

AI Assisted Coding

Assignment 1

Name: Vivek

Hallticket:2303A52009

Task-01

Task Description

Use GitHub Copilot to generate a Python program that:

- Reverses a given string
- Accepts user input
- Implements the logic directly in the main code
- Does not use any user-defined functions

Prompt Given: # write a python code to reverse a string which should take input from user and don't use any user defined functions.



```
# Optional: Add a loop to allow multiple reversals
while True:
    choice = input("Do you want to reverse another string? (yes/no): ")
    if choice.lower() != 'yes':
        break
    a = input("Enter a string: ")
    reversed_string = ""
    for char in a:
        reversed_string = char + reversed_string
    print("Reversed string is:", reversed_string)
```

Output:

```
PS C:\Users\Vivek\OneDrive\Desktop\3-2\AI-Assisted-Coding> python -u "c:\Users\Vivek\OneDrive\Desktop\3-2\AI-Assisted-Coding\Lab_02.py"
Do you want to reverse another string? (yes/no): yes
Enter a string: Projector
Reversed string is: rotcejorP
```

Justification:

Task-02

Task Description

Examine the Copilot-generated code from Task 1 and improve it by:

- Removing unnecessary variables
- Simplifying loop or indexing logic
- Improving readability
- Use Copilot prompts like:
 - “Simplify this string reversal code”
 - “Improve readability and efficiency”

Prompt Given:

```
# Generate code by removing unnecessary variables, simplifying loops and
# indexing logic, and improving overall readability and efficiency without
# changing the output
```

Original Version Code:

```
while True:
    choice = input("Do you want to reverse another string? (yes/no): ")
    if choice.lower() != 'yes':
        break
    a = input("Enter a string: ")
    reversed_string = ""
    for char in a:
        reversed_string = char + reversed_string
    print("Reversed string is:", reversed_string)
```

Simplified version Code :

```
a = input("Enter a string: ")
reversed_string = ""
for char in a:
    reversed_string = char + reversed_string
print("Reversed string is:", reversed_string)
```

Output:

```
Enter a string: Python
Reversed string is: nohtyP
> PS C:\Users\Vivek\OneDrive\Desktop\b-2\AI-Assisted-Coding> █
```

Justification:

Task-03

Task Description

Use GitHub Copilot to generate a function-based Python program that:

- Uses a user-defined function to reverse a string
- Returns the reversed string
- Includes meaningful comments (AI-assisted)

Prompt Given:

```
# Create a Python function that reverses a given string and returns the
result. Keep the logic simple.
```

```
def reverse_string(s):
    return s[::-1]

a = input("Enter a string: ")
print("Reversed string is:", reverse_string(a))
```

Keep Undo █

Code:

```
def reverse_string(s):
    return s[::-1]

a = input("Enter a string: ")
print("Reversed string is:", reverse_string(a))
```

Output:

```
Enter a string: Python Prgm
Reversed string is: mgrp nohtyP
Enter a string: █
```

Justification:

Task 04

Task Description

Compare the Copilot-generated programs:

➤ Without functions (Task 1)

➤ With functions (Task 3)

Analyze them based on:

➤ Code clarity

➤ Reusability

➤ Debugging ease

➤ Suitability for large-scale applications

Prompt Given:

Compare the two Python programs below: one written without functions and one using user-defined functions.

Analyze and clearly explain the differences in terms of code clarity, reusability, ease of debugging,

and suitability for large-scale applications. Keep the comparison structured and easy to understand output in tabular form.

The screenshot shows a code editor interface with two tabs. The active tab displays a Python script titled "Comparison of String Reversal Approaches". The code uses a function-based approach to compare string reversal methods. It includes a table comparing "Without Functions" and "With User-Defined Functions" across various aspects like Clarity, Reusability, and Testability. The second tab, "Without Functions", is visible at the bottom.

```
25 # Comparison of String Reversal Approaches
26
27 comparison_table = """
28     """"
29     | Aspect           | Without Functions          | With User-Defined Functions |
30     | Code Clarity     | Linear, easy to follow for simple tasks | Clear intent, self-documenting through function name |
31     | Reusability       | Code duplication if used multiple times | Single definition, multiple calls reduce redundancy |
32     | Ease of Debugging | Harder to isolate bugs in larger codebases | Easier to test and debug specific functionality |
33     | Maintainability | Changes needed in multiple locations | Update logic in one place affects all usages |
34     | Testability       | Difficult to unit test isolated logic | Easy to test with different inputs systematically |
35     | Large-Scale Apps | Not suitable; leads to spaghetti code | Highly suitable; promotes modularity and scalability |
36     | Memory Efficiency | Code repeated in memory multiple times | Single definition in memory with multiple references |
37     """
38
39     print(comparison_table)
40
41     """
42
43     # Summary
44     print("\n\n Recommendation: Use user-defined functions for production code")
45     print(" Use without functions: Learning, prototyping, or very simple scripts")
```

```

# Comparison of String Reversal Approaches

comparison_table = """
| Aspect | Without Functions | With User-Defined Functions |
|---|---|---|
| Code Clarity | Linear, easy to follow for simple tasks | Clear intent, self-documenting through function name |
| Reusability | Code duplication if used multiple times | Single definition, multiple calls reduce redundancy |
| Ease of Debugging | Harder to isolate bugs in larger codebases | Easier to test and debug specific functionality |
| Maintainability | Changes needed in multiple locations | Update logic in one place affects all usages |
| Testability | Difficult to unit test isolated logic | Easy to test with different inputs systematically |
| Large-Scale Apps | Not suitable; leads to spaghetti code | Highly suitable; promotes modularity and scalability |
| Memory Efficiency | Code repeated in memory multiple times | Single definition in memory with multiple references |
|---|
"""

print(comparison_table)

# Summary
print("\n\n Recommendation: Use user-defined functions for production code")
print("✓ Use without functions: Learning, prototyping, or very simple scripts")

```

Justification :

Task-05:

Given Prompt:

Generate two Python implementations to reverse a string, one using a loop and one using slicing or built-in methods, and then compare them based on execution flow, time complexity, performance on large inputs, and when each approach should be used.

```
Generate code
∅ Add Context...

# Implementation 1: Using a loop
def reverse_with_loop(s):
    reversed_string = ""
    for char in s:
        reversed_string = char + reversed_string
    return reversed_string

# Implementation 2: Using slicing
def reverse_with_slicing(s):
    return s[::-1]

# Test both implementations
test_string = "Hello, World!"
print("Original string:", test_string)
print("Reversed (Loop):", reverse_with_loop(test_string))
print("Reversed (Slicing):", reverse_with_slicing(test_string))

# Performance comparison on large input
large_string = "a" * 100000

# Time loop approach
start = time.time()
for _ in range(100):
    reverse_with_loop(large_string)
loop_time = time.time() - start

# Time slicing approach
start = time.time()
for _ in range(100):
    reverse_with_slicing(large_string)
slicing_time = time.time() - start

print("\n" + "*60)
print("PERFORMANCE COMPARISON (100,000 character string, 100 iterations)")
print("*60)
```

Output:

```
Reversed (Loop): !dlroW ,olleH
Reversed (Slicing): !dlroW ,olleH

=====
PERFORMANCE COMPARISON (100,000 character string, 100 iterations)
=====

Loop approach: 36.9229 seconds
Slicing approach: 0.0137 seconds
Slicing is 2689.5x faster

=====
COMPARISON TABLE
=====
```

Aspect	Loop Approach	Slicing Approach
Time Complexity (string concat)	$O(n^2)$ (string immutable)	$O(n)$ (optimized)
Space Complexity	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Readability	Verbose	Concise, Pythonic
Large Inputs	Slow, inefficient	Fast, optimized
Educational Value	Great for learning	Practical, modern
When to Use	Learning/Teaching Understanding string mechanics	Production code Real-world apps Performance-critical

Justification: