

$$1. (a). [\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & \\ 3 & 1 & \\ 4 & -1 & \\ 5 & 1 & \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The linear system has no non-trivial solution $\Rightarrow \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3$ are independent

$$(b). [\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4, \vec{v}_5] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can find a non-zero solution $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ s.t. $[\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$

$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ and \vec{v}_4 are linearly dependent and $\vec{v}_1 - 3\vec{v}_2 + 2\vec{v}_3 + \vec{v}_4 = \vec{0}$

$$2. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 & -4 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 & 3 & 9 \\ -2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & -4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 & -4 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the maximal linearly independent subset is $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \\ -3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

3. (a). The set of all 2×2 diagonal matrices is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ since that two arbitrary 2×2 diagonal matrices ~~to~~ add each other, the product is a 2×2 diagonal matrix, and the scalar multiplication on a arbitrary 2×2 diagonal matrix, the product is still a 2×2 diagonal matrix;

(b). set of all 2×2 triangular matrices is not a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ since a lower triangular matrix add a upper triangular matrix is definitely not a triangular matrix.

(c). set of all 2×2 lower triangular matrices is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, since an arbitrary lower triangular matrix add another arbitrary lower triangular matrix is a lower triangular matrix; and scalar multiplication on an arbitrary lower triangular matrix is still a lower triangular matrix.

(d). set of all matrices s.t. $a_{12} = 1$, is not a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, since $a_{12} + a'_{12} = 2 \neq 1$; the add production of 2 arbitrary matrices in set is NOT in set.

(e). set of all matrices s.t. $b_{12} = 0$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, since add products & scalar multiplication products on arbitrary matrices in set is still matrices with $b_{12} = 0$.

(f). The set of all symmetric 2×2 matrices is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$

Assume that $A = \begin{bmatrix} x & a_1 \\ a_1 & y \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} w & b_1 \\ b_1 & z \end{bmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow A+B = \begin{bmatrix} x+w & a_1+b_1 \\ a_1+b_1 & y+z \end{bmatrix} \in \text{set of all } 2 \times 2 \text{ symmetric matrices}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x & \alpha a_1 \\ \alpha a_1 & \alpha y \end{bmatrix} \in \text{set of all } 2 \times 2 \text{ symmetric matrices}$

(g). The set of all singular 2×2 matrices is not a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$

Pick 2 singular 2×2 matrices $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{singular matrices.}$

4. (a). $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ -3 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 6 & 2 & -4 & 8 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 3 & 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3}v + \frac{2}{3} \\ 2-2v \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 + \frac{4}{3}v \\ 2-2v \\ v \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(b). $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3}x_3 - \frac{5}{3} \\ 2-2x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{3} \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + v \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ the coefficient matrix not change, and hence the only thing need to change is the start point

5. (a). $\left[\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3 \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow$ we can find non-trivial solution of this Linear System, and hence the vectors are linear independent

Further more, we can find $[\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3]^{-1}$, which means any \vec{b} in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, we can always find $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$ to guarantee $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{b} [\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3]^{-1}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Span}(\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3) = \mathbb{R}^3$

(b) Suppose that $\begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$(c). y = \begin{bmatrix} b_1, b_2, b_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -10 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6.(a). \text{ For span } \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ -2 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the vectors in span are linearly independent and $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} = \mathbb{R}^3$

The dimension of $\text{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is 3

$$(b). \text{span}\{(x-2)(x+2), x^2(x^2-2), x^6-8\} = \text{span}\{x^2-4, x^6-2x^2, x^6-8\}.$$

We can easily find that $x^6-8 = 2(x^2-4) + (x^6-2x^2)$.

The dimension of $\text{span}\{(x-2)(x+2), x^2(x^2-2), x^6-8\}$ is 2.

7.(a)ⁿ vectors in set are linearly independent \Leftrightarrow ⁿ vectors span \mathbb{R}^n (Theorem 10.13)

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{vectors are linearly independent} \\ \text{vectors span } \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{set of } n \text{ vectors linearly independent form a basis of } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (Theorem 12.7)}$

(b). From (Theorem 10.13.), we can conclude that linearly independence of ⁿ vectors set is equivalent to ⁿ vectors span \mathbb{R}^n , and therefore we can redirect the statement to 7(a).

8. Proof (1). Linear Independence

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1, v_2, v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{we can find a non-trivial solution of this linear system}$$

(2) $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ span V

$$V \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1-x_2-x_3 \end{bmatrix} \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

For any vector v in V , $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1-x_2-x_3 \end{bmatrix}$ we can always find a vector $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1-x_2-x_3 \end{bmatrix}$ s.t.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1-x_2-x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ -x_1-x_2-x_3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \text{ span } V$$

From Definition of ~~bas~~ basis we can conclude that S is a basis of V , $\dim(V)=3$

9-Proof: Suppose that space U has basis $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\}$, space V has basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$
~~we can~~ Note that $\forall \tilde{u} \in U$ can be represented by linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i
 $\forall \tilde{v} \in V$ can be represented by linear combination of v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j

$$U \cap V = \{0\}$$

$\Rightarrow \forall \tilde{u} \in U$, ~~$\exists \tilde{u} \in$~~ $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ cannot find a linear combination to present \tilde{u}

$\forall \tilde{v} \in V$, $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\}$ cannot find a linear combination to present \tilde{v}

$\Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\}$ are linearly independent

Further more, ~~suppose~~ for an arbitrary matrix A in set $(U+V)$.

A can be represented by $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 + \dots + \lambda_j u_j + \dots + \lambda_{i+j} v_j$

which means $\{u_1, \dots, u_i, v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ span $\{U+V\}$

$\Rightarrow \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ are basis of $U+V$.

$\Rightarrow \dim(U+V) = i+j = \dim(U) + \dim(V)$.

10. Proof: " \Rightarrow ": Assume that basis $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

If w is a linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p , then

$$w = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_p \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow B[w]_B = [B[u_1]_B, B[u_2]_B, \dots, B[u_p]_B] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow B[w]_B = B[[u_1]_B, [u_2]_B, \dots, [u_p]_B] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow [w]_B = [[u_1]_B, [u_2]_B, \dots, [u_p]_B] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow [w]_B \text{ is a linear combination of } [u_1]_B, \dots, [u_p]_B.$$

" \Leftarrow ". Each step above are invertible, which means $[w]_B$ is a linear combination of $[u_1]_B, \dots, [u_p]_B$ implies w is a linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p .

11. Assume that $w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 = c_3 u_3 + c_4 u_4$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -9 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = c_3 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} + c_4 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -9 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix} + c_4 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 & 3 & -3 \\ -1 & -9 & 1 & 5 \\ -3 & 6 & -8 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 & 3 & -3 \\ -1 & -9 & 1 & 5 \\ -3 & 6 & -8 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaussian Elimination}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -47 \\ & & & 121 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assign $c_4 = 121$, then $c_3 = -47 \Rightarrow w = -47 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} + 121 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 504 \\ -558 \\ 108 \end{bmatrix}$

12. (a) $u_i \in \text{span}(S) \forall i=1, \dots, n \Rightarrow \exists a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{im}$ s.t. $u_i = a_{i1}v_1 + \dots + a_{im}v_m$

$$\Rightarrow \exists t \in \text{span}(T), t = k_1 u_1 + k_2 u_2 + \dots + k_n u_n$$

$$= k_1 (a_{11}v_1 + \dots + a_{1m}v_m) + \dots + k_n (a_{n1}v_1 + \dots + a_{nm}v_m)$$

$$= (k_1 a_{11} + k_2 a_{21} + \dots + k_n a_{n1})v_1 + \dots + (k_1 a_{1m} + k_2 a_{2m} + \dots + k_n a_{nm})v_m$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(T) \in \text{span}(S)$$

(b). Suppose a vector $t \in \text{span}(T) \Rightarrow t = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n$

$\Rightarrow t = a_1(u_1 + 3u_2) + (a_2 - 3a_1)u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n$, which is a linear combination of $\{u_1 + 3u_2, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(T) \subseteq \text{span}(S)$$

Suppose there's a vector $s \in \text{span}(S) \Rightarrow s = b_1(u_1 + 3u_2) + b_2 u_2 + \dots + b_n u_n$
 ~~$b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_n u_n$~~

$\Rightarrow s = b_1 u_1 + (3b_1 + b_2)u_2 + \dots + b_n u_n$, which is a linear combination of $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(S) \subseteq \text{span}(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(S) = \text{span}(T)$$