## **MATHEMATICS** =

# An Improvement of Convergence Rate Estimates in the Lyapunov Theorem

## I. G. Shevtsova

Presented by Academician Yu.V. Prokhorov May 14, 2010

Received May 18, 2010

**DOI:** 10.1134/S1064562410060062

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be independent random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  and satisfying the conditions

$$EX_i = 0$$
,  $DX_i = \sigma_i^2 > 0$ ,  $E|X_i|^3 = \beta_i < \infty$ ,  
 $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 = 1$ .

The Berry-Esseen inequality gives an estimate for the rate of convergence of the distribution function  $F_n$  of the normalized sum  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n$  to the standard normal distribution function  $\Phi(x)$ , which has the form

$$\Delta_n = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - \Phi(x)| \le C_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i, \tag{1}$$

where  $C_0$  is an absolute constant. It is known that this constant  $C_0$  is bounded as

$$0.4097 \approx \frac{\sqrt{10} + 3}{6\sqrt{2\pi}} \le C_0 \le 0.5606$$

(the lower bound was obtained by Esseen [6] and the upper bound, by Tyurin [4]). Our purpose in this paper is to obtain sharpened upper bounds for the constant  $C_0$ . The method which we use is such that the obtained bounds monotonically increase in n; thus, we consider the absolute case (in which the bound for the constant is uniform in n) and the case of finite n separately.

**Theorem 1.** For any  $n \ge 1$ , the constant  $C_0$  in inequality (1) is estimated as

$$C_0 \le 0.5600$$
.

**Theorem 2.** For  $1 \le n \le 10$ , the constant C(n) in the inequality

$$\Delta_n \le C(n) \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i$$

Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Moscow State University, Moscow, 119991 Russia e-mail: ishevtsova@cs.msu.su is bounded by the values given in Table 1.

The estimate for C(1) was obtained in [5] and is unimprovable. For  $n \ge 11$ , the constant C(n) is estimated by using Theorem 1 as  $\sup_{n \ge 1} C(n) \le 0.5600$ .

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are based on a method of Zolotarev improved by using Prawitz' smoothing inequality [7] and estimates for characteristic functions obtained in [2, 4]. We describe only the main ideas and state the corresponding assertions as lemmas.

We set

$$f(t) = Ee^{itS_n} = \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(t), \quad f_j(t) = Ee^{itX_j},$$

$$r(t) = \left| f(t) - e^{-t^2/2} \right|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Lemma 1** (see [7]). For all  $t_0 \in (0, 1]$  and T > 0,

$$\Delta_{n} \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t_{0}} |K(t)| \cdot r(Tt) dt + 2 \int_{t_{0}}^{1} |K(t)| \cdot |f(Tt)| dt$$

$$+ 2 \int_{0}^{t_{0}} |K(t)| - \frac{i}{2\pi t} e^{-T^{2}t^{2}/2} dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{-T^{2}t^{2}/2} dt,$$

where

Table 1

n	C(n)	n	C(n)
1	0.3704	6	0.5425
2	0.4857	7	0.5476
3	0.5111	8	0.5516
4	0.5259	9	0.5547
5	0.5356	10	0.5573

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |t|) + \frac{i}{2} \left[ (1 - |t|)\cot \pi t + \frac{\operatorname{sgn} t}{\pi} \right],$$
  
-1 \le t \le 1.

Estimates for characteristic functions are given by the following lemmas. Let  $\theta_0 \approx 3.995895$  be the unique root of the equation

$$\theta^{2} + 2\theta \sin \theta + 6(\cos \theta - 1) = 0, \quad \pi \le \theta \le 2\pi,$$

$$\kappa = \sup_{x > 0} \frac{\left|\cos x - 1 + \frac{x^{2}}{2}\right|}{x^{3}} \approx 0.09916.$$

It can be shown that the maximum is attained at the point  $x = \theta_0$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , consider the function

$$\psi(t,\varepsilon) = \begin{cases}
\frac{t^2}{2} - \kappa \varepsilon |t|^3, & \varepsilon |t| < \theta_0 \\
\frac{1 - \cos(\varepsilon t)}{\varepsilon^2}, & \theta_0 \le \varepsilon |t| \le 2\pi \\
0, & \varepsilon |t| > 2\pi.
\end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that the function  $\psi(t, \varepsilon)$  monotonically decreases in  $\varepsilon$  for each fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also introduce the notation

$$\ell_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i.$$

**Lemma 2.** For any  $n \ge 1$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(t)| \le \left[1 - \frac{2}{n}\psi(t, 2\ell_n)\right]^{n/2} \le \exp\{-\psi(t, 2\ell_n)\}.$$

The second (exponential) estimate for |f(t)| was proved in [8]; the proof of the first (power) estimate is similar.

**Lemma 3.** For any  $n \ge 1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$r(t) \leq 2e^{-t^{2}/2} \int_{0}^{|t|} \sin\left(\frac{u\ell_{n}}{4} \wedge \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

$$\times ue^{u^{2}/2} \frac{\left[1 - \frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{2} - 2\kappa\ell_{n}u^{3}\right)\right]^{n/2}}{\sqrt{1 - 2g(u\ell_{n}^{1/3} \wedge (6\kappa)^{-1})}} du,$$

$$r(t) \leq 2e^{-t^{2}/2} \int_{0}^{|t|} \sin\left(\frac{u\ell_{n}}{4} \wedge \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

 $\times u \exp\left\{2\kappa \ell_n u^3 + g(u \ell_n^{1/3} \wedge (6\kappa)^{-1})\right\} du,$ 

where  $g(u) = \frac{u^2}{2} - 2\kappa u^3$  for  $u \ge 0$ ; moreover, g(u) monotonically increases for  $0 \le u \le (6\kappa)^{-1}$ .

The proof of this lemma uses estimates obtained in [2, 3].

**Lemma 4** (see [1]). For any distribution function F with mean 0 and variance 1,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F(x) - \Phi(x)| \le \sup_{x > 0} \left( \Phi(x) - \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} \right) = 0.54093...$$

Lemma 4 allows us not to consider the domain of values  $\ell_n \ge \frac{0.541}{0.56} \approx 0.966$  in the proof of Theorem 1 and

the domains  $\ell_n \ge \frac{0.541}{C(n)}$  in the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 5** (see [9]). If  $(1 - \max_{1 \le k \le n} \sigma_k^2)^{-3/2} \ell_n \le 0.1$ ,

then

$$\Delta_n \le 0.5151 \cdot \ell_n (1 - \max_{1 \le k \le n} \sigma_k^2)^{-3/2}.$$

Since  $\sigma_k^2 \le \beta_k^{3/2} \le \ell_n^{3/2}$  for all  $0 \le k \le n$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that  $\Delta_n \le 0.5532\ell_n$  for  $\ell_n \le 0.01$ , which allows us not to consider the domain  $\ell_n \le 0.01$  in the proof of Theorem 1.

Substituting estimates for |f(t)| and |r(t)| given by Lemmas 2 and 3 into the right-hand side of Prawitz' smoothing inequality from Lemma 1, we obtain a function  $D(n, \ell, t_0, T)$  (or  $D(\ell, t_0, T)$ , if n-uniform estimates are used), which majorizes the uniform distance  $\Delta_n$  for all  $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ , T > 0, and  $\ell_n = \ell > 0$  (and

$$n \ge 1$$
). Observing that  $\ell_n \ge \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^3 \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  provided that

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2} = 1$ , we conclude that the constants C(n) and  $C_{0}$ 

can be sought in the form

$$C(n) = \max_{n^{-1/2} \le \ell \le 0.541/C(n)} \tilde{C}(n, \ell),$$

$$C_0 = \max_{0.01 \le \ell \le 0.97} \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{C}(n, \ell),$$

$$\tilde{C}(n, \ell) = \inf_{t_0, T} \frac{D(n, \ell, t_0, T)}{\ell}.$$

The monotonicity of the majorants for |f(t)| and r(t) with respect to  $\ell_n$  implies that the function  $\ell \tilde{C}(n, \ell)$  is monotonically nondecreasing with respect to  $\ell > 0$  for each  $n \ge 1$ ; therefore,

$$\tilde{C}(n,\ell) \leq \tilde{C}(n,\ell_2) \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1}, \quad \ell_1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_2, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and  $\sup_{\ell} \tilde{C}(n, \ell)$  can be estimated by using values at finitely many points.

All computations were performed in the Matlab R2006b environment. It has turned out the function  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{C}(n,\ell)$  attains its extremum value 0.55998 at  $\ell \approx$ 

Table 2

n	$\ell$	$t_0$	T
2	0.790	0.4313	3.8762
3	0.702	0.4052	4.3370
4	0.655	0.3898	4.6361
5	0.626	0.3804	4.8442
6	0.606	0.3732	4.9995
7	0.591	0.3677	5.1238
8	0.580	0.3638	5.2188
9	0.569	0.3591	5.3202
10	0.564	0.3577	5.3640

0.5085 ( $t_0 \approx 0.4203$ ,  $T \approx 5.9603$ ), which proves Theorem 1. For finite n, the extremum values of  $\ell$  and the corresponding optimum values of the parameters  $t_0$  and T are given in Table 2. The extremum values of  $\tilde{C}(n, \ell)$  do not exceed those specified above, which proves Theorem 2.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author sincerely thanks Academician Yu.V. Prokhorov for support and V.Yu. Korolev for attention.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project nos. 08-01-00563, 08-01-00567, 08-07-00152, and 09-07-12032-ofi-m), by the Ministry of Education and Science (state contract nos. P1181, P779, and P958), and by the program for support of young candidates of sciences (project no. MK-581,2010.1).

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. R. N. Bhattacharyya and R. Rao, *Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions* (New York, Wiley, 1976; Nauka, Moscow, 1982).
- 2. V. Korolev and I. Shevtsova, *An Improvement of the Berry-Esseen Inequality with Applications to Poisson and Mixed Poisson Random Sums*, Scand. Actuar. J. (2010), http://www.informaworld.com/10.1080/03461238. 2010.485370, 4 June 2010.
- 3. I. S. Tyurin, Dokl. Math. **80**, 840–843 (2009) [Dokl. Akad. Nauk **429**, 312–316 (2009)].
- 4. I. Tyurin, Usp. Mat. Nauk **65** (3(393)), 201–202 (2010).
- V. Bentkus and K. Kirsha, Litov. Mat. Sb. 29, 657–673 (1989).
- C.-G. Esseen, Skand. Aktuar. Tidsskr. 39, 160–170 (1956).
- H. Prawitz, Scand. Aktuar. Tidsskr., No. 2, 138–154 (1972).
- 8. H. Prawitz, Scand. Actuar. J., No. 1, 21–28 (1975).
- 9. H. Prawitz, Scand. Actuar. J., No. 3, 145–156 (1975).