AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS 100 Barr Harbor Dr., West Conshohocken, PA 19428 Reprinted from the Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Copyright ASTM

Standard Classification of Peat Samples by Laboratory Testing¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 4427; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense.

1. Scope

1.1 This classification is a system for subdividing and assigning nomenclature to peat samples through laboratory tests.

Note 1—A field classification of peat is presently being developed by Subcommittee D18.18.

2. Referenced Documents

- 2.1 ASTM Standards:
- D 420 Guide for Investigating and Sampling Soil and Rock²
 D 1997 Test Method for the Laboratory Determination of the Fibre Content of Peat Samples By Dry Mass²
- D 2944 Test Method of Sampling Processed Peat Materials²
- D 2974 Test Methods for Moisture, Ash, and Organic Matter of Peat and Other Organic Soils²
- D 2976 Test Method for pH of Peat Materials²
- D 2980 Test Method for Volume Weights, Water-Holding Capacity, and Air Capacity of Water Saturated Peat Materials²

3. Terminology

- 3.1 Definitions:
- 3.1.1 *peat*—a naturally-occurring highly organic substance derived primarily from plant materials. Peat is distinguished from other organic soil materials by its lower ash content (less than 25 % ash by dry weight (see Test Methods D 2974)), and from other phytogenic material of higher rank (that is, lignite coal) by its lower calorific value on a water saturated basis.
 - 3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.2.1 *absorbency*—the maximum amount of moisture (by weight) that can be held by the peat. This is expressed in terms of the water-holding capacity as measured using Test Method D 2980.
- 3.2.2 *acidity*—this is expressed as the pH of the peat in water as measured using Test Method D 2976.
- 3.2.3 *ash content*—the percentage by dry weight of material remaining after the oven dry peat is burned, using the methods described in Test Methods D 2974.
- ¹ This classification is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D-18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.18 on Peats and Related Materials.
- Current edition approved July 15, 1992. Published November 1992. Originally published as D 4427-84. Last previous edition D 4427-84.
 - ² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 04.08.

- 3.2.4 botanical composition—the dominant plant genus, genera, or informal plant group identified by visual inspection as comprising a portion of the fiber in the peat.
- 3.2.5 *fiber content*—the dry weight of fibers remaining on a 100 mesh sieve after wet sieving. Fiber content is expressed as a percentage of the original dry weight, using the method described in Test Method D 1997.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 The purpose of this classification is to standardize the naming of peat materials so that the peat-producer can better identify the product and the peat-consumer better select peat materials to meet requirements. This system may also be used for peat resource evaluations, environmental impact reports, and preliminary engineering studies. The parameters selected for use in this classification are ones which have been determined to relate to the agricultural/horticultural, geotechnical, and energy uses of peats.

5. Sample

5.1 Representative samples of the peat should be used. The size and type of sample required is dependent on the tests to be performed and the coarseness and moisture content of the peat. On taking the sample it should be accurately identified and placed in a sealed container to prevent moisture loss.

6. Basis for Classification

- 6.1 Fiber Content:
- 6.1.1 Fibric—Peat with greater than 67 % fibers.
- 6.1.2 Hemic—Peat with between 33 % and 67 % fibers.
- 6.1.3 Sapric—Peat with less than 33 % fibers.

Note 2—These fiber content categories may be related to the widely used field assessment of the degree of humification (H) developed by Von Post.³ Fibric corresponds approximately to $H_1 - H_3$, hemic to $H_4 - H_6$, and sapric to $H_7 - H_{10}$.

- 6.2 Ash Content (as measured by Test Methods D 2974):
- 6.2.1 Low Ash—Peat with less than 5 % ash.
- 6.2.2 Medium Ash—Peat with between 5 and 15 % ash.
- 6.2.3 *High Ash*—Peat with more than 15 % ash.
- 6.3 Acidity (as measured by Test Method D 2976):

³ Korpijaakko, E. O., and Woolnough, D. F., "Peatland Survey and Inventory", Muskeg and the Northern Environment in Canada, University of Toronto Press, 1977.



- 6.3.1 Highly Acidic—Peat with a pH less than 4.5.
- 6.3.2 *Moderately Acidic*—Peat with a pH between 4.5 and 5.5
- 6.3.3 Slightly Acidic—Peat with a pH greater than 5.5 and less than 7.
 - 6.3.4 Basic—Peat with a pH equal to or greater than 7.
 - 6.4 Absorbency (as measured by Test Method D 2980):
- 6.4.1 Extremely Absorbent—Peat with a water-holding capacity greater than 1500 %.
- 6.4.2 *Highly Absorbent*—Peat with a water-holding capacity between 800 and 1500 %.
- 6.4.3 *Moderately Absorbent*—Peat with a water-holding capacity greater than 300 and less than 800 %.
- 6.4.4 *Slightly Absorbent*—Peat with a water-holding capacity less than or equal to 300 %.
- 6.5 *Botanical Composition*—If a botanical designation is required, the following rules of naming should be applied:
- 6.5.1 If a single botanical names, or other botanical designation, is used (for example, *Sphagnum* Peat, *Taxodium* Peat, Moss Peat, Herbaceous Peat, Woody Peat, etc.), it is required that at least 75 % of the fiber content of that peat be derived from the designated type of plant material.
- 6.5.2 If more than one botanical designation is used in naming the peat (for example, Reed-Sedge Peat, Bay-Gum Peat, *Myrica-Persea-Salix* Peat, Spruce-Moss-Sedge Peat, etc.), it is required that at least 75 % of the fiber content of that peat be composed of these types of plants as a group. Furthermore, the order of the plant types in the group name should indicate the relative quantity of each type in the peat with the dominant component appearing last.

Note 3—For peats with less than 33 % fiber (that is, Sapric) it would be advisable to refrain from using a botanical designation unless a significant portion of the non-fiber can be identified (for example, algal peat).

7. Example of Use of this System

7.1 A peat sample with a fiber content of 55 %, an ash content of 8 %, a pH of 4.7, a water-holding capacity of 1200 %, and with 70 % of its fibers derived from Sphagnum and 20 % from Carex would be designated a Hemic, Medium Ash, Moderately Acidic, Highly Absorbent, *Carex-Sphagnum* Peat.

8. Precision and Bias

- 8.1 *Precision*—Due to the nature of the soil or rock materials tested by this method it is either not feasible or too costly at this time to produce multiple specimens which have uniform physical properties. Any variation observed in the data is just as likely to be due to specimen variation as to operator or laboratory testing variation. Subcommittee D18.18 welcomes proposals that would allow for development of a valid precision statement.
- 8.2 *Bias*—There is no accepted reference value for this test method, therefore, bias cannot be determined.

9. Keywords

9.1 absorbency; acidity; ash content; botanical composition; classification; fiber content; laboratory testing; peat

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.