	Roll No.	Name	Q1	Comments	Q2	Comments	Q3		Comments	Total
1	170010019	Ankamreddi Rahul Kumar	2	No observations reported, comment on best fit curve, comment on k-fold, result tabulation for k-fold missing	0	No solution	0	0	Not solved	2
2	170010023	Tejaswi Vykuntam	Ab	Ab	Ab	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0	0	Not Submitted	АВ
3	170020001	Arun Vilas Purohit	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.25
4	170020010	Bhavya Joshi	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.75	0.5	Why is so much difference between error of NE and GD?	8
5	170020032	Anoohya Pinninti	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	0.5	Reason the variations you have obtained	8.75
6	170030004	Kunal Chandrakant Patil	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	4	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.75
7	170030006	Saurav Nitin Dosi	3.75	No comment on cause for variation in results in each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.25	0	Parameter values are not even close to correct value. Comment on the variation obtained not mentioned.	7.5
8	170030008	VIHANG SUNIL PURANIK	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	1	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.25	0.25	For linear reg there should have been 4 parameters but you have given 5. If computed correctly multivar gives better results.	5.25
9	170030010	Mehul Bose	4		4	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		10

10   170030012   170030018   170030018   170030018   170030019	40	470000040	Vanash Kuman	3	Observations and comments on error in		httm://dvive				
1	10	170030012	Yogesh Kumar	3			https://drive.				
11   170030018   Yagyesh Tripathi   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   170030019   Abhinav Gupta   4					each loid, order of polyhornial missing						
1							s/1sYfvuOUF8i				
15							NOkFLRzglrlRk				
11											
170030019						1.5	usp=sharing	0	0	Not solved	4.5
1	11	170030018	Yagyesh Tripathi	3.75							
12   170030019   Abhinav Gupta   4					each fold		google.				
12   170030019   Abhinav Gupta   4     3   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1							com/drive/folder				
1							s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
12   170030019   Abhinav Gupta											
12 170030019 Abhinav Gupta 4						3		1	1		8.75
13   170030026   Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar   4	40	470000040	Abbinar Cunta	1				'			0.70
170030026   Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar   4	12	170030019	Abninav Gupta	4							
13   170030026   Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar   4							com/drive/folder				
13   170030026   Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar   4							s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
13 170030026 Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar 4							NOkFLRzqlrlRk				
13 170030026 Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar 4											
14   180010001   ABHINAV PRATAP SINGH   3.75   Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing   1   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment or observations reported   2   No explanation, no tabulation   1   0   No comment given   6.5						3.5	usp=sharing	0.75	1		9.25
14   180010001   ABHINAV PRATAP SINGH   3.75   Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment or observations reported   1.5   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010006   ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY   3.75   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010006   ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY   3.75   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010006   180010006   ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY   3.75   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010006   180010006   ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY   3.75   No comment or variation in results for each fold   180010006   18001006	13	170030026	Seemakurthi Kushal Kumar	4							
14   180010001   ABHINAV PRATAP SINGH   3.75   Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010006   180010006   180010006   180010006   180010006   180010006   180010006   ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010006   18001000											
NOKEL Radifications of the second of the sec											
14   180010001   ABHINAV PRATAP SINGH   3.75   Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment or observations reported   1.5   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment on variation in results for each fold   1.5											
14 180010001 ABHINAV PRATAP SINGH 3.75 Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing 0.25 uspesharing 0.25 uspesharing 0.25 Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing 0.25 uspesharing 0.25											
14 180010001 ABHINAV PRATAP SINGH 3.75 Proper reasoning for variation in results for each fold missing a google, conditive/folder s/strytuoUrs labeled in the variation in results for each fold missing a google, conditive/folder s/strytuoUrs labeled in the variation in results for each fold missing a google, conditive/folder s/strytuoUrs labeled in the variation in results for each fold sparsang and the best choice? Commet does not match values obtained. The best choice? Com						3.5		0	0	Not done	7.5
for each fold missing    Social Complicative folder straight for each fold missing   Social Complicative folder straight for each fold missing   Social Complicative folder straight for each fold missing   Social Complication folds parameter value between the best choice? Comment does not match values obtained.   7	1/	180010001	ARHINAV DRATAD SINGH	3.75	Proper reasoning for variation in results						
com/drive/folder sharp would be the best choice? Comment does not match values are obtained. To list spesharing the sharp would be the best choice? Comment does not match values obtained.  15   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   18001003	'-	100010001	ABININAVIINAIAI SINOII	0.10						Parameter values highly	
NOKEL RadIRK   NOKEL RadIRK   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment does not match values obtained.   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment on variation in results for each fold   Not comment given   Not comment										inconsistent. Also which fold values	
15   180010002   ABHISHEK RAJ   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010004   ADITYA RAJ   3.5   No comment on variation in results for each fold   180010006   1.5											
15 180010002 ABHISHEK RAJ 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  180010003 ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH  180010004 ADITYA RAJ 3.5 No comments or observations reported 180010004 ADITYA RAJ 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  180010004 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold											
ABHISHEK RAJ  AB						0.5		0.05	0.5		_
each fold  each fold  each fold  com/drive/folder s/1s YhuOUF8I NOkFLRzqlriRk x31 s2e L87 usp=sharing  1 0.5 Reason the variations you have obtained  9.25  16 180010003 ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH  CHANDRAKANTH  3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  1.5 Usp=sharing 0.25 0  0 0  17 180010004 ADITYA RAJ  3.5 No comments or observations reported 2 No explanation, no tabulation 1 0 No comment given  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY  3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  No comment given  6.5 CV / Test error not calculated for						2.5		0.25	0.5	values obtained.	1
Second the variations you have sold the variation of th	15	180010002	ABHISHEK RAJ	3.75							
S/15YfyUOUF8  NOkFLRzqIrIRk XJLS2eL8f? Usp=sharing 1 0.5 Reason the variations you have obtained 9.25					each fold						
NOKFL RzqlriRk X3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing 1 0.5 Reason the variations you have obtained 9.25  16 180010003 ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH  3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold							s/1sYfvuOLIF8i				
180010003   ADAPALLY MOHAN   CHANDRAKANTH   3.75   No comment on variation in results for each fold   CHANDRAKANTH   1.5   Supplementary of the comment of variation in results for each fold   CHANDRAKANTH   1.5   Supplementary of the comment of							NOkFl RzalrIRk				
16 180010003 ADAPALLY MOHAN CHANDRAKANTH  18 180010004 ADITYA RAJ  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY  18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1										Reason the variations you have	
CHANDRAKANTH  each fold    CHANDRAKANTH   Each fold   CHANDRAKANTH   Each f						4	usp=sharing	1	0.5	obtained	9.25
CHANDRAKANTH  each fold  according to the comment of the comment o	16	180010003	ADAPALLY MOHAN	3.75	No comment on variation in results for		https://drive.				
com/drive/folder s/1s/fyuOUFsi NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	. •				each fold						
NORFLRzqlrlRk   Sal s2eL8f?   Usp=sharing   0.25   0   0   0							com/drive/folder				
17 180010004 ADITYA RAJ 3.5 No comments or observations reported 2 No explanation, no tabulation 1 0 No comment given 6.5  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold							s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
17 180010004 ADITYA RAJ 3.5 No comments or observations reported 2 No explanation, no tabulation 1 0 No comment given 6.5  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/s/1sYfyuOUF8iNOKFLRzqlr/IRk x3Ls2eL8f? CCV / Test error not calculated for							NOKFLRZQIFIRK				
17 180010004 ADITYA RAJ 3.5 No comments or observations reported 2 No explanation, no tabulation 1 0 No comment given 6.5  18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold https://drive.google.com/drive/folder_s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOKFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? CCV / Test error not calculated for						1.5		0.25	0		0
18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY 3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1sYfyuOUF8iNOKFLRzqlrlRkxx3Ls2eL8f? CCV / Test error not calculated for	47	400040004	ADITVA DA I	3.5	No comments or observations reported	1.5		0.20			
18 180010006 ANUBOLA SAI ABHINAY  3.75 No comment on variation in results for each fold  by the same of the same o	17	180010004	ADITYA RAJ	3.5	ino comments or observations reported	2		1	0	No comment given	6.5
each fold  each fold  com/drive/folder s/1s YfyuOUF8i NokFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?  CV / Test error not calculated for	40	400040000	ANILIDOLA CALADIUNIAN	2.75	No comment on variation in results for				- 0	140 comment given	0.0
com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?  CV / Test error not calculated for	18	180010006	ANUBULA SAI ABHINAY	3.75							
s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?  CV / Test error not calculated for					Caoil Iola		com/drive/folder				
NOkFLRzqlrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? CV / Test error not calculated for							s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
3.5 <u>usp=sharing</u> 0.75 0.75 any fold. <b>8.75</b>											
						3.5	usp=sharing	0.75	0.75	any fold.	8.75

19	180010007	BAGAVATULA ADITHYA SUBRAMANYAM	3.75	Why is it that there is no variation in results for each fold if the data was shuffled while creating each fold?	3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.25	Polynomial regression is better than linear regression in this case also.	7.5
20	180010009	BHARADWAJ AKHILESH	4		4	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		10
21	180010011	CHILAKA AVINASH	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.25	0.25	Tabluation should be done for all the folds. Without seeing all fold results cannot compare.	6.25
22	180010012	DADHANIA JANHAVI KUMARI	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.75	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.25	0.25	If linear regression then how 5 features instead of 4. You need to comment on the variations of parameters and errors.	8
23	180010013	DADHANIYA RUTIK RAJESHBHAI	4		3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	1	Parameter from normal eq not estimated. Label of parameter belonging to the k-fold have to be done.	9
24	180010016	GUNDLA SRIKAR	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOkFLRzqlrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	Error is training error or test error. In polynomial regression what/how have choosed the features.	8
25	180010018	HEMANTH SURYA S	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	Error is training error or test error. In polynomial regression what/how have choosed the features.	7
26	180010020	KARLAPATI SREE RAMU	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2.5	https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1s/fyu/OUF8iNOKFLRzqlrlRkx3Ls2eL8f?usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	Error is training error or test error. In polynomial regression what/how have choosed the features.	7.5

27	180010021	MANJEET KAPIL	4			https://drive.				
	100010021				3	google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.75	0.75	Why are the values obtained from normal equation are not consistent?	8.5
28	180010023	N C K HEMANTH REDDY	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	4	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	Getting parameter values exactly same for all the same fold is not possible in this dataset.	9
29	180010024	PARITOSH JITENDRA GAVALI	4		2	Not proper fit, no proper explanation	0.75	0.5	Should calculated for all the k- folds.	7.25
30	180010026	PURNIMA PRIYADARSHINI	3.75	Comment on why fold 1 or fold 4 is better compared to others? Why is there a variation in observed values for each fold?	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	0	Q.3.b not done.	8.25
31	180010027	RISHIT NILESH SAIYA	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.5	Assuming coilumns are parameter values for different degree, degree 1 vaiues are not correct. How is it possible to plot trivariate?	8.25
32	180010028	RITWIK SINGH	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold		https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?	0.5	0	Should calculated for all the k-	
33	180010029	RUPESH BHUSHAN KALANTRE	3.5	Initial prediction of degree of polynomial not mentioned, No comment on cause for variation in results for each fold	3	usp=sharing https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	folds.  Why are the parameters so inconsistent?	4.25
34	180010030	SAVSAVIYA BRINAL SURESHBHAI	4		3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.5
35	180010031	SHAGUN BERA	2.5	No comments on initial degree of polynomial and degree after fitting curve, error in each fold; Error tabulations for each fold not done; Missing observations	0	No solution found	0	0	Not solved.	2.5

36	180010032	SHIVAM CHATURVEDI	4			https://drive.				
	100010002	OTHER STREET				google.				
						com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?				
					4	usp=sharing	1	1		10
37	180010033	SHREYAS MAHESH	3	No comments or obervations, no plot of		https://drive.				
		SATHE		best fit curve		google. com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk			Table for all folds is needed. From	
						x3Ls2eL8f?			the table obtained comment on	
					2	usp=sharing	0.5	0.5	variation in parameters and error.	6
38	180010034	SINGAMANENI MEGHANA	3.25	No comments or obervations		https://drive. google.				
						com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzglrlRk			Error is training error or test error.	
					3	x3Ls2eL8f?	0.75	0.5	In polynomial regression what/how have choosed the features.	7.5
39	180010036	S SURAJ MUKUNDH	4		3	usp=sharing https://drive.	0.75	0.5	riave choosed the leatures.	7.5
39	100010030	3 SORAS MORGINEIT	·			google.				
						com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i			Parameter values highly	
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk			inconsistent. Also is the error test	
					2.5	x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.25	0	error or train error. Comment is not correct.	0
40	180010038	TARUN GOYAL	3.75	No comment on variation in results for		https://drive.				
				each fold		google. com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?				
					3	usp=sharing	1	0	3.b not done	7.75
41	180010039	VADITHYA UDAY KIRAN	3.75	No comment on degree of best fit polynomial		https://drive. google.				
				polynomia		com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk				
					٥.5	x3Ls2eL8f?	0.05	0		•
42	180010040	VIBHUTI RAMAN	3.75	No comment on variation in results for	2.5	usp=sharing https://drive.	0.25	0		0
72	100010040	VIDITOTTIVANIAN	00	each fold		google.				
						com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk			Observation from the table	
					2	x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	0.25	Observation from the table not mentioned.	7
43	180010041	VIPUL YUVRAJ NIKAM	3.5	Did not tabluate the results for each fold,		https://drive.				
				No comment on variation in results for each fold		google. com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i			No table for a constant or an	
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?			No table for parameters and error. Comment cannot be made if table	
					3.5	usp=sharing	0	0.25	is not there.	7.25

44	180020001	ABBARAJU SASANK	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.5	In comment you have mentioned that degree 2 is best but should give table for all the degree so that it can be compared. Reason also along with observation.	7.75
45	180020002	AMITABH SWAIN	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	0	Not done	0	0	Not solved	3.75
46	180020003	ASHISH KUPSAD	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.25
47	180020005	CHITTURI ANVESH	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/YyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.25	Polynomial regression is better than linear regression in this case also.	7
48	180020006	DHRUV RITESH JAIN	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold		https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?				
49	180020008	EEGA SAI PRIYA	3.75	No comment on why is it that all most all the folds give same results?	3	usp=sharing https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	0.75		8.75
50	180020009	GANDRA JOSHITHA	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.25
51	180020011	HARSH V IYER	2	No graphs, results not tablultes, no observations reported, no code	1	No graphs, results not tablultes, no observations reported, no code	0.25	0	Tabulate for all folds. No comment on variations.	3.25
52	180020013	HIMANSHU	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		8.25

53	180020015	KARAN YOGESH ANVEKAR	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	4	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.75
54	180020017	KATUKAM SRIKAR PATEL	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	Error is training error or test error. In polynomial regression what/how have choosed the features.	8
55	180020018	KIMIDI SAI RAHUL NAIDU	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.75	0.25	Polynomial should have given better results / lower error.	6.75
56	180020019	KODAVATI HEMANTH	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.75	0.25	Error should lesser than obtained. Conclusion should also be mentioned instead of just mentioning error values in comment.	6.75
57	180020021	MITTINTI BALU MAHESH	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.75	Error is training error or test error. In polynomial regression what/how have choosed the features.	7.5
58	180020022	MOHAMMED SADIQ BAIG	3.75	Errors tabulated and commented but no comment on best fit curve or initial prediction of degree of best fit curve	2	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.75	0	Error can be more optimised. 3)b) not done.	6.5
59	180020026	NISHANT KUMAR	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	0	No solution				3.75
60	180020029	RAJAT NITIN TYAGI	4		4	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		10
61	180020030	RAUSHAN KUMAR	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	1	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOKFLRzqlr/IRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0	0	No table and comment	4.75

62	180020032	SABAVATH MANOHAR	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold		https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f2				
					1.5	usp=sharing	0.25	0		0
63	180020033	SAMEER ANIS DOHADWALLA	4		3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrIRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.5	0.25	How are your obtained parameter values inconsistant but error is same in each fold? In the comment you have mentioned that parameter values are consistent but consistency across k-fold is not there according to the values you have obtained.	7.75
64	180020034	SHIVAM KUMAR	3.5	Results for each fold are not tabulated, No comment on variation in results for each fold	0	No solution	0	0	Incorrect	3.5
65	180020035	SRI VARSHA PENDEM	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	2.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOKFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0	0.25	Table and comment not there. marks only for attemt for wriiting the code.	6.5
66	180020036	S U SWAKATH	3.75	No comment on cause for variation in results in each fold	2	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/Yfyu/OUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	0	No comment on the variations.	6.75
67	180020038	THUMMALA DIVISHA LAKSHMI	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOKFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	0.75	0.75		8.75
68	180020039	VAISHNAVI SAMEER PATIL	4		3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1s/fyu/OUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.5
69	180030001	ABHAY BAGHEL	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3.5	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqIrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	0.75		9
70	180030004	AMAN SINGAL	3.75	No comment on variation in results for each fold	3	https://drive. google. com/drive/folder s/1sYfyuOUF8i NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		8.75

71	180030026	MUMMANENI SAI HARSHA	3.75	No comment on variation in results for		https://drive.				
/1	180030026	MUMMANENI SAI HARSHA	3.75	each fold		google.				
						com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?			Chauld have also systems we No	
					3	usp=sharing	0.75	0	Should have also output w0. No comment	7.5
72	180030029	Nikhil Singh Negi	3.5	No plot for best fit curve, No comment		Where is the	0.1.0		99	
12	160030029	Mikilli Siligii Negi	0.0	on variation in results for each fold	0	solution??	0	0	Not solved	3.5
73	180030036	RISHABH TRIPATHI	3.75	No comment on variation in results for		https://drive.				
				each fold		google. com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzglrlRk				
						x3Ls2eL8f?				
					4	usp=sharing	1	1		9.75
74	180030037	RUSHIKESH DIXIT	3.5	Results for each fold are not tabulated, No comment on variation in results for		https://drive.				
				each fold		google. com/drive/folder				
				Cuon loid		s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzqlrlRk				
						x3Ls2eL8f?	0.5	,	Tabulakan fan all le falde mat dan a	_
	100000010		4		2	usp=sharing	0.5	1	Tabulation for all k-folds not done.	7
75	180030042	UTKARSH PRAKASH	4			https://drive. google.				
						com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzglrlRk				
					3.5	x3Ls2eL8f? usp=sharing	1	1		9.5
	180030045	YOGESH DHYANI	3.25	Did not plot best fit curve in each case	0.0	https://drive.	'	'		3.0
	160030045	TOGESH DHTANI	3.23	after regression, No comment on what		google.				
				happens if the degree of polynomal is		com/drive/folder				
				increased, No comment on variation in		s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
				results obtained for each fold		NOkFLRzqlrlRk x3Ls2eL8f?				
76					2	usp=sharing	0	0	Not solved	5.25
	201125001	Sairam Jayanthi	Ab	Ab	Ab	https://drive.				
						google. com/drive/folder				
						s/1sYfyuOUF8i				
						NOkFLRzglrlRk				
						x3Ls2eL8f?				
77						usp=sharing	0	0	Not Submitted	AB