































Iterative "Proof" of the Master Theorem imes Using iterative substitution, let us see if we can find a pattern: T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n) $=a(aT(n/b^2))+f(n/b))+bn$ $=a^2T(n/b^2)+af(n/b)+f(n)$ $= a^{3}T(n/b^{3}) + a^{2}f(n/b^{2}) + af(n/b) + f(n)$ $= a^{\log_b n} T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{(\log_b n)^{-1}} a^i f(n/b^i)$ $= n^{\log_b a} T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{(\log_b n)-1} a^i f(n/b^i)$ The first term is dominant Each part of the summation is equally dominant The summation is a geometric series © 2013 Goodrich, Tamassia, Goldwasser Divide-and-Conquer

Integer Multiplication



18

- imesAlgorithm: Multiply two n-bit integers I and J.
- Divide step: Split I and J into high-order and low-order bits

$$I = I_h 2^{n/2} + I_l$$
$$J = J_h 2^{n/2} + J_l$$

■ We can then define I*J by multiplying the parts and adding: $I * J = (I_h 2^{n/2} + I_I) * (J_h 2^{n/2} + J_I)$

$$=I_h J_h 2^n + I_h J_l 2^{n/2} + I_l J_h 2^{n/2} + I_l J_h$$

- So, T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n, which implies T(n) is $O(n^2)$.
- But that is no better than the algorithm we learned in grade
- © 2013 Goodrich, Tamassia, Goldwasser Divide-and-Conquer

An Improved Integer **Multiplication Algorithm** Divide step: Split I and J into high-order and low-order bits $I = I_h 2^{n/2} + I_r$ $J = J_1 2^{n/2} + J_1$ • Observe that there is a different way to multiply parts: $I * J = I_h J_h 2^n + [(I_h - I_I)(J_I - J_h) + I_h J_h + I_I J_I] 2^{n/2} + I_I J_I$ $= I_h J_h 2^n + [(I_h J_l - I_l J_l - I_h J_h + I_l J_h) + I_h J_h + I_l J_l] 2^{n/2} + I_l J_l$ $=I_hJ_h2^n+(I_hJ_I+I_IJ_h)2^{n/2}+I_IJ_I$ ■ So, T(n) = 3T(n/2) + n, which implies T(n) is $O(n^{\log_2 2})$, by the Master Theorem. Thus, T(n) is O(n^{1.585}). © 2013 Goodrich, Tamassia, Goldwasser Divide-and-Conquer