

10

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HYDROCARBONS

(Under Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas)

OIDB Bhawan, Plot No 2,
Sector 73, Noida - 201 301 U.P

**Audit Report of
CY-ONN-2002-2
FOR THE YEAR 2013 - 14.**

**CHATTERJEE & CO.
CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS**

153, Rash Behari Avenue
3rd Floor, Flat No. 1
Kolkata - 700 029.

PHONE: 2465 6114 / 6115 / 6212

E-Mail : chatterjee.ca@rediffmail.com
chatterjee.ca1955@gmail.com

To
Directorate General of Hydrocarbons
(Under Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas)
OIDB Bhawan, Plot No 2,
Sector 73, Noida – 201 301 U.P.

**Sub : Auditor Report of NELP Block CY-ONN-2002/2,
For The Years 2013-14
Kind Attention : Mr. Rupam Barua**

We have audited and inspected the accounting records of contractors on behalf of the Govt. of NELP Block CY-ONN-2002/2, operator ONGC for the years 2013-14 in terms of your appointment letter no. DGH/MM/8th Round Audit/ 008/2015-16/ENQ-006/ORD/041 dated 23.10.2015 and the scope of Audit given in Annexure A(a) and appendices thereto attached with the appointment letter. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the records produced before us based on our audit and to give definite recommendations on all audit exceptions such as disallowance of contract cost, re-computation of revenue or any other remedial measure.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in India. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit also includes an examination, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.

An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the management, as well as overall presentation of statement. We believe that our Audit provide a reasonable basis, for our opinion .

On the basis of our audit and inspection carried out in terms of your appointment letter stated above and the scope of audit we report that:



Annexure-A (a)

Scope of Govt.-Audit of PSCs

CY-ONN-2002/2

1		Whether contractor is maintaining proper books of accounts for all its transactions pertaining to the PSCs and reports of production statement, value of production & pricing statement etc as required under section 4 to 12 of Accounting Procedure of PSC.	The contractor is maintaining all the records in JV module in SAP system where all the expenses relating to JV can be verified.
2	(a)	Whether all Expenditures and Incomes have been accounted for accurately, as per PSC.	We have perused the system of keeping expenditure (as there is no income) and found that all expenditures are accounted for correctly.
	(b)	Whether validation of quantity of hydrocarbons produced and saved, sales and income has been done on accrual basis or not.	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
	(c)	Whether the methods of measurement of petroleum used by the contractor were approved by the management committee and whether material balancing of the quantity of petroleum has been reconciled.	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
	(d)	Whether investment multiple and profit petroleum to GOI has been calculated as per the provisions laid down in the PSC and is correct	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
	(e)	Whether significant accounting policies adopted by contractor are in accordance with the requirements of PSC	We observed that the significant accounting policies adopted by the JV are in accordance with the requirements of PSC.

		such as for foreign currency translation, fixed assets, inventories, etc.	
	(f)	Issues of internal control, fixed assets and fraud as per CARO 2003	On the basis of our examination of the books and records of the NELP block (CY-ONN-2002/2) and according to the information and explanations given to us, we have neither come across nor have been informed of any continuing failure to correct major weakness in the aforesaid internal control system. The JVC does not have any fixed asset in its own. Fixed assets are in the books of the Operator and the charges for the usage of such assets are booked to the JVC on cost basis of the services rendered. As inform to us no fraud detected during the year 2013-14.
		In case it is not so, the financial impact should be quantified against each and stated in audit exceptions.	Not Applicable.
3		Whether all costs and expenditures have been classified into proper heads of expenditures as defined in the PSC namely Exploration, Development and Production.	The project is under Exploration stage as such, all the expenses have been booked and classified under Exploration Expenditure.
4		Audit Report and Statement of Audited Accounts	
		Auditor should validate inter-alia the following statements:	
	(a)	Statement of sources & utilization of funds along with its various schedules (Format-1)	We have perused the audited statements of accounts and found the statement of sources and utilization of funds along with its various schedule are as per Format-1.
	(b)	Value of petroleum produced and sold including the government's share of profit petroleum and sales schedule.	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.

	(c)	Valuation of petroleum as per PSC for crude oil/natural gas and condensate and financial impact in case of deviations.	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
	(d)	Extent of allowable and recoverable costs as defined in section 3 of Accounting Procedures (format 2, 2A, 3 &4)	The expenses to the tune of USD 2113459 is allowable and recoverable costs during the 2013-14 as defined in section 3 of Accounting Procedures (format 2, 2A, 3 &4).
	(e)	Calculation of Profit petroleum, as per PSC (Formate-5,5A)	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
	(f)	Calculation of Notional Income tax, Investment Multiple/PTRR as applicable, as per PSC.	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
5		Whether the cost petroleum and profit petroleum taken by the contractor was in accordance with the allocation done by the management committee and whether the cost petroleum is within the maximum limits stipulated in PSC under Article " Recovery of Cost Petroleum"	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
6		Whether the "Notional tax" considered by contractor while calculating the "Investment Multiple" / "PTRR" has been accurately determined as per Income Tax Act and PSC provisions. If not, notional tax liability and investment multiple / PTRR and impact on profit petroleum have to be worked out and stated in the audit exception.	Since the Block is yet to produce, hence this clause is not applicable.
7		Auditor may check whether all the conditions in regard to all the Essentiality certificates issued for imports at	During our checking we found that the conditions in regard to all the Essentiality certificates issued during FY 2013-14 for imports at concessional rates of Customs

	concessional rates of Customs Duty were being fulfilled.	Duty were fulfilled.
8	Whether the Joint venture is properly following the procedures as laid down in JOA and PSC with regard to procurement of materials and services. If not, deviations should be detailed.	The Operator has a well laid down policy on procurement of materials which is in consonance with the procedure laid down in JOA and PSC. On our test check, we found the company has adhered to the above mentioned policy.
9	Whether there are cases of procurement from affiliates and others, other than at arm's length.	No record is available for procurement from affiliates and others, at arm's length price. However, management certified that materials were procured by ONGC, the Parent company and transferred to the block at cost and no profit element is included therein.
10	Whether the contractor has claimed cost recovery of items still lying in store/inventory and not consumed.	The contractor does not hold any stock in its book and only the actual inventory consumed out of the inventories supplied by Parent Company ONGC, has been booked and claimed as cost recovery.
11	Whether the contractor has accounted expenditure prohibited under section 3.2 of Accounting Procedure of PSC.	During our verification we have not come across any expenditure prohibited under section 3.2 of Accounting Procedure of PSC charge to revenue.
12	Whether Royalty, Cess, License Fee, Profit Petroleum, PLP (in case of CBM block), and other statutory payments have been correctly determined and timely paid to the Government in line with ORD Act, P&NG Rules, OIDB Act and PSC wherever applicable. If not, correct liabilities shall be stated by auditors. Auditors to certify the month wise / year wise payment of Royalty, Cess amount etc. for crude oil, Natural gas and / or	Since the block has not gone to production stage there is no Royalty, Cess, Profit Petroleum payments applicable to this block for the year 2013-14. Only Licence Fee is applicable which is timely paid.



	condensate separately. The certified statement should show the amount of Royalty, Cess etc. payable as per PSC, actual amount paid to the concerned authorities and excess / shortfall amount of Royalty, Cess etc.													
13	Whether all assets (movable/ immovable) and inventory have been properly recorded, accounted for, maintained, reconciled and verified at reasonable intervals and if any discrepancy found the same has been corrected. If not details to be provided.	The JVC does not have any fixed asset and inventories in its own. Fixed assets and inventories are in the books of the parent company (ONGC) and the charges for the usage of such assets are booked to the JVC on cost basis of the services rendered and inventories are booked on the basis of actual consumption. As there are no fixed assets and inventories in the books of the JVC hence the question of physical verification and reconciliation does not arise.												
14	Whether the Overheads charged by the JV are reasonable, verifiable and devoid of any duplication, as per provisions of PSC. If not, details of deviations shall be indicated by the auditors along with recommendation.	Overheads charged to JV are reasonable and verifiable and devoid of any duplication, as per provisions of PSC.												
15	Whether the actual expenditure incurred by contractor is in pursuance to an approved/ reviewed work programme and budget by MC (as the case may be as per provisions of PSC), and whether in the process of charging such costs to Cost Petroleum, the specific stipulations/points raised by the MC (if any) in regard to Budget have been taken care of. If not, details shall be	<p>The expenditure during the year is much less than the budget estimates. As budget 8979727 and actual 2113659. This is mainly under the following heads</p> <table><tr><th>Description</th><th>Budget USD</th><th>Actual USD</th><th>Variance USD</th></tr><tr><td>Drilling Intangible</td><td>4892224</td><td>269143</td><td>4623081</td></tr><tr><td>Drilling Tangible</td><td>1427579</td><td>349</td><td>1427230</td></tr></table> <p>As inform to us these are mainly due to deferment of drilling activity due to local</p>	Description	Budget USD	Actual USD	Variance USD	Drilling Intangible	4892224	269143	4623081	Drilling Tangible	1427579	349	1427230
Description	Budget USD	Actual USD	Variance USD											
Drilling Intangible	4892224	269143	4623081											
Drilling Tangible	1427579	349	1427230											

		provided by the auditors with recommendation.	agitation.
16	(a)	Whether contractor has carried out the satisfactory adjustment of observations raised by the Auditors appointed by the operator with approval of MC in the past.	As the observations raised by Auditor do not have any financial implication hence no adjustment was done. However in other cases the block has taken appropriate steps.
	(b)	Compliance on satisfactory adjustment of past audit exceptions of audit reports of auditors appointed by Govt. / DGH by the operator as directed by MOP&NG /DGH may be indicated by the audit firm by giving definite recommendations for either dropping the exception or pursuing it further.	Not applicable.
17		Whether the salary & compensation in respect of nationals and expatriate manpower has been charged to Cost Petroleum as per contractor's standard personnel policy, as per provisions of PSC. If not, deviations should be detailed by auditors. Auditors should also suggest if any avoidable cost required adjustment due to such deviations.	There was no expatriate manpower and in case of national man power salary & compensation has been charged to Cost Petroleum as per contractor's standard personnel policy, as per provisions of PSC.
18		Whether contractor has created and funded Site Restoration Fund as per provisions of PSC and Govt. Site Restoration Fund Scheme 1999. Auditors should comment regarding adequacy of SRF as required in PSC & Schemes.	As informed to us since there was no drilling activity during the audit period, hence Site Restoration Fund has not been created as per Govt. Site Restoration Fund Scheme 1999.

19	Whether the contractor during the term of the contract, maintained and obtained insurance coverage for and in relation to petroleum operation for such amount and against such risks as are customarily or prudently insured in the international petroleum industry. Whether the premium paid for joint insurance policies has been duly segregated and only premium which was related to the specific PSC is accounted as contract cost.	A comprehensive insurance policy covering all the NELP blocks have been taken by parent company ONGC and are allocated to the blocks on an approved basis.
20	Whether contractor has included the name of GOI as co-insured in all its" insurance policies and whether waiver of subrogation against GOI has been taken in all such policies.	Contractor has included the name of GOI as co-insured in its' insurance policies.
21	Whether, in the case of transfer of material from one block/ field to another block/ field, DGH"s prior approval has been obtained and whether the conditions stated by DGH in the permission of such transfer, have been fulfilled /adhered to. Auditors should also verify the transfers of costs of items & services transferred to / from the concerned blocks / operators.	During checking of the transactions we have not come across any transfer in or out of material from one block/field to another block/field during the audit period. Hence, the prior approval of DGH is not applicable.
22	Whether the contractor submitted the bank guarantees/performance guarantees as per provision of PSC.	The contractor has submitted the bank guarantees/performance guarantees as per provision of PSC.
23	Whether annual audit of accounts was carried out on	Yes, the audit for the period ending 31.03.2014 was done by an independent

	behalf of the contractor by an independent firm of chartered accountants whose appointment was approved by the Management Committee.	firm of chartered accountants whose appointment was approved by the Management Committee.
--	--	---

This is the end of our present report.

For **CHATTERJEE & Co.**
Chartered Accountants
FRN 302114E

Date : 3rd May 2016
Place : Kolkata



(A.K. Basu)
Partner
M. No. 051104