

Master's Degree in Wireless Communications Engineering

Thesis Presentation

FEDERATED LEARNING FOR ENHANCED SENSOR RELIABILITY OF AUTOMATED WIRELESS NETWORKS

Vishaka Basnayake

University of Peradeniya (UoP), Sri Lanka and University of Oulu (UoO), Finland

Supervisors: Dr. Sumudu Samarakoon (UoO), Prof. Lilantha Samaranayake (UoP),
Prof. Mehdi Bennis (UoO)



How to ensure that devices in automated wireless networks continue to function reliably when their sensors fail?

How can we predict sensor failure?

Key issues in existing automated wireless networks

Lack of sensor failure prediction strategies

Lack of reliable network connectivity

Lack of intelligent learning at device level



Overview

Motivation

Lack of **Sensor Failure Prediction Mechanisms** in Automated Wireless Networks

Objective

Enhance the **Sensor Failure Prediction** in Automated Wireless Networks using **Federated Learning** and minimizing **the network cost**

Deliverables

A novel binary optimization problem is proposed to **predict sensor failures** and **select optimal sensors** to enhance measurement reliability and reduce network cost.

Comparison of the **proposed Federated Learning** scheme with **baseline** approaches in terms of measurement error, measurement reliability outage, average cost, sensor replacement cost, and communication cost

Comparison of proposed scheme under **Centralized** and **Federated Learning** schemes in terms of measurement error, network cost, available data size

Related Works


Related Work	Contributions	Sensor Measurement Reliability?
A Kalman Filter Based Link Quality Estimation Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2007.169 [1]	Sensor Measurement Error Minimization	Not Done
Data fusion with desired reliability in wireless sensor networks doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2010.93 [2]	Minimum Energy Reliable Information Gathering (MERIG), scheme: deliver packets with more information with higher reliability by using redundant transmission on fusion routes without acknowledgments.	Not done
A new approach for Weibull modeling for reliability life data analysis doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2014.10.036 [3]	A Novel approach for modeling the life data for system components that have failure modes following different Weibull models.	Not done
Federated learning through model gossiping in wireless sensor networks doi: 10.1109/BlackSeaCom52164.2021.9527886 [4]	Federated learning for network and energy cost minimization	Not done

Works on using Federated learning to enhance sensor measurement reliability while minimizing network operating costs ?



System Overview

Local communication network with automated robots

- $v \leq V$ number of robots
- u Local central server
- Line of Sight (LOS) wireless links
- $h_{vv'}$ Channel coefficient
- $\mathcal{N}_v = \left\{ v' \mid \left\| \vec{b}_v - \vec{b}_{v'} \right\| \leq S_0 \right\}$ Neighborhood region
- $\mathcal{K}_v \leq \mathcal{S}_v$ number of active sensors in each robot at time t



System Overview




When robots work with humans/objects nearby, robots obtain measurements of the nearby human/object using sensory data.

$$\overline{d_{v,s}^{obs}} = d_{v,s}^{act} + n_{v,s}$$

Variance of observations estimate and error are same, thus

$$\text{Var}(\overline{d_{v,s}^{obs}}) = \text{Var}(n_{v,s})$$

System Overview



As $K \rightarrow \infty$

$$\overline{d_{v,s}^{obs}} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K d_{v,s}^{obs(k)} = d_{v,s}^{act} + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K n = d_{v,s}^{act}$$

$$\text{Var}(n_{v,s}) = \alpha \frac{L^2}{3}$$

sensor failure probability

As the number of active sensors increase, the average measurement error decreases

$$\text{Reliability of } d_{v,s}^{obs} = ((1 - \alpha) + \beta) \frac{L^2}{3}$$

1/K


System Overview

PDF of Left truncated Weibull distribution

$$f(a) = \frac{\frac{\lambda}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{k}\right)(\lambda-1) e^{-(\frac{a}{k})^\lambda}}{1 - e^{-(\frac{T}{k})^\lambda}}$$

CDF of Left truncated Weibull distribution

$$F(a) = \frac{(1 - e^{-(\frac{T-a}{\lambda})^k})}{(1 - e^{-(\frac{T}{\lambda})^k})}$$



Bathtub Curve

Left truncated Weibull distribution

System Overview

Probability Density Function of Weibull assisted sensor failure prediction model


$$h(t, \lambda, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f(T-t)}{F(T)} \right) & t \in [0, T] \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{f(T-t)}{F(T)} = \frac{\frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{T-t}{\lambda} \right)^{k-1} e^{-\left(\frac{T-t}{\lambda}\right)^k}}{1 - e^{-\left(\frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^k}}$$

k: shape parameter

λ: scale parameter

T: maximum lifetime of sensor



Left truncated Weibull function

System Overview

Sensor failure model parameters

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\lambda, k}{\text{maximize}} \quad \prod_K \left\{ h(t, \lambda, k) \right\} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \lambda \in (0, \mathbb{Z}^+), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad k \in (0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Total number of sensor lifetime data samples

Reformulated to Maximize the Log Likelihood Estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\lambda, k}{\text{maximize}} \quad \sum_K \ln \left\{ h(t, \lambda, k) \right\} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \lambda \in (0, \mathbb{Z}^+), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad k \in (0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent for
Optimal Shape and Scale parameters

System Overview

Gradients wrt to shape and scale parameters

$$\nabla_k h = \frac{\partial h(a)}{\partial k}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln h(a)}{\partial k} = \frac{1}{k} + \ln(t) - \ln(\lambda) - e^{k\frac{a}{\lambda}} \ln \frac{a}{\lambda} - \frac{e^{-\frac{T^k}{\lambda}} e^{k \ln \frac{T}{\lambda}} \ln \frac{T}{\lambda}}{1 - e^{-\frac{T^k}{\lambda}}}$$

$$\nabla_\lambda h = \frac{\partial h(a)}{\partial \lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln h(a)}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{k}{\lambda} + k \frac{a}{\lambda}^{(k-1)} \frac{a}{\lambda^2} + \frac{k e^{-(\frac{T}{\lambda})^k} \frac{T}{\lambda}^{(k-1)} \frac{T}{\lambda^2}}{1 - e^{-(\frac{T}{\lambda})^k}}$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent Algorithm

For randomly selected point in K
Until converge

Update shape and scale

$$k(j+1) = k(j) - \mu \nabla_k h$$


$$\lambda(j+1) = \lambda k(j) - \mu \nabla_\lambda h$$

end

end

System Overview

Probability of sensor failure at time (t+1)




$$\Pr(t \leq a + t_0 | t \geq a) = \frac{\Pr(a \leq t \leq a + t_0)}{\Pr(t \geq a)}$$

$$a = \frac{\int_0^{a+t_0} h(a, \lambda, k) dt - \int_0^a h(a, \lambda, k) dt}{1 - \int_0^a h(a, \lambda, k) dt}$$

$$a = \frac{F(a + t_0) - F(a)}{F(T) - F(a)}$$

Future failure rate if the sensor is currently active

Problem Formulation



Problem Formulation

Binary variables

$$\boldsymbol{x}_v(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if replaced} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{y}_v(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if communicate with a neighbor robot, } v' \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ϕ_s Cost of replacement

ϕ_c Cost of communication

$$V_0(\alpha, \beta) - N_{\text{th}} \geq 0, \quad \text{Reliability constraint}$$

$$P_{vv'} \leq \boldsymbol{y}_v(t) P_{\text{m}} \quad \text{Transmit power constraint}$$


$$\boldsymbol{y}_v(t)(r_{v'} - r_{\text{th}}) \geq 0 \quad \text{Communication constraint}$$

\boldsymbol{x}_v Replacement decision

\boldsymbol{y}_v Communication decision


Proposed Scheme

Centralized learning assisted sensor failure prediction




Proposed Scheme


Federated learning assisted sensor failure prediction



Baseline and Proposed Schemes




Baseline and Proposed Schemes



Simulation Parameters


Parameter	Value
number of sensors in sensor array per robot	10
number of time iterations	100
lifetime distribution parameters	
scale, λ	10
shape, k	2
maximum lifetime, T	10
variance	
variance of measurement error	1
thresh hold	reliability: 80% variance : 0.1250
maximum lifetime, T	10
costs	
cost per sensor replacement	1
cost per communication link	1
maximum lifetime, T	10
communication	
number of neighbour robots	10
Radius of neighbourhood region	10
Maximum power allocated for total communication	1
channel type	Rayleigh fading
Noise power, N_0	1

Simulation Results




Reliability Outage Probability vs density of robots


Simulation Results




CL and FL has lesser outages than EH and EB





CDF of network cost vs density of robots





CCDF of network cost




CL assisted estimated h based optimization : higher sensor replacement




CL has lesser reliability outages than FL




FL reduces average cost than CL




total cost vs robot count lower in FL






CL performs better than FL in terms of reliability outages





FL performs better than CL in terms of network cost

FL (training) start later because sufficient data needs to be collected to train FL at device level




FL reliability outages > CL against training data




FL costs < CL against training data

Training and testing


29




FL training: error





FL training: accuracy




FL training: error vs transmit power




FL training: error vs number of robots





FL reliability outages higher in training phase than testing phase: but shows a decrement



Sensor measurement variance lesser in FL (test)



FL (test) total cost increases when number of robot increase



Sensor replacement cost in FL (training) higher than FL (test) and CL

Communication cost in FL (test) higher than FL (training) and CL

Contribution

Original Sensor failure prediction model using Federated Learning

Device level data utilization for prediction

Novel Binary Optimization problem for sensor measurement reliability

Information exchange among neighbor robots to enhance sensor measurement reliability

Federated Learning scheme has comparable performance compared to Centralized learning

Future Work

Improving the Sensor failure Prediction horizon

References

- [1] M. Senel, K. Chintalapudi, D. Lal, A. Keshavarzian and E. J. Coyle, "A Kalman Filter Based Link Quality Estimation Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE GLOBECOM 2007 - IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference, 2007, pp. 875-880, doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2007.169.
- [2] H. Luo, H. Tao, H. Ma and S. K. Das, "Data Fusion with Desired Reliability in Wireless Sensor Networks," in IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 501-513, March 2011, doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2010.93.
- [3] Elmahdy, Emad E., 2015. "A new approach for Weibull modeling for reliability life data analysis," Applied Mathematics and Computation, Elsevier, vol. 250(C), pages 708-720.
- [4] J. S. Mertens, L. Galluccio and G. Morabito, "Federated learning through model gossiping in wireless sensor networks," 2021 IEEE International Black Sea Conference on Communications and Networking (BlackSeaCom), 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/BlackSeaCom52164.2021.9527886.