Mixed Frequency TS Models: A Brief Overview

Presenation for the TSE Course 2022

Mixed Frequency Models

Motivation

- The mixed frequency models are usually encountered in macroeconomic context (although MIDAS are used for financial time series al well)
- We want to take an advantage of information contained in high frequency indicators (PMI, Industrial Production, Non-Farm payrolls, Consumer confidence indicators) to forecast some low frequency variable (e.g. GDP)

Mixed Frequency Models

Rough Model Taxonomy

- Sampling at lower frequency clearly dominated by other methods
- Bridge equations earliest method, but still frequently used (see e.g. Götz, T., & Knetsch, T. (2017))
- MIDAS models Relatively simple to estimate (NLS)
- State-space models Hard to estimate, especially for a larger number of variables (Use of Kalman filter)
- Factor models Exploit information from large datasets EAI by Bundesbank
- Combinations of factor models with the approaches above



Bridge Equations

Bridge Model

Uses aggregated values of high frequency explanatory variables x_{it_q} to forecast the low frequency variable y_{t_q}

$$y_{t_q} = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{j} \beta_i(L) x_{it_q} + u_{t_q}$$

The order of the lag polynomial k is selected by a information criteria (e.g. BIC) (Foroni, Marcellino, 2013)

MIDAS models - Overview

- Actually exploits data sampled at mixed frequency
- Related to distributed lag models but the modelling philosophy is different
- Robust with respect to misspecification (compared to state-space models)
- Simple to estimate and does not disregard additional information in the high frequency indicator (unlike the lower frequency sampling)
- Introduced by Ghysels et al. (2004), the acronym stands for Mixed-Data Sampling



Basic MIDAS model

 h_m period forecast from MIDAS:

$$y_{t_m+h_m} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m+w}^{(m)} + \varepsilon_{t_m+h_m}$$
 (1)

$$b(L_m; \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} c(k; \theta) L_m^k$$
$$c(k; \theta) = \frac{\exp(\theta_1 k + ... + \theta_Q k^Q)}{\sum_{k} \exp(\theta_1 k + ... + \theta_Q k^Q)}$$

AR-MIDAS model I

It seems like the following model is a straightforward extension of (1):

$$y_{t_m} = \beta_0 + \lambda y_{t_m-m} + \beta_1 b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m+w-m}^{(m)} + \varepsilon_{t_m}$$
 (2)

However:

$$(1 - \lambda L_m^m) y_{t_m} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m + w - m}^{(m)} + \varepsilon_{t_m}$$
$$y_{t_m} = (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \beta_0 + \beta_1 (1 - \lambda L_m^m)^{-1} b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m + w - m}^{(m)} + (1 - \lambda L_m^m)^{-1} \varepsilon_{t_m}$$

The term $(1 - \lambda L_m^m)^{-1} b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m+w-m}^{(m)}$ causes troubles because there is an interaction between $L^{1/m}$ and L which induces seasonality (Foroni, Marcelliono, 2013)



AR-MIDAS model II

To resolve this issue we add a common AR factor:

$$y_{t_m} = \beta_0 + \lambda y_{t_m-m} + \beta_1 (1 - \lambda L_m^m) b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m+w-m}^{(m)} + \varepsilon_{t_m}$$
 (3)

Thus multiplication by the inverse term $(1 - \lambda L_m^m)(1 - \lambda L_m^m)^{-1}b(L_m;\theta)x_{t_m+w-m}^{(m)}$ and the problematic interaction is going to vanish.

Estimation of AR-MIDAS I

The estimation proceeds in recursive steps. Each estimate is used as an input for the following one.



Estimation of AR-MIDAS II

The estimation procedure as described in (Foroni, Marcellino, 2013)

- Estimate the MIDAS without AR component $y_{t_m} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m+w-h_m}^{(m)} + \varepsilon_{t_m}$
- ② Use the residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_{t_m}$ to estimate the init. value of autoregressive coeff. λ (λ_0) $\hat{\lambda_0} = \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{t_m+w-h}^2)^{-1} \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{t_m+w-h_n}\hat{\varepsilon}_{t_m})$
- **3** Construct $y_{t_m}^*$ and $x_{t_m}^*$ as: $y_{t_m}^* = y_{t_m} \hat{\lambda_0} y_{t_m h_m}$ $x_{t_m + w h_m}^* = x_{t_m + w h_m} \hat{\lambda_0} x_{t_m + w 2h_m}$
- **1** Use NLS to get $\hat{\theta}_1$ from: $y_{t_m}^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b(L_m; \theta) x_{t_m+w-h_m}^{(*m)} + \varepsilon_{t_m}$
- **1** Repeat to get $\hat{\lambda_1}$ and $\hat{\theta_1}$,, $\hat{\lambda}_k \to \hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\theta}_k \to \hat{\theta}$, $\{\hat{\lambda}; \hat{\theta}\}$ minimise the sum of squared residuals.



Unrestricted MIDAS (U-MIDAS)

$$c(L^m)\omega(L)y_{t_m} = \delta_1(L)x_{1t_m-1} + \dots + \delta_N(L)x_{Nt_m-1} + \varepsilon_{t_m}$$
 (4)

Where $c(L^m) = 1 - c_1 L^m - ... - c_c L^{mc}$ and $\omega(L)$ is an aggregation operator. (4) can be estimated by OLS with. The lag order can differ across variables and can be estimated e.g. b

Nonlinear Variations of MIDAS

- Asymmetric MIDAS Different behaviour with respect to the sign of regressor
- Smooth Transition MIDAS Regime transition function (e.g. logistic)
- Markow-Switching MIDAS Stochastic regime switching.

Further Variations

- MIDAS with step functions
- Multivariate MIDAS
- Factor MIDAS



Mixed Frequency VARs

Classical Approach I

Consider an example from Foroni and Marcellino (2013), y_{tm} is quarterly GDP and x_{tm} is some related monthly indicator. Suppose that the variables follow bivariate VAR(p)

$$\phi(L_m) \begin{pmatrix} y_{t_m} - \mu_y \\ x_{t_m} - \mu_x \end{pmatrix} = u_{t_m}$$
 (5)

$$u_{t_m} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$$

Restrict $p \le 4$ and define as state space vector s_{t_m} :

$$s_{t_m} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{t_m} \\ \vdots \\ z_{t_m-4} \end{pmatrix} \quad z_{t_m} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{t_m} - \mu_y \\ x_{t_m} - \mu_x \end{pmatrix}$$

Mixed Frequency VARs

Classical Approach II

The state space representation is then given by:

$$s_{t_m} = F s_{t_m - 1} + G v_{t_m} \tag{6}$$

$$z_{t_m} = Hs_{t_m} \tag{7}$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 ... \phi_p & 0_{2 \times 2(5-p)} \\ I_8 & 0_{8 \times 2} \end{bmatrix} \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^{1/2} \\ 0_{8 \times 2} \end{bmatrix}$$

H contains the lag polynomial:

$$H(L_m) = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} L_m + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} L_m^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} L_m^3 + \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} L_m^4$$



References

Example from Czech Economy

- Foroni, C., & Marcellino, M. G. (2013). A Survey of Econometric Methods for Mixed-Frequency Data. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2268912
- Franta, M., Havrlant, D., & Rusnák, M. (2016). Forecasting Czech GDP Using Mixed-Frequency Data Models. Journal of Business Cycle Research, 12(2), 165–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41549-016-0008-z
- Götz, T., & Knetsch, T. (2017). Google Data in Bridge Equation Models for German GDP. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2995421
- QuantEcon by Tom Sargent