

To prove:

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{2} \nabla (u \cdot u) = (\nabla u)^T u$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla (u \cdot u) \right)_j &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_i u_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ u_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + u_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right] \\ &= u_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \\ &= (\nabla u)^T_{ji} u_i \\ &= \left( (\nabla u)^T, u \right)_j \\ &= \underline{\underline{\quad}} \end{aligned}$$

(b) To prove:

$$\nabla (\phi T_v) = \phi \nabla (T_v) + (T_v) \otimes \nabla \phi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \nabla (\phi T_v) \right)_{ij} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\phi T_{ik} v_k) \\ &= \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (T_{ik} v_k) + (T_{ik} v_k) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \\ &= \left( \phi \nabla (T_v) \right)_{ij} + (T_v)_i (\nabla \phi)_j \\ &= \left( \phi \nabla (T_v) + T_v \otimes \nabla \phi \right)_{ij} \\ &= \underline{\underline{\quad}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \nabla(\phi T) = \phi \nabla T + T \otimes \nabla \phi$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla(\phi T))_{ijk} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\phi T_{ik}) \\ &= \phi \frac{\partial T_{ik}}{\partial x_j} + T_{ik} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \\ &= (\phi \nabla T)_{ijk} + T_{ik} (\nabla \phi)_j \\ &= (\phi \nabla T + T \otimes \nabla \phi)_{ijk} \end{aligned}$$

$$(d) \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times u) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times u))_{ijk} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \times u_i \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \cdot \left( \epsilon_{kij} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) \epsilon_{kij} \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right) \epsilon_{jik} \\ &= - \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right) \epsilon_{kij} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = - \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \epsilon_{kij} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times u))_{ijk} = 0$$

$$(c) \quad u \times (v \times w)$$

$$\text{Let } z = v \times w$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (u \times (v \times w))_k &= (u \times z)_k \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} u_i z_j \quad \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$z_j = (v \times w)_j = \epsilon_{lmj} v_l w_m \quad \dots (2)$$

Sub (2) in (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (u \times (v \times w))_k &= \epsilon_{ijk} u_i \epsilon_{lmj} v_l w_m \\ &= (\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmj}) u_i v_l w_m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \epsilon_{ijk} &= \epsilon_{jki} = \epsilon_{kij} \quad \text{and} \\ \epsilon_{lmj} &= \epsilon_{mj l} = \epsilon_{jml} \end{aligned}$$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmj} = \epsilon_{kij} \epsilon_{jml}$$

$$\text{Using the identity } \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{klm} = \epsilon_{ikl} \epsilon_{ljk} = -\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} + \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}$$

we have

$$\epsilon_{kij} \epsilon_{jml} = -\delta_{km} \delta_{il} + \delta_{kl} \delta_{im}$$

$$\Rightarrow (u \times (v \times w))_k = (-\delta_{km} \delta_{il} + \delta_{kl} \delta_{im}) u_i v_l w_m$$

Using the  $\delta$  as substitution operator,

$$\begin{aligned} (u \times (v \times w))_k &= -u_i v_i w_k + u_i v_k w_i \\ &= -(u \cdot v) w_k + (w \cdot u) v_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \times (v \times w) = (w \cdot u) v - (v \cdot u) w$$

2) Given square skew-symmetric matrix  $a \otimes b - b \otimes a$ .

$$\text{Let } c = a \otimes b - b \otimes a.$$

Let the axial vector required be  $d$ .

Then for an arbitrary  $u$ ,

$$cu = d \times u.$$

$$(a \otimes b - b \otimes a)u = d \times u$$

$$(a \otimes b - b \otimes a)u$$

$$= (a \otimes b)u - (b \otimes a)u.$$

$$= (b \cdot u)a - (a \cdot u)b.$$

But this is same as  $u \times (a \times b)$ . from the identity,  
(Since  $x \times (y \times z) = (y \cdot x)z + (z \cdot x)y$ )

or here

$$u \times (a \times b)$$

$$= (b \cdot u)a - (a \cdot u)b$$

$$\therefore u \times (a \times b) = d \times u.$$

$$p \times q = -q \times p, \quad p, q \text{ vectors.}$$

$$\Rightarrow -(a \times b) \times u = d \times u.$$

$$\text{or } d = -(a \times b)$$

$$=$$

$$\text{or } d = \underline{\underline{b \times a}}$$



3) ~~If~~ <sup>Given</sup> ~~prove~~:  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \rightarrow$  skew symmetric tensors.  
 $\omega_1, \omega_2 \rightarrow$  axial vectors.

To prove,  $\omega_1 \omega_2 = \omega_2 \otimes \omega_1 - (\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2) \mathbf{I}$

Take an arbitrary vector  $v$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \omega_1 \omega_2 v &= \omega_1 (\omega_2 v) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \omega_1 (\omega_2 \times v)$$

$$\text{If } \omega_2 \times v = u,$$

$$\text{then } \omega_1 (\omega_2 \times v)$$

$$= \omega_1 u$$

$$= \omega_1 \times u$$

$$= \omega_1 \times (\omega_2 \times v)$$

$=$

Using the triple cross product identity,

$$\omega_1 \times (\omega_2 \times v) = (v \cdot \omega_1) \omega_2 - (\omega_2 \cdot \omega_1) v.$$

But  $(v \cdot \omega_1) \omega_2$  is same as  $(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_1) v$ . ~~and~~  
~~(expression as same as)~~  $=$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 \omega_2 v = \omega_2 \otimes \omega_1 v - (\omega_2 \cdot \omega_1) v$$

$$\text{or } (\omega_1 \omega_2) v = (\omega_2 \otimes \omega_1 - \omega_2 \cdot \omega_1 \mathbf{I}) v$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 \omega_2 = \omega_2 \otimes \omega_1 - (\omega_2 \cdot \omega_1) \mathbf{I}.$$

↳ To prove:  $\log(\det(T)) = \text{Tr}(\log(T))$

Diagonalizing  $T$  gives

$$T = X \Lambda X^{-1}, \quad \Lambda \text{ being the diagonal matrix.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \det(T) &= \det(X) \det(\Lambda) \det(X^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\Lambda) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } \text{Tr}(\log(T)) &= \log(X \Lambda X^{-1}) & \because \Lambda \text{ has eigenvalues } \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \text{ as its elements.} \\ &= \log(\lambda_1) + \log(\lambda_2) + \log(\lambda_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \log(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^3 \log(\lambda_i)$$

$$= \log(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3), \quad \lambda_i \text{ being the eigenvalues of } T.$$

$$\text{Thus } \log(\det(T)) = \log(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)$$

$$\text{and } \text{Tr}(\log(T)) = \log(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\log(\det(T)) = \text{Tr}(\log(T))}}$$

3) To show:  $\int_V \nabla \phi dV = \int_S \phi n ds$

$$\left( \int_V \nabla \phi dV \right)_i = \int_V \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dV$$

$$= \int_V \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \delta_{ij} dV, \text{ using } \delta_{ij} \text{ as a substitution operator.}$$

$$= \int_S \phi \delta_{ij} n_j ds$$

$$= \int_S \phi n_i ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_V \nabla \phi dV = \int_S \underline{\underline{\phi n ds}}$$

$$6) \frac{d(\log(\text{trace}(A(x))))}{dx} = ?$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{d(\log(\text{trace}(A(x))))}{dx} \right)_j = \frac{d(\log(A_{ii}(x)))}{dx_j}$$

$$\left\{ \because \text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_{ii} \right\}$$

Differentiating,

$$= \frac{1}{A_{ii}(x)} \frac{dA_{ii}(x)}{dx_j}$$

$$\therefore \frac{d(\log(\text{trace}(A(x))))}{dx} = \frac{1}{\text{trace}(A(x))} \cdot \nabla(\text{trace}(A(x)))$$