## On the q-analogue of Pólya's Theorem

**Abstract.** We answer a question posed by Michael Aissen in 1979 about the q-analogue of a classical theorem of George Pólya (1922) on the algebraicity of (generalized) diagonals of bivariate rational power series. In particular, we prove that the answer to Aissen's question, in which he considers q as a variable, is negative in general. Moreover, we show that the answer is positive if and only if q is a root of unity.

1. INTRODUCTION. A beautiful but rather unknown theorem of Pólya [9] states the following: Given two algebraic power series  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\Phi(x)$ , let  $A_{(i,j)}$  be the coefficient of  $x^j$  in  $\Phi(x)\varphi(x)^i$ . Furthermore, consider a straight line in the plane and let  $(p_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be the sequence of non-negative integer lattice points in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lying on this line. Then  $F(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} A_{p_n} x^n$  is algebraic.

In particular, this theorem implies that the generalized diagonal  $\Delta_{a,b}$  of a bivariate rational power series is algebraic, where for  $f(x,y) = \sum_{i,j\geq 0} f_{i,j}x^iy^j$ , we define  $\Delta_{a,b}(f) := \sum_{n>0} f_{an,bn}x^n$ . For example, one finds

$$\Delta_{1,1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x - y} \right) = \Delta_{1,1} \left( \sum_{i,j \ge 0} \binom{i+j}{i} x^i y^j \right) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{2n}{n} x^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 4x}},$$

and the latter is a root of  $P(x, z) = (1 - 4x)z^2 - 1$ .

In Pólya's formulation, this example is obtained by choosing  $\Phi(x) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 1 + x$  and the main diagonal  $\{x = y\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . In fact, this special case is the main foundation for the observation and question which lead to this article.

There is a more combinatorial rephrasing of this example. Arrange Pascal's triangle in the following way

and consider a line passing through infinitely many lattice points of the above triangle. If we denote the resulting sequence of values on these lattice points by  $(u_j)_{j\geq 0}$ , then Pólya's theorem ensures that the generating function  $f(x) = \sum_{j\geq 0} u_j x^j$  is algebraic.

It is easy to see that the above condition on the line can be reformulated into the existence of non-negative integers n, k, a, b with  $n \ge k, a \ge b$ , gcd(a, b) = 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[\![x]\!]$  is called *algebraic* if there exists a bivariate non-zero polynomial P(x,z) such that P(x,f(x))=0. A non-algebraic series is called *transcendental*.

and that either n - a < k - b or k - b < 0, such that  $u_j = \binom{n+aj}{k+bj}$ . So this special case of Pólya's theorem simply asserts that

$$\sum_{j>0} \binom{n+aj}{k+bj} x^j \in \mathbb{C}[\![x]\!]$$

is algebraic.

It is well-known and easy to see that the binomial coefficient  $\binom{x+y}{x}$  counts lattice paths from the origin to  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with only North and East steps. The observation above therefore implies that the generating function of the number of such paths, as (x,y) increases on a line, is algebraic. Now recall the definition of the q-analogue of the binomial coefficient, called the q-binomial coefficient:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} := \frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}![n-k]_{q}!}, \quad \text{where}$$
$$[n]_{q}! := (1+q)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}).$$

for a variable q and integers n, k with  $0 \le k \le n$ . It is not difficult to check that  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  is a polynomial in q of degree k(n-k). Arranged as in the figure above, these q-binomial coefficients give rise to the so-called q-Pascal triangle.

above, these q-binomial coefficients give rise to the so-called q-Pascal triangle. Pólya showed [10] that the coefficient of  $q^j$  in  $\begin{bmatrix} x+y \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q$  counts lattice paths in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  from the origin to (x,y) with same steps as before and with area underneath equal to j, see also [1]. Aissen asked in [4, p. 585] the natural question whether the following q-analogue of Pólya's statement about algebraicity of such path generating functions holds:

Fix integers n, k, a, b with  $n \ge k \ge 0, a \ge b \ge 0$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . Moreover assume that either n - a < k - b or k - b < 0 holds. Let

$$F(x,q) := \sum_{j \ge 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+aj \\ k+bj \end{bmatrix}_q x^j \in \mathbb{C}[q][\![x]\!].$$

Is the power series F(x,q) algebraic? That is, does there exist a non-zero polynomial  $P(x,q,z)\in\mathbb{C}[x,q,z]$  such that P(x,q,F(x,q))=0?

Aissen noticed the following fact: if the line is parallel to an edge of the q-Pascal triangle (i.e., if a=b or b=0), then F(x,q) is trivially algebraic, because it is actually a rational function of x and q. Hence, in what follows, we will assume that  $a \neq b$  and  $b \neq 0$ . More precisely, we will only consider admissible integers n, k, a, b, in the following sense:  $n \geq k \geq 0$ , a > b > 0,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , and either n - k < a - b or k < b.

**2. RESULTS.** Using elementary asymptotic estimates on the coefficients, we can show that the answer to Aissen's question is negative, because for any fixed  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  of absolute value larger than 1 the coefficients of F(x,z) grow too fast for this series to be algebraic. However, we notice that the same argument does not apply for the univariate power series  $h_z(x) := F(x,z)$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| \leq 1$ . In fact, our main result (Theorem 3 below) is that  $h_z(x)$  is algebraic if and only if z is a root of unity.

We start with a particular case of our main result and the answer to Aissen's question: the generating function of the central q-binomial coefficients is not algebraic.

**Proposition 1.** For n = k = 0 and a = 2, b = 1 the series F(x,q) is not algebraic.

*Proof.* Assume that F(x,q) is algebraic with minimal polynomial P(x,q,z). Then the series  $h_2(x) := F(x,2)$  must be algebraic as well, since  $P_2(x,z) := P(x,2,z) \not\equiv 0$  satisfies  $P_2(x,h_2(x)) = 0$ . We have

$$h_2(x) = \sum_{j \ge 0} \frac{(2^{j+1} - 1)(2^{j+2} - 1) \cdots (2^{2j} - 1)}{(2 - 1)(2^2 - 1) \cdots (2^j - 1)} x^j$$
$$= 1 + 3x + 35x^2 + 1395x^3 + 200787x^4 + \cdots$$

Using the obvious inequality  $(2^{j+k}-1)/(2^k-1) > 2^j$ , we see that the *j*-th coefficient of  $h_2(x)$  is greater than  $2^{j^2}$ . This growth rate is too fast for  $h_2(x)$  to be algebraic, see e.g., Theorem D in [8], or Theorem 3 in [12].

We have just proved that the bivariate series F(x,q) cannot be algebraic for all admissible n,k,a,b. In the same manner, we can prove that F(x,q) is not algebraic for any admissible n,k,a,b. This is easily reduced to the following task: when is the univariate power series  $h_z(x) := F(x,z)$  (for a fixed  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ) algebraic? Obviously, the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1 applies for any z with |z| > 1: the growth rate of  $z^{j^2}$  is incompatible with algebraicity. However, for  $z = \omega$  on the unit circle or for |z| < 1 the same argument does not work; in fact we have the following result:

**Proposition 2.** Let n, k, a, b be admissible integers and  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of unity. Then  $h_{\omega}(x)$  is algebraic.

Before proving it, we recall the q-Lucas theorem (see [6, p. 22] for an algebraic proof and [13, p. 131–132] for a combinatorial proof).

**Theorem 1** (q-Lucas Theorem). Let x, y be non-negative integers and  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of unity of order s. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \lfloor \frac{x}{s} \rfloor \\ \lfloor \frac{y}{s} \rfloor \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x - s \lfloor \frac{x}{s} \rfloor \\ y - s \lfloor \frac{x}{s} \rfloor \end{bmatrix}_{\omega}.$$

Now we can show that for roots of unity  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , the series  $h_{\omega}(x)$  is algebraic.

*Proof of Proposition* 2. Let s be the order of  $\omega$ . We have

$$h_{\omega}(x) = \sum_{j \ge 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+aj \\ k+bj \end{bmatrix}_{\omega} x^j = \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{j \ge 0 \\ j \equiv r \bmod s}} \begin{bmatrix} n+aj \\ k+bj \end{bmatrix}_{\omega} x^j.$$

Now we examine the s summands separately using the q-Lucas theorem:

$$\sum_{\substack{j \ge 0 \\ =r \text{ mod } s}} \begin{bmatrix} n+aj \\ k+bj \end{bmatrix}_{\omega} x^j = \sum_{\ell \ge 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+a(\ell s+r) \\ k+b(\ell s+r) \end{bmatrix}_{\omega} x^j$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \left( \lfloor \frac{n + ar}{s} \rfloor + a\ell \right) \left[ n + ar - s \lfloor \frac{n + ar}{s} \rfloor \atop k + br - s \lfloor \frac{k + br}{s} \rfloor \right]_{\omega} x^{j} \\ &= \left[ n + ar - s \lfloor \frac{n + ar}{s} \rfloor \atop k + br - s \lfloor \frac{k + br}{s} \rfloor \right]_{\omega} \cdot \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \left( \lfloor \frac{n + ar}{s} \rfloor + a\ell \atop k + br \rfloor \right) x^{j}. \end{split}$$

By Pólya's theorem (see Section 1) the last sum is an algebraic series, and therefore obviously the whole last expression is also algebraic. Then,  $h_{\omega}(x)$  is the sum of s algebraic power series, hence algebraic as well.

If  $0 < |z| \le 1$  but z is not a root of unity, then  $h_z(x)$  is not algebraic; however this seems to be more difficult to prove. A natural approach is to use the following result originating from Ramis' work [11], see also [14, Corollary 2], or [2, Theorem 7.1].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , not a root of unity. Assume that f is an algebraic power series in  $\mathbb{C}[\![x]\!]$ , which satisfies a linear q-difference equation, that is, an equation of the form

$$f(q^m x) + b_{m-1}(x)f(q^{m-1}x) + \dots + b_0(x)f(x) = 0$$

for some rational functions  $b_0, \ldots, b_m \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  not all zero. Then f is itself rational.

Clearly,  $h_q(x)$  satisfies a linear q-difference equation. Hence, this theorem ensures that if  $h_q(x)$  is algebraic, it must already be a rational function. One can prove that for any non-zero q,  $h_q(x)$  is rational if and only if a = b or b = 0 (Lemma 1). Then, altogether, this implies our main theorem:

**Theorem 3.** Let n, k, a, b be admissible integers and let  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $h_q(x)$  is an algebraic power series if and only if q is a root of unity.

Hence, if pursuing this approach, we would only need to justify the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** Let n, k, a, b be integers such that  $a \ge b \ge 0$  and let  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be not a root of unity. Then  $h_q(x)$  is a rational series if and only if a = b or b = 0.

It turns out that a simple modification of the proof of this lemma immediately implies a much more general fact which does not require Theorem 2 in order to conclude our main theorem. More precisely, we can prove directly

**Theorem 4.** Let n, k, a, b be admissible integers and let  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $h_q(x)$  is D-finite if and only if q is a root of unity.

Recall [15] that a power series  $f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i x^i$  is called *D-finite* if it satisfies a linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients:

$$p_n(x)f^{(n)}(x) + \dots + p_0(x)f(x) = 0.$$

Any algebraic function is D-finite [15, Thm. 2.1] (see also [3]) and clearly the latter class of functions is much larger. An equivalent characterization of D-finite series [15, Thm. 1.5] states that the coefficients sequence satisfies a linear recurrence with polynomial coefficients:

$$u_{j+r}c_r(j) + \dots + u_jc_0(j) = 0, \quad j \ge 0.$$

A sequence  $(u_j)_{j\geq 0}$  is called *P-recursive* if it satisfies a recurrence as above. We will prove and use the following elementary proposition:

**Proposition 3.** Let  $p(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$  be a bivariate polynomial and assume that for some  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  not a root of unity, we have  $p(j,q^j) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then p(x,y) = 0.

*Proof.* We distinguish three cases: |q| < 1, |q| = 1 and |q| > 1. For the first case, write  $p(x,y) = p_0(x) + r(x,y)y^n$  for some natural number n and  $p_0(x) = p(x,0) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ ,  $r(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$  such that  $r(x,0) \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$0 = p(j, q^j) = p_0(j) + r(j, q^j)q^{nj}.$$

Since |q| < 1, we must have  $r(j,q^j)q^{nj} \to 0$  as  $j \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{j\to\infty} p_0(j) = 0$  and we obtain that  $p_0(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $r(j,q^j) = 0$  for  $j \ge 0$ . But  $r(x,y) = r_0(x) + s(x,y)y$  for some polynomial s(x,y) and non-zero  $r_0(x)$ . By the same argument,  $\lim_{j\to\infty} r_0(j) = 0$ , however this contradicts  $r_0(x) \ne 0$ .

If |q| = 1, write  $p(x,y) = p_0(y) + p_1(y)x + \cdots + p_d(y)x^d$  for some natural number d and polynomials  $p_0(y), \ldots, p_d(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ , such that  $p_d(y) \neq 0$ . We have

$$|p_d(q^j)|j^d = \left|\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} p_k(q^j)j^k\right|.$$
 (1)

Now choose  $\xi$  on the unit circle which is not a root of  $p_d(x)$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|p_k(\xi)| < 1/\varepsilon$  for all  $k = 0, \ldots, d$  and also  $\varepsilon < |p_d(\xi)|$ . Moreover, since q is not a root of unity, Jacobi's Theorem implies that the set  $\{q^j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense on the unit circle [7, Thm 3.13] (see also [5]), consequently there are infinitely many j such that  $q^j$  is arbitrarily close to  $\xi$ . Henceforth, there also exist infinitely many j for which  $|p_k(q^j)| < 1/\varepsilon$  for all  $k = 0, \ldots, d$  and  $\varepsilon < |p_d(q^j)|$ . However, at the sequence of these j, this contradicts (1) since then the left-hand side grows at least like  $j^d \varepsilon$  and the right-hand side is bounded by  $dj^{d-1}/\varepsilon$ .

Finally, if |q| > 1, write  $p(x,y) = r_0(x) + r_1(x)y + \cdots + r_n(x)y^n$  for polynomials  $r_0(x), \ldots, r_n(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  some natural number n. Clearly, if p(x,y) is non-zero, n must be positive. But then we have

$$|r_0(j) + r_1(j)q^j + \dots + p_{n-1}(j)q^{(n-1)j}| \le cj^m|q|^{(n-1)j}$$

for some constants c, m > 0. This contradicts

$$r_n(j)q^{nj} = -r_0(j) - r_1(j)q^j - \dots - p_{n-1}(j)q^{(n-1)j}$$

for big enough j and finishes the proof.

Note that this proposition immediately implies that the function  $f(x) = q^x$  is transcendental for any non-zero  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  which is also not a root of unity, because an annihilating polynomial P(x,z) would need to satisfy  $P(x,q^x) = 0$  and hence this would hold at all integers x. In particular, Proposition 3 contains the classical and well-known fact that  $\exp(x)$  is not algebraic.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4: we will show that  $h_q(x)$  is D-finite (equivalently algebraic) if and only if q is a root of unity. This answers Aissen's question completely.

*Proof of Theorem* 4. We already observed that if q is a root of unity, the series  $h_q(x)$  is algebraic and hence D-finite. Therefore, one direction is clear and we assume now that  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is not a root of unity.

Assume by contradiction that  $h_q(x) = \sum_{j\geq 0} u_j x^j$  is D-finite. Then  $(u_j)_{j\geq 0}$  is P-recursive and there exist a positive integer r and  $c_0(x), \ldots, c_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  with  $c_0(x)c_r(x) \neq 0$  such that

$$u_{j+r}c_r(j) + \dots + u_jc_0(j) = 0$$
, for all  $j \ge 0$ . (2)

A simple computation shows that

$$u_{j+1} = u_j \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^a (q^{n+aj+\ell} - 1)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^b (q^{k+bj+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{a-b} (q^{n-k+(a-b)j+\ell} - 1)}.$$

Then it follows by iteration

$$u_{j+i} = u_j \prod_{m=0}^{i-1} \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{a} (q^{n+a(j+m)+\ell} - 1)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{b} (q^{k+b(j+m)+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{a-b} (q^{n-k+(a-b)(j+m)+\ell} - 1)}$$

$$= u_j \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{ia} (q^{n+aj+\ell} - 1)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{ib} (q^{k+bj+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{i(a-b)} (q^{n-k+(a-b)j+\ell} - 1)}.$$

Using this, we may rewrite equation (2) and obtain

$$u_j \left( \sum_{i=0}^r c_i(j) \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{ia} (q^{n+aj+\ell} - 1)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{ib} (q^{k+bj+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=1}^{i(a-b)} (q^{n-k+(a-b)j+\ell} - 1)} \right) = 0, \quad (3)$$

for all integers  $j \geq 0$ . Note that  $u_j \neq 0$ , since q is not a root of unity, hence already the sum above is identical to 0 for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define

$$P_i(y) := \prod_{\ell=1}^{ia} (y^a q^{n+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=ib+1}^{rb} (y^b q^{k+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=i(a-b)+1}^{r(a-b)} (y^{a-b} q^{n-k+\ell} - 1) \in \mathbb{C}[y],$$

so that after multiplication with the common denominator, equation (3) implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i(j) P_i(q^j) = 0$ . By Proposition 3 we now obtain that  $p(x,y) := \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i(x) P_i(y)$  must be identically 0.

We will show however that p(x,y) cannot be the zero polynomial if the integers n, k, a, b are admissible, more precisely if a > b > 0. Set first  $d := \max(\deg(c_i(x)), i = 0, \ldots, r)$  and write  $c_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d c_{i,k} x^k$  for some  $c_{i,k} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, let m be an integer such that  $c_{r,m} \neq 0$  and denote by  $p_m(y)$  the coefficient of  $x^m$  in p(x,y). We claim that  $p_m(y) \neq 0$ . We namely have:

$$p_m(y) = \sum_{i=0}^r c_{i,m} \prod_{\ell=1}^{ia} (y^a q^{n+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=ib+1}^{rb} (y^b q^{k+\ell} - 1) \prod_{\ell=i(a-b)+1}^{r(a-b)} (y^{a-b} q^{n-k+\ell} - 1),$$

and the exponent of y of the leading monomial of each summand is  $ia^2 + (r-i)b^2 + (r-i)(a-b)^2$ . Since we assume that a > b > 0, it follows that this expression is maximal only for i = r, and hence the leading monomial of  $p_m(y)$  is  $c_{r,m}y^{a^2r}q^{ran+ra(ra+1)/2} \neq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- M. Aissen. Variations on a theme of Pólya. In Second International Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics (New York, 1978), volume 319 of Ann. New York Acad. Sci., pages 1–6, 1979.
- J.-P. Bézivin and A. Boutabaa. Sur les équations fonctionelles p-adiques aux q-différences. Collect. Math., 43(2):125–140, 1992.
- A. Bostan, F. Chyzak, G. Lecerf, B. Salvy, and E. Schost. Differential equations for algebraic functions. In ISSAC 2007, pages 25–32. ACM, New York, 2007.
- M. Capobianco, S. Maurer, D. McCarthy, and J. Molluzzo. A collection of open problems. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 319(1):565–592, 1979.
- A. Denjoy. Sur les courbes definies par les équations différentielles à la surface du tore. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 11:333-375, 1932.
- 6. J. Désarménien. Un analogue des congruences de Kummer pour les q-nombres d'Euler. European J. Combin., 3(1):19-28, 1982.
- R. L. Devaney. An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems. Addison-Wesley Studies in Nonlinearity. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, second edition, 1989.
- 8. P. Flajolet. Analytic models and ambiguity of context-free languages. volume 49, pages 283–309. 1987. Twelfth international colloquium on automata, languages and programming (Nafplion, 1985).
- G. Pólya. Sur les séries entières, dont la somme est une fonction algébrique. Enseignement Math., 22:38–47, 1921/1922.
- G. Pólya. On the number of certain lattice polygons. J. Combinatorial Theory, 6:102–105, 1969.
- J.-P. Ramis. About the growth of entire functions solutions of linear algebraic q-difference equations. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 1(1):53-94, 1992.
- T. Rivoal and J. Roques. Hadamard products of algebraic functions. J. Number Theory, 145:579-603, 2014.
- 13. B. E. Sagan. Congruence properties of q-analogs. Adv. Math., 95(1):127-143, 1992.
- R. Schäfke and M. Singer. Consistent systems of linear differential and difference equations.
   J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 21(9):2751–2792, 2019.
- 15. R. P. Stanley. Differentiably finite power series. European J. Combin., 1(2):175-188, 1980.