblks are used.

```
# From CLADE/options/base_options.py
parser.add_argument('--norm_mode', type=str, default='spade', help='[spade | clade]')
```

More info on the training process is stored in text files in this directory.

- fid scores only contains two lines. Need to find out when the fid is being calculated. The options file mentions only that the fid is calculated every 10 epochs.
- iter text also only contains two lines. It is the 45 epochs times 2000 iterations the model has been trained for.
- loss log contains the loss function value per epoch.

## 1.1 Continue training

I continued training using the following command:

I installed the following tenserflow version:

```
pip3 install tensorflow==1.15.0
```

After that for some reason I still had to replace in the visualisation utility tf to tf.compat.v1

```
# visualiser.py

# search and replace
tf
# to
tf.compat.v1
```

When the training was interrupted the out event triggered and the stored iterations were written to a log file.

### 1.2 loss log plots

I used the tensorboard log files for this, It should also be able to export the relevant plots to publication quality images.

Chapter 2 -

# Adverserial loss term

The loss function is the same as with the pix2pixHD paper, instead they use a hinge loss form for the generator loss.

The general GAN loss function in pix2pixHD:

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathcal{L}(G, D)$$

we use a multiscale discriminator by default, which you can check in the multiscale discriminator class in SPADE.

So the general loss form is actually (also in SEAN/SPADE),

$$\min_{E,G} \max_{D_1,D_2} \sum_{k=1,2} \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(E,G,D_k)$$

which is the minimax game objective. The objective function  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{(s, x)} \left[ \log D(s, x) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{s} \left[ \log (1 - D(s, G(s))) \right]$$

Where s is the label map, and x is the image.

Note that D is a (set of) fully convolutional network(s) with a sigmoidal activation function at the end (only in pix2pix paper, or original gan<sub>mode</sub> loss in SPADE project). This means that the range of D should be [0,1]. Where *one* means real and *zero* means fake.

#### 2.1 Hinge version

**ATTACH** 

Now in later papers (SPADE and its derivatives) a hinge form was used, without any sigmoid predictions. This is best explained in the SEAN paper,

$$\mathcal{L}_{GAN} = \mathbb{E}\left[\max(0, 1 - D_k(s, x))\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left[\max(0, 1 + D_k(s, G(s)))\right]$$
{D\_real}
{D fake}

Where again *s* is the label map and *x* is the real image. You can see that there are two hinge terms, the real and fake discriminator loss. The curly braces on the right gives how the loss log file refers to these terms. It is also important to note that these expected values are calculated per mini-batch [1]. Meaning that low batch size would not accurately represent the separating hyperplane. But given enough time it still would find an optimum.

We also have two-scale discriminators, so the value reported in loss  $\setminus_{log.txt}$  is actually the mean of the two

This is equivalent to the following (Zhang et al. 2019: SAGAN):

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -\mathbb{E}_{(s,x)}\left[\min(0, -1 + D(s,x))\right]$$
 {D\_real}

$$-\mathbb{E}_s\left[\min(0, -1 - D(G(s), s))\right]$$
 {D\_fake}

$$\mathcal{L}_{G} = -\mathbb{E}_{s} \left[ D(G(s), s) \right]$$
 {GAN}

Where  $\mathcal{L}_G$  is the generator loss, this is important, because we are training stepwise the generator and discriminator. One step the  $\mathcal{L}_D$  is computed and  $\mathcal{L}_G$  in the other.

It can be shown that this equation converges to 2 , and that is equivalent to pushing the generated image to the separating hyperplane, and optimising the hyperplane margins for the discriminator (geometric gan paper).

The intuition for this is that when the probability distribution of the real images and fake images are equivalent, or the reverse KL-divergence  $KL\left[p_g||q_{data}\right]$  is minimised [2]. Especially the example of learning parallel lines at the end of section 3 of the paper was nice. The paper also gives the geometric intuition for the hinge loss

As you can see the discriminator tries to push away from the hyperplane where D=0, and the generator tries to push towards the 0.

4 Bibliography

- Chapter 2 Bibliography

- [1] Jae Hyun Lim and Jong Chul Ye. Geometric GAN. arXiv:1705.02894 [cond-mat, stat], May 2017.
- [2] Takeru Miyato, Toshiki Kataoka, Masanori Koyama, and Yuichi Yoshida. Spectral Normalization for Generative Adversarial Networks. *arXiv:1802.05957 [cs, stat]*, February 2018.