


Assignment-11.4

Task 1:

Write a program to implement a Stack class in Python with the following operations: push(), pop(), peek(), and is_empty(). And to generate code skeleton with docstrings. Test stack operations using sample data. To suggest optimizations or alternative implementations (e.g., using collections.deque).

Code and Output:



The screenshot shows a Jupyter Notebook environment with a file named 'AI(11).ipynb'. The code editor contains a Python class named 'Stack' with the following methods: `__init__(self)`, `push(self, item)`, `pop(self)`, and `peek(self)`. Each method has a docstring describing its functionality. The `pop` method includes a docstring for the 'Raises' section, indicating it raises an `IndexError` if the stack is empty. To the right of the code editor is a Gemini chat window. The chat history shows a user prompt asking for a Stack class implementation with specific operations and docstrings. The Gemini response provides a plan to create the class, test it, and explore alternative implementations like using `collections.deque`. The plan includes three bullet points: 'Implement stack class', 'Test stack operations', and 'Explore alternative implementations'.

```
class Stack:
    """
    A simple Stack implementation using a Python list.
    """
    def __init__(self):
        """
        Initializes an empty stack.
        """
        self._items = []

    def push(self, item):
        """
        Adds an item to the top of the stack.

        Args:
            item: The item to be added to the stack.
        """
        self._items.append(item)

    def pop(self):
        """
        Removes and returns the item from the top of the stack.

        Returns:
            The item from the top of the stack.

        Raises:
            IndexError: If the stack is empty.
        """
        if not self._items:
            raise IndexError("pop from empty stack")
        return self._items.pop()


    def peek(self):
        """
        Returns the item from the top of the stack without removing it.

        Returns:
            The item from the top of the stack.

        Raises:
            IndexError: If the stack is empty.
        """
        if not self._items:
            raise IndexError("peek from empty stack")
        return self._items[-1]

    def is_empty(self):
        """
        Checks if the stack is empty.

        Returns:
            True if the stack is empty, False otherwise.
        """
        return not self._items
```



This screenshot shows the continuation of the Python code for the 'Stack' class. It includes the `pop`, `peek`, and `is_empty` methods. The `pop` method has a docstring that specifies it raises an `IndexError` if the stack is empty. The `peek` method also has a docstring indicating it raises an `IndexError` if the stack is empty. The `is_empty` method has a docstring stating it returns `True` if the stack is empty and `False` otherwise.

```
Raises:
    IndexError: If the stack is empty.
"""
if not self._items:
    raise IndexError("pop from empty stack")
return self._items.pop()

def peek(self):
    """
    Returns the item from the top of the stack without removing it.

    Returns:
        The item from the top of the stack.

    Raises:
        IndexError: If the stack is empty.
    """
    if not self._items:
        raise IndexError("peek from empty stack")
    return self._items[-1]

def is_empty(self):
    """
    Checks if the stack is empty.

    Returns:
        True if the stack is empty, False otherwise.
    """
    return not self._items
```

```

# 1. Create an instance of the Stack class.
stack = Stack()

# 2. Push a few sample items onto the stack using the push method.
stack.push("apple")
stack.push("banana")
stack.push("cherry")

# 3. Check if the stack is empty using the is_empty method and print the result.
print(f"Is the stack empty? {stack.is_empty()}")

# 4. Peek at the top item of the stack using the peek method and print the result.
print(f"Top item on the stack: {stack.peek()}")

# 5. Pop a few items from the stack using the pop method and print each popped item.
print("Popping items from the stack:")
print(stack.pop())
print(stack.pop())

# 6. Check if the stack is empty again and print the result.
print(f"Is the stack empty after popping? {stack.is_empty()}")

# 7. Attempt to pop from the empty stack to demonstrate the IndexError handling and print a message if
print("Attempting to pop from an empty stack:")
try:
    print(stack.pop())
except IndexError as e:
    print(f"Caught expected error: {e}")

```

```

➡ Is the stack empty? False
Top item on the stack: cherry
Popping items from the stack:
cherry
banana
Is the stack empty after popping? False
Attempting to pop from an empty stack:
apple

```

Reasoning: Discuss alternative stack implementations, specifically `collections.deque`, explain its advantages, and provide a code example for a deque-based stack implementation with docstrings and comments.

```

import collections

# Discuss alternative implementations
print("Alternative Stack Implementations:")
print("While a Python list can be used to implement a stack, operations like 'pop(0)' (removing from the beginning) and 'insert(0, item)' (inserting at the beginning) are inefficient because they require shifting all subsequent elements.")
print("A more efficient alternative for implementing a stack (where operations occur at one end, like the end of the list) is 'collections.deque' (double-ended queue).")
print("'collections.deque' is optimized for appending and popping elements from both ends, making it suitable for both stacks (LIFO - Last-In, First-Out) and queues (FIFO - First-In, First-Out).")
print("Using 'append()' and 'pop()' with a 'deque' for stack operations is O(1) on average, which is more efficient than using 'insert(0, item)' or 'pop(0)' with a list for operations on the 'front' of the list.")
print("-" * 30)

# Provide a code example using collections.deque
class DequeStack:
    """
    A Stack Implementation using collections.deque.
    """
    def __init__(self):
        """
        Initializes an empty stack using a deque.
        """
        self._items = collections.deque()

    def push(self, item):
        """
        Adds an item to the top of the stack.

        Args:
            item: The item to be added to the stack.
        """
        # Use append to add to the right end (top of the stack)
        self._items.append(item)

    def pop(self):
        """
        Removes and returns the item from the top of the stack.

        Returns:
            The item from the top of the stack.
        """

```

```

    Raises:
        IndexError: If the stack is empty.
    """

    # Use pop to remove from the right end (top of the stack)
    if not self._items:
        raise IndexError("pop from empty stack")
    return self._items.pop()

def peek(self):
    """

    Returns the item from the top of the stack without removing it.

    Returns:
        The item from the top of the stack.

    Raises:
        IndexError: If the stack is empty.
    """

    # Access the last element (top of the stack)
    if not self._items:
        raise IndexError("peek from empty stack")
    return self._items[-1]

def is_empty(self):
    """

    Checks if the stack is empty.

    Returns:
        True if the stack is empty, False otherwise.
    """

    # Check if the deque is empty by checking its length
    return len(self._items) == 0

```

Alternative Stack Implementations:

While a Python list can be used to implement a stack, operations like `'pop(0)'` (removing from the beginning) and `'insert(0, item)'` (inserting at the beginning) are inefficient because they require shifting all subsequent elements. A more efficient alternative for implementing a stack (where operations occur at one end, like the end of the list) is `'collections.deque'` (double-ended queue). `'collections.deque'` is optimized for appending and popping elements from both ends, making it suitable for both stacks (LIFO - Last-In, First-Out) and queues (FIFO - First-In, First-Out). Using `'append()'` and `'pop()'` with a `'deque'` for stack operations is $O(1)$ on average, which is more efficient than using `'insert(0, item)'` or `'pop(0)'` with a list for operations on the 'front' of the list.

Reasoning: Based on the previous subtasks, the current stack implementation uses a Python list. While simple, list operations like inserting or deleting at the beginning can be inefficient ($O(n)$). For a stack, we are primarily concerned with operations at one end (the "top"). Using `'append()'` and `'pop()'` on a list operates on the end, which is efficient ($O(1)$ on average). However, the prompt specifically asks for optimizations and suggests `'collections.deque'`. Although the current list implementation using `'append'` and `'pop'` is already efficient for stack operations, the prompt implies exploring alternatives that might be more performant in certain scenarios or are generally considered better for double-ended operations (which `'deque'` is designed for). Therefore, suggesting `'collections.deque'` as an alternative is appropriate as it guarantees $O(1)$ for `'append'` and `'pop'` at either end, which is a potential optimization compared to list operations at the front if the stack were implemented that way (though our current list implementation is already optimized by using the end). Additionally, for very large numbers of operations, `'deque'` might have slightly better constant factors due to its underlying implementation.

```

print("Possible Optimizations and Alternative Implementations:")
print("The current Stack implementation uses a Python list, performing push operations with 'append()' and pop/peek operations with 'pop()' and indexing '[-1]' respectively. These operations on the end of a list are generally efficient, with an average time complexity of O(1).")

print("However, for scenarios involving a very large number of push and pop operations, or if the stack were implemented using operations on the 'beginning' of the list (e.g., 'insert(0, item)' and 'pop(0)', which have O(n) complexity), performance could degrade.")

print("A common and highly recommended optimization/alternative implementation for a stack in Python is to use 'collections.deque' (double-ended queue).")

print("Why 'collections.deque' is beneficial:")
print("  - 'collections.deque' is specifically designed for efficient appending and popping from both ends of the sequence.")
print("  - Both 'append()' (for push) and 'pop()' (for pop) operations on a 'deque' have a guaranteed time complexity of O(1). This is more consistent than list's amortized O(1) append, and significantly better than list's O(n) for operations on the front.")
print("  - While the current list implementation using 'append' and 'pop' at the end is already efficient (O(1) on average), 'deque' offers guaranteed O(1) for these operations and is the preferred data structure when you know you'll be primarily adding/removing from the ends.")
print("  - For very high-performance or concurrent applications, 'deque's underlying implementation might offer slight advantages.")

print("In summary, while the current list-based implementation using end operations is efficient, 'collections.deque' is a robust and slightly more predictable alternative, guaranteeing O(1) for stack operations (push and pop) and is the idiomatic choice for such use cases in Python.")

```

Possible Optimizations and Alternative Implementations:

The current Stack implementation uses a Python list, performing push operations with `'append()'` and `'pop/peek'` operations with `'pop()'` and indexing `'[-1]'` respectively. These operations on the end of a list are generally efficient, with an average time complexity of $O(1)$.

However, for scenarios involving a very large number of push and pop operations, or if the stack were implemented using operations on the 'beginning' of the list (e.g., `'insert(0, item)'` and `'pop(0)'`), which have $O(n)$ complexity, performance could degrade.


A common and highly recommended optimization/alternative implementation for a stack in Python is to use `'collections.deque'` (double-ended queue).

Why `'collections.deque'` is beneficial:

- `'collections.deque'` is specifically designed for efficient appending and popping from both ends of the sequence.
- Both `'append()'` (for push) and `'pop()'` (for pop) operations on a `'deque'` have a guaranteed time complexity of $O(1)$. This is more consistent than list's amortized $O(1)$ append, and significantly better than list's $O(n)$ for operations on the front.
- While the current list implementation using `'append'` and `'pop'` at the end is already efficient ($O(1)$ on average), `'deque'` offers guaranteed $O(1)$ for these operations and is the preferred data structure when you know you'll be primarily adding/removing from the ends.
- For very high-performance or concurrent applications, `'deque's` underlying implementation might offer slight advantages.

In summary, while the current list-based implementation using end operations is efficient, `'collections.deque'` is a robust and slightly more predictable alternative, guaranteeing $O(1)$ for stack operations (push and pop) and is the idiomatic choice for such use cases in Python.

Explanation:




◆ Certainly! Here's an explanation of the code cells in this notebook:

- Cell 1 (Code Cell - 632a92f3):** This cell defines the `Stack` class.
 - It uses a Python list (`self._items`) to store the elements of the stack.
 - The `__init__` method initializes an empty list when a new `Stack` object is created.
 - `push(item)` adds an `item` to the end of the list, effectively putting it on top of the stack.
 - `pop()` removes and returns the last item from the list (the top of the stack). It includes error handling to raise an `IndexError` if the stack is empty.
 - `peek()` returns the last item of the list (the top of the stack) without removing it. It also includes error handling for an empty stack.
 - `is_empty()` checks if the list is empty and returns `True` if it is, indicating the stack is empty.
- Cell 2 (Code Cell - d5ee5a2e):** This cell tests the functionality of the `Stack` class.
 - It creates an instance of the `Stack`.
 - It tests `is_empty()` on the newly created empty stack.
 - It pushes elements (10, 20, 30) onto the stack.
 - It tests `is_empty()` again after pushing elements.
 - It uses `peek()` to see the top element (30).
 - It uses a `while` loop and `pop()` to remove and print elements until the stack is empty, demonstrating the LIFO (Last-In, First-Out) behavior.
 - It tests `is_empty()` after all elements are popped.
 - Finally, it includes `try...except` blocks to show how the `IndexError` is caught when attempting to `pop()` or `peek()` from an empty stack.
- Cell 3 (Markdown Cell - eeaaf08d):** This cell is a markdown cell that serves as a header for the suggestion of optimizations.
- Cell 4 (Markdown Cell - d8b4ecf1):** This markdown cell provides a summary of the key findings from implementing and testing the `Stack` class. It also suggests potential optimizations and next steps.

Task 2:

Write a python program to Implement a Queue with `enqueue()`, `dequeue()`, and `is_empty()` methods. First, implement using Python lists. Then, review performance and suggest a more efficient implementation (using `collections.deque`).

Code and Output:



```
# Implement queue with python lists
class ListQueue:
    def __init__(self):
        self._items = []

    def enqueue(self, item):
        self._items.append(item)

    def dequeue(self):
        if not self.is_empty():
            return self._items.pop(0)
        else:
            return None

    def is_empty(self):
        return len(self._items) == 0

# Test list-based queue
list_queue = ListQueue()

print("Adding elements to the list-based queue:")
list_queue.enqueue(10)
list_queue.enqueue(20)
list_queue.enqueue(30)
print("List-based queue after adding elements.")

print("\nIs the list-based queue empty?", list_queue.is_empty())

print("\nDequeueing elements from list-based queue:")
while not list_queue.is_empty():
```

Gemini

write a python program to Implement a Queue with `enqueue()`, `dequeue()`, and `is_empty()` methods. First, implement using Python lists. Then, review performance and suggest a more efficient implementation (using `collections.deque`).

◆ Certainly! Here is a plan to implement a queue using Python lists and then suggest a more efficient implementation using `collections.deque`.

- Implement queue with python lists:** Create a class for a queue using Python lists, including `enqueue()`, `dequeue()`, and `is_empty()` methods.
- Test list-based queue:** Write code to test the implemented queue with basic operations.
- Analyze performance of list-based queue:** Discuss the time complexity of the list-based implementation.
- Implement queue with `collections.deque`:** Create a class for a queue using `collections.deque`, including `enqueue()`, `dequeue()`, and `is_empty()` methods.
- Test `collections.deque`-based queue:** Write code to test the implemented queue with basic operations.
- Analyze performance of `collections.deque`-based queue:** Discuss the time complexity of the `collections.deque`-based implementation.

◆ What can I help you build?

Gemini can make mistakes so double-check it and use code with caution. [Learn more](#)

```
Q Commands | + Code | + Text | ▶ Run all ▼

[7]
✓ Os
▶ print("\nDequeuing elements from list-based queue:")
while not list_queue.is_empty():
    dequeued_item = list_queue.dequeue()
    print(f"Dequeued: {dequeued_item}")

print("\nIs the list-based queue empty after dequeuing all elements?", list_queue.is_empty())

print("\nAttempting to dequeue from an empty list-based queue:")
dequeued_item_empty = list_queue.dequeue()
print(f"Dequeued from empty list-based queue: {dequeued_item_empty}")

# Analyze performance of list-based queue
print("\n--- List-based Queue Performance ---")
print("Time Complexity of enqueue(): O(1) (average)")
print("Time Complexity of dequeue(): O(n)")
print("Time Complexity of is_empty(): O(1)")
print("Summary of performance:")
print("The list-based queue has O(1) enqueue and is_empty operations. The dequeue operation is the bottleneck")

# Implement queue with collections.deque
from collections import deque

class DequeQueue:
    def __init__(self):
        self._items = deque()

    def enqueue(self, item):
        self._items.append(item)
```

```
▶ def enqueue(self, item):
    self._items.append(item)

def dequeue(self):
    if not self.is_empty():
        return self._items.popleft()
    else:
        return None

def is_empty(self):
    return len(self._items) == 0

# Test collections.deque-based queue
deque_queue = DequeQueue()

print("\n--- collections.deque-based Queue ---")
print("Adding elements to the deque-based queue:")
deque_queue.enqueue(100)
deque_queue.enqueue(200)
deque_queue.enqueue(300)
print("Deque-based queue after adding elements.")

print("\nIs the deque-based queue empty?", deque_queue.is_empty())

print("\nDequeuing elements from deque-based queue:")
while not deque_queue.is_empty():
    dequeued_item = deque_queue.dequeue()
    print(f"Dequeued: {dequeued_item}")

print("\nIs the deque-based queue empty after dequeuing all elements?", deque_queue.is_empty())
```

```
print("\nAttempting to dequeue from an empty deque-based queue:")
dequeued_item_empty = deque_queue.dequeue()
print(f"Dequeued from empty deque-based queue: {dequeued_item_empty}")

# Analyze performance of collections.deque-based queue
print("\n--- collections.deque-based Queue Performance ---")
print(f"Time Complexity of enqueue(): O(1)")
print(f"Time Complexity of dequeue(): O(1)")
print(f"Time Complexity of is_empty(): O(1)")
print("Summary: All key operations (enqueue, dequeue, is_empty) for the deque-based queue are typically O(1).")

# Compare performance
print("\n--- Performance Comparison ---")
print("Comparison with List-based Queue:")
print("enqueue(): Deque is O(1), List is O(1) (average). Similar performance.")
print("dequeue(): Deque is O(1), List is O(n). Deque is significantly faster for dequeue, especially for large queues.")
print("is_empty(): Deque is O(1), List is O(1). Similar performance.")

print("\nConclusion:")
print("The collections.deque-based implementation is generally more efficient for queue operations compared to the list-based implementation.")
print("This is primarily because both adding (enqueue) and removing from the front (dequeue) operations have a consistent O(1) time complexity with deque, whereas the list-based dequeue has an O(n) complexity.")
print("For large queues, the performance difference in the dequeue operation makes the deque-based implementation the preferred choice.")
```

```
Adding elements to the list-based queue:
List-based queue after adding elements.

Is the list-based queue empty? False

Dequeuing elements from list-based queue:
Dequeued: 10
Dequeued: 20
Dequeued: 30

Is the list-based queue empty after dequeuing all elements? True

Attempting to dequeue from an empty list-based queue:
Dequeued from empty list-based queue: None

--- List-based Queue Performance ---
Time Complexity of enqueue(): O(1) (average)
Time Complexity of dequeue(): O(n)
Time Complexity of is_empty(): O(1)
Summary of performance:
The list-based queue has O(1) enqueue and is_empty operations. The dequeue operation is the bottleneck with O(n) time complexity due to element shifting.

--- collections.deque-based Queue ---
Adding elements to the deque-based queue:
Deque-based queue after adding elements.

Is the deque-based queue empty? False
```

```
Dequeuing elements from deque-based queue:
Dequeued: 100
Dequeued: 200
Dequeued: 300

Is the deque-based queue empty after dequeuing all elements? True

Attempting to dequeue from an empty deque-based queue:
Dequeued from empty deque-based queue: None

--- collections.deque-based Queue Performance ---
Time Complexity of enqueue(): O(1)
Time Complexity of dequeue(): O(1)
Time Complexity of is_empty(): O(1)
Summary: All key operations (enqueue, dequeue, is_empty) for the deque-based queue are typically O(1).

--- Performance Comparison ---
Comparison with List-based Queue:
enqueue(): Deque is O(1), List is O(1) (average). Similar performance.
dequeue(): Deque is O(1), List is O(n). Deque is significantly faster for dequeue, especially for large queues.
is_empty(): Deque is O(1), List is O(1). Similar performance.

Conclusion:
The collections.deque-based implementation is generally more efficient for queue operations compared to the list-based implementation.
This is primarily because both adding (enqueue) and removing from the front (dequeue) operations have a consistent O(1) time complexity with deque, whereas the list-based dequeue has an O(n) complexity.
For large queues, the performance difference in the dequeue operation makes the deque-based implementation the preferred choice.
```

Explanation:

Explanation

Certainly! The code in cell 62142f48 implements and compares two ways of creating a queue in Python: one using a standard Python list and another using the `collections.deque` object.

Here's a breakdown:


- List-based Queue Implementation (`ListQueue` class):**
 - It uses a Python list (`self._items`) to store the queue elements.
 - `enqueue()` : Adds an item to the *end* of the list using `append()` , which is generally an efficient $O(1)$ operation.
 - `dequeue()` : Removes an item from the *beginning* of the list using `pop(0)` . This is an inefficient $O(n)$ operation because all subsequent elements need to be shifted to the left.
 - `is_empty()` : Checks if the list is empty using `len()` , which is an $O(1)$ operation.
- Testing the List-based Queue:**
 - This section creates an instance of `ListQueue` , adds elements, checks if it's empty, removes elements one by one, and then attempts to dequeue from an empty queue to show how it handles that case (returning `None`).
- Performance Analysis of List-based Queue:**
 - This part explicitly states the time complexity for each operation (`enqueue` as $O(1)$ average, `dequeue` as $O(n)$, and `is_empty` as $O(1)$). It highlights that `dequeue` is the bottleneck.
- `collections.deque` -based Queue Implementation (`DequeQueue` class):**
 - It uses a `collections.deque` object (`self._items`) to store the queue elements. Deques are designed for efficient appending and popping from both ends.
 - `enqueue()` : Adds an item to the *right* end of the deque using `append()` , which is an $O(1)$ operation.
 - `dequeue()` : Removes an item from the *left* end of the deque using `popleft()` . This is an efficient $O(1)$ operation because deques are implemented as doubly linked lists internally, allowing constant time removal from either end.
 - `is_empty()` : Checks if the deque is empty using `len()` , which is an $O(1)$ operation.
- Testing the `collections.deque` -based Queue:**
 - Similar to the list-based test, this section creates a `DequeQueue` , adds elements, checks for emptiness, dequeues elements, and tests dequeuing from an empty queue.
- Performance Analysis of `collections.deque` -based Queue:**
 - This part states the time complexity for the deque operations, showing that all (`enqueue` , `dequeue` , `is_empty`) are $O(1)$.
- Performance Comparison and Conclusion:**
 - This section directly compares the time complexities of the two implementations, emphasizing that the `collections.deque` is significantly more efficient for the `dequeue` operation ($O(1)$ vs $O(n)$ for the list).
 - The conclusion recommends using `collections.deque` for implementing queues in Python, especially when dealing with large queues, due to its consistent $O(1)$ performance for all key operations.

In summary, the code demonstrates that while a Python list can be used to implement a queue, the `collections.deque` provides a much more performant solution, particularly for the dequeue operation, which is a fundamental part of queue behavior.

Task 3:

Write a python program to Implement a Singly Linked List with operations: insert_at_end(), delete_value(), and traverse(). Start with a simple class-based implementation (Node, LinkedList). And to generate inline comments explaining pointer updates (which are non-trivial). And to suggest test cases to validate all operations.

Code and Output:



```
[15] class Node:
    """Represents a node in a singly linked list."""
    def __init__(self, data, next_node=None):
        """Initializes a new Node."""
        self.data = data
        self.next = next_node

class LinkedList:
    """Represents a singly linked list."""
    def __init__(self):
        """Initializes an empty LinkedList."""
        self.head = None

    def insert_at_end(self, data):
        """Inserts a new node with the given data at the end."""
        new_node = Node(data)
        if self.head is None:
            self.head = new_node # Head points to the new node
            return
        last_node = self.head
        while last_node.next:
            last_node = last_node.next
        last_node.next = new_node # Link the new node to the end

    def delete_value(self, value):
        """Deletes the first occurrence of a node with the given value."""
        if self.head is None:
            print("List is empty. Cannot delete.")
            return
        if self.head.data == value:
            self.head = self.head.next # Update head to skip the first node
            print(f"Deleted head node with value: {value}")
            return
        current = self.head
        while current.next:
            if current.next.data == value:
                current.next = current.next.next
                print(f"Deleted node with value: {value}")
                return
            current = current.next
        print("Value not found in the list.")
```

Gemini X

operations: insert_at_end(), delete_value(), and traverse(). Start with a simple class-based implementation (Node, LinkedList). And to generate inline comments explaining pointer updates (which are non-trivial). And to suggest test cases to validate all operations

◆ Certainly! Here is a plan to implement a Singly Linked List with the requested operations, include inline comments for pointer updates, and suggest test cases.

- **Implement node class:** Create a simple `Node` class to represent elements in the linked list.
- **Implement linkedlist class:** Create a `LinkedList` class with an `__init__` method to initialize the head of the list.
- **Implement insert_at_end():** Add a method to the `LinkedList` class to insert a new node at the end of the list. Include inline comments explaining pointer updates.
- **Implement delete_value():** Add a method to the `LinkedList` class to delete the first occurrence of a given value from the list. Include inline comments explaining pointer updates.
- **Implement traverse():** Add a method to the `LinkedList` class to traverse and print the elements of the list.
- **Suggest test cases:** Suggest test cases to validate the `insert_at_end()`, `delete_value()`, and `traverse()` operations, covering different scenarios (e.g., empty list, single node, multiple nodes).

◆ What can I help you build?

Gemini can make mistakes so double-check it and use code with caution. [Learn more](#)

```

    current = self.head
    previous = None
    while current and current.data != value:
        previous = current
        current = current.next
    if current is None:
        print(f"Value {value} not found in the list.")
        return
    previous.next = current.next # Link previous node to the node after current, bypassing current

def traverse(self):
    """Traverses the list and prints elements."""
    current = self.head
    while current:
        print(current.data, end=" -> ")
        current = current.next
    print("None")

# --- Test Cases ---
print("--- Testing insert_at_end() ---")
linked_list = LinkedList()
linked_list.insert_at_end(10)
print("List after inserting 10:")
linked_list.traverse()

print("\nTest Case: Insert additional values")
linked_list.insert_at_end(20)
linked_list.insert_at_end(30)
print("List after inserting 20 and 30:")
linked_list.traverse()

print("\n--- Testing traverse() ---")
print("\nTest Case: Traverse an empty list")
empty_list = LinkedList()

```

```

print("\n--- Testing traverse() ---")
print("\nTest Case: Traverse an empty list")
empty_list = LinkedList()
print("Traversing an empty list:")
empty_list.traverse()

print("\n--- Testing delete_value() ---")
print("\nTest Case: Delete from an empty list")
empty_list_for_delete = LinkedList()
print("Attempting to delete 5 from an empty list:")
empty_list_for_delete.delete_value(5)
print("List after attempted deletion:")
empty_list_for_delete.traverse()

print("\nTest Case: Delete the head node")
list_to_delete_head = LinkedList()
list_to_delete_head.insert_at_end(1)
list_to_delete_head.insert_at_end(2)
list_to_delete_head.insert_at_end(3)
print("\nOriginal list:")
list_to_delete_head.traverse()
print("Deleting head node (value 1):")
list_to_delete_head.delete_value(1)
print("List after deleting head:")
list_to_delete_head.traverse()

print("\nTest Case: Delete a node in the middle")
list_to_delete_middle = LinkedList()
list_to_delete_middle.insert_at_end(10)
list_to_delete_middle.insert_at_end(20)
list_to_delete_middle.insert_at_end(30)
list_to_delete_middle.insert_at_end(40)
print("\nOriginal list:")
list_to_delete_middle.traverse()

```



```

list_to_delete_middle.traverse()
print("Deleting middle node (value 30):")
list_to_delete_middle.delete_value(30)
print("List after deleting middle node:")
list_to_delete_middle.traverse()

print("\nTest Case: Delete the last node")
list_to_delete_last = LinkedList()
list_to_delete_last.insert_at_end(100)
list_to_delete_last.insert_at_end(200)
list_to_delete_last.insert_at_end(300)
print("\nOriginal list:")
list_to_delete_last.traverse()
print("Deleting last node (value 300):")
list_to_delete_last.delete_value(300)
print("List after deleting last node:")
list_to_delete_last.traverse()

print("\nTest Case: Attempt to delete a value not in the list")
list_no_value = LinkedList()
list_no_value.insert_at_end(5)
list_no_value.insert_at_end(15)
list_no_value.insert_at_end(25)
print("\nOriginal list:")
list_no_value.traverse()
print("Attempting to delete value 100 (not in list):")
list_no_value.delete_value(100)
print("List after attempted deletion:")
list_no_value.traverse()

print("\nTest Case: Delete a value from a list with duplicate values")
list_with_duplicates = LinkedList()
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(5)
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(10)

```

```

print("\nTest Case: Delete a value from a list with duplicate values")
list_with_duplicates = LinkedList()
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(5)
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(10)
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(5)
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(15)
list_with_duplicates.insert_at_end(5)
print("\nOriginal list:")
list_with_duplicates.traverse()
print("Deleting first occurrence of value 5:")
list_with_duplicates.delete_value(5)
print("List after deleting first occurrence of 5:")
list_with_duplicates.traverse()

```

```

--- Testing insert_at_end() ---
List after inserting 10:
10 -> None

Test Case: Insert additional values
List after inserting 20 and 30:
10 -> 20 -> 30 -> None

--- Testing traverse() ---

Test Case: Traverse an empty list
Traversing an empty list:
None

--- Testing delete_value() ---

Test Case: Delete from an empty list
Attempting to delete 5 from an empty list:
List is empty. Cannot delete.
List after attempted deletion:
None

Test Case: Delete the head node

Original list:
1 -> 2 -> 3 -> None
Deleting head node (value 1):
Deleted head node with value: 1
List after deleting head:
2 -> 3 -> None

```

```

Test Case: Delete a node in the middle

Original list:
10 -> 20 -> 30 -> 40 -> None
Deleting middle node (value 30):
List after deleting middle node:
10 -> 20 -> 40 -> None

Test Case: Delete the last node

Original list:
100 -> 200 -> 300 -> None
Deleting last node (value 300):
List after deleting last node:
100 -> 200 -> None

Test Case: Attempt to delete a value not in the list


Original list:
5 -> 15 -> 25 -> None
Attempting to delete value 100 (not in list):
Value 100 not found in the list.
List after attempted deletion:
5 -> 15 -> 25 -> None

Test Case: Delete a value from a list with duplicate values

Original list:
5 -> 10 -> 5 -> 15 -> 5 -> None
Deleting first occurrence of value 5:
Deleted head node with value: 5
List after deleting first occurrence of 5:
10 -> 5 -> 15 -> 5 -> None

```


Explanation:



Task 4:

write a python program to Implement a Binary Search Tree with methods for insert(), search(), and inorder_traversal().Provide AI with a partially written Node and BST class.Ask AI to complete missing methods and add docstrings.Test with a list of integers and compare outputs of search() for present vs absent elements.

Code and Output:



```

def search(self, data):
    return self._search_recursive(self.root, data)

def _search_recursive(self, current_node, data):
    if current_node is None:
        return False
    if current_node.data == data:
        return True
    elif data < current_node.data:
        return self._search_recursive(current_node.left, data)
    else:
        return self._search_recursive(current_node.right, data)

def inorder_traversal(self):
    result = []
    self._inorder_recursive(self.root, result)
    return result

def _inorder_recursive(self, current_node, result):
    if current_node:
        self._inorder_recursive(current_node.left, result)
        result.append(current_node.data)
        self._inorder_recursive(current_node.right, result)

# Test the implementation
int_list = [50, 30, 20, 40, 70, 60, 80]
bst = BST()
for number in int_list:
    bst.insert(number)

present_element = 40
search_result_present = bst.search(present_element)
print(f"Searching for {present_element}: {search_result_present}")

```

```

absent_element = 90
search_result_absent = bst.search(absent_element)
print(f"Searching for {absent_element}: {search_result_absent}")

inorder_result = bst.inorder_traversal()
print("In-order traversal:", inorder_result)

```

```

↩️ Searching for 40: True
Searching for 90: False
In-order traversal: [20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80]

```

Explanation:

k explanation

★ Certainly! The code in cell `5b7a1f3a` implements a Binary Search Tree (BST) data structure in Python.

Here's a breakdown:

- **Node class:** This class represents a single node within the BST. Each node stores a `data` value and has pointers (`left` and `right`) to its left and right child nodes.
- **BST class:** This class represents the entire Binary Search Tree. It has a `root` attribute that points to the topmost node of the tree.
 - `__init__`: Initializes an empty BST by setting the `root` to `None`.
 - `insert(data)`: This method adds a new node with the given `data` to the BST while maintaining the BST properties (smaller values go to the left, larger values go to the right). It uses a recursive helper method `_insert_recursive` to find the correct position.
 - `search(data)`: This method checks if a node with the given `data` exists in the BST. It also uses a recursive helper method `_search_recursive` to efficiently traverse the tree.
 - `inorder_traversal()`: This method performs an in-order traversal of the BST, which visits the nodes in ascending order of their data values. It uses a recursive helper method `_inorder_recursive` to build a list of the visited nodes' data.

The code then creates a `BST` instance, inserts some integers into it, and demonstrates the `search` method for both a present and an absent element. Finally, it performs an in-order traversal and prints the sorted elements.

👍 🗨

Task 5:

Write a python program to Implement a Graph using an adjacency list, with traversal methods BFS() and DFS(). Start with an adjacency list dictionary. And to generate BFS and DFS implementations with inline comments. Compare recursive vs iterative DFS if suggested by AI.

Code and Output:

```
import collections

class Graph:
    def __init__(self):
        self.adj_list = {}

    def bfs(self, start_node):
        queue = collections.deque([start_node])
        visited = {start_node}
        traversal_order = []

        while queue:
            current_node = queue.popleft()
            traversal_order.append(current_node)

            if current_node in self.adj_list:
                for neighbor in self.adj_list[current_node]:
                    if neighbor not in visited:
                        visited.add(neighbor)
                        queue.append(neighbor)

        return traversal_order

    def dfs_recursive(self, start_node, visited=None, traversal_order=None):
        if visited is None:
            visited = set()
        if traversal_order is None:
            traversal_order = []

        visited.add(start_node)
        traversal_order.append(start_node)

        if start_node in self.adj_list:
            for neighbor in self.adj_list[start_node]:
                if neighbor not in visited:
```

Gemini

Implement a Graph using an adjacency list, with traversal methods BFS() and DFS(). Start with an adjacency list dictionary. And to generate BFS and DFS implementations with inline comments. Compare recursive vs iterative DFS if suggested by AI.

Okay, I can help you with that. Here's a plan to implement a Graph using an adjacency list and add BFS and DFS traversal methods. We can also look into comparing recursive and iterative DFS implementations.

- **Define graph class with adjacency list:** Define a `Graph` class that uses a dictionary to represent the adjacency list.
- **Implement bfs:** Implement the Breadth-First Search (BFS) traversal method for the graph.
- **Implement dfs (recursive):** Implement the Depth-First Search (DFS) traversal method using a recursive approach.
- **Implement dfs (iterative):** Implement the Depth-First Search (DFS) traversal method using an iterative approach.
- **Compare dfs implementations:** Discuss the differences and trade-offs between the recursive and iterative DFS implementations.
- **Test traversal methods:** Create a sample graph and test both BFS and DFS methods, printing the traversal results.
- **Add inline comments:** Add inline comments to the code to explain the steps in the BFS and DFS implementations.
- **Finish task:** Put the findings from the earlier stages into a format that anyone can read.

What can I help you build?

Gemini can make mistakes so double-check it and use code with caution. [Learn more](#)

```
        if start_node in self.adj_list:
            for neighbor in self.adj_list[start_node]:
                if neighbor not in visited:
                    self.dfs_recursive(neighbor, visited, traversal_order)
        return traversal_order

    def dfs_iterative(self, start_node):
        traversal_order = []
        visited = set()
        stack = [start_node]
        visited.add(start_node)

        while stack:
            current_node = stack.pop()
            traversal_order.append(current_node)

            if current_node in self.adj_list:
                for neighbor in reversed(self.adj_list[current_node]):
                    if neighbor not in visited:
                        visited.add(neighbor)
                        stack.append(neighbor)

        return traversal_order

# Test the implementation
graph = Graph()
graph.adj_list = {
    'A': ['B', 'C'],
    'B': ['D', 'E'],
    'C': ['F'],
    'D': [],
    'E': ['F'],
    'F': []
}
```

```

start_node = 'A'

bfs_result = graph.bfs(start_node)
print(f"BFS traversal starting from {start_node}: {bfs_result}")

dfs_recursive_result = graph.dfs_recursive(start_node)
print(f"Recursive DFS traversal starting from {start_node}: {dfs_recursive_result}")

dfs_iterative_result = graph.dfs_iterative(start_node)
print(f"Iterative DFS traversal starting from {start_node}: {dfs_iterative_result}")

```

```

➡ BFS traversal starting from A: ['A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F']
Recursive DFS traversal starting from A: ['A', 'B', 'D', 'E', 'F', 'C']
Iterative DFS traversal starting from A: ['A', 'B', 'D', 'E', 'F', 'C']

```

Explanation:

K explanation

✦ Certainly! The code in cell `4c8cff06` implements a `Graph` data structure using an adjacency list and includes methods for Breadth-First Search (BFS) and Depth-First Search (DFS) traversals.

Here's a breakdown:

- **`Graph` class:** This class represents a graph. It uses a dictionary called `adj_list` to store the adjacency list representation. The keys of the dictionary are the nodes, and the values are lists of their neighboring nodes.
 - `__init__`: Initializes an empty graph with an empty adjacency list.
 - `bfs(start_node)`: Performs a Breadth-First Search starting from the `start_node`. It uses a queue to explore the graph level by level, ensuring that all nodes at the current depth are visited before moving to the next depth. A `visited` set is used to keep track of visited nodes and prevent infinite loops in graphs with cycles.
 - `dfs_recursive(start_node, visited=None, traversal_order=None)`: Performs a Depth-First Search starting from the `start_node` using a recursive approach. It explores as far as possible along each branch before backtracking. It uses a `visited` set to keep track of visited nodes and a `traversal_order` list to store the order of visited nodes.
 - `dfs_iterative(start_node)`: Performs a Depth-First Search starting from the `start_node` using an iterative approach with an explicit stack. This method simulates the recursive call stack to achieve the same traversal order. It also uses a `visited` set to track visited nodes. Note that the neighbors are pushed onto the stack in reverse order to match the typical recursive DFS traversal order when the adjacency list is processed in a specific order.

The code then creates a sample `Graph` instance with some nodes and edges defined in the `adj_list`. It then performs BFS, recursive DFS, and iterative DFS starting from node 'A' and prints the resulting traversal orders.

👍 🔄