peer review 3: Cohortney on OMS

Taras Khakhulin, Mikhail Pautov

May 24, 2021

Review

1. **The final implementation and reprodicibility of results presented in the GitHub:** the code presenting in the project reproduces the final results. Though, the process of dataset preprocessing / downloading as manual and a little bit uncomfortable.

2. **Describe produced results. Are they reasonable?** There are voice samples of different speakers in the repository as the results, what justifies the title and the problem in the project. The method producing audio samples is able to catch the sex of speaker and some tonal features of his (I tested on my voice, obviously). Though my voice is not special, I personally like what I've got. In order to define how reasonable the results are it is more convenient to pick a group of people and test different voices on similar text samples.

3. **Would you recommend using this package?** Personally, I see several applications regarding industrial and personal usage of the toolbox:

   - It makes the job of people who dub / voice the in underground / sub trains / etc. – it is simpler to have only text samples and one / few samples of voice of given speaker; when you add new text samples, it is not required neither to ask the speaker to voice them neither to retrain the model.

   - One of possible applications – to help people with different verbal / reading impairments: people with strong dyslexia, for example, may use the package as a communication assistant.

   - The field of humour is also important in today's life, and the toolbox may help with producing of different telephone / audio pranks / audiofakes [:)]