इड्रोकार्बन महानिदेशालय

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय भारत सरकार

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HYDROCARBONS

DGH/Audit/2023

Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas Government of India

08.05 2023

Ms. Sunipa Roy, SBU President- Offshore

Survey No-232, Village, suvali, Surat-Hazira Road, Surat. 394510

Guarat

Sunipa.Roy@cairnindia.com

Sub: Audit Exceptions for the block CB-OS/2 for the year 2019-20

Sir.

This has preference to the Audit report submitted by M/s AMAA & Associates, Chartered Accountants, for the block CB-OS/2 operated by Cairn Energy India Pvt Ltd. for the year 2019-20. Audit exceptions reported by the auditor for which necessary compliance and corrective action to paired by the operator are notified as under:

Audit Exception no. 1: Bank Receipts from sales of crude Oil and Gas

Amide 25.1 in the PSC provides that-

"The Contractor shall maintain at an office in India accurate and current books, records, reports and account not its activities for and in connection with Petroleum Operations so as to present a Ler, clear and accurate records of all its activities, expenditures and receipts. The Contractor shall als a keep representative samples of cores and cuttings."

Further Arthur 25.7 mentions that-

The first purpose of any audit referred to in Article 25.3 and 25.5, the Contractor shall make articlable to the auditor all such books, records, accounts and other documents and information as it with reasonably required by the auditor."

Re sapes framesales of crude oil and gas are being credited in the Contractors corporate level bank ascounts. Further, the operator refused to provide the access to such corporate level bank account same it also acceives income from other business operations. In absence of the same, Auditor is tradible to the extent whether current books, records and accounts present a fair, clear and accurate the order of the same.

Anditors View & Recommendation: The Operator is directed to route all the transactions through his 2% bank account to comply with the provisions of PSC.

And Execution no. 2: Non-accounting of closing stock in the computation of Profit Petro sum

As per Article 1.72 of the PSC, the Profit oil should also include value of the closing stock of Crude 6.1 at the end of each year. Non-adherence to this provision of the PSC results in decrease in the States of Profit Performance to GOI.



The Profit Oil had been computed based on sales from Crude Oil. The Operator case actic ensuler the value of closing stock of Crude Oil at the end of each year in the computed an or profit petroleum, which is in contravention to the provisions of the PSC.

Auditors View & Recommendation: The Operator is directed to include value of closing stock of Crude Oil at the end of each year in the computation of profit petroleum and pay the Government share of profit petroleum along with interest.

 $\label{lem:audit Exception no. 3:Non-segregation of Development area wise booking of expendence in SAP$

The Operator manually allocates the expenditure to each development Area based on the WBS element, and there is no functionality configured in SAP to account for the development area wise break-up of expenditure.

Auditors View & Recommendation: The Operator is directed to ensure the coordinate of the provisions of PSC and booking of expenditure according to development area.

Audit Exception no. 4: Recovery of expenses incurred beyond delivery point

As per Article 27.2 of the PSC, "Title to Crude Oil and/or gas to which Contractor is antible Lunder this contract, and title to Crude Oil and/or Gas sold to Government or its and fines by the Companies shall pass to the relevant Party or, as the case may be, to Government or its nomines at the Delivery Point. Contractor shall be responsible for all costs and risks prior to the Pelivery Point and each Party shall be responsible for all costs and risks associated with such Party's share after the Delivery Point and where the Government or its nominee purchases all as some of the Companies' share of Crude Oil in accordance with Article 18, Government or its not incerchall be responsible for all costs and risks in respect of the amount purchased, after the Delivery Point." Further, Para 3.2 (iii) - Section 3 Appendix - C of the PSC states that costs of marketing or transportation of petroleum beyond the Delivery Point are costs which are not as averable and not allowed under the PSC.

The Operator had claimed recovery of expenses incurred beyond Delivery Point, aggregating to USD 70,824,422 upto 31 March 2020. The Operator had claimed these expenses by reducing it from gross revenue in the End of Year Statement for each year.

Table 1: Details of cost recovery of expenses incurred beyond Delivery Point

| S. No. | Name o Development Area | Up to 31-Mar-2019 | For FY 2019-20 | (Amount in USD) Up to 31-Mar-2020 |
|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Lakshmi | 50,023,834 | 8.273.114 | 58,296,948 |
| 2 | Gauri | 10,768,923 | 1,758,551 | 12.527.474 |
| | Total | 60,792,757 | 10,031,665 | 70,824,422 |

Auditors View & Recommendation: Operator is directed to comply with the procisions of the PSC and calculate the profit petroleum correctly and pay to the GOI with interest.

Audit Exception no. 5: Inappropriate methodology adopted for allocation of common development cost (i.e. Facilities cost) between Laxmi, Gauri & Transition zone

As per Article 14.5 and 14.6 of the PSC, cost incurred by the Contractor in any Development Area should be aggregated and recovered from that Development Area. Hence, the common cost should be allocated and recovered from the value of the Crude Oil, Condensate and/or Natural Gas produced and saved from that Development Area.

Article 14.5 of the PSC states, "Development Costs incurred by the Contractor in any Development Area up to the date of Commercial Production from such Development Area shall be aggregated, and the Contractor shall be entitled to recover out of the Cost Petroleum from that Development Area in aggregate of such Development Costs at the rate of one hundred percent (100%) per annum of such Development Costs beginning from the date of such Commercial Production from the saci Development Area"

Article 14.6 of the PSC states, "The Contractor shall be entitled to recover out of the Cost Petroleum from a Development Area the Development Costs which it has incurred on such Development Area after the date of Commercial Production from that Development Area at the rate of one handred percent (100%) per annum of such Development Costs' beginning from the dates well Development Costs are incurred."

These wone sammon onshore processing facility utilized to process oil and gas extracted from all these hexelopment Areas (i.e. Laxmi, Gauri & Transition Zone). The Contractors had incurred and recovered Development cost aggregating to USD 542.32 million for the three Development Areas up to 21 March 2020.

Further, this Development cost also includes the cost pertaining to the processing facility (i.e., Proced Stallier, Major Capital Works & Major Capital Purchases), which is common between the those Speedopment Areas, i.e., Laxmi, Gauri & Transition Zone.

These costs should be recovered from all the three Development Areas in the ratio of recoverable reserves at EDP. However, the Operator informed that these costs have been allocated and reserves of the three Development Areas on the basis of the approved annual Work Program as Basis to (WPB) in the ratio of the annual production volumes of the respective Development Areas.

Table 2: Variation in cost recovery & allocation of common facilities cost

Amount in USD Million Name of Development Cost recovery as Allocation of cost Variation per Operator based on recoverable reserves of FDP 119.12 137.67 18.55 61.55 44.70 -16.86Committee Zone 3.63 1.93 -1.69184.30 184.30

Auditors View & Recommendation: Operator is directed to comply with the provisions of the field or dealculate the profit petroleum correctly and pay to the GOI with interest.

Audit Exception no. 6: Cost recovery of local community charges in contravention to the Provisions of PSC

P. ~ 2.00 Section 3 Appendix — C of the PSC states that donations and contributions are ~ 2.00 at all ~ 2.00 recoverable and not allowed under the PSC.

Impact on Profit Petroleum payable to GOI

Cost recovery of local community charges would result in a decrease in the local community Petroleum paid to GOI.

Table 3: Details of cost recovery of local community charges

(Amount in Lange-

| S. No. | Name of Development Area | Up to 31-Mar-2019 | For | Up to | |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--|
| 1 | Laxmi | 0.00 | FY 2019-20 | 31-Mar-2020 | |
| - | DANIII | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | |
| 2 | Gauri | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | |
| 3 | Transition Zone | - | . 0.00 | 0.04 | |
| Total | | 0.12 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | 0.00 | 10.12 | |

Auditors View & Recommendation: Operator is directed to comply the provision and the state of the state of

Audit Exception no. 7: Computation of short paid Royalty to the GOI

On the basis of information and data provided to us, we have found that requisite recalls, or all the Gas was not correctly paid to the Government of India by the operator for USD 1918-77.13 at 12.22 2019-20.

Audit Exception no. 8: Computation of revised profit petroleum to the GOI

The potential short Profit Petroleum payable to GOI aggregates to USD 37.18 Mil. \pm 100.000 below for details:

| Period | Lakshmi | Gauri | Transition Zone | Total Short / (excess) Profit |
|------------|---------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| UPTO | | 1.86 | | Petroleum Payable (40) |
| FY 2005-06 | | | | 1.00 |
| FY 2006-07 | (2.45) | 1.61 | | (0.94) |
| FY 2007-08 | (0.11) | (0.21) | 0.23 | (0.84) |
| FY 2008-09 | 0.04 | (0.14) | (0.21) | (0.31) |
| FY 2009-10 | 0# | 0.01 | (2.49) | (2.48) |
| FY 2010-11 | 0.95 | 0.38 | | 1.33 |
| FY 2011-12 | 1.58 | 0.17 | | 1.75 |
| FY 2012-13 | 1.14 | 0.21 | | 1.35 |
| FY 2013-14 | 2.99 | 0.28 | 0# | 3.27 |
| FY 2014-15 | 3.41 | 0.37 | | 3.78 |
| FY 2015-16 | 2.91 | 0.52 | | 3.43 |
| FY 2016-17 | 2.53 | 0.82 | 0# | 3.35 |
| FY 2017-18 | 2.36 | 1.42 | 2.69 | 6.47 |
| FY 2018-19 | 4.35 | 0.93 | 0# | 5.28 |
| FY 2019-20 | 8.53 | 1.52 | -0.02 | 10.03 |
| Total | 28.23 | 8.75 | 0.19 | 37.18 |

Auditors View & Recommendation:

Contractor is directed to remit the short paid Profit Petroleum to Gol along we apply a sinterest.

Audit Exception no. 9: Management committee meeting not conducted as per PSC

As per PSC Article 6.8 Management committee shall meet at least once every six (6) months or more frequently at the request of any MC member.

As per the MOM (Minutes of Committee Meeting) provided to audit, meeting was conducted on 16th May, 2019 after that no meeting has been conducted by the management which is a contravention to the terms of the PSC.

Auditors View & Recommendation: Operator is directed to explain the reason of not holding the meetings are required by PSC provisions.

Audit Exception no. 10: Service Tax Demands

The Operator L.d received nine show cause notices (SCNs) for non-payment of service tax on various services. Operator is in process of finalization of the reply and opines that the demand alleged for the period April 2014 to march 2016 amounting \$2.25 million (INR 169.82 million) is beyond binitation period prescribed under the service tax law and the balance demand alleged amounting \$1.59 million (INR 119.66 million) pertaining to the period April 2016 to June 2017.

Auditors View & Recommendation:

Operator is directed to comply the provisions of the applicable law and pay the due taxes timely and to inform the DGH present status with supporting documents.

Audit Exception no. 11: Approval of Audited Annual Accounts

Annual Accounts are approved by MC till 2010-11.

Auditors View & Recommendation: The Operator is directed to take approval for Annual Acoustics 2011-12 to FY 2019-20 on priority.

Audit Exception no. 12: Excess consideration of allowable cost recovery

Whole reviewing Format 2A of Lakshmi Development Area, we found that actual expenditure for Manor depend Works under Facility Cost was incurred for USD 1,956,447, however, amount considered for allowable cost recovery was booked as USD 1,957,070 i.e., excess 623 USD was her first accovery. It has an impact on GOI's share of profit petroleum.

Auditors View & Recommendation:

The Operator - directed to reverse the excess amount of USD 623 claimed as cost recovery and contribute supporting document.

And it Exception no. 13: Variation between Planned Production and Actual Production

A *1.** from of Fields Lakshmi and Gauri were less than Planned Production for the FY 2019-2019

| | Commingled Oil | | Associated Natural Gas | Non-Associated Natural Gas |
|-------------|----------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | BBL | MT | m3 | m3 |
| Planned (1) | 5,654,789 | 719,232 | 94,029,766 | 22,590,219 |
| | 4,616,683 | 588,707 | 88,794,420 | 21,198,639 |
| A 31 -1 11 | 1,038,106 | 130,525 | 5,235,346 | 1,391,581 |

Budget Cost as per MC:

| Particulars | Laxmi | Gauri | Total |
|--|----------|---------|----------|
| Budget Proposed in MC meeting | 43278000 | 8736000 | 52014000 |
| Less: by ONGC during MC Deliberations | 395000 | 65000 | 460000 |
| Less: Community Development & PCO | 0 | 0 | 44440 |
| Final Budget Approved in MC Meeting | 42883000 | 8671000 | 51509560 |

Calculation of Actual Cost Incurred during the FY 19-20:

| Particulars | Laxmi | Gauri | Transition zone | Total |
|--|----------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| Exploration | 0 | Ö | () | |
| Development | 3321487 | 714980 | 0 | - 4 |
| Production | 19510758 | 4326674 | 0 | - |
| General & Admin cost | 2112550 | 883995 | () | |
| Total Cost Excluding Royalty, Cess & NCCD (A) | 24944795 | 5925649 | 0 | dia 1 |
| Final Budget Approved in MC Meeting (B) | 42883000 | 8671000 | 0 | |
| Variance (B-A) | 17938205 | 2745351 | 0 | 116 |
| Variance %age | 42 | 32 | () | |

Auditors View & Recommendation: The Operator is directed to explain the resonant difference in Planned Production and Actual Production & Budget cost and actual costs.

Audit Exception no. 14: Insurance

The Contractor has not included the name of GOI as co-insured in the Insurance Police

Auditors View & Recommendation: The Operator is directed to explain the real one of including name of GOI as coinsured and to include the name of GOI to complete the provisions of PSC.

Audit Exception no. 15: Adjustment of Past Audit exceptions

Compliance report of the Prior years' Audit exceptions were still pending at Operator's end. Details are as per Annexure-1.

Auditors View & Recommendation: Operator is directed to send Compliance report immediately on prior year's Audit exceptions.

Accordingly, the above audit exceptions are forwarded to Operator for adjustments and compliance within 120 days in line with the PSC provisions.

Yours faithfully,

(MD Gupta) ED & HOD- Audit

English As Allowe

Previous Years Audit Exceptions for the block CB-OS/2

| S.no. | Exception no. | Year | Audit Exception | Action taken / to be taken by the Operator |
|-------|---------------|---------|---|--|
| 1 | 1 | 2018-19 | Separate bank accounts maintained by contractors at corporate level for receipts of sale of crude oil and gas | Maintenance and recording of a series from sale of crude oil and the different bank accounts (tax at the bank account of the Black contravention to the provided |
| 2 | 2 | 2018-19 | Non-accounting of closing stock in the computation of Profit oil | As per Article 1.72 of the Pool oil should also include the value closing stock of Crude oil and leach year |
| 3 | 3 | 2018-19 | Non-segregation of Development area wise booking of expenditure in SAP | The Operator manually did expenditure to each develor based on the WBS element and a count for the development break-up of expenditure of required to ensure complete provisions with respect to acceptable expenditure development of the expenditure d |
| 4 | 4 | 2018-19 | Cost recovery of expenses incurred beyond delivery point | the SAP ERP system As per Article 27.2 of the Para and 3.2 (iii)-section 3 appendix are expenses incurred beyond below point are not recoverable and a college of the para and |
| 5 | 5 | 2018-19 | Inappropriate methodology adopted for allocation of common development cost (i.e. Facilities cost) between Laxmi, Gauri & Transition zone | allowed under the PSC. As per Article 14.5 and |
| 6 | 6 | 2018-19 | Cost recovery of local community charges in contravention to the Provisions of PSC | As per Para 3.2 (vi -S); Appendix-C of the PSC, d nature of the contributions are not record not allowed under the PSC as required to reverse the state cost and remit GOI share a part Petroleum with applicable at the cost and remit with applicable at the cost and remit GOI share a part of |

| 7 | | 2018-19 | Computation of penal rate on short Royalty payable to the GOI | The short payment of Royalty upto FY 2018-19 and the penal Royalty upto 30 June 2021 payable to GOI has been calculated as per provisions of ORD Act, 1948 and PNG Rules, 1959 as amended and the amount is required to be paid to Government along with applicable penal Royalty till the date of payment. (USD 16.18 million plus INR 4.71 crores) |
|-----|------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 8 | | 2018-19 | Computation of revised profit petroleum to the GOI | Contractors are required to remit the short paid Profit petroleum to GOI along with applicable interest (i.e. USD 27.15 mn. plus USD 5.07 mn.) |
| 9 | | 2018-19 | Delay in submission of Production statement to DGH | As per Para 5.4 - Section 3 appendix-C of the PSC, Production statement for each month should be submitted to the Government not later than 10 days after the end of such month. However during FY 2018-19, the operator did not submit the Production statement within the due dates. |
| 10 | | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | Non-approval of Annual accounts | Operator is required to explain the reasons for not taking MC approval for Annual Accounts and advised to get the annual accounts upto FY 2017-18 approved by MC |
| 11 | , | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | Format not in line with DGH formats | Operator is advised to submit the data in prescribed formats and confirm the corrective action.(Quarterly statements i.e. Statement of costs, expenditure and receipts, Cost recovery statement, Profit sharing statement) |
| 1,7 | | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | Cost and Profit petroleum | Operator is required to work out profit petroleum based on value of crude oil produced and saved including impact of closing stock and submit the statement in prescribed formats. |
| 13 | | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | Transportation cost beyond delivery point | Operator is advised to make the payment of Profit petroleum FY 2016-17 US\$ 3360620/- and FY 2017-18 US\$ 3789953/- to GOI and correct the books of accounts accordingly |
| 14 | | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | Local community development | Operator is required to reverse the community development expenses of previous years and confirm the corrective action taken with documentary evidence. |
| 15 | | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | No approved vendor list | The operator is required to explain the reasons for not maintaining approved vendor list and maintain the same at the earliest |
| 10 | 1 () | 2016-17 and | No approved vendor | The operator is required to explain the reasons of non maintenance of |

Page 9 of 12

| | | | 2017-18 | qualification criteria | approved qualification criteries maintain the same at the earls |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | 17 | 11 | 2016-17 and 2017-18 | MC meetings | Operator is advised to provide reas is for not arranging MC meetings as an PSC and comply with the same house |
| | 18 | 1 | 2015-16 | Chart of accounts | forth. The operator is required to get to of accounts approved by Governor. |
| | 19 | 3 | 2015-16 | Cost Recovery approval | Section 1.4.1 of Appendix C to the Operator is required to take cost recovery approval on priority. |
| | 20 | 4 | 2015-16 | Transportation cost beyond delivery point | As pointed out by the auditors, under section 3 (Para 3.2-iii) of the Actuary procedure, Cost of marketing or transportation of petroleum beyond delivery point is not recoverable and so not allowable cost under the fraction of petroleum the fraction of profit petroleum (1888) 3469,245) need to be paid to die in the earliest with interest. |
| mentioned . How | 21 Amos | 5 wes mo | 2015-16 | Local community facilities | The Operator is required to reverse high |
| assured of of | a ever of | al Tar | 7 1947.110 | a a | 2104,341 and send documentary evidence for reversal made to Diad |
| | 22 | 6 | 2015-16 | Quarterly profit petroleum | Quarterly profit petroleum would undergo a change in the light so and exceptions 4 & 5 listed above. Quarterly profit is required to pay quarterly profit petroleum accurately and agree 1 written document should be available for previous year adjustment as pointed out by the auditors. |
| | 23 | 7 | 2015-16 | Profit petroleum | Contractor has recovered contract costs and of total volumes of Petroleum & Gas produced & sold during the year instead of total volumes of Petroleum & Gas produced & Saved during the year. Adjustments are required to be made as per PSC provisions and profit petroleum gayable to GOI needs to be reworked. Additional corrected profit petroleum needs to be paid to GOI with due interest at the earliest. |
| | 24 | 1 | 2013-14 | Internal control- fixed assets | Govt. has the right to inspect and see the reports. Therefore, Operator is required to provide the report from Internal Auditor and non-operator auditor's report for inspection to be carried out by the Govt. nominated auditors. |

| 25 | 2 | 2013-14 | Procurement: (Exception no. 2A-Sparrows Offshore Services Ltd.), | Operator is required to obtain MC Approval |
|----|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | (Exception Charter Hi support ve services- | FY 13-14 AF- 2 |
| | | | Tidewater marine intl. For US\$ 1,627,978/-), (Exception no. 2C-Gas Turbine Engine Maintenance & Supply- Solar Turbine Int'l for US\$ 831,387/-), | |
| 26 | 3 | 2013-14 | Chart of accounts | Operator is required to send the MC approved copy of the Chart of accounts for CB-OS/2. |
| 27 | 4 | 2013-14 | Valuation of Petroleum sold and royalty Payment | Operator is required to inform licensee and arrange the reply at the earliest. However, the issue of post Wellhead Cost is being dealt with the operator separately by DGH. |
| 28 | 5 | 2013-14 | Extent of Allowable & Recoverable Cost as per section 3 of A.P. | Operator is required to reverse and send documentary evidence of reversal made. |
| 29 | 6 | 2013-11 | Post well head Cost for royalty | Operator is required to inform licensee and arrange the reply at the earliest. However, the issue of post Wellhead Cost is being dealt with the operator separately by DGH. |
| 30 | 7 | 2013-14 | Approval of cost recovery | Operator is required to obtain MC Approval at the earliest. |
| 31 | 8 | 2013-14 | SRF Contribution | Provision in SRF needs to be immediately provided and funded as per SRF Scheme 1999 pointed out by the auditors. |
| 32 | 9 | 2013-14 | MC meetings | Operator has to ensure that MC Meetings are held as per PSC provisions. |
| 33 | 1 | 2011-12 and 2012-13 | Statements | Operator is required to submit all statements as per PSC on time. |
| 34 | 2 | 2011-12 and 2012-13 | Internal control | Operator is advised to provide copy of Internal Audit reports etc. to Govt. auditors whenever sought. |

| 35 | 3 | 2011-12 and 2012-13 | Procurement | Operator is required to explain the non-compliance point by point. |
|----|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 36 | 4 | 2011-12 and 2012-13 | Non recoverable expenses | Non recoverable expenses as non MC approved expenses need to be received as |
| 37 | 5 | 2011-12 and 2012-13 | Royalty and cess | ONGC need to expedite payment. |