## A Comparative Study Between

## Traditional Machine Learning Algorithms and Basic Neural Networks

#### Abstract:

This paper presents a comparative study between traditional machine learning (ML) algorithms and basic neural networks (NNs). It aims to highlight the architectural, computational, and performance differences between the two paradigms. Through theoretical comparison and real-world examples, we analyze their strengths, limitations, and ideal application scenarios.

#### 1. Introduction

Machine learning has become a pivotal technology in the field of artificial intelligence, providing solutions for complex problems across various domains such as healthcare, finance, and image recognition. Traditional ML algorithms like decision trees, k-nearest neighbors (KNN), and support vector machines (SVM) have been instrumental in solving problems involving structured data. In contrast, neural networks, especially in their simplest forms such as multilayer perceptrons (MLPs), have shown promise in dealing with high-dimensional, unstructured data.

This paper aims to dissect the fundamental differences between these two approaches, focusing on their architecture, data requirements, performance, interpretability, and computational complexity.

### 2. Overview of Traditional Machine Learning Algorithms

Traditional machine learning algorithms are a class of models that rely on explicit programming or rule-based approaches to extract patterns from data. These models often require a well-defined set of input features and work best when the dataset is structured and of moderate size.

Key characteristics of traditional ML algorithms include:

- **Decision Trees:** Use a tree-like model of decisions; they are easy to interpret and visualize, though prone to overfitting.
- **K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN):** A lazy learning algorithm that assigns class labels based on the majority label among the nearest neighbors in the training data.

• Support Vector Machines (SVM): Work well for both linear and nonlinear classification tasks by finding an optimal hyperplane that separates different classes.

Traditional ML algorithms often require significant domain knowledge for feature extraction and are generally less computationally intensive.

#### 3. Overview of Basic Neural Networks

Basic neural networks, or artificial neural networks (ANNs), are inspired by the structure and functioning of the human brain. They consist of layers of interconnected nodes (neurons), where each connection has an associated weight that adjusts as learning progresses.

Core aspects of basic neural networks include:

- Architecture: Composed of an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and an output layer. Each neuron applies a transformation (typically non-linear) to the input data.
- Learning Mechanism: The network learns by adjusting weights using optimization techniques like gradient descent, and error is propagated backward using backpropagation.
- Strengths: Capable of capturing complex, non-linear patterns in data, and can generalize well when provided with large amounts of data.

Unlike traditional ML, neural networks are particularly effective in processing high-dimensional and unstructured data such as images, audio, and text, though they come with higher computational costs and reduced interpretability.

# 4. Key Differences

Feature	Traditional MI	Basic Neural Networks
Data Type	Structured	Structured & Unstructured
Feature Engineering	g Manual	Often automatic
Interpretability	High	Low
Training Time	Fast	Slow (relative)
Scalability	Moderate	High

#### Feature Traditional ML Basic Neural Networks

Computational Cost Low High

## 5. Use Case Comparison

- Traditional ML: Preferred in applications where data is tabular and interpretability is crucial, such as fraud detection and medical diagnostics.
- **Neural Networks:** Ideal for image recognition, natural language processing, and scenarios requiring pattern recognition in unstructured data.

### 6. Conclusion

Both traditional ML algorithms and basic neural networks have their unique advantages and drawbacks. The choice between them depends heavily on the nature of the data, the importance of interpretability, and available computational resources. Understanding their core differences enables practitioners to make informed decisions tailored to their specific application needs.