



NAVODAYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, RAICHUR

DEPARMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

## MODULE 1

---

### 1.1 Why Study Automata Theory?

There are several reasons why the study of automata and complexity is an important part of the core of Computer Science. This section serves to introduce the reader to the principal motivation and also outlines the major topics covered in this book.

#### 1.1.1 Introduction to Finite Automata

Finite automata are a useful model for many important kinds of hardware and software. We shall see, starting in Chapter 2, examples of how the concepts are used. For the moment, let us just list some of the most important kinds:

1. Software for designing and checking the behavior of digital circuits.
2. The “lexical analyzer” of a typical compiler, that is, the compiler component that breaks the input text into logical units, such as identifiers, keywords, and punctuation.
3. Software for scanning large bodies of text, such as collections of Web pages, to find occurrences of words, phrases, or other patterns.
4. Software for verifying systems of all types that have a finite number of distinct states, such as communications protocols or protocols for secure exchange of information.

While we shall soon meet a precise definition of automata of various types, let us begin our informal introduction with a sketch of what a finite automaton is and does. There are many systems or components, such as those enumerated above, that may be viewed as being at all times in one of a finite number of “states.” The purpose of a state is to remember the relevant portion of the system’s history. Since there are only a finite number of states, the entire history generally cannot be remembered, so the system must be designed carefully, to

remember what is important and forget what is not. The advantage of having only a finite number of states is that we can implement the system with a fixed set of resources. For example, we could implement it in hardware as a circuit, or as a simple form of program that can make decisions looking only at a limited amount of data or using the position in the code itself to make the decision.

**Example 1.1:** Perhaps the simplest nontrivial finite automaton is an on/off switch. The device remembers whether it is in the “on” state or the “off” state, and it allows the user to press a button whose effect is different, depending on the state of the switch. That is, if the switch is in the off state, then pressing the button changes it to the on state, and if the switch is in the on state, then pressing the same button turns it to the off state.




Figure 1.1: A finite automaton modeling an on/off switch

The finite-automaton model for the switch is shown in Fig. 1.1. As for all finite automata, the states are represented by circles; in this example, we have named the states *on* and *off*. Arcs between states are labeled by “inputs,” which represent external influences on the system. Here, both arcs are labeled by the input *Push*, which represents a user pushing the button. The intent of the two arcs is that whichever state the system is in, when the *Push* input is received it goes to the other state.

One of the states is designated the “start state,” the state in which the system is placed initially. In our example, the start state is *off*, and we conventionally indicate the start state by the word *Start* and an arrow leading to that state.

It is often necessary to indicate one or more states as “final” or “accepting” states. Entering one of these states after a sequence of inputs indicates that the input sequence is good in some way. For instance, we could have regarded the state *on* in Fig. 1.1 as accepting, because in that state, the device being controlled by the switch will operate. It is conventional to designate accepting states by a double circle, although we have not made any such designation in Fig. 1.1. □

**Example 1.2:** Sometimes, what is remembered by a state can be much more complex than an on/off choice. Figure 1.2 shows another finite automaton that could be part of a lexical analyzer. The job of this automaton is to recognize

the keyword `then`. It thus needs five states, each of which represents a different position in the word `then` that has been reached so far. These positions correspond to the prefixes of the word, ranging from the empty string (i.e., nothing of the word has been seen so far) to the complete word.




Figure 1.2: A finite automaton modeling recognition of `then`

In Fig. 1.2, the five states are named by the prefix of `then` seen so far. Inputs correspond to letters. We may imagine that the lexical analyzer examines one character of the program that it is compiling at a time, and the next character to be examined is the input to the automaton. The start state corresponds to the empty string, and each state has a transition on the next letter of `then` to the state that corresponds to the next-larger prefix. The state named `then` is entered when the input has spelled the word `then`. Since it is the job of this automaton to recognize when `then` has been seen, we could consider that state the lone accepting state. □

### 1.1.2 Structural Representations

There are two important notations that are not automaton-like, but play an important role in the study of automata and their applications.

1. *Grammars* are useful models when designing software that processes data with a recursive structure. The best-known example is a “parser,” the component of a compiler that deals with the recursively nested features of the typical programming language, such as expressions — arithmetic, conditional, and so on. For instance, a grammatical rule like  $E \Rightarrow E + E$  states that an expression can be formed by taking any two expressions and connecting them by a plus sign; this rule is typical of how expressions of real programming languages are formed. We introduce context-free grammars, as they are usually called, in Chapter 5.
2. *Regular Expressions* also denote the structure of data, especially text strings. As we shall see in Chapter 3, the patterns of strings they describe are exactly the same as what can be described by finite automata. The style of these expressions differs significantly from that of grammars, and we shall content ourselves with a simple example here. The UNIX-style regular expression `'[A-Z] [a-z]* [ ] [A-Z] [A-Z]'` represents capitalized words followed by a space and two capital letters. This expression represents patterns in text that could be a city and state, e.g., `Ithaca NY`. It misses multiword city names, such as `Palo Alto CA`, which could be captured by the more complex expression

'([A-Z][a-z]\*[ ]) \*[ ] [A-Z][A-Z]',

When interpreting such expressions, we only need to know that [A-Z] represents a range of characters from capital "A" to capital "Z" (i.e., any capital letter), and [ ] is used to represent the blank character alone. Also, the symbol \* represents "any number of" the preceding expression. Parentheses are used to group components of the expression; they do not represent characters of the text described.

### 1.1.3 Automata and Complexity

Automata are essential for the study of the limits of computation. As we mentioned in the introduction to the chapter, there are two important issues:

1. What can a computer do at all? This study is called "decidability," and the problems that can be solved by computer are called "decidable." This topic is addressed in Chapter 9.
2. What can a computer do efficiently? This study is called "intractability," and the problems that can be solved by a computer using no more time than some slowly growing function of the size of the input are called "tractable." Often, we take all polynomial functions to be "slowly growing," while functions that grow faster than any polynomial are deemed to grow too fast. The subject is studied in Chapter 10.

## 1.2 Introduction to Formal Proof

If you studied plane geometry in high school any time before the 1990's, you most likely had to do some detailed "deductive proofs," where you showed the truth of a statement by a detailed sequence of steps and reasons. While geometry has its practical side (e.g., you need to know the rule for computing the area of a rectangle if you need to buy the correct amount of carpet for a room), the study of formal proof methodologies was at least as important a reason for covering this branch of mathematics in high school.

In the USA of the 1990's it became popular to teach proof as a matter of personal feelings about the statement. While it is good to feel the truth of a statement you need to use, important techniques of proof are no longer mastered in high school. Yet proof is something that every computer scientist needs to understand. Some computer scientists take the extreme view that a formal proof of the correctness of a program should go hand-in-hand with the writing of the program itself. We doubt that doing so is productive. On the other hand, there are those who say that proof has no place in the discipline of programming. The slogan "if you are not sure your program is correct, run it and see" is commonly offered by this camp.

1.  $[S_1(n+1); \text{If}]$  The hypothesis for this part is that  $n+1$  is even. Thus,  $n$  is odd. The “if” part of statement  $S_2(n)$  says that after  $n$  pushes, the automaton is in state *on*. The arc from *on* to *off* labeled *Push* tells us that the  $(n+1)$ st push will cause the automaton to enter state *off*. That completes the proof of the “if” part of  $S_1(n+1)$ .
2.  $[S_1(n+1); \text{Only-if}]$  The hypothesis is that the automaton is in state *off* after  $n+1$  pushes. Inspecting the automaton of Fig. 1.8 tells us that the only way to get to state *off* after one or more moves is to be in state *on* and receive an input *Push*. Thus, if we are in state *off* after  $n+1$  pushes, we must have been in state *on* after  $n$  pushes. Then, we may use the “only-if” part of statement  $S_2(n)$  to conclude that  $n$  is odd. Consequently,  $n+1$  is even, which is the desired conclusion for the only-if portion of  $S_1(n+1)$ .
3.  $[S_2(n+1); \text{If}]$  This part is essentially the same as part (1), with the roles of statements  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  exchanged, and with the roles of “odd” and “even” exchanged. The reader should be able to construct this part of the proof easily.
4.  $[S_2(n+1); \text{Only-if}]$  This part is essentially the same as part (2), with the roles of statements  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  exchanged, and with the roles of “odd” and “even” exchanged.

□

We can abstract from Example 1.23 the pattern for all mutual inductions:

- Each of the statements must be proved separately in the basis and in the inductive step.
- If the statements are “if-and-only-if,” then both directions of each statement must be proved, both in the basis and in the induction.

## 1.5 The Central Concepts of Automata Theory

In this section we shall introduce the most important definitions of terms that pervade the theory of automata. These concepts include the “alphabet” (a set of symbols), “strings” (a list of symbols from an alphabet), and “language” (a set of strings from the same alphabet).

### 1.5.1 Alphabets

An *alphabet* is a finite, nonempty set of symbols. Conventionally, we use the symbol  $\Sigma$  for an alphabet. Common alphabets include:

1.  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , the *binary* alphabet.

2.  $\Sigma = \{a, b, \dots, z\}$ , the set of all lower-case letters.
3. The set of all ASCII characters, or the set of all printable ASCII characters.

## 1.5.2 Strings

A *string* (or sometimes *word*) is a finite sequence of symbols chosen from some alphabet. For example, 01101 is a string from the binary alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . The string 111 is another string chosen from this alphabet.

### The Empty String

The *empty string* is the string with zero occurrences of symbols. This string, denoted  $\epsilon$ , is a string that may be chosen from any alphabet whatsoever.

### Length of a String

It is often useful to classify strings by their *length*, that is, the number of positions for symbols in the string. For instance, 01101 has length 5. It is common to say that the length of a string is “the number of symbols” in the string; this statement is colloquially accepted but not strictly correct. Thus, there are only two symbols, 0 and 1, in the string 01101, but there are five *positions* for symbols, and its length is 5. However, you should generally expect that “the number of symbols” can be used when “number of positions” is meant.

The standard notation for the length of a string  $w$  is  $|w|$ . For example,  $|011| = 3$  and  $|\epsilon| = 0$ .

### Powers of an Alphabet

If  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet, we can express the set of all strings of a certain length from that alphabet by using an exponential notation. We define  $\Sigma^k$  to be the set of strings of length  $k$ , each of whose symbols is in  $\Sigma$ .

**Example 1.24:** Note that  $\Sigma^0 = \{\epsilon\}$ , regardless of what alphabet  $\Sigma$  is. That is,  $\epsilon$  is the only string whose length is 0.

If  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , then  $\Sigma^1 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\Sigma^2 = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$ ,

$$\Sigma^3 = \{000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111\}$$

and so on. Note that there is a slight confusion between  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^1$ . The former is an alphabet; its members 0 and 1 are symbols. The latter is a set of strings; its members are the strings 0 and 1, each of which is of length 1. We shall not try to use separate notations for the two sets, relying on context to make it clear whether  $\{0, 1\}$  or similar sets are alphabets or sets of strings.  $\square$

### Type Convention for Symbols and Strings

Commonly, we shall use lower-case letters at the beginning of the alphabet (or digits) to denote symbols, and lower-case letters near the end of the alphabet, typically  $w$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , to denote strings. You should try to get used to this convention, to help remind you of the types of the elements being discussed.

The set of all strings over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is conventionally denoted  $\Sigma^*$ . For instance,  $\{0, 1\}^* = \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, \dots\}$ . Put another way,

$$\Sigma^* = \Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots$$

Sometimes, we wish to exclude the empty string from the set of strings. The set of nonempty strings from alphabet  $\Sigma$  is denoted  $\Sigma^+$ . Thus, two appropriate equivalences are:

- $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \Sigma^3 \cup \dots$ .
- $\Sigma^* = \Sigma^+ \cup \{\epsilon\}$ .

### Concatenation of Strings

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be strings. Then  $xy$  denotes the *concatenation* of  $x$  and  $y$ , that is, the string formed by making a copy of  $x$  and following it by a copy of  $y$ . More precisely, if  $x$  is the string composed of  $i$  symbols  $x = a_1a_2 \dots a_i$  and  $y$  is the string composed of  $j$  symbols  $y = b_1b_2 \dots b_j$ , then  $xy$  is the string of length  $i + j$ :  $xy = a_1a_2 \dots a_i b_1 b_2 \dots b_j$ .

**Example 1.25:** Let  $x = 01101$  and  $y = 110$ . Then  $xy = 01101110$  and  $yx = 11001101$ . For any string  $w$ , the equations  $\epsilon w = w\epsilon = w$  hold. That is,  $\epsilon$  is the *identity for concatenation*, since when concatenated with any string it yields the other string as a result (analogously to the way 0, the identity for addition, can be added to any number  $x$  and yields  $x$  as a result).  $\square$

### 1.5.3 Languages

A set of strings all of which are chosen from some  $\Sigma^*$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a particular alphabet, is called a *language*. If  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet, and  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , then  $L$  is a *language over  $\Sigma$* . Notice that a language over  $\Sigma$  need not include strings with all the symbols of  $\Sigma$ , so once we have established that  $L$  is a language over  $\Sigma$ , we also know it is a language over any alphabet that is a superset of  $\Sigma$ .

The choice of the term “language” may seem strange. However, common languages can be viewed as sets of strings. An example is English, where the

collection of legal English words is a set of strings over the alphabet that consists of all the letters. Another example is C, or any other programming language, where the legal programs are a subset of the possible strings that can be formed from the alphabet of the language. This alphabet is a subset of the ASCII characters. The exact alphabet may differ slightly among different programming languages, but generally includes the upper- and lower-case letters, the digits, punctuation, and mathematical symbols.

However, there are also many other languages that appear when we study automata. Some are abstract examples, such as:

1. The language of all strings consisting of  $n$  0's followed by  $n$  1's, for some  $n \geq 0$ :  $\{\epsilon, 01, 0011, 000111, \dots\}$ .
2. The set of strings of 0's and 1's with an equal number of each:

$$\{\epsilon, 01, 10, 0011, 0101, 1001, \dots\}$$

3. The set of binary numbers whose value is a prime:

$$\{10, 11, 101, 111, 1011, \dots\}$$

4.  $\Sigma^*$  is a language for any alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
5.  $\emptyset$ , the empty language, is a language over any alphabet.
6.  $\{\epsilon\}$ , the language consisting of only the empty string, is also a language over any alphabet. Notice that  $\emptyset \neq \{\epsilon\}$ ; the former has no strings and the latter has one string.

The only important constraint on what can be a language is that all alphabets are finite. Thus languages, although they can have an infinite number of strings, are restricted to consist of strings drawn from one fixed, finite alphabet.

#### 1.5.4 Problems

In automata theory, a *problem* is the question of deciding whether a given string is a member of some particular language. It turns out, as we shall see, that anything we more colloquially call a “problem” can be expressed as membership in a language. More precisely, if  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet, and  $L$  is a language over  $\Sigma$ , then the problem  $L$  is:

- Given a string  $w$  in  $\Sigma^*$ , decide whether or not  $w$  is in  $L$ .

**Example 1.26:** The problem of testing primality can be expressed by the language  $L_p$  consisting of all binary strings whose value as a binary number is a prime. That is, given a string of 0's and 1's, say “yes” if the string is the binary representation of a prime and say “no” if not. For some strings, this

## Set-Formers as a Way to Define Languages

It is common to describe a language using a “set-former”:

$$\{w \mid \text{something about } w\}$$

This expression is read “the set of words  $w$  such that (whatever is said about  $w$  to the right of the vertical bar).” Examples are:

1.  $\{w \mid w \text{ consists of an equal number of 0's and 1's}\}.$
2.  $\{w \mid w \text{ is a binary integer that is prime}\}.$
3.  $\{w \mid w \text{ is a syntactically correct C program}\}.$

It is also common to replace  $w$  by some expression with parameters and describe the strings in the language by stating conditions on the parameters. Here are some examples; the first with parameter  $n$ , the second with parameters  $i$  and  $j$ :

1.  $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 1\}.$  Read “the set of 0 to the  $n$  1 to the  $n$  such that  $n$  is greater than or equal to 1,” this language consists of the strings  $\{01, 0011, 000111, \dots\}.$  Notice that, as with alphabets, we can raise a single symbol to a power  $n$  in order to represent  $n$  copies of that symbol.
2.  $\{0^i 1^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq j\}.$  This language consists of strings with some 0’s (possibly none) followed by at least as many 1’s.

decision is easy. For instance, 0011101 cannot be the representation of a prime, for the simple reason that every integer except 0 has a binary representation that begins with 1. However, it is less obvious whether the string 11101 belongs to  $L_p$ , so any solution to this problem will have to use significant computational resources of some kind: time and/or space, for example.  $\square$

One potentially unsatisfactory aspect of our definition of “problem” is that one commonly thinks of problems not as decision questions (is or is not the following true?) but as requests to compute or transform some input (find the best way to do this task). For instance, the task of the parser in a C compiler can be thought of as a problem in our formal sense, where one is given an ASCII string and asked to decide whether or not the string is a member of  $L_c$ , the set of valid C programs. However, the parser does more than decide. It produces a parse tree, entries in a symbol table and perhaps more. Worse, the compiler as a whole solves the problem of turning a C program into object code for some

## Is It a Language or a Problem?

Languages and problems are really the same thing. Which term we prefer to use depends on our point of view. When we care only about strings for their own sake, e.g., in the set  $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 1\}$ , then we tend to think of the set of strings as a language. In the last chapters of this book, we shall tend to assign “semantics” to the strings, e.g., think of strings as coding graphs, logical expressions, or even integers. In those cases, where we care more about the thing represented by the string than the string itself, we shall tend to think of a set of strings as a problem.

machine, which is far from simply answering “yes” or “no” about the validity of a program.

Nevertheless, the definition of “problems” as languages has stood the test of time as the appropriate way to deal with the important questions of complexity theory. In this theory, we are interested in proving lower bounds on the complexity of certain problems. Especially important are techniques for proving that certain problems cannot be solved in an amount of time that is less than exponential in the size of their input. It turns out that the yes/no or language-based version of known problems are just as hard in this sense, as their “solve this” versions.

That is, if we can prove it is hard to decide whether a given string belongs to the language  $L_X$  of valid strings in programming language  $X$ , then it stands to reason that it will not be easier to translate programs in language  $X$  to object code. For if it were easy to generate code, then we could run the translator, and conclude that the input was a valid member of  $L_X$  exactly when the translator succeeded in producing object code. Since the final step of determining whether object code has been produced cannot be hard, we can use the fast algorithm for generating the object code to decide membership in  $L_X$  efficiently. We thus contradict the assumption that testing membership in  $L_X$  is hard. We have a proof by contradiction of the statement “if testing membership in  $L_X$  is hard, then compiling programs in programming language  $X$  is hard.”

This technique, showing one problem hard by using its supposed efficient algorithm to solve efficiently another problem that is already known to be hard, is called a “reduction” of the second problem to the first. It is an essential tool in the study of the complexity of problems, and it is facilitated greatly by our notion that problems are questions about membership in a language, rather than more general kinds of questions.

## 2.2 Deterministic Finite Automata

Now it is time to present the formal notion of a finite automaton, so that we may start to make precise some of the informal arguments and descriptions that we saw in Sections 1.1.1 and 2.1. We begin by introducing the formalism of a deterministic finite automaton, one that is in a single state after reading any sequence of inputs. The term “deterministic” refers to the fact that on each input there is one and only one state to which the automaton can transition from its current state. In contrast, “nondeterministic” finite automata, the subject of Section 2.3, can be in several states at once. The term “finite automaton” will refer to the deterministic variety, although we shall use “deterministic” or the abbreviation *DFA* normally, to remind the reader of which kind of automaton we are talking about.

### 2.2.1 Definition of a Deterministic Finite Automaton

A *deterministic finite automaton* consists of:

1. A finite set of *states*, often denoted  $Q$ .
2. A finite set of *input symbols*, often denoted  $\Sigma$ .
3. A *transition function* that takes as arguments a state and an input symbol and returns a state. The transition function will commonly be denoted  $\delta$ . In our informal graph representation of automata,  $\delta$  was represented by arcs between states and the labels on the arcs. If  $q$  is a state, and  $a$  is an input symbol, then  $\delta(q, a)$  is that state  $p$  such that there is an arc labeled  $a$  from  $q$  to  $p$ .<sup>2</sup>
4. A *start state*, one of the states in  $Q$ .
5. A set of *final* or *accepting* states  $F$ . The set  $F$  is a subset of  $Q$ .

A deterministic finite automaton will often be referred to by its acronym: *DFA*. The most succinct representation of a DFA is a listing of the five components above. In proofs we often talk about a DFA in “five-tuple” notation:

$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

where  $A$  is the name of the DFA,  $Q$  is its set of states,  $\Sigma$  its input symbols,  $\delta$  its transition function,  $q_0$  its start state, and  $F$  its set of accepting states.

### 2.2.2 How a DFA Processes Strings

The first thing we need to understand about a DFA is how the DFA decides whether or not to “accept” a sequence of input symbols. The “language” of the DFA is the set of all strings that the DFA accepts. Suppose  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  is a sequence of input symbols. We start out with the DFA in its start state,  $q_0$ . We consult the transition function  $\delta$ , say  $\delta(q_0, a_1) = q_1$  to find the state that the DFA  $A$  enters after processing the first input symbol  $a_1$ . We process the next input symbol,  $a_2$ , by evaluating  $\delta(q_1, a_2)$ ; let us suppose this state is  $q_2$ . We continue in this manner, finding states  $q_3, q_4, \dots, q_n$  such that  $\delta(q_{i-1}, a_i) = q_i$  for each  $i$ . If  $q_n$  is a member of  $F$ , then the input  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  is accepted, and if not then it is “rejected.”

**Example 2.1:** Let us formally specify a DFA that accepts all and only the strings of 0’s and 1’s that have the sequence 01 somewhere in the string. We can write this language  $L$  as:

$$\{w \mid w \text{ is of the form } x01y \text{ for some strings } x \text{ and } y \text{ consisting of 0's and 1's only}\}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>More accurately, the graph is a picture of some transition function  $\delta$ , and the arcs of the graph are constructed to reflect the transitions specified by  $\delta$ .

Another equivalent description, using parameters  $x$  and  $y$  to the left of the vertical bar, is:

$$\{x01y \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are any strings of 0's and 1's}\}$$

Examples of strings in the language include 01, 11010, and 100011. Examples of strings *not* in the language include  $\epsilon$ , 0, and 111000.

What do we know about an automaton that can accept this language  $L$ ? First, its input alphabet is  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . It has some set of states,  $Q$ , of which one, say  $q_0$ , is the start state. This automaton has to remember the important facts about what inputs it has seen so far. To decide whether 01 is a substring of the input,  $A$  needs to remember:

1. Has it already seen 01? If so, then it accepts every sequence of further inputs; i.e., it will only be in accepting states from now on.
2. Has it never seen 01, but its most recent input was 0, so if it now sees a 1, it will have seen 01 and can accept everything it sees from here on?
3. Has it never seen 01, but its last input was either nonexistent (it just started) or it last saw a 1? In this case,  $A$  cannot accept until it first sees a 0 and then sees a 1 immediately after.

These three conditions can each be represented by a state. Condition (3) is represented by the start state,  $q_0$ . Surely, when just starting, we need to see a 0 and then a 1. But if in state  $q_0$  we next see a 1, then we are no closer to seeing 01, and so we must stay in state  $q_0$ . That is,  $\delta(q_0, 1) = q_0$ .

However, if we are in state  $q_0$  and we next see a 0, we are in condition (2). That is, we have never seen 01, but we have our 0. Thus, let us use  $q_2$  to represent condition (2). Our transition from  $q_0$  on input 0 is  $\delta(q_0, 0) = q_2$ .

Now, let us consider the transitions from state  $q_2$ . If we see a 0, we are no better off than we were, but no worse either. We have not seen 01, but 0 was the last symbol, so we are still waiting for a 1. State  $q_2$  describes this situation perfectly, so we want  $\delta(q_2, 0) = q_2$ . If we are in state  $q_2$  and we see a 1 input, we now know there is a 0 followed by a 1. We can go to an accepting state, which we shall call  $q_1$ , and which corresponds to condition (1) above. That is,  $\delta(q_2, 1) = q_1$ .

Finally, we must design the transitions for state  $q_1$ . In this state, we have already seen a 01 sequence, so regardless of what happens, we shall still be in a situation where we've seen 01. That is,  $\delta(q_1, 0) = \delta(q_1, 1) = q_1$ .

Thus,  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$ . As we said,  $q_0$  is the start state, and the only accepting state is  $q_1$ ; that is,  $F = \{q_1\}$ . The complete specification of the automaton  $A$  that accepts the language  $L$  of strings that have a 01 substring, is

$$A = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, q_0, \{q_1\})$$

where  $\delta$  is the transition function described above.  $\square$

### 2.2.3 Simpler Notations for DFA's

Specifying a DFA as a five-tuple with a detailed description of the  $\delta$  transition function is both tedious and hard to read. There are two preferred notations for describing automata:

1. A *transition diagram*, which is a graph such as the ones we saw in Section 2.1.
2. A *transition table*, which is a tabular listing of the  $\delta$  function, which by implication tells us the set of states and the input alphabet.

#### Transition Diagrams

A *transition diagram* for a DFA  $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is a graph defined as follows:

- a) For each state in  $Q$  there is a node.
- b) For each state  $q$  in  $Q$  and each input symbol  $a$  in  $\Sigma$ , let  $\delta(q, a) = p$ . Then the transition diagram has an arc from node  $q$  to node  $p$ , labeled  $a$ . If there are several input symbols that cause transitions from  $q$  to  $p$ , then the transition diagram can have one arc, labeled by the list of these symbols.
- c) There is an arrow into the start state  $q_0$ , labeled *Start*. This arrow does not originate at any node.
- d) Nodes corresponding to accepting states (those in  $F$ ) are marked by a double circle. States not in  $F$  have a single circle.

**Example 2.2:** Figure 2.4 shows the transition diagram for the DFA that we designed in Example 2.1. We see in that diagram the three nodes that correspond to the three states. There is a *Start* arrow entering the start state,  $q_0$ , and the one accepting state,  $q_1$ , is represented by a double circle. Out of each state is one arc labeled 0 and one arc labeled 1 (although the two arcs are combined into one with a double label in the case of  $q_1$ ). The arcs each correspond to one of the  $\delta$  facts developed in Example 2.1.  $\square$




Figure 2.4: The transition diagram for the DFA accepting all strings with a substring 01

### Transition Tables

A *transition table* is a conventional, tabular representation of a function like  $\delta$  that takes two arguments and returns a value. The rows of the table correspond to the states, and the columns correspond to the inputs. The entry for the row corresponding to state  $q$  and the column corresponding to input  $a$  is the state  $\delta(q, a)$ .

**Example 2.3:** The transition table corresponding to the function  $\delta$  of Example 2.1 is shown in Fig. 2.5. We have also shown two other features of a transition table. The start state is marked with an arrow, and the accepting states are marked with a star. Since we can deduce the sets of states and input symbols by looking at the row and column heads, we can now read from the transition table all the information we need to specify the finite automaton uniquely.  $\square$

	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$	$q_2$	$q_0$
$*q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$
$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$

Figure 2.5: Transition table for the DFA of Example 2.1

### 2.2.4 Extending the Transition Function to Strings

We have explained informally that the DFA defines a language: the set of all strings that result in a sequence of state transitions from the start state to an accepting state. In terms of the transition diagram, the language of a DFA is the set of labels along all the paths that lead from the start state to any accepting state.

Now, we need to make the notion of the language of a DFA precise. To do so, we define an *extended transition function* that describes what happens when we start in any state and follow any sequence of inputs. If  $\delta$  is our transition function, then the extended transition function constructed from  $\delta$  will be called  $\hat{\delta}$ . The extended transition function is a function that takes a state  $q$  and a string  $w$  and returns a state  $p$  — the state that the automaton reaches when starting in state  $q$  and processing the sequence of inputs  $w$ . We define  $\hat{\delta}$  by induction on the length of the input string, as follows:

**BASIS:**  $\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = q$ . That is, if we are in state  $q$  and read no inputs, then we are still in state  $q$ .

**INDUCTION:** Suppose  $w$  is a string of the form  $xa$ ; that is,  $a$  is the last symbol of  $w$ , and  $x$  is the string consisting of all but the last symbol.<sup>3</sup> For example,  $w = 1101$  is broken into  $x = 110$  and  $a = 1$ . Then

$$\hat{\delta}(q, w) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, x), a) \quad (2.1)$$

Now (2.1) may seem like a lot to take in, but the idea is simple. To compute  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$ , first compute  $\hat{\delta}(q, x)$ , the state that the automaton is in after processing all but the last symbol of  $w$ . Suppose this state is  $p$ ; that is,  $\hat{\delta}(q, x) = p$ . Then  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$  is what we get by making a transition from state  $p$  on input  $a$ , the last symbol of  $w$ . That is,  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = \delta(p, a)$ .

**Example 2.4:** Let us design a DFA to accept the language

$$L = \{w \mid w \text{ has both an even number of 0's and an even number of 1's}\}$$

It should not be surprising that the job of the states of this DFA is to count both the number of 0's and the number of 1's, but count them modulo 2. That is, the state is used to remember whether the number of 0's seen so far is even or odd, and also to remember whether the number of 1's seen so far is even or odd. There are thus four states, which can be given the following interpretations:

- $q_0$ : Both the number of 0's seen so far and the number of 1's seen so far are even.
- $q_1$ : The number of 0's seen so far is even, but the number of 1's seen so far is odd.
- $q_2$ : The number of 1's seen so far is even, but the number of 0's seen so far is odd.
- $q_3$ : Both the number of 0's seen so far and the number of 1's seen so far are odd.

State  $q_0$  is both the start state and the lone accepting state. It is the start state, because before reading any inputs, the numbers of 0's and 1's seen so far are both zero, and zero is even. It is the only accepting state, because it describes exactly the condition for a sequence of 0's and 1's to be in language  $L$ .

We now know almost how to specify the DFA for language  $L$ . It is

$$A = (\{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, q_0, \{q_0\})$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Recall our convention that letters at the beginning of the alphabet are symbols, and those near the end of the alphabet are strings. We need that convention to make sense of the phrase “of the form  $xa$ .”




Figure 2.6: Transition diagram for the DFA of Example 2.4

where the transition function  $\delta$  is described by the transition diagram of Fig. 2.6. Notice how each input 0 causes the state to cross the horizontal, dashed line. Thus, after seeing an even number of 0's we are always above the line, in state  $q_0$  or  $q_1$  while after seeing an odd number of 0's we are always below the line, in state  $q_2$  or  $q_3$ . Likewise, every 1 causes the state to cross the vertical, dashed line. Thus, after seeing an even number of 1's, we are always to the left, in state  $q_0$  or  $q_2$ , while after seeing an odd number of 1's we are to the right, in state  $q_1$  or  $q_3$ . These observations are an informal proof that the four states have the interpretations attributed to them. However, one could prove the correctness of our claims about the states formally, by a mutual induction in the spirit of Example 1.23.

We can also represent this DFA by a transition table. Figure 2.7 shows this table. However, we are not just concerned with the design of this DFA; we want to use it to illustrate the construction of  $\hat{\delta}$  from its transition function  $\delta$ . Suppose the input is 110101. Since this string has even numbers of 0's and 1's both, we expect it is in the language. Thus, we expect that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 110101) = q_0$ , since  $q_0$  is the only accepting state. Let us now verify that claim.

	0	1
$* \rightarrow q_0$	$q_2$	$q_1$
$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_0$
$q_2$	$q_0$	$q_3$
$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$

Figure 2.7: Transition table for the DFA of Example 2.4

The check involves computing  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  for each prefix  $w$  of 110101, starting at  $\epsilon$  and going in increasing size. The summary of this calculation is:

## Standard Notation and Local Variables

After reading this section, you might imagine that our customary notation is required; that is, you *must* use  $\delta$  for the transition function, use  $A$  for the name of a DFA, and so on. We tend to use the same variables to denote the same thing across all examples, because it helps to remind you of the types of variables, much the way a variable  $i$  in a program is almost always of integer type. However, we are free to call the components of an automaton, or anything else, anything we wish. Thus, you are free to call a DFA  $M$  and its transition function  $T$  if you like.

Moreover, you should not be surprised that the same variable means different things in different contexts. For example, the DFA's of Examples 2.1 and 2.4 both were given a transition function called  $\delta$ . However, the two transition functions are each local variables, belonging only to their examples. These two transition functions are very different and bear no relationship to one another.

- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0$ .
- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 1) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon), 1) = \delta(q_0, 1) = q_1$ .
- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 11) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q_0, 1), 1) = \delta(q_1, 1) = q_0$ .
- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 110) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q_0, 11), 0) = \delta(q_0, 0) = q_2$ .
- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 1101) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q_0, 110), 1) = \delta(q_2, 1) = q_3$ .
- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 11010) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q_0, 1101), 0) = \delta(q_3, 0) = q_1$ .
- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 110101) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q_0, 11010), 1) = \delta(q_1, 1) = q_0$ .

□

### 2.2.5 The Language of a DFA

Now, we can define the *language* of a DFA  $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ . This language is denoted  $L(A)$ , and is defined by

$$L(A) = \{w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \text{ is in } F\}$$

That is, the language of  $A$  is the set of strings  $w$  that take the start state  $q_0$  to one of the accepting states. If  $L$  is  $L(A)$  for some DFA  $A$ , then we say  $L$  is a *regular language*.

**Example 2.5 :** As we mentioned earlier, if  $A$  is the DFA of Example 2.1, then  $L(A)$  is the set of all strings of 0's and 1's that contain a substring 01. If  $A$  is instead the DFA of Example 2.4, then  $L(A)$  is the set of all strings of 0's and 1's whose numbers of 0's and 1's are both even.  $\square$

### 2.2.6 Exercises for Section 2.2

**Exercise 2.2.1:** In Fig. 2.8 is a marble-rolling toy. A marble is dropped at  $A$  or  $B$ . Levers  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  cause the marble to fall either to the left or to the right. Whenever a marble encounters a lever, it causes the lever to reverse after the marble passes, so the next marble will take the opposite branch.




Figure 2.8: A marble-rolling toy

- \* a) Model this toy by a finite automaton. Let the inputs  $A$  and  $B$  represent the input into which the marble is dropped. Let acceptance correspond to the marble exiting at  $D$ ; nonacceptance represents a marble exiting at  $C$ .
- ! b) Informally describe the language of the automaton.
- c) Suppose that instead the levers switched *before* allowing the marble to pass. How would your answers to parts (a) and (b) change?
- \*! **Exercise 2.2.2:** We defined  $\hat{\delta}$  by breaking the input string into any string followed by a single symbol (in the inductive part, Equation 2.1). However, we informally think of  $\hat{\delta}$  as describing what happens along a path with a certain

string of labels, and if so, then it should not matter how we break the input string in the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$ . Show that in fact,  $\hat{\delta}(q, xy) = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, x), y)$  for any state  $q$  and strings  $x$  and  $y$ . *Hint:* Perform an induction on  $|y|$ .

**! Exercise 2.2.3:** Show that for any state  $q$ , string  $x$ , and input symbol  $a$ ,  $\hat{\delta}(q, ax) = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, a), x)$ . *Hint:* Use Exercise 2.2.2.

**Exercise 2.2.4:** Give DFA's accepting the following languages over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ :

- \* a) The set of all strings ending in 00.
- b) The set of all strings with three consecutive 0's (not necessarily at the end).
- c) The set of strings with 011 as a substring.

**! Exercise 2.2.5:** Give DFA's accepting the following languages over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ :

- a) The set of all strings such that each block of five consecutive symbols contains at least two 0's.
- b) The set of all strings whose tenth symbol from the right end is a 1.
- c) The set of strings that either begin or end (or both) with 01.
- d) The set of strings such that the number of 0's is divisible by five, and the number of 1's is divisible by 3.

**!! Exercise 2.2.6:** Give DFA's accepting the following languages over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ :

- \* a) The set of all strings beginning with a 1 that, when interpreted as a binary integer, is a multiple of 5. For example, strings 101, 1010, and 1111 are in the language; 0, 100, and 111 are not.
- b) The set of all strings that, when interpreted *in reverse* as a binary integer, is divisible by 5. Examples of strings in the language are 0, 10011, 1001100, and 0101.

**Exercise 2.2.7:** Let  $A$  be a DFA and  $q$  a particular state of  $A$ , such that  $\delta(q, a) = q$  for all input symbols  $a$ . Show by induction on the length of the input that for all input strings  $w$ ,  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = q$ .

**Exercise 2.2.8:** Let  $A$  be a DFA and  $a$  a particular input symbol of  $A$ , such that for all states  $q$  of  $A$  we have  $\delta(q, a) = q$ .

- a) Show by induction on  $n$  that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\hat{\delta}(q, a^n) = q$ , where  $a^n$  is the string consisting of  $n$   $a$ 's.

b) Show that either  $\{a\}^* \subseteq L(A)$  or  $\{a\}^* \cap L(A) = \emptyset$ .

\*! Exercise 2.2.9: Let  $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, \{q_f\})$  be a DFA, and suppose that for all  $a$  in  $\Sigma$  we have  $\delta(q_0, a) = \delta(q_f, a)$ .

a) Show that for all  $w \neq \epsilon$  we have  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) = \hat{\delta}(q_f, w)$ .

b) Show that if  $x$  is a nonempty string in  $L(A)$ , then for all  $k > 0$ ,  $x^k$  (i.e.,  $x$  written  $k$  times) is also in  $L(A)$ .

\*! Exercise 2.2.10: Consider the DFA with the following transition table:

	0	1
$\rightarrow A$	A	B
$*B$	B	A

Informally describe the language accepted by this DFA, and prove by induction on the length of an input string that your description is correct. *Hint:* When setting up the inductive hypothesis, it is wise to make a statement about what inputs get you to each state, not just what inputs get you to the accepting state.

! Exercise 2.2.11: Repeat Exercise 2.2.10 for the following transition table:

	0	1
$\rightarrow *A$	B	A
$*B$	C	A
C	C	C

## 2.3 Nondeterministic Finite Automata

A “nondeterministic” finite automaton (*NFA*) has the power to be in several states at once. This ability is often expressed as an ability to “guess” something about its input. For instance, when the automaton is used to search for certain sequences of characters (e.g., keywords) in a long text string, it is helpful to “guess” that we are at the beginning of one of those strings and use a sequence of states to do nothing but check that the string appears, character by character. We shall see an example of this type of application in Section 2.4.

Before examining applications, we need to define nondeterministic finite automata and show that each one accepts a language that is also accepted by some DFA. That is, the NFA’s accept exactly the regular languages, just as DFA’s do. However, there are reasons to think about NFA’s. They are often more succinct and easier to design than DFA’s. Moreover, while we can always convert an NFA to a DFA, the latter may have exponentially more states than the NFA; fortunately, cases of this type are rare.

### 2.3.1 An Informal View of Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Like the DFA, an NFA has a finite set of states, a finite set of input symbols, one start state and a set of accepting states. It also has a transition function, which we shall commonly call  $\delta$ . The difference between the DFA and the NFA is in the type of  $\delta$ . For the NFA,  $\delta$  is a function that takes a state and input symbol as arguments (like the DFA's transition function), but returns a set of zero, one, or more states (rather than returning exactly one state, as the DFA must). We shall start with an example of an NFA, and then make the definitions precise.

**Example 2.6:** Figure 2.9 shows a nondeterministic finite automaton, whose job is to accept all and only the strings of 0's and 1's that end in 01. State  $q_0$  is the start state, and we can think of the automaton as being in state  $q_0$  (perhaps among other states) whenever it has not yet "guessed" that the final 01 has begun. It is always possible that the next symbol does not begin the final 01, even if that symbol is 0. Thus, state  $q_0$  may transition to itself on both 0 and 1.




Figure 2.9: An NFA accepting all strings that end in 01

However, if the next symbol is 0, this NFA also guesses that the final 01 has begun. An arc labeled 0 thus leads from  $q_0$  to state  $q_1$ . Notice that there are two arcs labeled 0 out of  $q_0$ . The NFA has the option of going either to  $q_0$  or to  $q_1$ , and in fact it does both, as we shall see when we make the definitions precise. In state  $q_1$ , the NFA checks that the next symbol is 1, and if so, it goes to state  $q_2$  and accepts.

Notice that there is no arc out of  $q_1$  labeled 0, and there are no arcs at all out of  $q_2$ . In these situations, the thread of the NFA's existence corresponding to those states simply "dies," although other threads may continue to exist. While a DFA has exactly one arc out of each state for each input symbol, an NFA has no such constraint; we have seen in Fig. 2.9 cases where the number of arcs is zero, one, and two, for example.

Figure 2.10 suggests how an NFA processes inputs. We have shown what happens when the automaton of Fig. 2.9 receives the input sequence 00101. It starts in only its start state,  $q_0$ . When the first 0 is read, the NFA may go to either state  $q_0$  or state  $q_1$ , so it does both. These two threads are suggested by the second column in Fig. 2.10.

Then, the second 0 is read. State  $q_0$  may again go to both  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . However, state  $q_1$  has no transition on 0, so it "dies." When the third input, a




Figure 2.10: The states an NFA is in during the processing of input sequence 00101

1, occurs, we must consider transitions from both  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . We find that  $q_0$  goes only to  $q_0$  on 1, while  $q_1$  goes only to  $q_2$ . Thus, after reading 001, the NFA is in states  $q_0$  and  $q_2$ . Since  $q_2$  is an accepting state, the NFA accepts 001.

However, the input is not finished. The fourth input, a 0, causes  $q_2$ 's thread to die, while  $q_0$  goes to both  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . The last input, a 1, sends  $q_0$  to  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  to  $q_2$ . Since we are again in an accepting state, 00101 is accepted.  $\square$

### 2.3.2 Definition of Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Now, let us introduce the formal notions associated with nondeterministic finite automata. The differences between DFA's and NFA's will be pointed out as we do. An NFA is represented essentially like a DFA:

$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

where:

1.  $Q$  is a finite set of *states*.
2.  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of *input symbols*.
3.  $q_0$ , a member of  $Q$ , is the *start state*.
4.  $F$ , a subset of  $Q$ , is the set of *final* (or *accepting*) states.
5.  $\delta$ , the *transition function* is a function that takes a state in  $Q$  and an input symbol in  $\Sigma$  as arguments and returns a subset of  $Q$ . Notice that the only difference between an NFA and a DFA is in the type of value that  $\delta$  returns: a set of states in the case of an NFA and a single state in the case of a DFA.

**Example 2.7:** The NFA of Fig. 2.9 can be specified formally as

$$(\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, q_0, \{q_2\})$$

	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0\}$
$q_1$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_2\}$
$*q_2$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

Figure 2.11: Transition table for an NFA that accepts all strings ending in 01

where the transition function  $\delta$  is given by the transition table of Fig. 2.11.  $\square$

Notice that transition tables can be used to specify the transition function for an NFA as well as for a DFA. The only difference is that each entry in the table for the NFA is a set, even if the set is a *singleton* (has one member). Also notice that when there is no transition at all from a given state on a given input symbol, the proper entry is  $\emptyset$ , the empty set.

### 2.3.3 The Extended Transition Function

As for DFA's, we need to extend the transition function  $\delta$  of an NFA to a function  $\hat{\delta}$  that takes a state  $q$  and a string of input symbols  $w$ , and returns the set of states that the NFA is in if it starts in state  $q$  and processes the string  $w$ . The idea was suggested by Fig. 2.10; in essence  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$  is the column of states found after reading  $w$ , if  $q$  is the lone state in the first column. For instance, Fig. 2.10 suggests that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 001) = \{q_0, q_2\}$ . Formally, we define  $\hat{\delta}$  for an NFA's transition function  $\delta$  by:

**BASIS:**  $\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \{q\}$ . That is, without reading any input symbols, we are only in the state we began in.

**INDUCTION:** Suppose  $w$  is of the form  $w = xa$ , where  $a$  is the final symbol of  $w$  and  $x$  is the rest of  $w$ . Also suppose that  $\hat{\delta}(q, x) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ . Let

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta(p_i, a) = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$$

Then  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$ . Less formally, we compute  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$  by first computing  $\hat{\delta}(q, x)$ , and by then following any transition from any of these states that is labeled  $a$ .

**Example 2.8:** Let us use  $\hat{\delta}$  to describe the processing of input 00101 by the NFA of Fig. 2.9. A summary of the steps is:

1.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon) = \{q_0\}$ .
2.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 0) = \delta(q_0, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\}$ .

3.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 00) = \delta(q_0, 0) \cup \delta(q_1, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\} \cup \emptyset = \{q_0, q_1\}$ .
4.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 001) = \delta(q_0, 1) \cup \delta(q_1, 1) = \{q_0\} \cup \{q_2\} = \{q_0, q_2\}$ .
5.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 0010) = \delta(q_0, 0) \cup \delta(q_2, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\} \cup \emptyset = \{q_0, q_1\}$ .
6.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 00101) = \delta(q_0, 1) \cup \delta(q_1, 1) = \{q_0\} \cup \{q_2\} = \{q_0, q_2\}$ .

Line (1) is the basis rule. We obtain line (2) by applying  $\delta$  to the lone state,  $q_0$ , that is in the previous set, and get  $\{q_0, q_1\}$  as a result. Line (3) is obtained by taking the union over the two states in the previous set of what we get when we apply  $\delta$  to them with input 0. That is,  $\delta(q_0, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\}$ , while  $\delta(q_1, 0) = \emptyset$ . For line (4), we take the union of  $\delta(q_0, 1) = \{q_0\}$  and  $\delta(q_1, 1) = \{q_2\}$ . Lines (5) and (6) are similar to lines (3) and (4).  $\square$

### 2.3.4 The Language of an NFA

As we have suggested, an NFA accepts a string  $w$  if it is possible to make any sequence of choices of next state, while reading the characters of  $w$ , and go from the start state to any accepting state. The fact that other choices using the input symbols of  $w$  lead to a nonaccepting state, or do not lead to any state at all (i.e., the sequence of states “dies”), does not prevent  $w$  from being accepted by the NFA as a whole. Formally, if  $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is an NFA, then

$$L(A) = \{w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$$

That is,  $L(A)$  is the set of strings  $w$  in  $\Sigma^*$  such that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains at least one accepting state.

**Example 2.9:** As an example, let us prove formally that the NFA of Fig. 2.9 accepts the language  $L = \{w \mid w \text{ ends in } 01\}$ . The proof is a mutual induction of the following three statements that characterize the three states:

1.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_0$  for every  $w$ .
2.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_1$  if and only if  $w$  ends in 0.
3.  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_2$  if and only if  $w$  ends in 01.

To prove these statements, we need to consider how  $A$  can reach each state; i.e., what was the last input symbol, and in what state was  $A$  just before reading that symbol?

Since the language of this automaton is the set of strings  $w$  such that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_2$  (because  $q_2$  is the only accepting state), the proof of these three statements, in particular the proof of (3), guarantees that the language of this NFA is the set of strings ending in 01. The proof of the theorem is an induction on  $|w|$ , the length of  $w$ , starting with length 0.

**BASIS:** If  $|w| = 0$ , then  $w = \epsilon$ . Statement (1) says that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon)$  contains  $q_0$ , which it does by the basis part of the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$ . For statement (2), we know that  $\epsilon$  does not end in 0, and we also know that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon)$  does not contain  $q_1$ , again by the basis part of the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$ . Thus, the hypotheses of both directions of the if-and-only-if statement are false, and therefore both directions of the statement are true. The proof of statement (3) for  $w = \epsilon$  is essentially the same as the above proof for statement (2).

**INDUCTION:** Assume that  $w = xa$ , where  $a$  is a symbol, either 0 or 1. We may assume statements (1) through (3) hold for  $x$ , and we need to prove them for  $w$ . That is, we assume  $|w| = n + 1$ , so  $|x| = n$ . We assume the inductive hypothesis for  $n$  and prove it for  $n + 1$ .

1. We know that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x)$  contains  $q_0$ . Since there are transitions on both 0 and 1 from  $q_0$  to itself, it follows that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  also contains  $q_0$ , so statement (1) is proved for  $w$ .

2. (If) Assume that  $w$  ends in 0; i.e.,  $a = 0$ . By statement (1) applied to  $x$ , we know that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x)$  contains  $q_0$ . Since there is a transition from  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  on input 0, we conclude that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_1$ .

(Only-if) Suppose  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_1$ . If we look at the diagram of Fig. 2.9, we see that the only way to get into state  $q_1$  is if the input sequence  $w$  is of the form  $x0$ . That is enough to prove the “only-if” portion of statement (2).

3. (If) Assume that  $w$  ends in 01. Then if  $w = xa$ , we know that  $a = 1$  and  $x$  ends in 0. By statement (2) applied to  $x$ , we know that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x)$  contains  $q_1$ . Since there is a transition from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  on input 1, we conclude that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_2$ .

(Only-if) Suppose  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w)$  contains  $q_2$ . Looking at the diagram of Fig. 2.9, we discover that the only way to get to state  $q_2$  is for  $w$  to be of the form  $x1$ , where  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x)$  contains  $q_1$ . By statement (2) applied to  $x$ , we know that  $x$  ends in 0. Thus,  $w$  ends in 01, and we have proved statement (3).

□

### 2.3.5 Equivalence of Deterministic and Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Although there are many languages for which an NFA is easier to construct than a DFA, such as the language (Example 2.6) of strings that end in 01, it is a surprising fact that every language that can be described by some NFA can also be described by some DFA. Moreover, the DFA in practice has about as many states as the NFA, although it often has more transitions. In the worst case, however, the smallest DFA can have  $2^n$  states while the smallest NFA for the same language has only  $n$  states.

The proof that DFA's can do whatever NFA's can do involves an important "construction" called the *subset construction* because it involves constructing all subsets of the set of states of the NFA. In general, many proofs about automata involve constructing one automaton from another. It is important for us to observe the subset construction as an example of how one formally describes one automaton in terms of the states and transitions of another, without knowing the specifics of the latter automaton.

The subset construction starts from an NFA  $N = (Q_N, \Sigma, \delta_N, q_0, F_N)$ . Its goal is the description of a DFA  $D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, \{q_0\}, F_D)$  such that  $L(D) = L(N)$ . Notice that the input alphabets of the two automata are the same, and the start state of  $D$  is the set containing only the start state of  $N$ . The other components of  $D$  are constructed as follows.

- $Q_D$  is the set of subsets of  $Q_N$ ; i.e.,  $Q_D$  is the *power set* of  $Q_N$ . Note that if  $Q_N$  has  $n$  states, then  $Q_D$  will have  $2^n$  states. Often, not all these states are accessible from the start state of  $Q_D$ . Inaccessible states can be "thrown away," so effectively, the number of states of  $D$  may be much smaller than  $2^n$ .
- $F_D$  is the set of subsets  $S$  of  $Q_N$  such that  $S \cap F_N \neq \emptyset$ . That is,  $F_D$  is all sets of  $N$ 's states that include at least one accepting state of  $N$ .
- For each set  $S \subseteq Q_N$  and for each input symbol  $a$  in  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\delta_D(S, a) = \bigcup_{p \text{ in } S} \delta_N(p, a)$$

That is, to compute  $\delta_D(S, a)$  we look at all the states  $p$  in  $S$ , see what states  $N$  goes to from  $p$  on input  $a$ , and take the union of all those states.

	0	1
$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\rightarrow \{q_0\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0\}$
$\{q_1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_2\}$
$*\{q_2\}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0, q_2\}$
$*\{q_0, q_2\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0\}$
$*\{q_1, q_2\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_2\}$
$*\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_0, q_2\}$

Figure 2.12: The complete subset construction from Fig. 2.9

**Example 2.10:** Let  $N$  be the automaton of Fig. 2.9 that accepts all strings that end in 01. Since  $N$ 's set of states is  $\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$ , the subset construction

produces a DFA with  $2^3 = 8$  states, corresponding to all the subsets of these three states. Figure 2.12 shows the transition table for these eight states; we shall show shortly the details of how some of these entries are computed.

Notice that this transition table belongs to a deterministic finite automaton. Even though the entries in the table are sets, the states of the constructed DFA are sets. To make the point clearer, we can invent new names for these states, e.g.,  $A$  for  $\emptyset$ ,  $B$  for  $\{q_0\}$ , and so on. The DFA transition table of Fig 2.13 defines exactly the same automaton as Fig. 2.12, but makes clear the point that the entries in the table are single states of the DFA.

	0	1
$A$	$A$	$A$
$\rightarrow B$	$E$	$B$
$C$	$A$	$D$
$*D$	$A$	$A$
$E$	$E$	$F$
$*F$	$E$	$B$
$*G$	$A$	$D$
$*H$	$E$	$F$

Figure 2.13: Renaming the states of Fig. 2.12

Of the eight states in Fig. 2.13, starting in the start state  $B$ , we can only reach states  $B$ ,  $E$ , and  $F$ . The other five states are inaccessible from the start state and may as well not be there. We often can avoid the exponential-time step of constructing transition-table entries for every subset of states if we perform “lazy evaluation” on the subsets, as follows.

**BASIS:** We know for certain that the singleton set consisting only of  $N$ 's start state is accessible.

**INDUCTION:** Suppose we have determined that set  $S$  of states is accessible. Then for each input symbol  $a$ , compute the set of states  $\delta_D(S, a)$ ; we know that these sets of states will also be accessible.

For the example at hand, we know that  $\{q_0\}$  is a state of the DFA  $D$ . We find that  $\delta_D(\{q_0\}, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\}$  and  $\delta_D(\{q_0\}, 1) = \{q_0\}$ . Both these facts are established by looking at the transition diagram of Fig. 2.9 and observing that on 0 there are arcs out of  $q_0$  to both  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ , while on 1 there is an arc only to  $q_0$ . We thus have one row of the transition table for the DFA: the second row in Fig. 2.12.

One of the two sets we computed is “old”;  $\{q_0\}$  has already been considered. However, the other —  $\{q_0, q_1\}$  — is new and its transitions must be computed. We find  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\}$  and  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, 1) = \{q_0, q_2\}$ . For instance, to see the latter calculation, we know that

$$\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, 1) = \delta_N(q_0, 1) \cup \delta_N(q_1, 1) = \{q_0\} \cup \{q_2\} = \{q_0, q_2\}$$

We now have the fifth row of Fig. 2.12, and we have discovered one new state of  $D$ , which is  $\{q_0, q_2\}$ . A similar calculation tells us

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_D(\{q_0, q_2\}, 0) &= \delta_N(q_0, 0) \cup \delta_N(q_2, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\} \cup \emptyset = \{q_0, q_1\} \\ \delta_D(\{q_0, q_2\}, 1) &= \delta_N(q_0, 1) \cup \delta_N(q_2, 1) = \{q_0\} \cup \emptyset = \{q_0\}\end{aligned}$$

These calculations give us the sixth row of Fig. 2.12, but it gives us only sets of states that we have already seen.

Thus, the subset construction has converged; we know all the accessible states and their transitions. The entire DFA is shown in Fig. 2.14. Notice that it has only three states, which is, by coincidence, exactly the same number of states as the NFA of Fig. 2.9, from which it was constructed. However, the DFA of Fig. 2.14 has six transitions, compared with the four transitions in Fig. 2.9.  $\square$




Figure 2.14: The DFA constructed from the NFA of Fig 2.9

We need to show formally that the subset construction works, although the intuition was suggested by the examples. After reading sequence of input symbols  $w$ , the constructed DFA is in one state that is the set of NFA states that the NFA would be in after reading  $w$ . Since the accepting states of the DFA are those sets that include at least one accepting state of the NFA, and the NFA also accepts if it gets into at least one of its accepting states, we may then conclude that the DFA and NFA accept exactly the same strings, and therefore accept the same language.

**Theorem 2.11:** If  $D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, \{q_0\}, F_D)$  is the DFA constructed from NFA  $N = (Q_N, \Sigma, \delta_N, q_0, F_N)$  by the subset construction, then  $L(D) = L(N)$ .

**PROOF:** What we actually prove first, by induction on  $|w|$ , is that

$$\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, w) = \hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w)$$

Notice that each of the  $\hat{\delta}$  functions returns a set of states from  $Q_N$ , but  $\hat{\delta}_D$  interprets this set as one of the states of  $Q_D$  (which is the power set of  $Q_N$ ), while  $\hat{\delta}_N$  interprets this set as a subset of  $Q_N$ .

**BASIS:** Let  $|w| = 0$ ; that is,  $w = \epsilon$ . By the basis definitions of  $\hat{\delta}$  for DFA's and NFA's, both  $\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, \epsilon)$  and  $\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, \epsilon)$  are  $\{q_0\}$ .

**INDUCTION:** Let  $w$  be of length  $n + 1$ , and assume the statement for length  $n$ . Break  $w$  up as  $w = xa$ , where  $a$  is the final symbol of  $w$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, x) = \hat{\delta}_N(q_0, x)$ . Let both these sets of  $N$ 's states be  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ .

The inductive part of the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$  for NFA's tells us

$$\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta_N(p_i, a) \quad (2.2)$$

The subset construction, on the other hand, tells us that

$$\delta_D(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}, a) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta_N(p_i, a) \quad (2.3)$$

Now, let us use (2.3) and the fact that  $\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, x) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  in the inductive part of the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$  for DFA's:

$$\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, w) = \delta_D(\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, x), a) = \delta_D(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}, a) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta_N(p_i, a) \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, Equations (2.2) and (2.4) demonstrate that  $\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, w) = \hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w)$ . When we observe that  $D$  and  $N$  both accept  $w$  if and only if  $\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, w)$  or  $\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w)$ , respectively, contain a state in  $F_N$ , we have a complete proof that  $L(D) = L(N)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12:** A language  $L$  is accepted by some DFA if and only if  $L$  is accepted by some NFA.

**PROOF:** (If) The “if” part is the subset construction and Theorem 2.11.

(Only-if) This part is easy; we have only to convert a DFA into an identical NFA. Put intuitively, if we have the transition diagram for a DFA, we can also interpret it as the transition diagram of an NFA, which happens to have exactly one choice of transition in any situation. More formally, let  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_D, q_0, F)$  be a DFA. Define  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_N, q_0, F)$  to be the equivalent NFA, where  $\delta_N$  is defined by the rule:

- If  $\delta_D(q, a) = p$ , then  $\delta_N(q, a) = \{p\}$ .

It is then easy to show by induction on  $|w|$ , that if  $\hat{\delta}_D(q_0, w) = p$  then

$$\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w) = \{p\}$$

We leave the proof to the reader. As a consequence,  $w$  is accepted by  $D$  if and only if it is accepted by  $N$ ; i.e.,  $L(D) = L(N)$ .  $\square$

### 2.3.6 A Bad Case for the Subset Construction

In Example 2.10 we found that the DFA had no more states than the NFA. As we mentioned, it is quite common in practice for the DFA to have roughly the same number of states as the NFA from which it is constructed. However, exponential growth in the number of states is possible; all the  $2^n$  DFA states that we could construct from an  $n$ -state NFA may turn out to be accessible. The following example does not quite reach that bound, but it is an understandable way to reach  $2^n$  states in the smallest DFA that is equivalent to an  $n + 1$ -state NFA.

**Example 2.13 :** Consider the NFA  $N$  of Fig. 2.15.  $L(N)$  is the set of all strings of 0's and 1's such that the  $n$ th symbol from the end is 1. Intuitively, a DFA  $D$  that accepts this language must remember the last  $n$  symbols it has read. Since any of  $2^n$  subsets of the last  $n$  symbols could have been 1, if  $D$  has fewer than  $2^n$  states, then there would be some state  $q$  such that  $D$  can be in state  $q$  after reading two different sequences of  $n$  bits, say  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  and  $b_1b_2 \dots b_n$ .

Since the sequences are different, they must differ in some position, say  $a_i \neq b_i$ . Suppose (by symmetry) that  $a_i = 1$  and  $b_i = 0$ . If  $i = 1$ , then  $q$  must be both an accepting state and a nonaccepting state, since  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$  is accepted (the  $n$ th symbol from the end is 1) and  $b_1b_2 \dots b_n$  is not. If  $i > 1$ , then consider the state  $p$  that  $D$  enters after reading  $i - 1$  0's. Then  $p$  must be both accepting and nonaccepting, since  $a_ia_{i+1} \dots a_n00 \dots 0$  is accepted and  $b_ib_{i+1} \dots b_n00 \dots 0$  is not.




Figure 2.15: This NFA has no equivalent DFA with fewer than  $2^n$  states

Now, let us see how the NFA  $N$  of Fig. 2.15 works. There is a state  $q_0$  that the NFA is always in, regardless of what inputs have been read. If the next input is 1,  $N$  may also “guess” that this 1 will be the  $n$ th symbol from the end, so it goes to state  $q_1$  as well as  $q_0$ . From state  $q_1$ , any input takes  $N$  to  $q_2$ , the next input takes it to  $q_3$ , and so on, until  $n - 1$  inputs later, it is in the accepting state  $q_n$ . The formal statement of what the states of  $N$  do is:

1.  $N$  is in state  $q_0$  after reading any sequence of inputs  $w$ .
2.  $N$  is in state  $q_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , after reading input sequence  $w$  if and only if the  $i$ th symbol from the end of  $w$  is 1; that is,  $w$  is of the form  $x1a_1a_2 \dots a_{i-1}$ , where the  $a_j$ 's are each input symbols.

We shall not prove these statements formally; the proof is an easy induction on  $|w|$ , mimicking Example 2.9. To complete the proof that the automaton

## The Pigeonhole Principle

In Example 2.13 we used an important reasoning technique called the *pigeonhole principle*. Colloquially, if you have more pigeons than pigeonholes, and each pigeon flies into some pigeonhole, then there must be at least one hole that has more than one pigeon. In our example, the “pigeons” are the sequences of  $n$  bits, and the “pigeonholes” are the states. Since there are fewer states than sequences, one state must be assigned two sequences.

The pigeonhole principle may appear obvious, but it actually depends on the number of pigeonholes being finite. Thus, it works for finite-state automata, with the states as pigeonholes, but does not apply to other kinds of automata that have an infinite number of states.

To see why the finiteness of the number of pigeonholes is essential, consider the infinite situation where the pigeonholes correspond to integers  $1, 2, \dots$ . Number the pigeons  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ , so there is one more pigeon than there are pigeonholes. However, we can send pigeon  $i$  to hole  $i + 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Then each of the infinite number of pigeons gets a pigeonhole, and no two pigeons have to share a pigeonhole.

accepts exactly those strings with a 1 in the  $n$ th position from the end, we consider statement (2) with  $i = n$ . That says  $N$  is in state  $q_n$  if and only if the  $n$ th symbol from the end is 1. But  $q_n$  is the only accepting state, so that condition also characterizes exactly the set of strings accepted by  $N$ .  $\square$

### 2.3.7 Exercises for Section 2.3

\* **Exercise 2.3.1:** Convert to a DFA the following NFA:

	0	1
$\rightarrow p$	$\{p, q\}$	$\{p\}$
$q$	$\{r\}$	$\{r\}$
$r$	$\{s\}$	$\emptyset$
$*s$	$\{s\}$	$\{s\}$

**Exercise 2.3.2:** Convert to a DFA the following NFA:

	0	1
$\rightarrow p$	$\{q, s\}$	$\{q\}$
$*q$	$\{r\}$	$\{q, r\}$
$r$	$\{s\}$	$\{p\}$
$*s$	$\emptyset$	$\{p\}$

## Dead States and DFA's Missing Some Transitions

We have formally defined a DFA to have a transition from any state, on any input symbol, to exactly one state. However, sometimes, it is more convenient to design the DFA to “die” in situations where we know it is impossible for any extension of the input sequence to be accepted. For instance, observe the automaton of Fig. 1.2, which did its job by recognizing a single keyword, *then*, and nothing else. Technically, this automaton is not a DFA, because it lacks transitions on most symbols from each of its states.

However, such an automaton is an NFA. If we use the subset construction to convert it to a DFA, the automaton looks almost the same, but it includes a *dead state*, that is, a nonaccepting state that goes to itself on every possible input symbol. The dead state corresponds to  $\emptyset$ , the empty set of states of the automaton of Fig. 1.2.

In general, we can add a dead state to any automaton that has *no more* than one transition for any state and input symbol. Then, add a transition to the dead state from each other state  $q$ , on all input symbols for which  $q$  has no other transition. The result will be a DFA in the strict sense. Thus, we shall sometimes refer to an automaton as a DFA if it has *at most* one transition out of any state on any symbol, rather than if it has *exactly one* transition.

**! Exercise 2.3.3:** Convert the following NFA to a DFA and informally describe the language it accepts.

	0	1
$\rightarrow p$	$\{p, q\}$	$\{p\}$
$q$	$\{r, s\}$	$\{t\}$
$r$	$\{p, r\}$	$\{t\}$
$*s$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$*t$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

**! Exercise 2.3.4:** Give nondeterministic finite automata to accept the following languages. Try to take advantage of nondeterminism as much as possible.

- \* a) The set of strings over alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$  such that the final digit has appeared before.
- b) The set of strings over alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$  such that the final digit has *not* appeared before.
- c) The set of strings of 0's and 1's such that there are two 0's separated by a number of positions that is a multiple of 4. Note that 0 is an allowable multiple of 4.

**Exercise 2.3.5:** In the only-if portion of Theorem 2.12 we omitted the proof by induction on  $|w|$  that if  $\hat{\delta}_D(q_0, w) = p$  then  $\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w) = \{p\}$ . Supply this proof.

**! Exercise 2.3.6:** In the box on “Dead States and DFA’s Missing Some Transitions,” we claim that if  $N$  is an NFA that has at most one choice of state for any state and input symbol (i.e.,  $\delta(q, a)$  never has size greater than 1), then the DFA  $D$  constructed from  $N$  by the subset construction has exactly the states and transitions of  $N$  plus transitions to a new dead state whenever  $N$  is missing a transition for a given state and input symbol. Prove this contention.

**Exercise 2.3.7:** In Example 2.13 we claimed that the NFA  $N$  is in state  $q_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , after reading input sequence  $w$  if and only if the  $i$ th symbol from the end of  $w$  is 1. Prove this claim.

## 2.4 An Application: Text Search

In this section, we shall see that the abstract study of the previous section, where we considered the “problem” of deciding whether a sequence of bits ends in 01, is actually an excellent model for several real problems that appear in applications such as Web search and extraction of information from text.

### 2.4.1 Finding Strings in Text

A common problem in the age of the Web and other on-line text repositories is the following. Given a set of words, find all documents that contain one (or all) of those words. A search engine is a popular example of this process. The search engine uses a particular technology, called *inverted indexes*, where for each word appearing on the Web (there are 100,000,000 different words), a list of all the places where that word occurs is stored. Machines with very large amounts of main memory keep the most common of these lists available, allowing many people to search for documents at once.

Inverted-index techniques do not make use of finite automata, but they also take very large amounts of time for crawlers to copy the Web and set up the indexes. There are a number of related applications that are unsuited for inverted indexes, but are good applications for automaton-based techniques. The characteristics that make an application suitable for searches that use automata are:

1. The repository on which the search is conducted is rapidly changing. For example:
  - (a) Every day, news analysts want to search the day’s on-line news articles for relevant topics. For example, a financial analyst might search for certain stock ticker symbols or names of companies.

- (b) A “shopping robot” wants to search for the current prices charged for the items that its clients request. The robot will retrieve current catalog pages from the Web and then search those pages for words that suggest a price for a particular item.
- 2. The documents to be searched cannot be cataloged. For example, Amazon.com does not make it easy for crawlers to find all the pages for all the books that the company sells. Rather, these pages are generated “on the fly” in response to queries. However, we could send a query for books on a certain topic, say “finite automata,” and then search the pages retrieved for certain words, e.g., “excellent” in a review portion.

### 2.4.2 Nondeterministic Finite Automata for Text Search

Suppose we are given a set of words, which we shall call the *keywords*, and we want to find occurrences of any of these words. In applications such as these, a useful way to proceed is to design a nondeterministic finite automaton, which signals, by entering an accepting state, that it has seen one of the keywords. The text of a document is fed, one character at a time to this NFA, which then recognizes occurrences of the keywords in this text. There is a simple form to an NFA that recognizes a set of keywords.

- 1. There is a start state with a transition to itself on every input symbol, e.g. every printable ASCII character if we are examining text. Intuitively, the start state represents a “guess” that we have not yet begun to see one of the keywords, even if we have seen some letters of one of these words.
- 2. For each keyword  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$ , there are  $k$  states, say  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k$ . There is a transition from the start state to  $q_1$  on symbol  $a_1$ , a transition from  $q_1$  to  $q_2$  on symbol  $a_2$ , and so on. The state  $q_k$  is an accepting state and indicates that the keyword  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$  has been found.

**Example 2.14 :** Suppose we want to design an NFA to recognize occurrences of the words *web* and *ebay*. The transition diagram for the NFA designed using the rules above is in Fig. 2.16. State 1 is the start state, and we use  $\Sigma$  to stand for the set of all printable ASCII characters. States 2 through 4 have the job of recognizing *web*, while states 5 through 8 recognize *ebay*.  $\square$

Of course the NFA is not a program. We have two major choices for an implementation of this NFA.

- 1. Write a program that simulates this NFA by computing the set of states it is in after reading each input symbol. The simulation was suggested in Fig. 2.10.
- 2. Convert the NFA to an equivalent DFA using the subset construction. Then simulate the DFA directly.




Figure 2.16: An NFA that searches for the words `web` and `ebay`

Some text-processing programs, such as advanced forms of the UNIX `grep` command (`egrep` and `fgrep`) actually use a mixture of these two approaches. However, for our purposes, conversion to a DFA is easy and is guaranteed not to increase the number of states.

### 2.4.3 A DFA to Recognize a Set of Keywords

We can apply the subset construction to any NFA. However, when we apply that construction to an NFA that was designed from a set of keywords, according to the strategy of Section 2.4.2, we find that the number of states of the DFA is never greater than the number of states of the NFA. Since in the worst case the number of states exponentiates as we go to the DFA, this observation is good news and explains why the method of designing an NFA for keywords and then constructing a DFA from it is used frequently. The rules for constructing the set of DFA states is as follows.

- If  $q_0$  is the start state of the NFA, then  $\{q_0\}$  is one of the states of the DFA.
- Suppose  $p$  is one of the NFA states, and it is reached from the start state along a path whose symbols are  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ . Then one of the DFA states is the set of NFA states consisting of:
  - $q_0$ .
  - $p$ .
  - Every other state of the NFA that is reachable from  $q_0$  by following a path whose labels are a suffix of  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ , that is, any sequence of symbols of the form  $a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_m$ .

Note that in general, there will be one DFA state for each NFA state  $p$ . However, in step (b), two states may actually yield the same set of NFA states, and thus become one state of the DFA. For example, if two of the keywords begin with the same letter, say  $a$ , then the two NFA states that are reached from  $q_0$  by an

arc labeled  $a$  will yield the same set of NFA states and thus get merged in the DFA.




Figure 2.17: Conversion of the NFA from Fig. 2.16 to a DFA

**Example 2.15 :** The construction of a DFA from the NFA of Fig. 2.16 is shown in Fig. 2.17. Each of the states of the DFA is located in the same position as the state  $p$  from which it is derived using rule (b) above. For example, consider the state 135, which is our shorthand for  $\{1, 3, 5\}$ . This state was constructed from state 3. It includes the start state, 1, because every set of the DFA states does. It also includes state 5 because that state is reached from state 1 by a suffix,  $e$ , of the string  $we$  that reaches state 3 in Fig. 2.16.

The transitions for each of the DFA states may be calculated according to the subset construction. However, the rule is simple. From any set of states that includes the start state  $q_0$  and some other states  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ , determine, for each symbol  $x$ , where the  $p_i$ 's go in the NFA, and let this DFA state have a transition labeled  $x$  to the DFA state consisting of  $q_0$  and all the targets of the

$p_i$ 's on symbol  $x$ . On all symbols  $x$  such that there are no transitions out of any of the  $p_i$ 's on symbol  $x$ , let this DFA state have a transition on  $x$  to that state of the DFA consisting of  $q_0$  and all states that are reached from  $q_0$  in the NFA following an arc labeled  $x$ .

For instance, consider state 135 of Fig. 2.17. The NFA of Fig. 2.16 has transitions on symbol  $b$  from states 3 and 5 to states 4 and 6, respectively. Therefore, on symbol  $b$ , 135 goes to 146. On symbol  $e$ , there are no transitions of the NFA out of 3 or 5, but there is a transition from 1 to 5. Thus, in the DFA, 135 goes to 15 on input  $e$ . Similarly, on input  $w$ , 135 goes to 12.

On every other symbol  $x$ , there are no transitions out of 3 or 5, and state 1 goes only to itself. Thus, there are transitions from 135 to 1 on every symbol in  $\Sigma$  other than  $b$ ,  $e$ , and  $w$ . We use the notation  $\Sigma - b - e - w$  to represent this set, and use similar representations of other sets in which a few symbols are removed from  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

#### 2.4.4 Exercises for Section 2.4

**Exercise 2.4.1:** Design NFA's to recognize the following sets of strings.

- \* a) abc, abd, and aacd. Assume the alphabet is  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ .
- b) 0101, 101, and 011.
- c) ab, bc, and ca. Assume the alphabet is  $\{a, b, c\}$ .

**Exercise 2.4.2:** Convert each of your NFA's from Exercise 2.4.1 to DFA's.

### 2.5 Finite Automata With Epsilon-Transitions

We shall now introduce another extension of the finite automaton. The new “feature” is that we allow a transition on  $\epsilon$ , the empty string. In effect, an NFA is allowed to make a transition spontaneously, without receiving an input symbol. Like the nondeterminism added in Section 2.3, this new capability does not expand the class of languages that can be accepted by finite automata, but it does give us some added “programming convenience.” We shall also see, when we take up regular expressions in Section 3.1, how NFA's with  $\epsilon$ -transitions, which we call  $\epsilon$ -NFA's, are closely related to regular expressions and useful in proving the equivalence between the classes of languages accepted by finite automata and by regular expressions.

#### 2.5.1 Uses of $\epsilon$ -Transitions

We shall begin with an informal treatment of  $\epsilon$ -NFA's, using transition diagrams with  $\epsilon$  allowed as a label. In the examples to follow, think of the automaton as accepting those sequences of labels along paths from the start state to an accepting state. However, each  $\epsilon$  along a path is “invisible”; i.e., it contributes nothing to the string along the path.

**Example 2.16:** In Fig. 2.18 is an  $\epsilon$ -NFA that accepts decimal numbers consisting of:

1. An optional + or - sign,
2. A string of digits,
3. A decimal point, and
4. Another string of digits. Either this string of digits, or the string (2) can be empty, but at least one of the two strings of digits must be nonempty.





Figure 2.18: An  $\epsilon$ -NFA accepting decimal numbers

Of particular interest is the transition from  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  on any of  $\epsilon$ , +, or -. Thus, state  $q_1$  represents the situation in which we have seen the sign if there is one, and perhaps some digits, but not the decimal point. State  $q_2$  represents the situation where we have just seen the decimal point, and may or may not have seen prior digits. In  $q_4$  we have definitely seen at least one digit, but not the decimal point. Thus, the interpretation of  $q_3$  is that we have seen a decimal point and at least one digit, either before or after the decimal point. We may stay in  $q_3$  reading whatever digits there are, and also have the option of “guessing” the string of digits is complete and going spontaneously to  $q_5$ , the accepting state.  $\square$

**Example 2.17:** The strategy we outlined in Example 2.14 for building an NFA that recognizes a set of keywords can be simplified further if we allow  $\epsilon$ -transitions. For instance, the NFA recognizing the keywords `web` and `ebay`, which we saw in Fig. 2.16, can also be implemented with  $\epsilon$ -transitions as in Fig. 2.19. In general, we construct a complete sequence of states for each keyword, as if it were the only word the automaton needed to recognize. Then, we add a new start state (state 9 in Fig. 2.19), with  $\epsilon$ -transitions to the start-states of the automata for each of the keywords.  $\square$

Figure 2.19: Using  $\epsilon$ -transitions to help recognize keywords

### 2.5.2 The Formal Notation for an $\epsilon$ -NFA

We may represent an  $\epsilon$ -NFA exactly as we do an NFA, with one exception: the transition function must include information about transitions on  $\epsilon$ . Formally, we represent an  $\epsilon$ -NFA  $A$  by  $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ , where all components have their same interpretation as for an NFA, except that  $\delta$  is now a function that takes as arguments:

1. A state in  $Q$ , and
2. A member of  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ , that is, either an input symbol, or the symbol  $\epsilon$ . We require that  $\epsilon$ , the symbol for the empty string, cannot be a member of the alphabet  $\Sigma$ , so no confusion results.

**Example 2.18:** The  $\epsilon$ -NFA of Fig. 2.18 is represented formally as

$$E = (\{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_5\}, \{., +, -, 0, 1, \dots, 9\}, \delta, q_0, \{q_5\})$$

where  $\delta$  is defined by the transition table in Fig. 2.20.  $\square$

	$\epsilon$	$+, -$	.	$0, 1, \dots, 9$
$q_0$	$\{q_1\}$	$\{q_1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$q_1$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_2\}$	$\{q_1, q_4\}$
$q_2$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_3\}$
$q_3$	$\{q_5\}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_3\}$
$q_4$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{q_3\}$	$\emptyset$
$q_5$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

Figure 2.20: Transition table for Fig. 2.18

### 2.5.3 Epsilon-Closures

We shall proceed to give formal definitions of an extended transition function for  $\epsilon$ -NFA's, which leads to the definition of acceptance of strings and languages by these automata, and eventually lets us explain why  $\epsilon$ -NFA's can be simulated by DFA's. However, we first need to learn a central definition, called the  $\epsilon$ -closure of a state. Informally, we  $\epsilon$ -close a state  $q$  by following all transitions out of  $q$  that are labeled  $\epsilon$ . However, when we get to other states by following  $\epsilon$ , we follow the  $\epsilon$ -transitions out of those states, and so on, eventually finding every state that can be reached from  $q$  along any path whose arcs are all labeled  $\epsilon$ . Formally, we define the  $\epsilon$ -closure  $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$  recursively, as follows:

**BASIS:** State  $q$  is in  $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$ .

**INDUCTION:** If state  $p$  is in  $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$ , and there is a transition from state  $p$  to state  $r$  labeled  $\epsilon$ , then  $r$  is in  $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$ . More precisely, if  $\delta$  is the transition function of the  $\epsilon$ -NFA involved, and  $p$  is in  $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$ , then  $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$  also contains all the states in  $\delta(p, \epsilon)$ .

**Example 2.19:** For the automaton of Fig. 2.18, each state is its own  $\epsilon$ -closure, with two exceptions:  $\text{ECLOSE}(q_0) = \{q_0, q_1\}$  and  $\text{ECLOSE}(q_3) = \{q_3, q_5\}$ . The reason is that there are only two  $\epsilon$ -transitions, one that adds  $q_1$  to  $\text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$  and the other that adds  $q_5$  to  $\text{ECLOSE}(q_3)$ .

A more complex example is given in Fig. 2.21. For this collection of states, which may be part of some  $\epsilon$ -NFA, we can conclude that

$$\text{ECLOSE}(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$$

Each of these states can be reached from state 1 along a path exclusively labeled  $\epsilon$ . For example, state 6 is reached by the path  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6$ . State 7 is not in  $\text{ECLOSE}(1)$ , since although it is reachable from state 1, the path must use the arc  $4 \rightarrow 5$  that is not labeled  $\epsilon$ . The fact that state 6 is also reached from state 1 along a path  $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6$  that has non- $\epsilon$  transitions is unimportant. The existence of one path with all labels  $\epsilon$  is sufficient to show state 6 is in  $\text{ECLOSE}(1)$ .  $\square$




Figure 2.21: Some states and transitions

### 2.5.4 Extended Transitions and Languages for $\epsilon$ -NFA's

The  $\epsilon$ -closure allows us to explain easily what the transitions of an  $\epsilon$ -NFA look like when given a sequence of (non- $\epsilon$ ) inputs. From there, we can define what it means for an  $\epsilon$ -NFA to accept its input.

Suppose that  $E = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is an  $\epsilon$ -NFA. We first define  $\hat{\delta}$ , the extended transition function, to reflect what happens on a sequence of inputs. The intent is that  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$  is the set of states that can be reached along a path whose labels, when concatenated, form the string  $w$ . As always,  $\epsilon$ 's along this path do not contribute to  $w$ . The appropriate recursive definition of  $\hat{\delta}$  is:

**BASIS:**  $\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(q)$ . That is, if the label of the path is  $\epsilon$ , then we can follow only  $\epsilon$ -labeled arcs extending from state  $q$ ; that is exactly what ECLOSE does.

**INDUCTION:** Suppose  $w$  is of the form  $xa$ , where  $a$  is the last symbol of  $w$ . Note that  $a$  is a member of  $\Sigma$ ; it cannot be  $\epsilon$ , which is not in  $\Sigma$ . We compute  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$  as follows:

1. Let  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  be  $\hat{\delta}(q, x)$ . That is, the  $p_i$ 's are all and only the states that we can reach from  $q$  following a path labeled  $x$ . This path may end with one or more transitions labeled  $\epsilon$ , and may have other  $\epsilon$ -transitions, as well.
2. Let  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta(p_i, a)$  be the set  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$ . That is, follow all transitions labeled  $a$  from states we can reach from  $q$  along paths labeled  $x$ . The  $r_j$ 's are *some* of the states we can reach from  $q$  along paths labeled  $w$ . The additional states we can reach are found from the  $r_j$ 's by following  $\epsilon$ -labeled arcs in step (3), below.
3. Then  $\hat{\delta}(q, w) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \text{ECLOSE}(r_j)$ . This additional closure step includes all the paths from  $q$  labeled  $w$ , by considering the possibility that there are additional  $\epsilon$ -labeled arcs that we can follow after making a transition on the final "real" symbol,  $a$ .

**Example 2.20:** Let us compute  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5.6)$  for the  $\epsilon$ -NFA of Fig. 2.18. A summary of the steps needed are as follows:

- $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0) = \{q_0, q_1\}$ .
- Compute  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5)$  as follows:
  1. First compute the transitions on input 5 from the states  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  that we obtained in the calculation of  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon)$ , above. That is, we compute  $\delta(q_0, 5) \cup \delta(q_1, 5) = \{q_1, q_4\}$ .
  2. Next,  $\epsilon$ -close the members of the set computed in step (1). We get  $\text{ECLOSE}(q_1) \cup \text{ECLOSE}(q_4) = \{q_1\} \cup \{q_4\} = \{q_1, q_4\}$ . That set is  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5)$ . This two-step pattern repeats for the next two symbols.

- Compute  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5.)$  as follows:

1. First compute  $\delta(q_1, .) \cup \delta(q_4, .) = \{q_2\} \cup \{q_3\} = \{q_2, q_3\}$ .
2. Then compute

$$\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5.) = \text{ECLOSE}(q_2) \cup \text{ECLOSE}(q_3) = \{q_2\} \cup \{q_3, q_5\} = \{q_2, q_3, q_5\}$$

- Compute  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5.6)$  as follows:

1. First compute  $\delta(q_2, 6) \cup \delta(q_3, 6) \cup \delta(q_5, 6) = \{q_3\} \cup \{q_3\} \cup \emptyset = \{q_3\}$ .
2. Then compute  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5.6) = \text{ECLOSE}(q_3) = \{q_3, q_5\}$ .

□

Now, we can define the language of an  $\epsilon$ -NFA  $E = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  in the expected way:  $L(E) = \{w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ . That is, the language of  $E$  is the set of strings  $w$  that take the start state to at least one accepting state. For instance, we saw in Example 2.20 that  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, 5.6)$  contains the accepting state  $q_5$ , so the string 5.6 is in the language of that  $\epsilon$ -NFA.

### 2.5.5 Eliminating $\epsilon$ -Transitions

Given any  $\epsilon$ -NFA  $E$ , we can find a DFA  $D$  that accepts the same language as  $E$ . The construction we use is very close to the subset construction, as the states of  $D$  are subsets of the states of  $E$ . The only difference is that we must incorporate  $\epsilon$ -transitions of  $E$ , which we do through the mechanism of the  $\epsilon$ -closure.

Let  $E = (Q_E, \Sigma, \delta_E, q_0, F_E)$ . Then the equivalent DFA

$$D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, q_D, F_D)$$

is defined as follows:

1.  $Q_D$  is the set of subsets of  $Q_E$ . More precisely, we shall find that all accessible states of  $D$  are  $\epsilon$ -closed subsets of  $Q_E$ , that is, sets  $S \subseteq Q_E$  such that  $S = \text{ECLOSE}(S)$ . Put another way, the  $\epsilon$ -closed sets of states  $S$  are those such that any  $\epsilon$ -transition out of one of the states in  $S$  leads to a state that is also in  $S$ . Note that  $\emptyset$  is an  $\epsilon$ -closed set.
2.  $q_D = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$ ; that is, we get the start state of  $D$  by closing the set consisting of only the start state of  $E$ . Note that this rule differs from the original subset construction, where the start state of the constructed automaton was just the set containing the start state of the given NFA.
3.  $F_D$  is those sets of states that contain at least one accepting state of  $E$ . That is,  $F_D = \{S \mid S \text{ is in } Q_D \text{ and } S \cap F_E \neq \emptyset\}$ .
4.  $\delta_D(S, a)$  is computed, for all  $a$  in  $\Sigma$  and sets  $S$  in  $Q_D$  by:

- (a) Let  $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ .
- (b) Compute  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta_E(p_i, a)$ ; let this set be  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$ .
- (c) Then  $\delta_D(S, a) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \text{ECLOSE}(r_j)$ .

**Example 2.21:** Let us eliminate  $\epsilon$ -transitions from the  $\epsilon$ -NFA of Fig. 2.18, which we shall call  $E$  in what follows. From  $E$ , we construct an DFA  $D$ , which is shown in Fig. 2.22. However, to avoid clutter, we omitted from Fig. 2.22 the dead state  $\emptyset$  and all transitions to the dead state. You should imagine that for each state shown in Fig. 2.22 there are additional transitions from any state to  $\emptyset$  on any input symbols for which a transition is not indicated. Also, the state  $\emptyset$  has transitions to itself on all input symbols.




Figure 2.22: The DFA  $D$  that eliminates  $\epsilon$ -transitions from Fig. 2.18

Since the start state of  $E$  is  $q_0$ , the start state of  $D$  is  $\text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$ , which is  $\{q_0, q_1\}$ . Our first job is to find the successors of  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  on the various symbols in  $\Sigma$ ; note that these symbols are the plus and minus signs, the dot, and the digits 0 through 9. On  $+$  and  $-$ ,  $q_1$  goes nowhere in Fig. 2.18, while  $q_0$  goes to  $q_1$ . Thus, to compute  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, +)$  we start with  $\{q_1\}$  and  $\epsilon$ -close it. Since there are no  $\epsilon$ -transitions out of  $q_1$ , we have  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, +) = \{q_1\}$ . Similarly,  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, -) = \{q_1\}$ . These two transitions are shown by one arc in Fig. 2.22.

Next, we need to compute  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, .)$ . Since  $q_0$  goes nowhere on the dot, and  $q_1$  goes to  $q_2$  in Fig. 2.18, we must  $\epsilon$ -close  $\{q_2\}$ . As there are no  $\epsilon$ -transitions out of  $q_2$ , this state is its own closure, so  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, .) = \{q_2\}$ .

Finally, we must compute  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, 0)$ , as an example of the transitions from  $\{q_0, q_1\}$  on all the digits. We find that  $q_0$  goes nowhere on the digits, but  $q_1$  goes to both  $q_1$  and  $q_4$ . Since neither of those states have  $\epsilon$ -transitions out, we conclude  $\delta_D(\{q_0, q_1\}, 0) = \{q_1, q_4\}$ , and likewise for the other digits.

We have now explained the arcs out of  $\{q_0, q_1\}$  in Fig. 2.22. The other transitions are computed similarly, and we leave them for you to check. Since  $q_5$  is the only accepting state of  $E$ , the accepting states of  $D$  are those accessible states that contain  $q_5$ . We see these two sets  $\{q_3, q_5\}$  and  $\{q_2, q_3, q_5\}$  indicated by double circles in Fig. 2.22.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.22:** A language  $L$  is accepted by some  $\epsilon$ -NFA if and only if  $L$  is accepted by some DFA.

**PROOF:** (If) This direction is easy. Suppose  $L = L(D)$  for some DFA. Turn  $D$  into an  $\epsilon$ -DFA  $E$  by adding transitions  $\delta(q, \epsilon) = \emptyset$  for all states  $q$  of  $D$ . Technically, we must also convert the transitions of  $D$  on input symbols, e.g.,  $\delta_D(q, a) = p$  into an NFA-transition to the set containing only  $p$ , that is  $\delta_E(q, a) = \{p\}$ . Thus, the transitions of  $E$  and  $D$  are the same, but  $E$  explicitly states that there are no transitions out of any state on  $\epsilon$ .

(Only-if) Let  $E = (Q_E, \Sigma, \delta_E, q_0, F_E)$  be an  $\epsilon$ -NFA. Apply the modified subset construction described above to produce the DFA

$$D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, q_D, F_D)$$

We need to show that  $L(D) = L(E)$ , and we do so by showing that the extended transition functions of  $E$  and  $D$  are the same. Formally, we show  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, w) = \hat{\delta}_D(q_D, w)$  by induction on the length of  $w$ .

**BASIS:** If  $|w| = 0$ , then  $w = \epsilon$ . We know  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, \epsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$ . We also know that  $q_D = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$ , because that is how the start state of  $D$  is defined. Finally, for a DFA, we know that  $\hat{\delta}(p, \epsilon) = p$  for any state  $p$ , so in particular,  $\hat{\delta}_D(q_D, \epsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$ . We have thus proved that  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, \epsilon) = \hat{\delta}_D(q_D, \epsilon)$ .

**INDUCTION:** Suppose  $w = xa$ , where  $a$  is the final symbol of  $w$ , and assume that the statement holds for  $x$ . That is,  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, x) = \hat{\delta}_D(q_D, x)$ . Let both these sets of states be  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ .

By the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$  for  $\epsilon$ -NFA's, we compute  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, w)$  by:

1. Let  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$  be  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \delta_E(p_i, a)$ .
2. Then  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, w) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \text{ECLOSE}(r_j)$ .

If we examine the construction of DFA  $D$  in the modified subset construction above, we see that  $\delta_D(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}, a)$  is constructed by the same two steps (1) and (2) above. Thus,  $\hat{\delta}_D(q_D, w)$ , which is  $\delta_D(\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}, a)$  is the same set as  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, w)$ . We have now proved that  $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, w) = \hat{\delta}_D(q_D, w)$  and completed the inductive part.  $\square$

### 2.5.6 Exercises for Section 2.5

\* Exercise 2.5.1: Consider the following  $\epsilon$ -NFA.

	$\epsilon$	a	b	c
$\rightarrow p$	$\emptyset$	$\{p\}$	$\{q\}$	$\{r\}$
q	$\{p\}$	$\{q\}$	$\{r\}$	$\emptyset$
$*r$	$\{q\}$	$\{r\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{p\}$

- a) Compute the  $\epsilon$ -closure of each state.
- b) Give all the strings of length three or less accepted by the automaton.
- c) Convert the automaton to a DFA.

Exercise 2.5.2: Repeat Exercise 2.5.1 for the following  $\epsilon$ -NFA:

	$\epsilon$	a	b	c
$\rightarrow p$	$\{q, r\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{q\}$	$\{r\}$
q	$\emptyset$	$\{p\}$	$\{r\}$	$\{p, q\}$
$*r$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

Exercise 2.5.3: Design  $\epsilon$ -NFA's for the following languages. Try to use  $\epsilon$ -transitions to simplify your design.

- a) The set of strings consisting of zero or more a's followed by zero or more b's, followed by zero or more c's.
- ! b) The set of strings that consist of either 01 repeated one or more times or 010 repeated one or more times.
- ! c) The set of strings of 0's and 1's such that at least one of the last ten positions is a 1.