



A remark on Apéry's numbers

Jean-Paul Allouche*

CNRS, LRI, Bâtiment 490, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France

Received 28 January 1997

Abstract

The Apéry numbers, introduced in Apéry's celebrated proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$, are defined by $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$. They have the following nice property: if p is a prime number, and $n = \sum n_j p^j$ is the base p expansion of n , then $a_n \equiv \prod a_{n_j} \pmod{p}$. In a paper which appeared in this journal (64 (1995) 11–19), C. Radoux asserted that the same property holds, provided $p \geq 5$, if p is replaced by p^2 both for the base and for the congruence, and if p is replaced by p^3 both for the base and for the congruence. We show that these two statements are not correct.

Keywords: Apéry's numbers; Lucas property

AMS classification: 11A07; 11B65; 05A10

The Apéry numbers are defined by

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$$

and have been introduced by Apéry in his celebrated proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. Many authors have studied the values of these numbers modulo a prime or a prime power. In particular, several conjectures were made in [2]. These conjectures were proved by Gessel [3]; some of them proved by Radoux [5] appeared earlier, but considering the submission dates, priority should be given to [3].

An interesting relation satisfied by the numbers a_n (see [3, 5]) is that, if the expansion of the integer n in base p is given by $n = \sum_k n_k p^k$, where $0 \leq n_k \leq p-1$ (and of course only a finite number of the n_k 's are non-zero), then

$$a_n \equiv \prod_k a_{n_k} \pmod{p}. \quad (1)$$

* E-mail: allouche@lri.fr.

This relation is linked to the famous Lucas property: if $n = \sum_k n_k p^k$ and $m = \sum_k m_k p^k$ are the expansions of the integers n and m in base p , where p is a prime number, then

$$\binom{n}{m} \equiv \prod_k \binom{n_k}{m_k} \pmod{p}.$$

This relation has been generalized to many unidimensional or bidimensional sequences, see [4, 1], and a natural question is whether such congruences hold when replacing the prime number p by, e.g., a prime power. In particular, it is stated in [6] that Eq. (1) is true when the prime number p is replaced both for the base and for the congruence by p^2 or p^3 , where p is a prime number ≥ 5 . We prove in this note that this result is not correct.

1. The idea of a counterexample

In view of the claim in [5] that, for $n = \sum_k n_k (p^2)^k$, with $0 \leq n_k \leq p^2 - 1$, one would have $a_n \equiv \prod [a_{n_k} \pmod{p^2}]$ [6, Theorem, p. 13] and [3, Theorem 4] that gives also a congruence modulo p^2 , one wants to compare both results. Let us recall Theorem 4 of [3]: let p be a prime number and $0 \leq k < p$, then

$$a_{k+pn} \equiv (a_k + pnb_k)a_n \pmod{p^2},$$

where the sequence (b_n) is defined as the solution of a recurrence equation of order 2 with polynomial coefficients resembling the one satisfied by the sequence (a_n) , or by the relation

$$b_n = 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k} (H_{n+k} - H_{n-k}),$$

where the H_k 's are given by $H_0 = 0$ and $H_k = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + 1/k$. In particular, $b_0 = 0$, $b_1 = 12$, $b_2 = 210$, $b_3 = 4438$.

Let us take $n = xp^2 + yp + z$, with $1 \leq x \leq p-1$, $0 \leq y$, $z \leq p-1$. Then, applying the result of [3] twice, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} a_{xp^2+yp+z} &= a_{z+p(y+xp)} \\ &\equiv (a_z + p(y+xp)b_z)a_{y+xp} \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv (a_z + pyb_z)a_{y+xp} \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv (a_z + pyb_z)(a_y + pxb_y)a_x \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv (a_z a_y + p(yb_z a_y + xa_z b_y))a_x \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, one notices that $n = xp^2 + yp + z$ is a two-digit number in base p^2 (the rightmost digit being $yp + z$ and the leftmost digit being x). Hence, applying the claim in [6] and again the result of [3], one can write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{xp^2+(yp+z)} &\equiv a_x a_{yp+z} \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv a_x (a_z + pyb_z)a_y \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions for a_{xp^2+yp+z} one should have

$$pxa_zb_ya_x \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

i.e.,

$$xa_zb_ya_x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

This permits to construct infinitely many counterexamples, namely, take $x = y = z = 1$, hence $a_x = a_z = a_1 = 5$, and $b_y = b_1 = 12$, which gives $xa_zb_ya_x = 300$. The contradiction results in taking any prime number not dividing 300, e.g. $p = 7$.

Proposition. *If $n = 57$, i.e., $n = \overline{18}$ in base 49, then $a_n = a_{57} \equiv 13 \pmod{49}$ and $a_1a_8 \equiv 20 \pmod{49}$.*

Remarks.

- The result above can be checked using Maple for example.
- In the same spirit one can find counterexamples to the Theorem in [6] where the base and the congruence are equal to p^3 . For example, take $n = 400$ and $p = 7$. In base $7^3 = 343$, this number has two digits (the rightmost being 57, the leftmost being 1). Hence, if the statement of [6] were true, one would have $a_{400} \equiv a_1a_{57} \pmod{7^3}$ hence, a fortiori, $a_{400} \equiv a_1a_{57} \pmod{49}$.

On the other hand, using Theorem 4 of [3], one has

$$a_{400} = a_{1+7 \times 57} \equiv (a_1 + 7 \times 57b_1)a_{57} \pmod{49}.$$

Both relations would imply that $7 \times 57b_1a_{57} \equiv 0 \pmod{49}$, i.e., $57b_1a_{57} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. But we saw that $a_{57} \equiv 13 \pmod{49}$ and we have that $b_1 = 12$, hence the desired contradiction.

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Gouyou-Beauchamps and P. Liardet for interesting discussions around this problem.

References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, G. Skordev, in preparation. Schur congruences, Carlitz sequences of polynomials and automaticity, 1997, submitted.
- [2] S. Chowla, J. Cowles, M. Cowles, Congruence properties of Apéry numbers, J. Number Theory 12 (1980) 188–190.
- [3] I. Gessel, Some congruence for Apéry numbers, J. Number Theory 14 (1982) 362–368.
- [4] R. McIntosh, A generalization of a congruential property of Lucas, Amer. Math. Monthly 99 (1992) 231–238.
- [5] C. Radoux, Quelques propriétés arithmétiques des nombres d'Apéry, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 291 (1980) 567–569.
- [6] C. Radoux, Some arithmetical properties of Apéry numbers, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 64 (1995) 11–19.