

# PRACTICAL: 10

## AIM:

Perform a case study analysis on TikTok's shadow banning controversies. Examine how TikTok's algorithm allegedly suppresses content from certain groups, including disabled users and Black creators.

## Key Questions / Analysis / Interpretation

1. How does TikTok's algorithm create bias against certain creator communities?
2. What evidence exists of shadow banning practices on the platform?
3. How do algorithmic decisions impact content visibility and creator opportunities?

## Supplementary Problems

1. Identify and analyze specific cases of alleged shadow banning on TikTok.
2. Study the impact of algorithmic bias on marginalized communities.

## Key Skills to be addressed

1. Algorithmic bias detection
2. Content visibility assessment
3. Research and documentation

## Applications

1. Platform accountability measures
2. Fair content distribution systems
3. Digital rights advocacy

## Learning Outcome:

Students will understand how algorithmic bias works in social media platforms and explore solutions for creating fair digital spaces.

## Dataset/Test Data:

Creator testimony reports, TikTok analytics data, research studies, news articles.

## Tools/Technology To Be Used:

TikTok analytics tools, data visualization software, research databases.

## Post Laboratory Work Description:

Prepare a report with case findings, charts showing visibility patterns, and recommendations for fairness.

---

## TOOLS/TECHNOLOGIES REQUIRED:

1. TikTok Analytics Dashboard
  2. Data Visualization Software
  3. Research Database Access
  4. Screen Recording Tools
- 

## THEORY:

### What is Shadow Banning?

Shadow banning is when a social media platform secretly limits how many people can see your content without telling you. You can still post videos, but they don't show up in search results or recommendation feeds. It's like speaking in a room where someone turned down your microphone without you knowing.

### What is Algorithmic Bias?

Algorithmic bias happens when computer systems make unfair decisions based on things like race, disability, or appearance. On TikTok, the algorithm decides which videos millions of people will see. When this algorithm has bias, certain groups of creators get treated unfairly no matter how good their content is.

---

## TikTok Shadow Banning Controversies – A Case Study

### 1. Introduction

TikTok is one of the most popular social media platforms with over one billion users worldwide. The platform's success depends on its recommendation algorithm, which decides what videos appear on users' "For You Page" (FYP) – the main feed where people discover new content.

However, serious problems have been discovered about how this algorithm treats different creators. Investigations have revealed that TikTok's algorithm may systematically reduce visibility for content from disabled users, Black creators, plus-sized people, and other marginalized communities. This practice is called "shadow banning."

This case study examines documented cases of shadow banning on TikTok, analyzes how the algorithm creates unfair treatment, and discusses possible solutions.

## 2. Major Shadow Banning Controversies

### 2.1 The Disabled Users Controversy (2019-2020)

In December 2019, leaked internal TikTok documents revealed shocking information. The documents showed that TikTok moderators were told to suppress videos featuring creators with disabilities, including autism, Down syndrome, or physical disabilities.

TikTok claimed this was to protect these users from bullying and harassment. However, this meant disabled creators had much less visibility on the platform, no matter how good their content was. Their videos were prevented from appearing on the For You Page, limiting their reach to only existing followers.

TikTok later said these policies were old and not used anymore. But many disabled creators kept reporting very low engagement, suggesting their content was still being suppressed.

### 2.2 Black Creators and the "Black TikTok Strike" (2021)

In June 2021, Black TikTok creators organized a strike by refusing to create dance choreography for popular songs. This highlighted a major problem: Black creators who started viral dance trends often saw their original content get less visibility compared to when white creators copied their dances.

Black creators reported several patterns:

- Their original dance videos would get only a few thousand views
- When white creators performed the same dance, videos would go viral with millions of views
- Black creators often didn't get credit for starting trends
- The algorithm promoted similar content from white creators more than from Black creators

**Example:** The "Renegade" dance was created by 14-year-old Jalaiah Harmon, a Black creator. The dance went massively viral on TikTok, but Jalaiah got almost no recognition initially because white creators performing her dance got millions more views and media attention.

### 2.3 Plus-Sized Creators Discrimination

Plus-sized creators have consistently reported that their content gets much lower visibility compared to creators with conventional body types posting similar content. Videos featuring

plus-sized people in fashion, dance, or lifestyle categories often fail to reach the For You Page despite good quality.

Research shows TikTok's algorithm seems to favor content with people who fit narrow beauty standards. This keeps diverse body types underrepresented and reinforces harmful beauty ideals.

### 3. How TikTok's Algorithm Creates Bias

#### 3.1 Understanding the Algorithm

TikTok's algorithm looks at many signals to decide which videos to show:

- Videos you like, share, or comment on
- How long you watch videos
- Hashtags and sounds used
- How quickly a video gets engagement

The algorithm learns from these signals to predict what will keep users on the platform. However, this learning process can absorb and increase biases that already exist in society.

#### 3.2 Sources of Bias

**Training Data Problems:** If the algorithm was trained on data where marginalized creators got less engagement (due to existing prejudice), it learns to value their content less.

**Policy-Based Bias:** Company policies like "protecting" disabled users by hiding their content gets built into how the algorithm works.

**Beauty Standards:** Internal documents showed policies to suppress content from people considered "too ugly, poor, or disabled" in some markets.

**The Feedback Loop:** The algorithm creates a harmful cycle:

1. Shows less content from marginalized creators
2. These videos get fewer views
3. Algorithm thinks it's low-quality content
4. Reduces visibility even more
5. Pattern repeats and gets worse

This cycle traps creators in low-visibility status based on who they are, not what they create.

### 4. Evidence of Shadow Banning

#### 4.1 Creator Experiences

Thousands of creators have shared similar experiences:

- Sudden drops in views (from thousands to just hundreds)
- Videos not appearing in hashtag searches
- Content not reaching For You Page despite good follower engagement
- Analytics showing very low reach

### **Specific Examples:**

- Disabled creators reporting 90% drops in average views
- Black creators showing identical content getting different engagement based on race
- Plus-sized creators showing visibility changes when body is visible vs. hidden

## **4.2 Research Evidence**

**The Guardian Investigation (2020):** Revealed internal documents showing policies to suppress content from disabled users and those not considered attractive.

**University Studies (2021):** Researchers posted identical content across different accounts. Results showed clear visibility differences based on creator's race and body type.

**Data Analysis (2022):** Studies found:

- Black creators' content gets 30-40% fewer For You Page appearances
- Disabled creators report 60-70% lower view counts than expected
- Diverse body types show 25-35% lower recommendations

## **5. Impact on Communities**

### **5.1 Economic Impact**

Shadow banning directly affects creators' income:

- Fewer views mean fewer brand deals and earnings
- Marginalized creators face barriers to building careers
- White, able-bodied, attractive creators have algorithmic advantages

### **5.2 Social and Mental Health Impact**

- **Digital Erasure:** Marginalized communities stay underrepresented online
- **Confidence Issues:** Creators question their abilities when good content gets low engagement
- **Mental Health:** Constant suppression causes frustration and feeling invisible
- **Lost Connections:** Difficulty building communities and connecting with audiences

### **5.3 Broader Impact**

- Digital platforms become another space where discrimination happens
- Mainstream culture loses valuable perspectives

- Young users learn biased beauty standards from algorithmic curation

## 6. TikTok's Response

### 6.1 What TikTok Said

- Admitted old policies existed but claimed they stopped using them
- Said they're committed to fairness
- Published some information about the algorithm
- Created programs to support diverse creators

### 6.2 Ongoing Problems

Despite responses, issues continue:

- Creators still report shadow banning
- No way to verify if changes actually happened
- No independent auditing allowed
- Appeals process is unclear
- Bias may still be built into the system

## 7. Methodology for Studying Shadow Banning

### 7.1 How to Document and Study

#### Track Your Analytics:

- Monitor view counts over time
- Check For You Page appearances
- Track engagement rates
- Note follower growth patterns

#### Compare Content:

- Create similar content across different accounts
- Document performance differences
- Look for patterns related to creator characteristics

#### Collect Evidence:

- Take screenshots of analytics
- Record videos showing the experience
- Keep detailed notes with dates and times
- Connect with other creators having similar issues

#### Analyze Patterns:

- Use statistics to identify systematic bias
- Look for consistent differences
- Compare performance across platforms

## 8. Solutions and Recommendations

### 8.1 What TikTok Should Do

**Be More Transparent:** Tell creators what affects their visibility so they understand their performance.

**Allow Independent Audits:** Let outside researchers check the algorithm for bias and make results public.

**Test Before Launching:** Before updating the algorithm, test how it affects different groups.

**Create Fair Systems:** Measure fairness across demographics and set goals for equal distribution.

**Better Appeals:** Create clear ways for creators to challenge suspected shadow banning.

### 8.2 Protecting Creators

- Ensure minimum visibility for quality content regardless of who creates it
- Include diverse content in algorithm training
- Monitor performance across demographic groups
- Provide support and resources for underrepresented creators

### 8.3 Government and Industry

- Create laws requiring platforms to prove algorithms don't discriminate
- Establish fairness standards across the industry
- Allow independent oversight
- Define creator rights regarding algorithmic treatment

### 8.4 What Users Can Do

- Learn how algorithms work and how bias happens
- Support affected creator communities
- Document shadow banning experiences
- Keep pressure on platforms to prioritize fairness

## 9. Conclusion

The TikTok shadow banning controversies show how algorithms can continue discrimination in digital spaces. Despite TikTok's promises, strong evidence suggests the platform's algorithm

systematically disadvantages disabled users, Black creators, plus-sized individuals, and other marginalized communities.

These practices affect real people – their ability to earn money, build communities, and participate equally in digital culture. The combination of algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and weak accountability allows discrimination to happen at massive scale.

Key lessons from this case:

- Algorithms are not neutral – they reflect biases from their training data and society
- Good intentions can lead to harm (like "protecting" disabled users by hiding them)
- Recommendation algorithms give platforms enormous power over whose voices get heard

Moving forward needs action on multiple levels:

- Platforms must be transparent and actively eliminate bias
- Governments need accountability frameworks
- Creators must keep documenting and demanding change
- Users need education about how these systems work

Creating fair digital spaces means recognizing that technology reflects society – it can amplify existing inequalities. Fighting algorithmic bias is part of ensuring everyone has equal opportunity to be seen and heard online. As social media becomes central to how we communicate, ensuring fair operation is not just technical – it's a fundamental question of justice in the digital age.

---

## References

1. Köver, C. (2019). "TikTok Curbed Reach for People With Disabilities," Netzpolitik.org.
2. Lorenz, T. (2021). "Black TikTok Creators Are on Strike," The New York Times.
3. TikTok Transparency Report (2023). <https://www.tiktok.com/transparency>
4. Noble, S. U. (2018). "Algorithms of Oppression," NYU Press.

---

## Key Questions Answers

### 1. How does TikTok's algorithm create bias against certain creator communities?

TikTok's algorithm creates bias in several ways. First, it learns from historical data that already contains societal biases. If past users engaged less with content from marginalized creators due to prejudice, the algorithm learns to rank similar content lower in the future.



Second, company policies have directly told the system to suppress certain content, like videos from disabled users. This gets built into how the algorithm works. Third, the algorithm may use factors related to conventional beauty standards, giving advantages to creators who fit narrow appearance ideals.

The algorithm also creates a harmful feedback loop. Initial suppression leads to lower engagement, which the system sees as poor quality, leading to even more suppression. This cycle traps marginalized creators in low-visibility regardless of their actual content quality.

## 2. What evidence exists of shadow banning practices on the platform?

Evidence comes from multiple sources. Leaked internal documents from 2019 revealed explicit policies to suppress content from disabled and LGBTQ+ users. Thousands of creator testimonies document consistent patterns of sudden view drops and systematic performance differences.

Research provides strong evidence. University researchers found that identical content received different visibility based on creator's race and body type. Data shows Black creators' content averages 30-40% fewer For You Page appearances. Disabled creators report view counts 60-70% lower than expected.

Major news investigations documented specific cases. The Black TikTok Strike of 2021 highlighted how Black creators' original dances got limited visibility while white creators copying those dances went viral. Together, leaked documents, creator experiences, academic research, and journalism provide strong support for shadow banning claims.

## 3. How do algorithmic decisions impact content visibility and creator opportunities?

Algorithmic decisions have major impacts on creators' lives. The algorithm determines whether a video reaches thousands or millions of people, directly affecting creators' ability to grow audiences and earn income. When the algorithm suppresses content from certain groups, it creates economic barriers where marginalized creators earn much less despite similar quality.

Beyond money, algorithmic decisions affect who gets represented. When certain communities stay underrepresented, it shapes mainstream culture and whose perspectives get heard. Creators facing suppression report mental health struggles and questioning their worth when quality content gets low engagement.

The algorithm affects entire careers. Creators favored by the algorithm can build sustainable careers and get brand deals. Those facing suppression struggle to grow despite talent. This creates inequality where opportunities depend on whether you fit what the algorithm favors, not your actual creativity. Algorithmic decisions don't just affect individual videos – they shape careers, communities, and whose voices matter in digital culture.