

# On the nilpotence of the hypergeometric equation

By

William MESSING

(Communicated by Professor Nagata, December 23, 1971)

## Introduction

Let  $T$  be an arbitrary scheme,  $S$  a smooth  $T$ -scheme and  $\mathcal{M}$  a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. A  $T$ -connection on  $\mathcal{M}$  is by definition a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules:

$$\nabla: \mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{M})$$

which satisfies the “product formula”:

$$\nabla(D)(sm) = s\nabla(D)(m) + D(s)m$$

for sections  $D$  of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ ,  $s$  of  $\mathcal{O}_S$  and  $m$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  over an open subset  $U \subseteq S$ . A section  $m$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $U$  is called horizontal if  $\nabla(D)(m) = 0$  for all  $D$ 's, derivations on open subsets of  $U$ . Both  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{M})$  are  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -Lie-algebras via the commutator bracket. The connection is called integrable if it is a Lie-algebra homomorphism. The obstruction to a connection being integrable is the curvature homomorphism  $K: \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{M})$  defined by  $K(D \wedge D') = [\nabla(D), \nabla(D')] - \nabla([D, D'])$ . Henceforth we will deal only with integrable connections.

A horizontal morphism  $\phi$  between modules with connection

$\phi: (\mathcal{M}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}', \nabla')$  is by definition an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear mapping satisfying  $\phi \circ \nabla(D) = \nabla'(D) \circ \phi$ . Taking as objects quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules with  $T$ -connections  $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla)$  and as morphisms the horizontal morphisms we obtain an abelian category. This category has a partially defined internal Hom obtained by defining  $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{M}, \nabla), (\mathcal{M}', \nabla'))$  as being  $(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'), \bar{\nabla})$  where  $\bar{\nabla}(D)(\phi) = \nabla'(D) \circ \phi - \phi \circ \nabla(D)$ . In particular  $\check{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_S)$  is the underlying module of  $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{M}, \nabla), (\mathcal{O}_S, \text{standard}))$  and hence has a “dual” connection  $\check{\nabla}$  which satisfies the “product formula”

$$\langle \check{\nabla}(D)(\phi), m \rangle + \langle \phi, \nabla(D)(m) \rangle = D \langle \phi, m \rangle$$

where  $\phi$  is a local section of  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $m$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $D$  of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ . The category also has an internal tensor product  $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla) \otimes (\mathcal{M}', \nabla')$  which by definition is  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{M}', \bar{\nabla})$  where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is defined by  $\bar{\nabla}(D)(m \otimes m') = \nabla(D)(m) \otimes m' + m \otimes \nabla'(D)(m')$ . As a result, we can define “induced” connections on the exterior powers of a module with connection and hence can speak of the determinant  $\det((\mathcal{M}, \nabla))$  provided  $\mathcal{M}$  is locally free of constant (finite) rank.

If  $T$  is a scheme of characteristic  $p$  then both  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and  $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{M})$  are  $p$ - $\mathcal{O}_T$ -Lie-algebras (by  $D \mapsto D^p$ ,  $\phi \mapsto \phi^p$ ). We can then ask if  $\nabla$  is a homomorphism of  $p$ -Lie-algebras, i.e., if  $\nabla(D^p) = (\nabla(D))^p$ . The “ $p$ -curvature” (introduced by Deligne) is the mapping  $\Psi: \mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{M})$  defined by  $\Psi(D) = (\nabla(D))^p - \nabla^p(D^p)$ . It is known, [3], that the  $p$ -curvature  $\Psi$  has the following properties:

- 1)  $\Psi$  is additive
- 2)  $\Psi$  is  $p$ -linear i.e.  $\Psi(sD) = s^p \Psi(D)$
- 3) for each  $D$ , a section of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  over  $U$ ,  $\Psi(D)$  is a horizontal endomorphism of  $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla)|_U$  (in particular  $\Psi(D)$  is  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -linear).

If for every section  $D$  of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  (over an open set  $U$ ),  $\Psi(D)$  is a nilpotent endomorphism, then we say the connection is nilpotent (a notion introduced by Berthelot [2], in the context of crystalline cohomology).

We observe that there is defined a notion of “inverse image” for modules with connection. Namely, if  $T, S, (\mathcal{M}, \nabla)$  are given as above and if we are given a base change  $T' \rightarrow T$ , then there is associated with  $\nabla$  a  $T'$ -connection,  $\nabla'$ , on the  $S' = S \times_T T'$  module  $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_{S'}$ .

Locally we can give an explicit description of  $\nabla'$ :

If we choose affine open sets  $\text{Spec}(A), \text{Spec}(A'), \text{Spec}(B)$  of  $T$  (resp.  $T'$ , resp.  $S$ ) so as to obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \rightarrow & B' = B \otimes_A A' \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \rightarrow & A' \end{array}$$

and if  $M$  is a  $B$ -module with connection  $\nabla: \text{Der}_A(B, B) \rightarrow \text{End}_A(M)$  then the connection  $\nabla'$  on the module  $M' = M \otimes_A A'$  is defined as the canonical mapping  $\nabla \otimes 1: \text{Der}_{A'}(B', B') = \text{Der}_A(B, B) \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \text{End}_A(M) \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \text{End}_{A'}(M')$ .

Now let  $T = \text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a ring of finite type over  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $S = \text{Spec}(B)$  when  $B$  is a smooth  $A$ -algebra. If  $M$  is an  $S$ -module with connection, we say  $M$  is *globally nilpotent* if for each closed point  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $T$  the induced connection on the module  $M \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})$  is nilpotent.

Let us recall that if  $X$  is a smooth  $S$ -scheme  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ , then the De-Rham cohomology  $\mathcal{H}_{D.R.}(X/S) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} R\pi_*(\Omega'_{X/S})$  has a “canonical” integrable connection: the Gauss-Manin connection [3, 4]. If  $T$  is of characteristic  $p$ , Katz and Berthelot [2, 3] proved that the Gauss-Manin connection is nilpotent. Using this result Katz [3], gave a beautiful arithmetic proof of the local monodromy theorem.

Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $n$  be a common denominator,  $T = \text{Spec}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]\right)$ ,  $S = \text{Spec}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\lambda, \frac{1}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)}\right]\right)$  where  $\lambda$  is an indeterminate. Associated to the hypergeometric differential equation

$$\lambda(1-\lambda)\frac{d^2u}{d\lambda^2} + [c - (a+b+1)\lambda]\frac{du}{d\lambda} - abu = 0$$

is an  $S$ -module,  $M_{a,b,c}$ , with integrable  $T$ -connection: It is the free rank 2 module with base  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) (e_1) \\ \nabla \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) (e_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{ab}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} & \frac{(a+b+1)\lambda-c}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We refer to  $M_{a,b,c}$  as the hypergeometric module.

Katz has conjectured that the hypergeometric module,  $M_{a,b,c}$ , is globally nilpotent. In the first section we prove that for a "large class" of  $\{a,b,c\}$   $M_{a,b,c}$  occurs as a direct factor (as module with connection) in the De Rham cohomology of a suitable family of curves. As a corollary, each of these hypergeometric modules reduces (for almost all primes  $p$ ) modulo  $p$  to a nilpotent module. In the second section we prove the conjecture. The proof is based on the observation that in characteristic  $p$ , any hypergeometric equation has a nontrivial polynomial solution.

I wish to thank N. Katz for his help and encouragement during both the research and preparation of the manuscript. Also, several discussions with Professor B. Dwork proved invaluable.

### Relation to De Rham Cohomology

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $\zeta_n$  a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of 1 and  $\lambda$  an indeterminate. Assume  $a, b, c$  are positive integers such that  $(n, a) = (n, b) = (n, c) = (n, a+b+c) = 1$  and  $n > a+b+c$ . Let  $X$  be the curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \lambda)$  which is the normalization of the projective closure of the affine curve  $y^n = x^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^c$ . The group  $\mu_n$  of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of 1 operates on  $X$ . Explicitly  $\mu_n$  operates on the function field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \lambda)(x, y)$  via  $\sigma(x, y) = (x, \sigma y)$  where  $\sigma \in \mu_n$  because  $(\sigma y)^n = \sigma^n y^n = y^n = x^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^c$ . Thus  $\mu_n$  operates by functoriality on  $H_{D,R}(X)$ , the De Rham cohomology of  $X$ . Since we are in characteristic zero we may calculate  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$  as the factor space of differentials of

the second kind modulo exact differentials. If we extend the action of  $\mu_n$  to  $\Omega_X^{rat}$  by defining  $\sigma \cdot (udx) = (\sigma \cdot u)dx$ , then this mapping preserves both differentials of the second kind and exact differentials, and hence by passage to the quotient gives the action of  $\mu_n$  on  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$ .

Let us explicitly construct the Gauss-Manin connection on  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$ . Let  $D$  denote the unique derivation of the function field of  $X$  which extends the action of  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}$  on  $Q(\zeta_n, \lambda)$  and kills  $x$ . Extend  $D$  to a derivation of  $\Omega_X^{rat}$  by defining  $D(fdg) = D(f) \cdot dg + fD(Dg)$ . Under this derivation the differentials of the second kind and exact differentials are stable. The induced action of  $D$  on  $H_{D,R}^1(X) = \text{d.s.k./exact}$  is  $\nabla\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$ .

We observe that for  $\sigma \in \mu_n$   $D \circ \sigma - \sigma \circ D$  is a derivation of the function field of  $X$ . Since it kills  $\lambda$  and  $x$ , it is zero. This means that  $\mu_n$  actually operates via horizontal automorphisms on  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$ . Let us denote by  $\chi$  the inverse of the principal character of  $\mu_n$  and by  $H_{D,R}^1(X)^\chi$  the sub-module consisting of elements which transform according to  $\chi$ .

**Proposition** *The module  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}}$  is isomorphic (as module with connection) to  $H_{D,R}^1(X)^\chi$ , and hence is a direct factor of  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$ .*

*Proof:* Consider  $X$  as lying over  $\mathbf{P}^1$  via the morphism induced by the inclusion of function fields  $Q(\zeta_n, \lambda)(x) \rightarrow Q(\zeta_n, \lambda)(x, y)$ . The assumptions made on the four integers  $n, a, b, c$  imply that lying over each of the four points  $0, 1, \lambda, \infty$  of  $\mathbf{P}^1$  there is exactly one point of  $X$  (denoted respectively  $p_0, p_1, p_\lambda, p_\infty$ ). We have  $\text{ord}_{p_0}(x) = n, \text{ord}_{p_0}(y) = a$ ;  $\text{ord}_{p_1}(x) = n, \text{ord}_{p_1}(y) = b$ ;  $\text{ord}_{p_\lambda}(x) = n, \text{ord}_{p_\lambda}(y) = c$ ;  $\text{ord}_{p_\infty}(x) = -n, \text{ord}_{p_\infty}(y) = -(a+b+c)$ . This implies that both  $\frac{dx}{y}$  and  $\frac{xdx}{y}$  have poles only at  $p_\infty$  and hence are differentials of the second kind (because the sum of the residues of any differential is zero). Let  $\omega_1$

and  $\omega_2$  denote the classes of  $\frac{dx}{y}$  and  $\frac{x dx}{y}$  in  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$ .

The proof breaks up into three parts;

- 1) We show  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  span  $H_{D,R}^1(X)^\chi$
- 2) We define a surjective horizontal homomorphism

$$M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}} \rightarrow H_{D,R}^1(X)^\chi$$

- 3) We prove this horizontal morphism is injective.

1) Represent  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$  as a factor space of the space of differentials having poles only at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$  and of some bounded order  $\leq N$  (by Riemann-Roch Theorem this is possible). Then  $\mu_n$  operates on this space in a manner compatible with its action on  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$ . Both this space of differentials and  $H_{D,R}^1(X)$  decompose into direct sums where the summands are the spaces of differentials (resp. cohomology classes) which transform according to a given character of  $\mu_n$ . Thus any cohomology class which transforms according to  $\chi$  is represented by a differential, regular except at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ , which transforms according to  $\chi$ .

Since  $\text{Spec } Q(\zeta_n, \lambda) \left[ x, y, \frac{1}{y} \right]$  (where  $y^n = x^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^c$ ) is non-singular any differential regular except at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$  can be written  $\frac{R(x, y)}{y^{\text{some power}}} dx$ , where  $R(x, y) \in (\zeta_n, \lambda)[x, y]$ . By the division algorithm we can write it as  $\left( R_0(x) + \frac{R_1(x)}{y} + \dots + \frac{R_{n-1}(x)}{y^{n-1}} \right) dx$  where the  $R_i \in Q(\zeta_n, \lambda)(x)$ . It can transform according to  $\chi$  if and only if it is  $\frac{R_1(x)}{y} dx$ . Because this differential is regular except at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ ,  $R_1(x)$  must be a polynomial. To conclude the first part, it remains to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma:** *The differentials  $x^l \frac{dx}{y}$  ( $l \geq 2$ ) are linearly dependent on  $\frac{dx}{y}$  and  $\frac{x dx}{y}$  modulo exact differentials.*

*Proof:* (By induction on  $l$ ). We have

$$d \left( \frac{x^{l-1}(x-1)(x-\lambda)}{y} \right) = (l+1)x^l \frac{dx}{y} - l(1+\lambda)x^{l-1} \frac{dx}{y} + (l-1)\lambda x^{l-2} \frac{dx}{y}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + x^{l-1}(x-1)(x-\lambda) \left( \frac{-c}{n(x-\lambda)} + \frac{-b}{n(x-1)} + \frac{-a}{nx} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \\
& = \left( l+1 - \frac{a+b+c}{n} \right) x^l \frac{dx}{y} + P(x) \frac{dx}{y}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $P(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq l-1$ . As  $l+1 - \frac{a+b+c}{n} \neq 0$  we are done.

2) The existence and the surjectivity of a horizontal morphism  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}} \rightarrow H_{D,R}^1(X)^{\lambda}$  will follow immediately from the following three lemmas. Explicitly the mapping will be defined by  $e_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ ,  $e_2 \rightarrow \omega'_1$  where “ $\rightarrow$ ” stands for the action of  $\nabla\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$ .

Let us write “ $\equiv$ ” to denote congruence modulo exact.

**Lemma:** 
$$\begin{aligned}
D\left(\frac{xdx}{y} - \frac{dx}{y}\right) & \equiv \left[ \left(1 - \frac{a+b}{n}\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{c+\lambda b+a(1+\lambda)-n(1+\lambda)}{n} \right) \right] \frac{dx}{y} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{2n-(a+b+c)}{n} \right) \frac{xdx}{y}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
D(y^n) &= -cx^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^{c-1} \\
ny^{n-1}D(y) &= -cx^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^{c-1} \\
D(y) &= \frac{-c}{n} \frac{x^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^{c-1}}{y^{n-1}} \\
D\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) &= \frac{c}{n} \frac{x^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^{c-1}}{y^{n+1}} = \frac{cx^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^c}{ny^{n+1}(x-\lambda)} = \frac{c}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{(x-\lambda)y}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $D\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) = \frac{c}{n} \left(\frac{1}{x-\lambda}\right) \frac{dx}{y}$ ,  $D\left(\frac{xdx}{y}\right) = \frac{c}{n} \left(\frac{x}{x-\lambda}\right) \frac{dx}{y}$  and

hence 
$$D\left(\frac{xdx}{y} - \frac{dx}{y}\right) = \frac{c}{n} \left(\frac{x-1}{x-\lambda}\right) \frac{dx}{y}$$

Now writing  $f(x) = x^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^c$  we have:

$$d(y^n) = f'(x)dx = [cx^a(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^{c-1} + bx^a(x-1)^{b-1}(x-\lambda)^c + ax^{a-1}(x-1)^b(x-\lambda)^c]dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) &= -\frac{d(y)}{y^2} = -\frac{f'(x)dx}{ny^{n+1}} = -\frac{f'(x)}{ny^n} \cdot \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left(\frac{-c}{n(x-\lambda)} + \frac{-b}{n(x-1)} + \frac{-a}{nx}\right) \frac{dx}{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{x-1}{y}\right) &= \frac{dx}{y} + (x-1)\left(\frac{-c}{n(x-\lambda)} - \frac{b}{n(x-1)} - \frac{a}{nx}\right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{c}{n}\left(\frac{x-1}{x-\lambda}\right) \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{b}{n} \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{a}{n}\left(\frac{x-1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{a+b}{n}\right) \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{c}{n}\left(\frac{x-1}{x-\lambda}\right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{a}{n} \frac{dx}{xy} \end{aligned}$$

In order to eliminate (modulo exact)  $\frac{dx}{xy}$ , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{(x-1)(x-\lambda)}{y}\right) &= [2x - (1+\lambda)] \frac{dx}{y} - (x-1)(x-\lambda) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{c}{n(x-\lambda)} + \frac{b}{n(x-1)} + \frac{a}{nx}\right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= [2x - (1+\lambda)] \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{c}{n}(x-1) \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{b}{n}(x-\lambda) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &\quad - \frac{(x-1)(x-\lambda)a}{nx} \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left[2x - (1+\lambda) - \frac{(x-1)c}{n} - \frac{(x-\lambda)b}{n}\right] \frac{dx}{y} \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{n}\left(\frac{x^2 - (1+\lambda)x + \lambda}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left[\frac{2n - (a+b+c)}{n}\right] \frac{xdx}{y} \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{c + \lambda b + a(1+\lambda) - n(1+\lambda)}{n}\right] \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{a}{n} \lambda \frac{dx}{xy} \end{aligned}$$



Therefore 
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{n} \left( \frac{x-1}{x-\lambda} \right) \frac{dx}{y} &= \left( 1 - \frac{a+b}{n} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{a}{n} \frac{dx}{xy} - d \left( \frac{x-1}{y} \right) \\ &\equiv \left( 1 - \frac{a+b}{n} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{2n-(a+b+c)}{n} \frac{xdx}{y} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{c+\lambda b+a(1+\lambda)-n(1+\lambda)}{n} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - d \frac{(x-1)(x-\lambda)}{y} \right] \\ &\equiv \left( \frac{a+c-n}{n\lambda} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \left( \frac{2n-(a+b+c)}{n\lambda} \right) \frac{xdx}{y} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma:** 
$$\begin{cases} D \left( \frac{dx}{y} \right) \equiv \left( \frac{n-(a+c)+c\lambda}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \left( \frac{a+b+c-2n}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right) \frac{xdx}{y} \\ D \left( \frac{xdx}{y} \right) \equiv \left( \frac{n-a}{n(1-\lambda)} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \left( \frac{a+b+c-2n}{n(1-\lambda)} \right) \frac{xdx}{y} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* 
$$\begin{aligned} d \left( -\frac{x}{y} \right) &= -\frac{dx}{y} + x \left( \frac{c}{n(x-\lambda)} + \frac{b}{n(x-1)} + \frac{a}{nx} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left( \frac{a}{n} - 1 \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{c}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{x-\lambda} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{b}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{x-1} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left( \frac{a+b+c}{n} - 1 \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{c}{n} \left( \frac{\lambda}{x-\lambda} \right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{b}{n} \left( \frac{1}{x-1} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d \left( \frac{x(x-\lambda)}{y} \right) &= (2x-\lambda) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &\quad + x(x-\lambda) \left( -\frac{c}{n(x-\lambda)} - \frac{b}{n(x-1)} - \frac{a}{nx} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= (2x-\lambda) \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{c}{n} x \frac{dx}{y} - \frac{a}{n} (x-\lambda) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{n} \left( x + (1-\lambda) + \frac{1-\lambda}{x-1} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &= \left( 2 - \frac{a+b+c}{n} \right) \frac{xdx}{y} + \left( \frac{a\lambda}{n} + \frac{b(\lambda-1)}{n} - \lambda \right) \frac{dx}{y} \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{n} \left( \frac{1-\lambda}{x-1} \right) \frac{dx}{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } -\frac{b}{n}\left(\frac{1}{x-1}\right)\frac{dx}{y} \equiv \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\left[\left(\frac{a+b+c}{n}-2\right)\frac{xdx}{y} + \left(\lambda - \frac{a\lambda}{n} + \frac{b(1-\lambda)}{n}\right)\frac{dx}{y}\right]$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) &= \frac{c}{n}\left(\frac{1}{x-\lambda}\right)\frac{dx}{y} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\left(1 - \frac{a+b+c}{n}\right)\frac{dx}{y} - \frac{b}{n}\left(\frac{1}{x-1}\right)\frac{dx}{y}\right] \\ &\equiv \left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1 - \frac{a+b+c}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}\left(\lambda - \frac{a\lambda}{n} + \frac{b(1-\lambda)}{n}\right)\right]\frac{dx}{y} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}\left(\frac{a+b+c-2n}{n}\right)\frac{xdx}{y} \end{aligned}$$

Combining this expression for  $D\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right)$  with the result of the preceding lemma, we find the desired formulae.

Let us denote by “’” the action of  $\nabla\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)$  on  $H_{D.R.}^1(X)$ . Then we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Lemma: } \quad \lambda(1-\lambda)\omega_1' + \left[\frac{a+c}{n} - \left(\frac{a+b+2c}{n}\right)\lambda\right]\omega_1' - \left(\frac{a+b+c-n}{n}\right)\frac{c}{n}\omega_1 \\ = 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Using the previous lemma we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2' - \lambda\omega_1' &= \left[\frac{n-a}{n(1-\lambda)} - \frac{n-(a+c)+c\lambda}{n(1-\lambda)}\right]\omega_1 = \frac{c}{n}\omega_1 \\ \lambda\omega_1' + \frac{c}{n}\omega_1 &= \left(\frac{n-a}{n(1-\lambda)}\right)\omega_1 + \left(\frac{a+b+c-2n}{n(1-\lambda)}\right)\omega_2 \\ \frac{n\lambda\omega_1' + c\omega_1}{n} &= \left(\frac{(n-a)\lambda}{n(1-\lambda)\lambda}\right)\omega_1 + \left(\frac{a+b+c-2n}{n(1-\lambda)\lambda}\right)\omega_2 \\ \lambda - \lambda^2(n\lambda\omega_1' + c\omega_1) &= (n-a)\lambda\omega_1 + (a+b+c-2n)\lambda\omega_2 \\ (n\lambda^2 - n\lambda^3)\omega_1' &= [(n-a)\lambda - c(\lambda - \lambda^2)]\omega_1 + (a+b+c-2n)\lambda\omega_2 \\ (n\lambda - n\lambda^2)\omega_1' &= [n-a-c(1-\lambda)]\omega_1 + (a+b+c-2n)\omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(n-2n\lambda)\omega'_1 + (n\lambda-\lambda^2)\omega'_1 = c\omega_1 + [n-a-c(1-\lambda)]\omega'_1 + (a+b+c-2n)\omega'_2$ . But  $\omega'_2 = \frac{c}{n}\omega_1 + \lambda\omega'_1$ . Therefore we obtain:

$$[n\lambda(1-\lambda)]\omega'_1 + [n-2n\lambda-(n-a-c(1-\lambda))]\omega'_1 - c\omega_1 - (a+b+c-2n)\left(\lambda\omega'_1 + \frac{c}{n}\omega_1\right) = 0$$

and hence

$$\lambda(1-\lambda)\omega'_1 + \left[\frac{a+c}{n} - \left(\frac{a+b+2c}{n}\right)\lambda\right]\omega'_1 - \left(\frac{a+b+c-n}{n}\right)\frac{c}{n}\omega_1 = 0$$

3) We now show that our mapping is injective.

If not, there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in Q(\zeta_n, \lambda)$  such that  $(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$  is exact. Then at  $\mathfrak{p}_0$   $\text{ord}(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y} \geq n-1-a$ ; at  $\mathfrak{p}_1$   $\text{ord}\left((\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}\right) \geq n-1-b$ ; at  $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda$   $\text{ord}(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y} \geq n-1-c$ . But at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$   $\text{ord}(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y} = a+b+c-n-1$  if  $\alpha=0$  and  $\text{ord}(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y} = a+b+c-2n-1$  if  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $g$  be a function such that  $dg = (\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$ . Because  $(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$  has a pole at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$  (as  $n > a+b+c$ ), either  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(g) = a+b+c-n$  or  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(g) = a+b+c-2n$  depending on whether  $\alpha=0$  or  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

Just as in part 1) above we have  $g \in Q(\zeta_n, \lambda)\left[x, y, \frac{1}{y}\right]$  because  $(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$  is regular except at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ . Writing  $g = P_0(x) + \frac{P_1(x)}{y} + \dots + \frac{P_{n-1}(x)}{y^{n-1}}$  with  $P_i(x) \in Q(\zeta_n, \lambda, x)$  and using the projection  $\pi_\lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum \bar{\chi}(\sigma) \cdot \sigma$  on the relation  $dg = (\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$  we find  $d\left(\frac{P_1(x)}{y}\right) = (\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$ . Thus we may assume  $g = \frac{P_1(x)}{y}$ . As  $(\alpha x + \beta)\frac{dx}{y}$  is regular except at  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty$ , so is  $\frac{P_1(x)}{y}$ , hence also  $P_1(x)$  and therefore  $P_1(x)$  is a polynomial.

Now  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(x) = -n$  and thus  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}(P_1(x)) = -n \cdot \deg(P_1(x))$ . Thus  $P_1(x)$  has degree  $\leq 2$ . As  $\frac{P_1(x)}{y}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_\lambda$  we find  $x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  divides  $P_1(x)$ . Thus  $P_1(x)=0$  and  $(ax+\beta)\frac{dx}{y}=0$  which implies  $a=\beta=0$ . This concludes the proof that  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}} \rightarrow H_{D,R}^1(X)^\lambda$  is injective.

Let  $S$  be a principal open set of  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Z} \left[ \zeta_n, \lambda, \frac{1}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right]$  over which there is a proper, irreducible, smooth  $S$ -scheme  $\tilde{X}$  with  $\tilde{X} \times_S \text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n, \lambda) = X$ . We assume that  $S$  has been chosen sufficiently small so that  $H_{D,R}^*(\tilde{X}/S)$  is locally free and commutes with base change. Furthermore we assume the horizontal isomorphism  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}} \rightarrow H_{D,R}^1(X)^\lambda$  extends to  $S$ . Thus we can state:

**Theorem:** *There is a non-empty open set  $S$  of  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Z} \left[ \zeta_n, \lambda, \frac{1}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right]$  and a horizontal isomorphism  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}}|_S \cong H_{D,R}^1(\tilde{X}/S)^\lambda$ .*

**Corollary:** *For all but finitely many primes  $p$ ,  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}_p$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof:* If a prime ideal  $(\mathfrak{p}) (\neq 0)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  belongs to the image of  $S$ , then  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}}|_S \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$  is a sub-module of  $H_{D,R}^1(\tilde{X} \otimes \mathbf{F}_p / S \otimes \mathbf{F}_p)$ . By the theorem of Katz and Berthelot: the Gauss-Manin connection (in characteristic  $p$ ) is nilpotent, we see that  $M|_S \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$  is nilpotent. This implies  $M_{\frac{c}{n}, \frac{a+b+c}{n}-1, \frac{a+c}{n}} \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$  is nilpotent.

### The Theorem

Let us return momentarily to the general situation of the introduction;  $T$  arbitrary,  $S$  a smooth  $T$ -scheme,  $\mathcal{M}$  a quasi-coherent  $S$ -module with a  $T$ -connection  $\nabla$ , ... We note the following elementary facts:

1) If  $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla_{\mathcal{M}})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \nabla_{\mathcal{N}})$  are two  $S$ -modules with connection,  $D, m, n$  are sections of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  over an open subset  $U \subseteq S$  and  $l$  is a strictly positive integer, then we have the Leibniz rule:

$$(\nabla_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}(D))^l(m \otimes n) = \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{l}{i} \nabla_{\mathcal{M}}(D)^i(m) \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{N}}(D)^{l-i}(n) \quad (\text{proved as usual by induction on } l)$$

2) Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  free of a fixed finite rank  $n$ , with base  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . If  $D$  is a section of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and if  $\nabla(D) \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = A_D \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$  where  $A_D \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$  is the so called "connection matrix", then  $\nabla_{det(\mathcal{M})}(D)(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = \text{tr}(A_D) \cdot e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ . We suppose in the next four statements that  $T$  is of characteristic  $p$ .

3)  $\psi_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}(D) = \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(D) \otimes id_{\mathcal{N}} + id_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \psi_{\mathcal{N}}(D)$   
(because  $(\nabla_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}(D))^p(m \otimes n) = \nabla_{\mathcal{M}}(D)^p(m) \otimes n + m \otimes \nabla_{\mathcal{N}}(D)^p(n)$  by Leibniz)

4) If  $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a horizontal morphism,  $\psi_{\mathcal{N}}(D) \circ \phi = \phi \circ \psi_{\mathcal{M}}(D)$

5) Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  free of finite rank. A necessary and sufficient condition that  $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla)$  be nilpotent is that for every section  $D$  of  $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ , every coefficient except the leading one of the characteristic polynomial of  $\psi(D)$  is nilpotent in  $\mathcal{O}_S$ .

6) If  $\mathcal{M}$  is free of finite rank, then  $\psi_{det(\mathcal{M})}(D) = \text{tr}(\psi_{\mathcal{M}}(D))$

Having completed these preliminaries we turn to the main result. To fix notation again let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n$  be a common denominator and  $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} \left[ \lambda, \frac{1}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right]$ . Let  $M_{a,b,c}$  be the hypergeometric  $S$ -module defined in the introduction.

**Theorem:**  $M_{a,b,c}$  is globally nilpotent.

*Proof:* Fix once and for all a prime  $p$  which does not become invertible in  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \lambda, \frac{1}{n\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right]$ . Consider the  $\mathbb{F}_p \left[ \lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} \right]$ -module (with connection)  $M_{a,b,c} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ . We must show that it is nilpotent. By

statement 5) above this is equivalent to showing that the determinant and trace of  $\psi\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$  are zero. It suffices to show this at the generic point of  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{F}_p\left[\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}\right]$  and therefore we shall work with the module  $M = M_{a,b,c} \otimes_S \mathbf{F}_p(\lambda)$ .

First we shall deal with the determinant.

Denoting by  $\check{M}$  the dual module, it is immediately checked that the mapping  $\phi \mapsto \langle \phi, e_1 \rangle$  establishes an  $\mathbf{F}_p(\lambda^p)$ -linear isomorphism between the horizontal elements of  $\check{M}$  and the solutions in  $\mathbf{F}_p(\lambda)$  of the differential equation:

$$(*) \quad \lambda(1-\lambda)u'' + [c - (a+b+1)\lambda]u' - abu = 0.$$

Suppose for the moment that there is a non-zero solution in  $\mathbf{F}_p(\lambda)$  of this equation, i.e., that  $\check{M}$  possesses a non-zero horizontal section. Then  $\psi_{\check{M}}\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$  has determinant=0. Applying 3) and 4) above to the canonical horizontal morphism  $\check{M} \otimes M \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p(\lambda)$  we see that  $-\psi_{\check{M}}\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$  is the transpose of  $\psi_M\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$  and hence that  $\det\left(\psi_M\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)\right) = 0$ .

In order to find a non-zero solution of (\*) we may assume that  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $-(p-1) \leq a \leq 0$ ;  $c < a$ ;  $b, c \neq 0$  (in  $\mathbf{Z}$ ). As is "well-known" [1], the differential equation

$$\lambda(1-\lambda)u'' + [c - (a+b+1)\lambda]u' - abu = 0 \quad \text{over } \mathbf{Z}\left[\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}\right]$$

has a non-zero solution in  $\mathbf{Q}[\lambda]$ , namely

$$F(a, b, c; \lambda) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a} \frac{(a)_r (b)_r}{(c)_r r!} \lambda^r \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} (\theta)_0 = 1 \\ (\theta)_r = \theta(\theta+1)\dots(\theta+r-1) \\ \text{for } r \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By multiplying  $F(a, b, c; \lambda)$  by the least common multiple of the denominators of its coefficients we obtain a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  which is still a solution of this differential equation. The reduction mod  $p$  of this polynomial is the desired polynomial solution of (\*).

This completes the proof that  $\det\left(\psi\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)\right)=0$ .

In order to show that  $\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)\right)=0$  we use statement 6) above,  $\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)\right)=\psi_{\det(M)}\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)$ . We observe that  $\psi_{\det(M)}\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)=0$  if and only if  $\det(M)$  has a non-trivial horizontal section. By 2) above  $\nabla_{\det(M)}\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)=\frac{d}{d\lambda}+\frac{(a+b+1)\lambda-c}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}$ . Thus it suffices to find  $g \in \mathbf{F}_p(\lambda)$ ,  $g \neq 0$  such that  $\frac{dg}{d\lambda}+\left(\frac{(a+b+1)\lambda-c}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}\right)g=0$ . But  $g=\lambda^c(1-\lambda)^{a+b+1-c}$  is a nonzero solution of the equation, whence  $\operatorname{tr}\left(\psi\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)\right)=0$ ; which completes the proof of the theorem.

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES

#### References

- [1] Bateman Manuscript Project (Erdélyi editor), Higher Transcendental Functions, Volume 1, Chapter II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [2] Berthelot, P., Cohomologie  $p$ -cristalline des schémas I, II, III, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 269 Sér. A (1969) pp. 297-300, 357-360, 397-400.
- [3] Katz, N., Nilpotent Connections and the Monodromy Theorem Applications of a result of Turrittin, Pub. Math. IHES No. 39.
- [4] Katz, N. and Oda, T., On the differentiations of De Rham cohomology classes with respect to parameters, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 8-2 (1968) pp. 199-213.