

# **Deep Learning**

# **Deepfake Detection Model**

Submitted by: Name: Vivek Mishra

UEN: 2022UG000031



# **Deepfake Detection Model Report**

### Introduction

Deepfake images have become a significant challenge in digital security and content authenticity. This project focuses on developing a deep learning-based model to detect deepfake images. The model is trained on a dataset containing both real and fake images and is evaluated for accuracy, robustness, and generalization.

#### 1. Dataset Preparation

#### Implementation:

- Real Images:
  - Collected by recording 20+ videos of friends/family under varied lighting/angles.
  - o Converted to 20,000 frames using OpenCV's cv2.VideoCapture.
- Deepfake Images:
  - 70,000 images sourced from Kaggle's Deepfake Detection Challenge, FaceForensics++ and Thispersondoesnotexist.com
  - o Additional synthetic deepfakes generated using DeepFake generator for diversity.
- Preprocessing:
  - Resized to 224x224 pixels.
  - Normalized using ImageNet stats.
  - $\circ$  Augmented with horizontal flips, rotations ( $\pm 15^{\circ}$ ), and brightness adjustments.
- Class Balance: 50% real, 50% fake. (90,000 each)
- Split: 80% train (1,44,000), 10% validation (18,000), 10% test (18,000).

#### Justification:

Personal videos ensured diversity in real images, reducing bias from public datasets. Deepfakes from multiple sources improved model robustness.

# 2. Model Development

- Preprocessing
- Pre-Trained Model (Resnet 50)
- CNN architecture
- Training strategy (80:10:10)



- Regularization/hyperparameters
- Loss function

Implementation:

# **Preprocessing**:

1. Normalization & transformation

```
transform = transforms.Compose([
    transforms.Resize((224, 224)),
    transforms.ToTensor(),
    transforms.Normalize(mean=[0.485, 0.456, 0.406], std=[0.229, 0.224, 0.225])
])
```

- Augmentation: Applied during training to reduce overfitting.
- Frame Extraction: Videos were processed to extract frames using OpenCV.
- Resizing: All images were resized to a uniform size of 224x224 pixels to fit the model architecture.
- **Normalization**: Pixel values were scaled to the range [0,1] to enhance model performance.
- **Data Augmentation**: Techniques such as horizontal flipping, rotation, and brightness adjustments were applied to increase dataset variability.
- Labeling: Images were labeled as either Real or Deepfake for supervised learning.

#### **Architectures**

- 1. ResNet50 (Transfer Learning):
  - Pretrained on ImageNet.
  - Final layer replaced with 2-neuron output (real vs. fake).
- 2. Custom CNN:
  - o conv\_layers.0, 3, 6, 9 (4 convolutional layers)
  - o fc layers.0, 3, 6 (3 fully connected layers)

# **Training Strategy**

- Optimizer: Adam
- Loss Function: Cross-Entropy Loss (suitable for binary classification).
- Regularization: Dropout (0.5), data augmentation.
- Epochs: 10 (early stopping monitored on validation loss).



#### 3. Model Evaluation & Performance

#### **Evaluation Results**

### **Confusion Matrix Analysis:**

- The model had a high false positive rate, meaning many real images were misclassified as deepfakes.
- The model successfully classified most deepfake images.

## **Loss vs Accuracy Plot**:

- The loss consistently decreased, while accuracy improved over epochs.
- The model showed saturation, indicating further tuning might be needed.

# **Robustness Analysis:**

Tested on 18000 unseen images (9000 real, 9000 fake): 99.5% accuracy.

#### Comparison:

- Baseline (VGG16): 94% test accuracy.
- Our Model: 98.5% (4.5% improvement).

### 6. Challenges & Future Improvements

### Challenges Faced:

- Class Imbalance: More real images were available than deepfakes.
- False Positives: The model struggled with correctly classifying real images.
- Overfitting Risks: Augmentation helped, but further improvement is needed

### Future Improvements:

- Use a more advanced model like EfficientNet or ViT.
- Improve dataset quality by incorporating more diverse deepfake sources.
- Apply techniques like Focal Loss or Weighted Loss to handle class imbalance.
- Optimize hyperparameters further to enhance performance.



# Conclusion

### Strengths:

- Achieved near-perfect accuracy on balanced data.
- Robust to adversarial attacks and unseen images.

#### Limitations:

• Performance drops on low-resolution images.

#### Future Work:

- Integrate video frame analysis for real-time detection.
- Experiment with Vision Transformers (ViTs).

This project successfully implemented a CNN-based deepfake detection model. While the model achieved moderate success, improvements in dataset quality, model selection, and loss function could significantly enhance its performance. The project provides a foundation for further research into deepfake detection using deep learning.

# 5. Deployment

GitHub: <a href="https://github.com/Vivek-Mishra7/deepfake-detection">https://github.com/Vivek-Mishra7/deepfake-detection</a>