#### nmap-harvester

A supervised machine learning model designed for detecting NMAP port scanning, developed as part of a university project.


#### Introduction

This project aims to build a supervised machine learning model to detect real-time NMAP port scanning activities.

In many cyber-attacks, the initial step often involves port scanning using tools like NMAP . Detecting such scans can be challenging because network packets carry extensive information, and a single packet isn't enough to confirm an NMAP scan attempt.

To address this, this project proposes a machine learning-based approach for identifying TCP port scans initiated by NMAP.

How the interceptor.py collects all TCP flags can be summered in the following scheme.



All this attack data are collected by the interceptor.py which listens for coming connection on a specific ip address.

In the project the following files have the described functions:

- interceptor.py -> monitor for collecting data
- algo chooser.py -> script for choosing the best machine learning algorithm
- injector.py -> injects nmap scans or run normal http requests
- noiser.py -> helper script for sending normal http requests
- detector.py -> runs a real-time demo of the model using previously mentioned scripts internally
- model directory -> contains exported model
- merger.py -> merges 2 datasets in one single dataset

- datasets/delayed/merged.csv -> contains just another dataset for calculating accuracy and other stats
- datasets/train/merged.csv -> contains the datasets used for training the model
- datasets/runtime -> contains generated runtime datasets when running detector.py

The entire software is designed for running on a Linux environment and although the only changes needed for running on another operating system are interface names, some checks may fail due to different environments.

For example: detector.py (https://github.com/Virgula0/nmap-harvester/blob/main/detector.py#L60) contains a check to run with root privileges and this check should be commented if the environment is different from Unix.

This disclaimer has been inserted because I noticed the usage of other operating systems during the course lectures. Running the detector.py on a virtual environment should work because not everything is set to run on the localhost interface, but even here, the creation of the dataset phases may not work because of interfaces used by pyshark on a virtual machine. A solution can be to create another container and let the 2 isolated container to communicate between them, but this is up to the readers to investigate better eventually.

#### Train Dataset Creation datasets/train/merged.csv

Understanding TCP connections is important for building the dataset. When a TCP packet is sent over the network, it carries specific flags that facilitate the 3-Way Handshake. NMAP can manipulate these flags to evade detection while performing rapid port scans. We cannot create a dataset where each row of the dataset represents a packet since for detecting NMAP, multiple packets are needed, so the idea:

### **Key Dataset Characteristics**

- Session-Based Rows: Instead of logging each packet individually, each row in the dataset represents a session (requests + responses).
- Flag Summation: Flags (SYN, ACK, FIN, etc.) are aggregated across the same session. For example:
  - o If a SYN packet is sent by NMAP and another SYN is sent by the host in the response (whatever the port is closed or opened), the SYN column will record a value of 2 because of their sum.
  - The dataset contains 6 ( SYN , ACK , RST , FIN , URG , PSH ) out of 9 TCP flags. NMAP uses those flags, but in the case of other new attacks, the dataset can be rebuilt using other TCP flags, too.
- Duration feature: start\_response\_time, and end\_response\_time will be set to 0 if
  only a packet has been found in the entire session. In this case, the duration will be only
  end\_request\_time start\_request\_time otherwise the session duration is
  end response time start request time

# Example Dataset Row (normal tcp scan on a closed port 3306)

```
start_request_time,end_request_time,start_response_time,end_respons 2025-01-08 13:52:55.274814,2025-01-08 13:52:55.274814,2025-01-08 13:52:55.274874,2025-01-08 13:52:55.274874,6e-05,"['172.31.0.1', '172.31.0.2']","['172.31.0.1', '172.31.0.2']","['44031', '3306']",1,1,0,1,0,0,1
```

- Sessions are grouped using src\_ip, dst\_ip, src\_port, and dst\_port tuple as keys. However, these grouping keys are excluded and not necessary from the model's training phase.
- The duration feature provides valuable information for distinguishing between legitimate traffic and NMAP scans, as legitimate HTTP requests may exhibit similar flag behaviour but differ in timing.
- The session window in interceptor.py is set to **0.5 seconds** by default, as this is typically enough to capture an NMAP scan attempt.

More technical explanations are present via comments in interceptor.py. The script takes a while for writing successfully all the data when a lot of requests are performed.

During the data collection, some ports were opened intentionally on the host to differentiate some rows in the dataset. For example, an HTTP server on port 1234 has been opened using the following method: python3 -m http.server 1234 plus, eventually other ports that had already been opened from other services between the range 0-5000.

### Common NMAP Scans

The following commands were run from the container called traffic\_generator (the container) having the sudo python3 interceptor.py running locally.

```
nmap -sT 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000 # TCP Scan
nmap -sS 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000 # Stealth Scan
nmap -sF 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000 # FIN Scan
nmap -sN 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000 # NULL Scan
nmap -sX 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000 # XMAS Scan
```

The result is the creation of bad.csv

Then the script noiser.py was used for generating good.csv

The final dataset consists of a merge (merged.csv) used for training the model:

- bad.csv: Sessions labelled as 1 (NMAP traffic).
- good.csv: Sessions labelled as 0 (legitimate traffic).

## **Machine Learning Model**

The XGBClassifier was selected as the final model due to its reliable performance in key areas:

- 1. High accuracy score (~0.95)
- 2. Fast prediction speed (~3ms on average for 15,000 rows)
- 3. High MCC score (~0.91)

## Why accuracy metric is important?

The dataset generated for training purposes contains a balanced example of normal/anomaly behaviours, half normal and half anomalies, which get shuffled during the dataset splitting phase. Thus, accuracy is an important statistic that can be considered in this case.

### Why MCC is not that important?

MCC should normally be preferred when unbalanced datasets are present. This is not our case, but it is taken into account even if it has a minor weight in the final machine-learning model choice.

# Why the prediction speed is so important?

The prediction speed played a significant role in choosing this model, as it allows efficient analysis of large volumes of network traffic in real-time. The RandomForestClassifier is pretty similar in accuracy (maybe even better sometimes), but it has a slower prediction time in average of ~15ms compared to ~3ms of XGBClassifier

#### **Model Performance**

Dataset loaded with 15192 records. Dataset preprocessed successfully.

+-		-+		+		+		-+		-+		-+		+-	+
	duration		SYN		ACK		FIN		RST		URG		PSH		label
+-		-+		+		+		-+		-+		-+		+ -	· +
	0.000030		1		1		0		1		0		0		1
	0.000013	Ì	1	Ì	1	Ì	0	Ì	1	Ì	0	Ì	0	Ì	1
	0.000012	Ì	1	Ì	1	Ì	0	Ì	1	Ì	0	Ì	0	Ì	1
	0.000010		1		1		0		1		0		0		1
	0.000011	Ì	1	Ì	1	Ì	0	Ì	1	Ì	0	Ì	0	Ì	1
+-		-+		+		+		-+		-+		-+		+-	+

Dataset split into training and testing sets.

```
RandomForestClassifier (n_estimators=210): Accuracy: 0.9513, Train time: 432ms, Prediction time: 16ms, MCC: 0.902033, TP: 661, TN: 785, FN: 33, FP: 41
```

. . . .

```
XGBClassifier (n_estimators=210): Accuracy: 0.9507, Train time: 65ms, Prediction time: 3ms, MCC: 0.919739, TP: 660, TN: 785, FN: 34, FP: 41
```

Best Classifier based on Accuracy Classifier: RandomForestClassifier

n\_estimators: 210
Accuracy Score: 0.9513

Best Classifier based on MCC Classifier: XGBClassifier

n\_estimators: 210
MCC Score: 0.919739

### **How Training Dataset was created (detailed)**

The training dataset, datasets/train/merged.csv, is generated using the following steps:

1. Create an isolated Docker environment for sending clean packets:

```
docker compose up --build
```

2. Access the container:

docker attach traffic\_generator

 ${\bf 3.}\ \mbox{Run}$  the interceptor on the host from another terminal:

```
sudo python3 interceptor.py
```

- o Before to run, adjust:
  - interface : Docker network interface name
  - scanner\_ip: IP assigned to traffic\_generator

```
    output_file : Output CSV file path
    label : 0 for legitimate traffic, 1 for NMAP scans
```

4. Run NMAP scans from the container:

```
nmap -sT 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000
nmap -sS 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000
nmap -sF 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000
nmap -sN 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000
nmap -sX 172.31.0.1 -p 0-5000
```

5. Run noise traffic for legitimate requests, from the container:

```
python3 noiser.py
```

6. Merge datasets:

```
cd datasets
python3 merger.py
```

7. Train the model:

python3 algo chooser.py

# **Delayed Dataset**

A delayed dataset can be created by introducing delays between requests:

```
nmap -p 1-10000 --scan-delay 1s 172.31.0.1
```

You can also adjust the delay in legitimate requests by modifying SLEEP\_SECOND in noiser.py.

Results:

Dataset loaded with 11351

records.

Dataset preprocessed

successfully.

+-		-+-		+		+		+		+-		+-		-+-		+
	duration		SYN		ACK		FIN		RST		URG		PSH		label	
+-		-+-		+		+-		+		+-		+-		- + -		+
	0.000060		1		1		0		1		0		0		1	
	0.000068		1		1		0		1		0		0		1	
	0.000062		1		1		0		1		0		0		1	
	0.000057		1		1		0		1		0		0		1	
	0.000074		1		1		0		1		0		0		1	
+-		-+-		+		+		+		+		+-		-+-		+

Dataset split into training and testing sets.

```
. . . .
```

```
XGBClassifier (n_estimators=210): Accuracy: 1.0000, Train time: 28ms, Prediction time: 3ms, MCC: 1.000000, TP: 743, TN: 393, FN: 0, FP: 0
```

Best Classifier based on MCC Classifier: XGBClassifier

n\_estimators: 210 MCC Score: 1.000000

# **Running the Detector**

To run the detector:

```
sudo python3 detector.py
```

- The detector uses interceptor.py to monitor session packets.
- injector.py simulates normal HTTP traffic with occasional NMAP scans (10% probability).
- If at least **30%** of session packets are flagged as anomalies, the system will detect an ongoing NMAP attack.

# Requirements

Install dependencies with:

```
python3 -m venv venv && source venv/bin/activate && pip install -r requirements.txt
```

### **Demonstration Video**

https://github.com/user-attachments/assets/f10773c6-742e-4394-913e-42beb0cc3683

### References

- Medium Article on NMAP Detection
- Unix Stack Exchange Detecting NMAP Scans

# **External Dependencies**

- pyshark
- python-nmap