

Cohortney: summary of peer reviews

Taras Khakhulin, Mikhail Pautov

May 2021

There are several pull request along with reviews made to our project so far. Some of them (i.e., <https://github.com/adasegroup/cohortney/pull/9/files>) propose minor, to be factual, stylistic or syntactical changes in the code (extra blank lines, etc.). Some changes among proposed were made during stylistic refactoring of the code.

In contrast, the other one (namely, <https://github.com/adasegroup/cohortney/pull/5>) is descriptive and together with its pdf version (clickable) was helpful for us to fix some problems regarding experiments:

- difficulties with reproducibility of the code because of bizzare device chosen as the one to execute code – now it is configurable from the corresponding config;
- in general, the pipeline to run experiments was not that suitable for the methods in use, so we decided to make it more method-dependent but easier to execute;
- additional instructions on how to run the code and reproduce results were added after the commentary that it was unclear from the project description;
- independent loader for the datasets was implemented to borrow them by the url after the commentary about initial difficulties with finding the data;
- the code structure of the project is more transparent when it was before to help not only with reproduction of experiments but with general understanding of the project.