



Wikipedia: Neutral approach

Wikipedia from the free encyclopedia

 This page is about a policy or rule of the Hindi Wikipedia , which is a standard that all editors should generally follow . It should be changed only when it has become common consent in the member-society .

 **The essence of this policy:** Articles should not *take* sides , but parties should be *explained* judiciously and without prejudice . This applies to both what you say and how you say it.

Editing from a **neutral view** or an **impartial view** means judiciously, proportionately and as far as possible, representing all important ideas published by reliable sources on a subject . All Wikipedia articles and other knowledge content should be written from a neutral point of view. The unbiased approach is a fundamental tenet of Wikipedia and other Wikimedia projects . This policy is non-negotiable and all editors and articles must adhere to it. A neutral approach, not original research , and verifiability are the main content policies of Wikipedia. Editors must understand the main points of all three if they work together to determine the content.

The sequence

Clarification of neutral approach

Achieve neutrality

- Naming
- Article structure
- Fair and inappropriate weighting
- Fair tone
- Description of aesthetic opinion

notes

Explanation of neutral view

Achieving what the Wikipedia community considers *neutrality* means carefully and virtuously analyzing the diversity of reliable sources and then allowing readers to judge, proportionately and, as far as possible, the information contained in them. Trying to express without. Wikipedia aims to **describe** conflicts , **not to involve them** . Editors will naturally have their own point of view, but they should make good efforts to provide complete information to them and should not promote one approach over the other. In this way, the neutral approach does not mean to boycott some approaches, but to give adequate weightage to all verifiable approaches .

- **Avoid telling facts to opinions.** Typically, the article will contain information about the critical opinion expressed about its subjects. However, this opinion should not be expressed in the voice of Wikipedia. Rather, they should be superimposed on particular sources in the text or, where appropriate, described as comprehensive ideas, etc. For example, an article should not say that "genocide is a very bad act", but he may say that "genocide has been described by Sanjay A as a symbol of human evil."
- **Avoid making a serious controversial claim a fact.** If various credible sources make conflicting claims about a case, do not treat these claims as opinions rather than facts and as direct statements.
- **Avoid giving opinions to facts.** Uncontested and undisputed factual claims made by reliable sources should normally be stated directly in Wikipedia's voice. Unless a topic is specifically related to a disagreement that is otherwise uncontested information, there is no need for specific attribution for the claim, although it is useful to add a reference link to the source in support of verifiability . Furthermore, the excerpt should not be written in such a way that it seems controversial.
- **Prefer non-conclusive language.** The neutral approach is neither sympathetic with nor neglecting its subject (or what the credible sources say about the subject), although this must sometimes be balanced against clarity. Present opinions in a neutral tone and conflicting conclusions.
- **विरोधी विचार की आपेक्षिक प्रमुखता इंगित करें।** सुनिश्चित करें कि एक विषय पर अलग-अलग विचार का लेखन पर्याप्त रूप से उन विचारों के लिए समर्थन के सापेक्ष स्तरों को दर्शाता है और यह समता की एक गलत धारणा नहीं देता या किसी विशेष दृष्टिकोण को अनुचित भार नहीं देता। उदाहरण के लिए, कहना कि "साइमन विज़ंथल के अनुसार, होलोकॉस्ट जर्मनी में यहूदी लोगों की तबाही का एक कार्यक्रम था लेकिन डेविड इरविंग इस विश्लेषण से इत्तेफ़ाक नहीं रखते" प्रत्येक क्षेत्र में एक ही कार्यकर्ता को निर्दिष्ट करके बड़ी बहुमत और छोटे से अल्पसंख्यक को आभासी समता देना होगा।

तटस्थता हासिल करना

एक सामान्य नियम के रूप में, स्रोतित जानकारी विश्वकोश से केवल इसलिये न निकाले कि वो पक्षपातपूर्ण लगती है। इसके बजाय, तटस्थ लहज़े को प्राप्त करने के लिए अंश या अनुभाग को फिर से लिखने की कोशिश करें। पक्षपातपूर्ण जानकारी आमतौर पर अन्य स्रोतों से उद्धृत सामग्री के साथ तटस्थ दृष्टिकोण का उत्पादन करने के लिए संतुलित की जा सकती है, इसलिए इस तरह की समस्या जब भी संभव हो सामान्य संपादन प्रक्रिया के माध्यम से सही की जानी चाहिए। सामग्री तभी निकाले जब आपको पास विश्वास करने के लिये अच्छा कारण है कि यह पाठकों को गुमराह करती या गलत जानकारी देती है। नीचे दिए गए अनुभाग आम समस्याओं पर विशेष मार्गदर्शन प्रदान करते हैं।

नामकरण

लेख के लिए उपयुक्त शीर्षक चुनने पर अधिक जानकारी के लिए लेख शीर्षक नीति देखें।

