

# Exercise 12

Due date:

Name : Vivi  
Student ID : 24S153073  
Grade : \_\_\_\_\_

**Problem 1 Score:** \_\_\_\_\_. **The sphere is a smooth manifold**

Let us turn  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  into a smooth manifold. Let  $p = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and define

$$\mathcal{U}_+ = \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \setminus \{p\}, \quad \mathcal{U}_- = \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \setminus \{-p\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+ : \mathcal{U}_+ &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1}, & \phi_+(x) &= \left( \frac{x_1}{1-x_d}, \dots, \frac{x_{d-1}}{1-x_d} \right) \\ \phi_- : \mathcal{U}_- &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1}, & \phi_-(x) &= \left( \frac{x_1}{1+x_d}, \dots, \frac{x_{d-1}}{1+x_d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (1) Show that  $(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+)$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)$  are each  $(d-1)$ -dimensional charts for  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .
- (2) Show that the charts  $(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+)$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)$  are compatible.
- (3) Deduce that  $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+), (\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)\}$  is an atlas for  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .
- (4) Let  $\mathcal{A}^+$  be the maximal atlas obtained from  $\mathcal{A}$ . Show that the atlas topology associated to  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is hausdorff and second-countable. Conclude that  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is a smooth manifold.

**Solution:** (1) As  $\mathcal{U}_+$  and  $\mathcal{U}_-$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  is open, and  $\phi_+$  and  $\phi_-$  are clearly smooth, it suffices to show that  $\phi_+$  and  $\phi_-$  are bijections.

Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}_+$ , such that  $\phi_+(x) = \phi_+(y)$ . Taking the squared norm of  $\phi_+(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_+(x)\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{x_i}{1-x_d} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\|x\|^2 - x_d^2}{(1-x_d)^2} \\ &= \frac{1 - x_d^2}{(1-x_d)^2} \\ &= \frac{1+x_d}{1-x_d}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\phi_+(y)\|^2 = \frac{1+y_d}{1-y_d}.$$

Since  $\phi_+(x) = \phi_+(y)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_+(x)\|^2 &= \|\phi_+(y)\|^2 \\ \frac{1+x_d}{1-x_d} &= \frac{1+y_d}{1-y_d} \\ y_d - x_d &= x_d - y_d \\ x_d &= y_d. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $x = y$ , which implies that  $\phi_+$  is injective.

Next, let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , and define  $x_d$  as

$$x_d = \frac{\|y\|^2 - 1}{\|y\|^2 + 1} \in [-1, 1),$$

and

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) = (1 - x_d)y.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &= \|(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d)\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - x_d)y\|^2 + x_d^2 \\ &= \frac{4\|y\|^2}{(\|y\|^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{(\|y\|^2 - 1)^2}{(\|y\|^2 + 1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(\|y\|^2 + 1)^2}{(\|y\|^2 + 1)^2} \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $x \in \mathcal{U}_+$ . Therefore,  $\phi_+$  is surjective. Then  $\phi_+$  is a bijection with  $\phi_+^{-1}$  given by

$$\phi_+^{-1}(y) = \left( \frac{2y_1}{\|y\|^2 + 1}, \dots, \frac{2y_{d-1}}{\|y\|^2 + 1}, \frac{\|y\|^2 - 1}{\|y\|^2 + 1} \right).$$

Same argument can be applied to  $\phi_-$ , and we can show that  $\phi_-$  is a bijection with  $\phi_-^{-1}$  given by

$$\phi_-^{-1}(y) = \left( \frac{2y_1}{\|y\|^2 + 1}, \dots, \frac{2y_{d-1}}{\|y\|^2 + 1}, \frac{1 - \|y\|^2}{\|y\|^2 + 1} \right).$$

Therefore,  $(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+)$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)$  are each  $(d-1)$ -dimensional charts for  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .

- (2) Note that  $\phi_+(\mathcal{U}_+ \cap \mathcal{U}_-) = \phi_-(\mathcal{U}_+ \cap \mathcal{U}_-) = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \setminus \{0\}$  which is open in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ . Moreover, for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_+ \circ \phi_-^{-1}(y) &= \phi_+ \left( \frac{2y_1}{\|y\|^2 + 1}, \dots, \frac{2y_{d-1}}{\|y\|^2 + 1}, \frac{1 - \|y\|^2}{\|y\|^2 + 1} \right) \\
&= \left( \frac{y_1}{\|y\|^2}, \dots, \frac{y_{d-1}}{\|y\|^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \setminus \{0\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $\phi_- \circ \phi_+^{-1}(y) = \frac{y}{\|y\|^2} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \setminus \{0\})$ .

Therefore, the charts  $(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+)$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)$  are compatible.

- (3) Since  $(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+)$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)$  are compatible  $(d-1)$ -dimensional charts for  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}_+ \cup \mathcal{U}_- = \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mathcal{U}_+, \phi_+), (\mathcal{U}_-, \phi_-)\}$  is an atlas for  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .

(4) □

### Problem 2 Score: \_\_\_\_\_. Smooth functions on the sphere

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the atlas for  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  from the previous exercise.

- (1) Let  $f : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function as seen through the charts of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Show that  $f$  has a smooth extension  $\bar{f}$  to a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

If we make additional assumptions, then the intersection of two g-convex sets is g-convex. A subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is geodesically strongly convex if for any two points  $x, y \in S$ , among all geodesics segments  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  with  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = y$ , exactly one of them is minimizing and this minimizing geodesic lies entirely in  $S$ .

- (2) Let  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be two geodesically strongly convex sets. Show that  $S_1 \cap S_2$  is geodesically strongly convex.

**Solution:** (1) Since  $f$  is smooth, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , there exists a chart  $(\mathcal{U}, \phi)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $f \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth at  $\phi(x)$ .

- (2) Let  $x, y \in S_1 \cap S_2$  and  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2$  be the unique geodesic segment with  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = y$ . Since  $S_1, S_2$  are geodesically strongly convex,  $\gamma(t) \in S_1$  and  $\gamma(t) \in S_2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $\gamma(t) \in S_1 \cap S_2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , which implies that  $S_1 \cap S_2$  is geodesically strongly convex. □

### Problem 3 Score: \_\_\_\_\_. Fréchet mean on hemisphere

Write some code to generate random points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on a hemisphere

$$\mathbb{S}_+^{d-1} := \{x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x^{(d)} > 0, \|x\| = 1\}$$

near the north pole, and implement the cost function for the intrinsic averaging, that is

$$f : \mathbb{S}_+^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{dist}(x, x_i)^2.$$

A global minimizer of  $f$  is called the Fréchet mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

Recall that the squared distance between two points  $x, y \in \mathbb{S}_+^{d-1}$  is given by

$$\text{dist}(x, y)^2 = \arccos^2(x^\top y),$$

and the Riemannian gradient of the squared distance is given by

$$\text{grad} \left( x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(x, y) \right) (x) = \frac{\text{dist}(x, y)}{\sin(\text{dist}(x, y))} (\cos(\text{dist}(x, y))x - y).$$

**Problem 4 Score: \_\_\_\_\_ . Robust covariance estimation**

Consider  $n$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  sampled independently and identically distributed from a distribution  $P$  with zero mean. We want to estimate the covariance matrix of  $P$ . If  $P$  is a zero-mean normal distribution with covariance  $\Sigma_{true} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , then the maximum likelihood estimation amounts to minimizing the negative log-likelihood

$$\Sigma \mapsto \log(\det \Sigma) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^\top \Sigma^{-1} x_j$$

over the  $d \times d$  positive definite matrices

$$\mathcal{P}_d = \{\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \Sigma = \Sigma^\top, \Sigma \succ 0\}.$$

The sample covariance matrix  $\Sigma^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j x_j^\top$  is a minimizer of this negative log-likelihood.

The sample covariance is not robust to outliers. So if  $P$  is not normal but some heavy-tailed distribution, then the sample covariance is not suitable. We can obtain a robust estimation of the covariance by minimizing the function

$$f : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(\Sigma) = \log(\det \Sigma) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n d \log(x_j^\top \Sigma^{-1} x_j),$$

which places less emphasis on outliers (points far from the mean). A minimizer of this function is called "Tyler's M-estimator of scatter". It does not have a closed form solution, and the cost function  $f$  is non-convex in the Euclidean sense. However, it is g-convex in an appropriate metric, and so a minimizer can be found efficiently (e.g., with RGD).

We consider  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}_d$  as an open subset of the symmetric  $d \times d$  matrices, and endow it with the Fisher-Rao metric

$$\langle \dot{\Sigma}_1, \dot{\Sigma}_2 \rangle_\Sigma = \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma}_1 \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma}_2),$$

for  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}_d$  and  $\dot{\Sigma}_1, \dot{\Sigma}_2 \in T_\Sigma \mathcal{P}_d = \{\dot{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \dot{\Sigma} = \dot{\Sigma}^\top\}$ . In this Riemannian metric,  $\mathcal{P}_d$  is complete and geodesically strongly convex. For every  $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_d$ , there is a unique geodesic segment between them, given by

$$\gamma(t) = \Sigma_0^{1/2} (\Sigma_0^{-1/2} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_0^{-1/2})^t \Sigma_0^{1/2}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

This geodesic segment is minimizing. Alternatively, for every  $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_d$ , there exists an invertible  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and a diagonal  $D \in \mathcal{P}_d$  such that  $\Sigma_0 = VV^\top, \Sigma_1 = VDV^\top$ . In this case,

$$\gamma(t) = VD^t V^\top, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

- (1) Show that the function  $\Sigma \mapsto \log(\det \Sigma)$  is g-convex.
- (2) Show that if  $g : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is g-convex, then the function  $h(\Sigma) = g(\Sigma^{-1})$  is g-convex.
- (3) Show that if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then the function  $\Sigma \mapsto \log(x^\top \Sigma x)$  is g-convex.
- (4) Conclude that the function  $f$  is g-convex.

**Solution:** (1) Let  $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_d$ , then the unique geodesic segment between  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  is given by

$$\gamma(t) = \Sigma_0^{1/2} (\Sigma_0^{-1/2} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_0^{-1/2})^t \Sigma_0^{1/2}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

For all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\det \gamma(t)) &= \log(\det(\Sigma_0^{1/2} (\Sigma_0^{-1/2} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_0^{-1/2})^t \Sigma_0^{1/2})) \\ &= \log \left( \det(\Sigma_0^{1/2}) \det(\Sigma_0^{-1/2} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_0^{-1/2})^t \det(\Sigma_0^{1/2}) \right) \\ &= \log(\det(\Sigma_0)) + t \log(\det(\Sigma_0^{-1/2} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_0^{-1/2})) \\ &= \log(\det(\Sigma_0)) + t \log \left( \det(\Sigma_0^{-1/2}) \det(\Sigma_1) \det(\Sigma_0^{-1/2}) \right) \\ &= \log(\det(\Sigma_0)) + t \log(\det(\Sigma_1)) - t \log(\det(\Sigma_0)) \\ &= (1 - t) \log(\det(\Sigma_0)) + t \log(\det(\Sigma_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\log(\det \Sigma)$  is g-convex. Moreover, it's g-affine.

(2) Let  $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}_d$ , then the unique geodesic segment between  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  is given by

$$\gamma(t) = \Sigma_0^{1/2} (\Sigma_0^{-1/2} \Sigma_1 \Sigma_0^{-1/2})^t \Sigma_0^{1/2}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Let  $g : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be g-convex, then for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma(t)) &= g(\gamma(t)^{-1}) \\ &\leq (1 - t)g(\Sigma_0^{-1}) + tg(\Sigma_1^{-1}) \\ &= (1 - t)h(\Sigma_0) + th(\Sigma_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $h$  is g-convex.

(3) Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  and  $F : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $F(\Sigma) = \log(x^\top \Sigma x)$ . Let  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}_d$  and  $\dot{\Sigma} \in T_\Sigma \mathcal{P}_d$ , then the differential of  $F$  at  $\Sigma$  in the direction  $\dot{\Sigma}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} DF(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \log(x^\top (\Sigma + t\dot{\Sigma})x) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= (x^\top \Sigma x)^{-1} x^\top \dot{\Sigma} x \\ &= (x^\top \Sigma x)^{-1} \text{Tr}(\dot{\Sigma} x x^\top). \end{aligned}$$

Then the Euclidean gradient of  $F$  at  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$\text{grad}_\mathcal{E} F(\Sigma) = \frac{x x^\top}{x^\top \Sigma x}.$$

The Euclidean Hessian of  $F$  at  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_\mathcal{E} F(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}) &= D \text{grad}_\mathcal{E} F(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}) \\ &= D \left( \frac{x x^\top}{x^\top \Sigma x} \right) (\dot{\Sigma}) \\ &= -\frac{x x^\top x^\top \dot{\Sigma} x}{(x^\top \Sigma x)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Riemannian Hessian of  $F$  at  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_\mathcal{M} F(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}) &= \Sigma \text{Hess}_\mathcal{E} F(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}) \Sigma + \frac{\dot{\Sigma} \text{grad}_\mathcal{E} F(\Sigma) \Sigma + \Sigma \text{grad}_\mathcal{E} F(\Sigma) \dot{\Sigma}}{2} \\ &= -\frac{\Sigma x x^\top x^\top \dot{\Sigma} x \Sigma}{(x^\top \Sigma x)^2} + \frac{\dot{\Sigma} x x^\top \Sigma + \Sigma x x^\top \dot{\Sigma}}{2 x^\top \Sigma x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 x^\top \Sigma x} (\dot{\Sigma} x x^\top \Sigma + \Sigma x x^\top \dot{\Sigma} - \frac{2 \Sigma x x^\top x^\top \dot{\Sigma} x \Sigma}{x^\top \Sigma x}). \end{aligned}$$

To show the Riemannian Hessian is positive semidefinite,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \text{Hess}_{\mathcal{M}} F(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}), \dot{\Sigma} \rangle_{\Sigma} &= \text{Tr} \left( \Sigma^{-1} \text{Hess}_{\mathcal{M}} F(\Sigma)(\dot{\Sigma}) \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x^{\top} \Sigma x} \text{Tr} \left( \Sigma^{-1} (\dot{\Sigma} x x^{\top} \Sigma + \Sigma x x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} - \frac{2 \Sigma x x^{\top} x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} x \Sigma}{x^{\top} \Sigma x}) \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2x^{\top} \Sigma x} \text{Tr} \left( \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma} x x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} + x x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma} - \frac{2 x x^{\top} x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} x \dot{\Sigma}}{x^{\top} \Sigma x} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{x^{\top} \Sigma x} \left( x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma} x - \frac{(x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} x)^2}{x^{\top} \Sigma x} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(x^{\top} \Sigma x)^2} \left[ (x^{\top} \Sigma x)(x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1} \dot{\Sigma} x) - (x^{\top} \dot{\Sigma} x)^2 \right] \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $F(\Sigma) = \log(x^{\top} \Sigma x)$  is g-convex.

- (4) As shown in (1), (2), and (3), the functions  $\log(\det \Sigma)$ ,  $\log(\det \Sigma^{-1})$ , and  $\log(x^{\top} \Sigma x)$  are g-convex. Therefore, the function  $f$  is g-convex as a non-negative combination of g-convex functions. □