Linear Regression Coding Assignment-3

```
library(ggplot2)
## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 4.3.2
library(dplyr)
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
##
  The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
       filter, lag
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##
       intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
library(reshape)
## Warning: package 'reshape' was built under R version 4.3.2
## Attaching package: 'reshape'
  The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##
       rename
# Load the diabetes dataset:
# 10 predictors which are age, gender (1-female, 2-male), body-mass index, average blood pres
sure, and six blood serum measurements and 1 response variable which is a quantitative measur
e of disease progression one year after baseline)
df = read.csv('Data/diabetes.csv', header = TRUE, stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
str(df)
```

```
442 obs. of 11 variables:
## 'data.frame':
   $ AGE
           : int 59 48 72 24 50 23 36 66 60 29 ...
   $ GENDER: int 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 ...
            : num 32.1 21.6 30.5 25.3 23 22.6 22 26.2 32.1 30 ...
##
   $ BMI
##
   $ BP
           : num 101 87 93 84 101 89 90 114 83 85 ...
##
   $ S1
           : int 157 183 156 198 192 139 160 255 179 180 ...
   $ S2
           : num 93.2 103.2 93.6 131.4 125.4 ...
##
   $ S3
           : num 38 70 41 40 52 61 50 56 42 43 ...
##
   $ S4
           : num 4 3 4 5 4 2 3 4.55 4 4 ...
##
   $ S5
           : num 4.86 3.89 4.67 4.89 4.29 ...
##
   $ S6
           : int 87 69 85 89 80 68 82 92 94 88 ...
##
   $ Y
            : int 151 75 141 206 135 97 138 63 110 310 ...
##
```

```
442 obs. of 12 variables:
## 'data.frame':
##
   $ AGE
              : int 59 48 72 24 50 23 36 66 60 29 ...
   $ GENDER : int 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 ...
##
##
   $ BMI
              : num 32.1 21.6 30.5 25.3 23 22.6 22 26.2 32.1 30 ...
              : num 101 87 93 84 101 89 90 114 83 85 ...
##
   $ BP
   $ S1
              : int 157 183 156 198 192 139 160 255 179 180 ...
##
   $ S2
              : num 93.2 103.2 93.6 131.4 125.4 ...
##
##
   $ S3
                    38 70 41 40 52 61 50 56 42 43 ...
              : num
##
   $ S4
              : num
                    4 3 4 5 4 2 3 4.55 4 4 ...
##
   $ S5
              : num 4.86 3.89 4.67 4.89 4.29 ...
   $ S6
              : int 87 69 85 89 80 68 82 92 94 88 ...
##
##
   $ Y
              : int 151 75 141 206 135 97 138 63 110 310 ...
   $ BMILEVEL: chr "unhealthy" "healthy" "unhealthy" NA ...
```

```
# Convert 'GENDER' and 'BMILEVEL' columns to factors
categorical_cols = c('GENDER', 'BMILEVEL')
df[categorical_cols] = lapply(df[categorical_cols], as.factor)
str(df)
```

```
442 obs. of 12 variables:
## 'data.frame':
             : int 59 48 72 24 50 23 36 66 60 29 ...
  $ GENDER : Factor w/ 2 levels "1","2": 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 ...
##
   $ BMI
              : num 32.1 21.6 30.5 25.3 23 22.6 22 26.2 32.1 30 ...
##
   $ BP
              : num 101 87 93 84 101 89 90 114 83 85 ...
##
   $ S1
              : int 157 183 156 198 192 139 160 255 179 180 ...
  $ S2
             : num 93.2 103.2 93.6 131.4 125.4 ...
##
   $ S3
             : num 38 70 41 40 52 61 50 56 42 43 ...
##
   $ S4
            : num 4 3 4 5 4 2 3 4.55 4 4 ...
##
   $ S5
##
              : num 4.86 3.89 4.67 4.89 4.29 ...
   $ S6
             : int 87 69 85 89 80 68 82 92 94 88 ...
##
   $ Y
              : int 151 75 141 206 135 97 138 63 110 310 ...
##
   $ BMILEVEL: Factor w/ 3 levels "healthy", "underweight",..: 3 1 3 NA 1 1 1 NA 3 3 ...
# Create a list of continuous columns
continuous_cols = setdiff(colnames(df), categorical_cols)
continuous_cols
   [1] "AGE" "BMI" "BP" "S1" "S2" "S3" "S4" "S5" "S6" "Y"
# How many levels does the categorical variable *BMILEVEL* have? What is the reference level?
# Check the levels of the 'BMILEVEL' variable
levels_bmilevel <- levels(df$BMILEVEL)</pre>
# Number of Levels
num_levels_bmilevel <- length(levels_bmilevel)</pre>
# Display the number of levels and the levels themselves
cat("Number of levels in BMILEVEL:", num_levels_bmilevel, "\n")
## Number of levels in BMILEVEL: 3
cat("Levels in BMILEVEL:", levels_bmilevel, "\n")
## Levels in BMILEVEL: healthy underweight unhealthy
# Identify the reference level (usually the first level)
cat("Reference level in BMILEVEL:", levels_bmilevel[1], "\n")
## Reference level in BMILEVEL: healthy
```

```
# Fit a linear model for predicting disease progression using BMILEVEL. Print the model's sum
mary.
# How accurate is the model?
# Which level in BMILEVEL is most likely to not have a linear relationship with disease progr
ession? What is the reason?
# How worse is the disease progression in unhealthy people compared to the healthy ones?
# How worse is the disease progression in unhealthy people compared to the overweight ones?
# Write down the individual model for each level in BMILEVEL

linear_model = lm(data = df, Y ~ BMILEVEL)
summary(linear_model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ BMILEVEL, data = df)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                      Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
                 10
## -161.343 -43.376 -8.376 45.157 171.624
##
## Coefficients:
##
                     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                  4.477 24.433
                                                  <2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                      109.376
## BMILEVELunderweight -10.376
                                  43.403 -0.239
                                                    0.811
## BMILEVELunhealthy
                       103.967
                                  7.596 13.688
                                                   <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 61.05 on 284 degrees of freedom
    (155 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.399, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3947
## F-statistic: 94.25 on 2 and 284 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
# BMI level underweight as the p value is very high

#>The difference in coefficients of unhealthy people compared to the overweight ones indicate s that disease progression is significantly worse in unhealthy people compared to overweight ones.
```

the model is not that accurate because r squared value is less

Fit a linear model for predicting disease progression using BMILEVEL and the blood serum me asurements.
From the model summary, explain how you will find out which blood serum measurements are mo st likely to have a linear relationship with disease progression.

Fit a model using BMILEVEL and the blood serum measurements identified in the previous ques tion and compare its accuracy with the model fit using BMILEVEL and all blood serum measureme nts.

```
linear_model_all_serums <- lm(Y ~ BMILEVEL + S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6, data = df)
# Print the model summary
summary(linear_model_all_serums)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y \sim BMILEVEL + S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6, data = df)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                          Max
## -166.828 -35.563 -1.768
                              35.186 144.399
##
## Coefficients:
##
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                  78.9690 -2.500 0.013001 *
## (Intercept)
                      -197.4099
## BMILEVELunderweight -38.1959
                                  38.7579 -0.986 0.325235
## BMILEVELunhealthy
                        63.7807
                                  8.1675
                                           7.809 1.18e-13 ***
                                   0.6878 -1.899 0.058602 .
## S1
                        -1.3060
## S2
                         0.9258
                                   0.6349 1.458 0.145957
## S3
                         0.7045
                                  0.9171 0.768 0.442995
## S4
                         7.1350
                                  7.4327 0.960 0.337922
## S5
                        70.4353
                                18.6147 3.784 0.000189 ***
## S6
                                   0.3180 2.518 0.012348 *
                         0.8008
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 54.12 on 278 degrees of freedom
    (155 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.5378, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5245
## F-statistic: 40.43 on 8 and 278 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
# blood serum measurements are most likely to have a linear relationship with disease progres
sion for which the p values are very less
linear_model_all_serums <- lm(Y ~ BMILEVEL +S1+ S5 + S6, data = df)
# Print the model summary
summary(linear_model_all_serums)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y \sim BMILEVEL + S1 + S5 + S6, data = df)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -164.91 -36.29 -4.95 35.59 163.43
##
## Coefficients:
##
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                   33.6016 -4.547 8.09e-06 ***
## (Intercept)
                      -152.7916
                                   39.0671 -0.790 0.43007
## BMILEVELunderweight -30.8716
## BMILEVELunhealthy
                        68.6433
                                  8.0455 8.532 9.09e-16 ***
                                    0.1152 -2.779 0.00583 **
## S1
                        -0.3200
## S5
                        55.6357
                                    8.2461 6.747 8.61e-11 ***
## S6
                         0.8667
                                  0.3201 2.708 0.00719 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 54.7 on 281 degrees of freedom
    (155 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.5227, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5142
## F-statistic: 61.53 on 5 and 281 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

the r squared value for both adjusted and multiple decreases which indicates the accuracy o f model is less than the previous model

```
# Fit a linear model for predicting disease progression using BMI, age, BP, and gender. How a
ccurate is the model?
# According to the model, which gender has a worse disease progression? Explain why.
# For the same age, BP, and gender, decreasing BMI by 1 unit causes what change in the diseas
e progression?
# For the same age and BP, which gender benefits better w.r.t. disease progressions by decrea
sing BMI by 1 unit. Explain.
linear_model = lm(Y ~ BMI + AGE + BP + GENDER , data = df)
summary(linear_model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ BMI + AGE + BP + GENDER, data = df)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -152.417 -43.576 -3.757
                            42.938 150.054
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
0.7051 12.032 < 2e-16 ***
## BMI
               8.4843
                         0.2329 0.581
## AGE
               0.1353
                                         0.562
## BP
               1.4345
                         0.2393 5.996 4.25e-09 ***
## GENDER2
             -10.1590
                         5.9219 -1.716
                                         0.087 .
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 59.98 on 437 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.4003, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3948
## F-statistic: 72.91 on 4 and 437 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
# the model is 47 to 48% accurate
# Gender 2 has has a worse disease progression because of high p value

coefficients <- coef(linear_model)

# Find the coefficient for BMI
coeff_bmi <- coefficients["BMI"]

# Interpretation: A 1-unit decrease in BMI is associated with a change in disease progression
# equal to the coefficient for BMI, while holding age, BP, and gender constant.
change_in_disease_progression <- coeff_bmi
change_in_disease_progression</pre>
```

```
## BMI
## 8.484339
```

```
# Fit a linear model for predicting disease progression using BMI, age, BP, gender and interaction between BMI and gender. Is this model more accurate than the model without interaction between BMI and gender? model = lm(data = df, \ Y \sim BMI + AGE + BP + GENDER + BMI:GENDER) summary(model)
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ BMI + AGE + BP + GENDER + BMI:GENDER, data = df)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                         Max
## -150.312 -41.740 -3.209 41.767 149.119
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -174.7986 27.0004 -6.474 2.58e-10 ***
## BMI
                7.2106
                           0.8922 8.082 6.34e-15 ***
                           0.2322 0.728 0.4670
## AGE
                0.1691
## BP
                1.4032
                          0.2385 5.884 7.97e-09 ***
## GENDER2
              -90.1718 35.1134 -2.568 0.0106 *
## BMI:GENDER2 3.0257 1.3090 2.311 0.0213 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 59.68 on 436 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.4075, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4007
## F-statistic: 59.98 on 5 and 436 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

#there is significant change in the accuracy for a linear model without interaction between B MI and Gender