Selectional restrictions

Introduction:

Selectional restrictions are semantic constraints imposed by verbs (or predicates) on the kinds of arguments they can logically take. In other words, they describe what kinds of subjects and objects are *appropriate or compatible* with a given verb, based on the **meaning** of the verb and the noun phrases involved.

Definition:

A **selectional restriction** is a rule that limits what kinds of noun phrases can logically serve as arguments of a predicate, usually based on **semantic categories** (like animate/inanimate, human/non-human, abstract/concrete).

Example:

- **Correct:**
  The child drank milk.
  (drink expects a liquid as its object)

- **Violation:**
  The child drank rocks.
  (rocks are not a plausible object for drink, violating selectional restriction)

Purpose of Selectional Restrictions:

- To ensure semantic compatibility between verbs and their arguments.
- To help machines detect anomalies or implausible sentences.
- To improve natural language understanding in NLP systems.
- To support Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD) by filtering incompatible senses.

Types of Selectional Restrictions:

Type	Description	Example
Subject Constraints	Restriction on who/what can perform an action.	*Only animate beings can "speak" or "run". "The rock spoke"* violates the subject restriction.

Object Constraints	Restriction on what can be affected by an action.	*“Eat” requires something edible.* *“He ate a screwdriver”* violates the object restriction.
Instrument Constraints	What tools are logically usable.	*“He cut the paper with a pencil”* (anomalous — pencil is not a cutting tool).
Location Constraints	Logical places for actions.	*“She swam in the desert”* violates the selectional restriction (deserts don’t have water).
Temporal Constraints	Time compatibility.	*“She graduated before she was born.”* (chronological contradiction)

Importance in NLP:

1. **Improves Semantic Understanding**:
   Helps NLP systems make sense of *who does what to whom* logically.

2. **Detects Anomalies**:
   Useful in grammar checkers, chatbots, or AI assistants to flag *nonsensical input*.

3. **Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)**:
   Resolves ambiguity.
   E.g.,

   - *"The bat flew"* (bat = animal)

   - *"He hit the ball with a bat"* (bat = sports equipment)
     The correct sense is chosen based on surrounding words.

4. **Machine Translation**:
   Helps translate only semantically valid constructions.

5. **Dialogue Systems and Chatbots**:
   Avoids responding to illogical requests:

   - *“Make the rock run.”*

   - Bot can respond: “I can’t make a rock run.”

Application in Tools:

- **WordNet + VerbNet**:
  Provide **semantic classes** for verbs and nouns.
  E.g., VerbNet specifies what type of subject/object a verb takes.

- **Semantic Role Labeling (SRL)** + **Selectional Restrictions**:
  Helps refine argument roles with appropriate constraints.

- **AI Assistants (e.g., Siri, Google Assistant)**:
  Use selectional restrictions to understand or reject impossible commands.

Limitations:

- **Metaphoric Language**:
  "The computer drank all the power."
  Though illogical, such usage is common and acceptable in metaphor.

- **Context Sensitivity**:
  Constraints may change with context:
  "He drank in the praise." — praise is not a liquid, but accepted figuratively.

Conclusion:

Selectional restrictions play a **vital role in ensuring semantic coherence** in natural language. They define what verb-noun combinations make sense, helping machines **understand, disambiguate, translate, and respond** appropriately. While they can be challenged by metaphor or poetic language, their foundational role in NLP tasks remains crucial.

Real-Time Example: AI Assistant Conversation

User: *"Can you eat a computer?"*

How NLP Handles This Using Selectional Restrictions:

1. **Verb:** *eat*
    - Expected object type: **edible**, animate/inanimate food items.
2. **Object:** *computer*

- Semantic type: **inanimate, non-edible, electronic device**

3. **Violation:**
    - The verb *"eat"* selects for edible objects.
    - *"Computer"* violates this selectional restriction.
4. **Chatbot Response (based on detection):**

    "I don't think a computer is something you can eat!"

Purpose:
The selectional restriction helps the system recognize that the action is **semantically invalid**, even though it is **syntactically correct**.

More Real-Time Examples:

Example 1: Virtual Assistant – Travel Booking

User: *"Book a flight from pizza to London."*

- Verb: *book (a flight)*
- Source: *pizza* → invalid (violates location constraint)
- Goal: *London* → valid
- **Violation:** *pizza* is not a valid departure city.

Bot Reply:

"Hmm, I couldn't recognize 'pizza' as a location. Did you mean a city?"

Example 2: Healthcare Chatbot

User: *"I injected headache into my arm."*

- Verb: *inject* expects a **liquid/drug** as theme.
- Object: *headache* → abstract noun (not injectable)
- **Violation:** Theme is semantically invalid.

Bot Reply:

"It sounds like something's off. Could you clarify what was injected?"

-

Why Selectional Restrictions Can Fail or Be “Not Correct”

1. Language is Flexible and Creative

Natural language often **violates selectional restrictions intentionally**, for **figurative**, **metaphorical**, or **poetic** purposes.

Example:

- *“The computer drank all the power.”*
    - **"drink"** expects a liquid object.
    - **"power"** is abstract — not drinkable.
    - But the sentence is metaphorical and meaningful in context.

Conclusion: Even though selectional restriction is violated, **the sentence is still valid in figurative usage.**

2. Context Changes Meaning

Words change their role depending on **context**.

Example:

- *“The car ate up the miles.”*
    - Literally: cars don't eat.
    - Figuratively: means the car traveled fast and efficiently.

So selectional restriction systems may falsely flag such creative uses as **errors**, even though **humans understand them easily**.

3. Ambiguity in Word Senses

Words have **multiple senses**, and a verb's restriction applies differently based on meaning.

Example:

- *"He injected some energy into the team."*
    - "Inject" usually needs a liquid and a body.
    - Here, "energy" and "team" are **metaphors**.
    - The literal restriction is violated, but it's **figuratively acceptable**.

4. World Knowledge and Pragmatics Are Needed

Selectional restrictions don't account for **real-world knowledge**.

Example:

- *"The robot cooked dinner."*
    - Traditionally, "cook" needs a human agent.
    - But in today's world, a robot could cook — the restriction should **adapt to real-world advances**.

So hard-coded restrictions (like "only humans can cook") may become **outdated**.

5. Cultural and Domain Variation

Some selectional restrictions differ by **culture, context, or field**.

Example:

- *"She programmed the bacteria to glow."*
    - Sounds wrong if we think bacteria can't be programmed.
    - In synthetic biology, it makes perfect sense.

Summary: When Selectional Restrictions Are "Not Correct"

Reason	Explanation
Metaphorical Use	Many valid expressions violate literal constraints.
Context Dependence	Meaning shifts depending on context, overriding rules.
Ambiguity	Word senses vary; one sense might violate a rule, others don't.
Real-World Knowledge	Language changes with technology and culture.
Rigid Systems	Rule-based selection restrictions can’t handle language creativity.