



Standard Test Method for Quantification of Complex Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Mixtures or Petroleum Oils in Water¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 5412; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers a means for quantifying or characterizing total polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by fluorescence spectroscopy (FI) for waterborne samples. The characterization step is for the purpose of finding an appropriate calibration standard with similar emission and synchronous fluorescence spectra.

1.2 This test method is applicable to PAHs resulting from petroleum oils, fuel oils, creosotes, or industrial organic mixtures. Samples can be weathered or unweathered, but either the same material or appropriately characterized site-specific PAH or petroleum oil calibration standards with similar fluorescence spectra should be chosen. The degree of spectral similarity needed will depend on the desired level of quantification and on the required data quality objectives.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- D 1129 Terminology Relating to Water²
- D 1193 Specification for Reagent Water²
- D 2777 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias of Applicable Methods of Committee D-19 on Water²
- D 3325 Practice for Preservation of Waterborne Oil Samples³
- D 3326 Practices for Preparation of Samples for Identification of Waterborne Oils³
- D 3415 Practice for Identification of Waterborne Oils³
- D 3650 Test Method for Comparison of Waterborne Petroleum Oils by Fluorescence Analysis³
- D 4489 Practices for Sampling of Waterborne Oils³
- D 4657 Test Method for Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Water³

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D-19 on Water and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D19.06 on Methods for Analysis for Organic Substances in Water.

Current edition approved May 15, 1993. Published August 1993.

² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 11.01.

³ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 11.02.

- E 131 Terminology Relating to Molecular Spectroscopy⁴
- E 169 Practices for General Techniques of Ultraviolet-Visible Quantitative Analysis⁴
- E 275 Practice for Describing and Measuring Performance of Ultraviolet, Visible, and New Infrared Spectrophotometers⁴
- E 388 Test Method for Spectral Bandwidth and Wavelength Accuracy of Fluorescence Spectrometers⁴
- E 578 Test Method for Linearity of Fluorescence Measuring System⁴
- E 579 Test Method for Limit of Detection of Fluorescence of Quinine Sulfate⁴

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions—For definitions of terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology D 1129, Terminology E 131, and Practice D 3415.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test method consists of fluorescence analysis of dilute solutions of PAHs or petroleum oils in appropriate solvents (spectroquality solvents such as cyclohexane or other appropriate solvents, for example, ethanol, depending on polarity considerations of the sample). The test method requires an initial qualitative characterization step involving both fluorescence emission and synchronous spectroscopy in order to select appropriate calibration standards with similar fluorescence spectra as compared to the samples (see Annex A1 for the definition of spectral similarity). Intensities of peak maxima of suitable emission spectra are then used to develop calibration curves for quantification.

NOTE 1—Although some sections of the characterization part of this test method are similar to Test Method D 3650, there are also significant differences (See Annex A1). Since the purpose and intent of the two test methods are different, one should not be substituted for the other.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is useful for characterization and rapid quantification of PAH mixtures including petroleum oils, fuels, creosotes, and industrial organic mixtures, either waterborne or obtained from tanks.

5.2 The unknown PAH mixture is first characterized by its fluorescence emission and synchronous scanning spectra. Then

⁴ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 14.01.

a suitable site-specific calibration standard with similar spectral characteristics is selected as described in Annex A1. This calibration standard may also be well-characterized by other independent methods such as gas chromatography (GC), GC-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), or high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Some suggested independent analytical methods are included in References (1–7)⁵ and Test Method D 4657. Other analytical methods can be substituted by an experienced analyst depending on the intended data quality objectives. Peak maxima intensities of appropriate fluorescence emission spectra are then used to set up suitable calibration curves as a function of concentration. Further discussion of fluorescence techniques as applied to the characterization and quantification of PAHs and petroleum oils can be found in References (8–18).

5.3 For the purpose of the present test method polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons are defined to include substituted polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons with functional groups such as carboxyl acid, hydroxy, carbonyl and amino groups, and heterocycles giving similar fluorescence responses to PAHs of similar molecular weight ranges. If PAHs in the more classic definition, that is, unsubstituted PAHs, are desired, chemical reactions, extractions, or chromatographic procedures may be required to eliminate these other components. Fortunately, for the most commonly expected PAH mixtures, such substituted PAHs and heterocycles are not major components of the mixtures and do not cause serious errors.

6. Interferences

6.1 The fluorescence spectra may be distorted or quantification may be affected if the sample is contaminated with an appreciable amount of other fluorescent chemicals that are excited and which fluoresce in the same spectral regions with relatively high fluorescence yields. Usually the fluorescence spectra would be distorted at levels greater than 1 to 2 % of such impurities before the quantification would be seriously affected.

NOTE 2—**Caution:** Storage of samples in improper containers (for example, plastics other than TFE-fluorocarbon) may result in contamination.

NOTE 3—*Spectroquality* solvents may not have low enough fluorescence background to be used as solvent blanks. Solvent lots vary in the content of fluorescent impurities that may increase with storage time even for unopened bottles.

NOTE 4—This test method is normally used without a matrix spike due to possible fluorescence interference by the spike. If a spike is to be used, it must fluoresce in a spectral region where it will not interfere with the quantification process. Compounds that could be used are dyes that fluoresce at longer wavelengths than the emission of the PAH mixture.

6.2 If the PAH mixture to be analyzed is a complex mixture such as an oil or creosote, it is assumed that a well-characterized sample of the same or similar material is available as a calibration standard so the fluorescent fraction of the mixture can be ratioed against the total mixture. Otherwise, since the samples and standards are weighed, the nonfluorescent portion of the mixture would bias the quantification

although the characterization portion of the test method for PAHs given in Annex A1 would be unaffected.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Fluorescence Spectrometer*—An instrument recording in the spectral range of 250 nm to at least 600 nm for both excitation and emission responses and capable of scanning both monochromators simultaneously at a constant speed with a constant wavelength offset between them for synchronous scanning. The instrument should meet the specifications in Table 1. (Also known as spectrofluorometer or fluorescence spectrophotometer). Consult manufacturer's instrument manuals for specific operating instructions.

NOTE 5—Although the characterization section of this test method (given in Annex A1) is similar to Test Method D 3650 in many respects, there are differences in the purpose and intents of the two test methods. The purpose of the characterization step of this test method is to find an oil with similar fluorescence properties as the sample in order to serve as an appropriate calibration standard for quantification. Other differences between the test methods are instrumentation requirements and the use of synchronous spectra as well as emission spectra for this test method.

7.2 *Excitation Source*—A high-pressure xenon lamp (a 150-W continuous xenon lamp or a 10-W pulsed xenon lamp has been proven acceptable). Other continuum sources (either continuous or pulsed) having sufficient intensity throughout the ultraviolet and visible regions may also be used.

7.3 *Fluorescence Cells*—Standard cells made from fluorescence-free fused silica with a path length of 10 mm and a height of at least 45 mm. Stoppered cells may be preferred to prevent sample evaporation and contamination.

7.4 *Data Recording System*—Preferably the instrument should be interfaced to a suitable computer system compatible with the instrument and with suitable software for spectral data manipulation. Use of a strip chart or X-Y recorder with a response time of less than 1 s for full-scale deflection is acceptable.

7.5 *Micropipet*, glass, 10 to 50- μ L capacity.

7.6 *Weighing Pans*, 5 to 7-mm diameter, 18-mm thick, made of aluminum or equivalent. Check pans for contamination.

8. Reagents and Materials

8.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Use spectroquality grade reagents in all instances unless otherwise stated. Since the goal is to have as low a fluorescence blank as possible, and since different brands and lots of spectroquality solvent may vary, check reagents frequently.

TABLE 1 Specifications for Fluorescence Spectrometers

Wavelength Reproducibility	
Excitation monochromator	± 2 nm or better
Emission monochromator	± 2 nm or better
Gratings (Typical Values)	
Excitation monochromator	minimum of 600 lines/mm blazed at 300 nm
Emission monochromator	minimum of 600 lines/mm blazed at 300 nm or 500 nm
Photomultiplier Tube	
S-20 or S-5 response or equivalent	
Spectral Resolutions	
Excitation monochromator	spectral bandpass of 2.5 nm or less
Emission monochromator	spectral bandpass 2.5 nm or less
Maximum bandpasses for both monochromators at least 10 nm	

⁵ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

8.2 *Purity of Water*—References to water mean Type IV water conforming to Specification D 1193. Since fluorescent organic impurities in the water may introduce an interference, check the purity of the water by analyzing a water blank using the same instrumental conditions as for the solvent blank.

8.3 *Acetone*, spectroquality, (CH_3COCH_3).

8.4 *Cyclohexane*, spectroquality or HPLC grade. The fluorescence solvent blank must be as low as possible and less than 5 % of the intensity of the maximum emission peak for the lowest concentration of PAHs analyzed. Dispense cyclohexane during the procedure from either a TFE-fluorocarbon or glass wash bottle, but, for prolonged storage, store cyclohexane only in glass.

8.5 *Nitric Acid* (1 + 1)—Carefully add one volume of concentrated HNO_3 (sp gr 1.42) to one volume of water.

8.6 *TFE-Fluorocarbon Strips*, 25 mm by 75 mm, 0.25-mm thickness. Use TFE strips when sampling neat PAH films on water as described in Practice D 4489.

9. Sampling and Sample Preparation

9.1 Collect a representative sample (see Practice D 4489 for water samples).

9.2 Preserve samples in containers as specified in Practice D 3325. Do not cool samples below 5°C to avoid dewaxing of oil or creosote samples.

9.3 Neat PAH samples (including surface films or layers on water) require only dilution in spectroquality cyclohexane. Prepare initial concentration for the unknown at 100 µg/mL for a check of the fluorescence signal. Further dilutions down to 1 µg/mL may be needed to bring the fluorescence signal into the linear range and to avoid self-absorption effects in the solution. Most PAH mixtures and oils have been found to be soluble in cyclohexane at the concentrations listed. Alternative solvents can be substituted with appropriate tests.

9.4 If any unknown PAH mixture is dissolved in water, test the mixture with appropriate dilutions or preconcentrations as required. The assumption is that no naturally-occurring fluorescent materials such as humic or fulvic acids are present at levels interfering with the determination (refer to Fig. A2.5 and Fig. A2.6 to show that humic acid does not interfere with the test method even at high (µg/L) levels). This usually becomes a problem only at PAH levels in the low µg/L range. Extraction methods (or separation by column chromatography) are listed in Practice D 3326.

9.4.1 An extraction method that proved satisfactory for the collaborative test is as follows:

9.4.1.1 Pour 50.0 mL of the sample into a separatory funnel, add 5.0 mL of cyclohexane and shake for 2 min. Vent the separatory funnel occasionally. Withdraw the aqueous layer (keep this for a second extraction). Collect the cyclohexane extract in a 10-mL volumetric flask. Add 5.0 mL of cyclohexane to the aqueous layer and perform a second extraction. Combine the two extracts and dilute to 10.0 mL with cyclohexane.

9.4.1.2 For field use, it has proven satisfactory to use a reagent bottle instead of a separatory funnel. Pour 50.0 mL of the sample in the bottle and add 5.0 mL of cyclohexane, shake for 2 min and collect most of the top layer with a Pasteur pipet. It is important to collect most of the top layer to maximize

percent recovery (tilt the flask to see the separation between the two layers more easily). Add 5.0 mL of cyclohexane to the aqueous layer and perform a second extraction. Combine the two cyclohexane extracts and dilute to 10.0 mL with cyclohexane.

9.4.1.3 See 12.6 to check extraction recoveries. Other extraction methods can be used at the discretion of the analyst, by adding an appropriate solvent exchange step to cyclohexane and by checking for recoveries and interferences. As is always the case, the analyst shall demonstrate method performance when changing the method. At the mg/L level or above, the PAH mixture might not be totally in solution. If the PAH mixture is emulsified in water, is sparingly soluble in water, or if the concentration of the unknown must be known more accurately, it may be necessary to evaporate the solution to dryness or to extract the PAH mixture into a suitable solvent, followed by evaporation, weighing, and redissolving in cyclohexane.

9.4.1.4 At the mg/L level or above, the PAH mixture in water might not be totally in solution.

9.5 Sample bottles must be made of glass, precleaned with dilute nitric acid (1 + 1) and sealed with plastic screw caps having TFE-fluorocarbon liners. Solutions must be prepared in precleaned volumetric flasks. Because many aromatics are subject to photodegradation, flasks must be low-actinic (amber) or covered with aluminum foil. Volumetric flasks and fluorescence cells must be cleaned with dilute nitric acid followed by rinsing with water and then air-drying them. To remove the water more quickly, use a triple rinse with spectroquality acetone. As a final step, triple rinse glassware and cells with the solvent used for analysis, usually cyclohexane.

10. Preparation of Apparatus

10.1 Set up and calibrate the fluorescence spectrometer according to the manufacturer's instructions and Practices E 169, E 275, E 388, E 578 and E 579. Include in the calibration procedures a check of wavelength accuracy using a low pressure mercury lamp (or similar line source). Allow an appropriate period of time (usually 15 min) for the instrument electronics to stabilize. The instrument specifications must meet the specifications of Table 1, with fixed or variable slits capable of covering the range of spectral resolution specified in the test method (2.5 nm to 10 nm) and capable of scanning both monochromators synchronously as well as individually.

11. Procedure

11.1 Select an appropriate standard based on the characterization procedure described in Annex A1 that entails examination of fluorescence emission and synchronous spectra of unknown sample(s). Do not use this quantification procedure until the sample is characterized and a suitable calibration standard is selected based on the procedure in Annex A1. This PAH standard must be site-specific and should consist of a sample of unweathered or weathered oil that might be the same oil or an oil of the same type with similar fluorescence spectral properties. Preferably select a PAH mixture that has been well characterized by other methods (GC, GC-MS, HPLC, see test methods listed in Test D4657 and References (1–7)). If this is

not possible, one must rely on the known composition of similar oils. If a neat sample of the unknown PAH mixture is available, compare the fluorescence intensity of this material at known weight/volume ratio in the spectroquality solvent to the selected standard under the same instrumental and experimental conditions. For best quantification results, the intensities must agree to within 10 % of the fluorescence intensity at peak maxima. Empirically, PAH mixtures with very similar spectral characteristics have been usually found to have similar fluorescence intensities. In some cases, for example, an aromatic solvent spill, use an appropriate single aromatic compound or simple PAH mixture as the standard.

11.2 Once an appropriate calibration standard is selected, prepare standard solutions, starting at 100 µg/mL in spectroquality cyclohexane and diluting down. These standard solutions, depending on instrumental conditions, can span a range from 5 µg/mL to 5 ng/mL or lower. Use these data to generate a calibration plot, which should be linear over this range. Higher concentrations would require dilution to avoid self-absorption (inner-filter effect) and to stay in the linear range. It is preferable to prepare solutions fresh each day, but they may be held up to 3 days if stored in a refrigerator. In all cases, treat sample and calibration solutions in the same manner. For each concentration, scan the emission spectra and take the maximum intensity value for a data point. Once the wavelength corresponding to the maximum emission is known, record the emission intensity at the wavelength corresponding to the peak maximum for a fixed period of time (usually 1 s) for subsequent samples rather than scanning the whole spectrum. If the whole spectrum is recorded, use either the emission intensity of the peak maximum or the area under the fluorescence spectral envelope for quantification. For some PAH mixtures, spectral areas may yield better quantitative results than peak maxima. In each case, use these peak maxima or spectral area values to create the calibration curve. Preliminary data indicates that the peak maxima usually are satisfactory for quantification. The time scan at the emission peak maximum allows for faster sample analysis. Multichannel detectors may also be used with an appropriate intensity value recorded. If it is necessary to change instrumental conditions, check instrument conditions and determine the correction factor. Suggested instrumental conditions are as follows: excitation monochromator bandpass 10 nm or less, emission monochromator bandpass 2.5 nm or less, and an excitation wavelength of 254 nm (for oil), other PAH mixtures may require different excitation wavelengths. Measure and subtract the solvent blank, preferably in the same cell, if necessary, with each measurement. Make all measurements with the same instrumental conditions.

11.3 Create a calibration curve by plotting the intensity measurements against the concentration of standards.

11.4 Once the calibration plot for quantification has been generated, prepare and measure unknown samples in the same fashion, provided that their characterization spectra show good agreement with the spectra of the calibration standard (see Annex A1). If an extraction step is necessary, weigh the original sample (before and after drying). The extracted sample may also need to be evaporated down and weighed, or measure in a known volume. Compare the spectral intensity of the

unknown sample with the calibration curve. Since the lamp intensity of the fluorescence spectrometer may fluctuate with time, repeat at least one standard at frequent intervals to check the stability of the source and instrumentation as needed. Analyze at least 3 different concentrations of the standard with each set of samples.

11.5 Determine the concentration of the diluted unknown sample solution by referring the intensity to the calibration curve.

11.5.1 Calculate concentration of the original extracted sample as follows:

$$\text{concentration, } \mu\text{g/mL} = C_c (V_s/V_T)$$

where:

C_c = concentration from calibration curve, µg/mL,

V_s = volume of diluted extract, mL, and

V_T = volume of water that sample was extracted from, mL.

Since the original concentration and C_c are related to a site-specific standard, express concentration either as total oil or as total PAH (if the percentage of PAH in the original standard is known or if the standard is 100 % PAH).

11.6 The reliability of this fluorescence method will depend critically on the proper choice of standards for each site or project.

12. Quality Control Measures

12.1 Calibrate the fluorescence spectrometer frequently to check the wavelength accuracy with an appropriate mercury or other line source and check relative peak ratios for appropriate PAHs (as a check on any spectral correction factor). Check its sensitivity periodically (weekly) using appropriate PAH standards (plastic standards, commercially available, or PAH mixtures in cyclohexane). Naphthalene and anthracene are recommended as instrumental standards. Pyrene, chrysene, or ovalene emit at longer wavelengths and are appropriate for heavier PAH mixtures that also have emission maxima at longer wavelengths.

12.2 Measure solvent blanks with each sample measurement to check the purity of the solvent and the cleanliness of the fluorescence cells. At low concentrations it may be necessary to subtract out solvent blanks for accurate quantification. Treat sample and standard spectra in the same manner.

12.3 For each set of samples, measure one sample in triplicate using separate aliquots of the same sample extract. For each set of samples, carry one sample through the entire sample extraction, preparation and analysis procedure in triplicate.

12.4 For test method validation (or when a new type of matrix is being extracted) make at least three separate determinations (taking each sample through the entire sample extraction and analysis procedure) for at least five concentrations.

12.5 Measure standards (PAH mixtures or site-specific, well-characterized oils) with each set of samples. Standard solutions can be kept up to 3 days, if stored in the refrigerator and away from light. Generate a new calibration curve when the standard changes or when deviations are noted from the

standard curve for fresh standard solutions. Set control limits depending on the desired accuracy for the experiment.

12.6 Check recoveries, where extraction steps are involved for a few selected samples, by extracting the same material with a second aliquot of solvent. Where the amount of PAH material extracted in the second aliquot exceeds a certain amount (15 to 30 %) depending on desired accuracy, combine the two aliquots and perform a third extraction. (This might indicate the need for a different extraction solvent or procedure.)

12.7 For a complex PAH mixture, spikes of a specific PAH are not appropriate, but for a single aromatic compound or simple PAH mixture, a PAH spike can be added that does not interfere spectrally with the determination. Such a spike should be carried throughout the whole procedure including sample extraction. Also, such a PAH spike can be introduced into a clean matrix as an alternate check on extraction efficiency.

12.8 For situations requiring an additional degree of reliability it is desirable that an independent method be used to define the calibration curve.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 An interlaboratory study was conducted using an unknown oil and four standard oils: Prudhoe Bay Crude, Arabian Light Crude, South Louisiana Crude and #2 Fuel Oil. The laboratories participating were asked to characterize the unknown oil by comparing it with the emission and synchronous fluorescence spectra of the standard oils and then to select an appropriate standard (with similar spectral shape and intensity). After the characterization was reported, they proceeded to quantify the three different concentrations of unknown oil. The precision and bias statements were based on Practice D 2777.

13.2 *Precision*—Based on the results of seven laboratories, conducting triplicate test on three levels of concentrations, the precision of the test method within its designed range is linear with concentration in accordance with Fig. 1 and may be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Reagent water: } S_t &= 0.285x + 0.0145 \\ S_o &= 0.0975x + 0.0122\end{aligned}$$

where:

S_t = overall precision, $\mu\text{g/mL}$,
 S_o = pooled single-operator precision, $\mu\text{g/mL}$, and
 x = concentration of oil in water, $\mu\text{g/mL}$.

13.3 *Bias*—Recoveries of known amount of oil from reagent water were as shown in Table 2. These collaborative test data were obtained on reagent-grade water. Single operator data obtained on tap water were also consistent with the results of the collaborative study. These data may not apply to untested matrices, which should be tested by the analyst.

TOTAL AND SINGLE-OPERATOR STANDARD DEVIATION




FIG. 1 Total and Single-Operator Standard Deviation

TABLE 2 Recoveries of Known Amount of Oil from Reagent Water

Amount Added, $\mu\text{g/mL}$	Amount Found, $\mu\text{g/mL}$	% Bias	Statistically Significant (95 % Conf. Level)
0.091	0.085	-6	no
0.440	0.333	-24	yes
0.782	0.541	-31	yes

13.4 The data from the seven participating laboratories show that a negative bias is expected when performing this test method. A negative bias would be expected of any test method having an extraction step; the magnitude of the bias in this test method would depend on the efficiency of the extraction and the volatility of the light components of the oil. In this test method cyclohexane, a not very efficient solvent, is used in the extraction step because of its ease of use under field conditions, its low fluorescence interference and background. Other extraction techniques using a more efficient solvent have to be tested by the chemist before they are recommended for use. Another factor that affected the negative bias was that in this study an unweathered, light oil was chosen as the unknown (this type of oil is composed of a considerable amount of volatile components that are more likely to be lost during extraction). A smaller bias should be expected for a heavier and weathered oil (these types of oils have less volatile components). Many real oil samples are weathered oils; they may have lost the volatile components by the time they are extracted.

14. Keywords

14.1 creosotes; fluorescence; fuel oils; oil characterization; oil classification; oil quantification; PAH quantification; PAHs; petroleum oils; synchronous fluorescence; ultraviolet-visible fluorescence

ANNEXES

(Mandatory Information)

A1. CHARACTERIZATION PROCEDURES

A1.1 Emission Spectra

A1.1.1 Set up and calibrate the spectrofluorometer as recommended in Section 10. Analyze a solvent blank with the same instrumental conditions used for analysis to check cell cleanup procedures and to ascertain that the blank is negligible or can be subtracted out. Transfer a portion of the unknown solution, usually at a concentration range of 10 µg/mL or less, into a clean fluorescence cell using a disposable Pasteur pipet. Do not contaminate the outside of the cell with the solution or with fingerprints. Gently clean the outside of the cell with lens paper (non-silicone treated) wetted with spectroquality cyclohexane, if needed. Verify that the solution is not visibly colored or turbid. Place the full cell into the cell holder, making sure to protect the detector from ambient light, if necessary. Set the excitation monochromator slits at bandpasses of 10 nm or less, emission monochromator slits to 2.5 nm or less. Set the excitation monochromator to 254 nm and examine the cell and look for the fluorescence visually. Verify that the fluorescence cell is fully illuminated without attenuation of light passing through the cell due to self-absorption (inner filter effect). Set the emission monochromator to the wavelength corresponding to the maximum fluorescence intensity and adjust the instrument as needed to bring the signal to approximately full scale on the recorder chart or computer screen. If a strong fluorescence signal is encountered, it may be desirable to dilute the solution further to reduce the risk of spectral distortion. If the signal is too weak (unlikely at 1 µg/mL or above), it may be desirable to open the emission slits to 5 nm or use a more concentrated solution. Start the emission scan at 280 nm and scan the full fluorescence spectrum out to 600 nm.

NOTE A1.1—For better results for emission spectra, if possible, first measure an absorption spectrum on a suitable ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer to verify that the absorbance at the excitation wavelength is less than 0.02 absorbance units. Synchronous spectra may require a higher absorbance depending on experimental conditions.

A1.1.2 Without varying the instrumental conditions, make a similar scan using a matched cell or the same cell filled with a solvent blank.

A1.1.3 Usually a single emission scan exciting at 254 nm is sufficient if the PAH mixture is a typical petroleum oil. For atypical PAH mixtures or for mixtures containing heavy PAHs it may be desirable to excite at different wavelengths, for example, 290 nm, 330 nm, or 375 nm. Repeat the solvent blank scan following each scan of the unknown sample.

A1.1.4 Observe a Raman peak, characteristic of the solvent, especially at low concentrations of sample, that is, at high instrument gain. This Raman shift, characteristic of the solvent, is constant in frequency, but varies in wavelength shift with excitation wavelength. Use this Raman peak as a check of instrument sensitivity.

A1.1.5 Examples of emission spectra for typical petroleum

oils are given in Annex A2.

A1.2 Synchronous Spectra

A1.2.1 After putting the fluorescence cell containing the sample solution (at 1 to 10 µg/mL concentration) in place, adjust the excitation and emission slits to bandpasses of 2.5 nm or less and adjust the offset between the excitation and emission monochromators to 6 nm. Other slit widths and offsets may be used, although, obviously, the offset must always be larger than the combined bandpasses of the slits to avoid scatter. Starting at an excitation monochromator setting of 250 nm and an emission monochromator setting of 256 nm, scan the two monochromators simultaneously to an emission setting of 600 nm recording the fluorescence intensity as a function of emission wavelength. The bandpasses and offsets listed have been found to be satisfactory for oil, although for a simple PAH mixture a bandpass of 1 nm and an offset of 3 to 5 nm might be preferable for yielding spectra with maximum structure. The offset should ideally be the same as the wavelength shift between the absorption and the emission spectra (Stokes shift) and should roughly separate PAHs according to the number of fused aromatic rings (in a homologous series). See Vo-Dinh (14) for an explanation.

A1.2.2 Examples of synchronous spectra for typical petroleum oils are given in Annex A3.

A1.3 Interpretation

A1.3.1 Compare the fluorescence emission and synchronous spectra of the unknown sample with spectra analyzed under the same instrumental conditions for well characterized oils and PAH mixtures to select an appropriate calibration standard. For petroleum oils, select a site-specific standard taken from the same oil that has been characterized by several techniques (FI, GC, GC-MS, HPLC). Failing that, choose a well characterized oil showing similar spectral structure and intensity, which should be adequate for field screening purposes. On extraction of oils containing appreciable light aromatics the spectral intensities of peaks at shorter wavelengths will decrease compared with the unweathered and unextracted standard oils (because of loss of volatile aromatic compounds in the extracted oil). The loss of volatile components will affect any method (GC, GC-MS, FT-IR, etc.) that uses an extraction step. A suggested solution will consist of comparing the intensities and peaks ratios for spectra of extracted oils with those for extracted standards. For the purpose of this test method, *spectrally similar* will be defined as having the same number of major spectral peaks at the same wavelength positions to within 5 nm and the relative intensities of peaks of the standards should be reasonably close to the relative intensities of peaks for the sample, preferably within $\pm 10\%$. The relative intensities or peak ratios are determined with respect to the main peak in the spectrum.

Following similar treatment both portions of the definition of spectrally similar should be easy to achieve. For selection of a calibration standard for semiquantification, or if a lesser degree of quantification is needed by the data quality objectives, this definition of spectral similarity can be relaxed somewhat.

NOTE A1.2—During extraction some of the lighter aromatics and polyaromatics will be lost. In the synchronous spectrum of the oil extract, the peaks at shorter wavelengths (where the lighter aromatics and polyaromatics appear) may decrease or disappear.

A2. FLUORESCENCE EMISSION SPECTRA

A2.1 Various fluorescence emission spectra are shown in Figs. A2.1-A2.6.




FIG. A2.1 Emission Spectrum of No. 2 Fuel Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681




FIG. A2.2 Emission Spectrum of South Louisiana Crude Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681




FIG. A2.3 Emission Spectrum of Prudhoe Bay Crude Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681

A3. FLUORESCENCE SYNCHRONOUS SPECTRA




FIG. A2.4 Emission Spectrum of Arabian Light Crude Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681




FIG. A2.6 Emission Spectrum of Cyclohexane Extraction from Water and Water with 10 ppm Humic Acid




FIG. A2.5 Emission Spectrum of Cyclohexane Extraction from Water Containing 0.5 ppm Prudhoe Bay Crude Oil and Water with 10 ppm Humic Acid Containing 0.5 ppm Prudhoe Bay Crude Oil




FIG. A3.1 Synchronous Spectrum of No. 2 Fuel Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681




FIG. A3.2 Synchronous Spectrum of South Louisiana Crude Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681

A3.1 Various fluorescence synchronous spectra are shown in Figs. A3.1-A3.4.




FIG. A3.3 Synchronous Spectrum of Prudhoe Bay Crude Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 681




FIG. A3.4 Synchronous Spectrum of Arabian Light Crude Oil US EPA-API Reference Oil, WP 68122

REFERENCES

- (1) "GC Method 8100: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons," EPA SW 846, Environmental Protection Agency, Sept. 1986.
- (2) "GC-MS Method 8250: Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry for Semivolatile Organics: Packed Column Technique," EPA SW 846, Environmental Protection Agency, Sept. 1986.
- (3) "GC-MS Method 8270: Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry for Semivolatile Organics: Capillary Column Technique," EPA SW 846, Environmental Protection Agency, Sept. 1986.
- (4) "HPLC Method 8310: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons," EPA SW 846, Environmental Protection Agency, Sept. 1986.
- (5) "Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons—Method 610," *Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater*, Longbottom, J. E., Lichtenberg, J. J., Eds., EPA 600/4-82-057, July 1982.
- (6) "Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons—Method 627," *Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater*, Longbottom, J. E., Lichtenberg, J. J., Eds., EPA 600/4-82-057, July 1982.
- (7) "Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons—Method 625," *Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater*, Longbottom, J. E., Lichtenberg, J. J., Eds., EPA 600/4-82-057, July 1982.
- (8) U.V. Spectrometry Group, Miller, J. N. ed., *Standards in Fluorescence Spectrometry*, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1981.
- (9) Schulman, S. G., *Molecular Luminescence Spectroscopy—Methods and Apparatus, Part I*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1985.
- (10) Parker, C. A., *Photoluminescence of Solutions*, Elsevier, New York, 1968.
- (11) Berlan, I. B., *Handbook of Fluorescence Spectra of Aromatic Molecules*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- (12) Eastwood, D., "Use of Luminescence Spectroscopy in Oil Identification," *Modern Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Wehry, E., Ed., Plenum Press, New York, 1981, p. 251.
- (13) Vo-Dinh, T., "A Ranking Index To Characterize Polynuclear Aromatic Pollutants In Environmental Samples," *Environment International*, Vol 10, 1984, p. 299.
- (14) Vo-Dinh, T., "Synchronous Excitation Spectroscopy," op. cit., p. 167.
- (15) Eastwood, D., Lidberg, R. L., "Application of Fluorescence and FT-IR Techniques for Screening and Classifying Hazardous Waste Samples," *Proceedings, 7th National Conference on Management of Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites*, Washington, DC, 1986, p. 370.
- (16) Bjorseth, A., *Handbook of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.
- (17) Karcher, W., Fordham, R. J., Dubois, J. J., Glaude, P. G., Lighthart, J. A., *Spectral Atlas of Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Vol 1, 1985, Vol 2, 1988.
- (18) Hendrick, M. S., and Jadamec, J. R., "Evaluating the Relative

Performance of ASTM Methods in the Laboratory and the Field,”
Monitoring Water in the 1990's: Meeting New Challenges, ASTM

STP 1102, Hall, J. R., Glysson, G. D., Eds., ASTM, 1991.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.