PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 150, Number 5, May 2022, Pages 2131–2136 https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/15836 Article electronically published on February 18, 2022

A HYPERGEOMETRIC PROOF THAT ISO IS BIJECTIVE

ALIN BOSTAN AND SERGEY YURKEVICH

(Communicated by Mourad Ismail)

ABSTRACT. We provide a short and elementary proof of the main technical result of the recent article "Uniqueness of Clifford torus with prescribed isoperimetric ratio" by Thomas Yu and Jingmin Chen [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 150 (2022), pp. 1749–1765]. The key of the new proof is an explicit expression of the central function (Iso, to be proved bijective) as a quotient of Gaussian hypergeometric functions.

In their recent paper [4], Thomas Yu and Jingmin Chen needed to prove, as a crucial intermediate result, that a certain real-valued function Iso (related to isoperimetric ratios of Clifford tori) is strictly increasing. They reduced the proof of this fact to the positivity of a sequence of rational numbers $(d_n)_{n\geq 0}$, defined explicitly in terms of nested binomial sums. This positivity was subsequently proved by Stephen Melczer and Marc Mezzarobba [3], who used a computer-assisted approach relying on analytic combinatorics and rigorous numerics, combined with the fact (proved in [4]) that the sequence $(d_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies an explicit linear recurrence of order seven with polynomial coefficients in n.

In this note, we provide an alternative, short and conceptual, proof of the monotonicity of the function Iso. Our approach is different in spirit from the ones in [4] and [3]. Our main result (Theorem 2) is that the function Iso(z) can be expressed in terms of Gaussian hypergeometric functions ${}_{2}F_{1}$ defined by

(1)
$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & z \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!},$$

where $(a)_n$ denotes the rising factorial $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the notation of Yu and Chen, the function

Iso :
$$[0, \sqrt{2} - 1) \rightarrow [3/2 \ (2\pi^2)^{-1/4}, 1)$$

is given as

(2)
$$lso(z) = 6\sqrt{\pi} \, \frac{V(z)}{A^{3/2}(z)},$$

©2022 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors August 16, 2021, and, in revised form, August 25, 2021, and September 1, 2021.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33C05.

The first author was supported in part by DeRerumNatura ANR-19-CE40-0018. The second author was supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF P-31338) and the DOC Fellowship of the Austrian Academy of Sciences (26101).

where $A(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^{2n}$ and $V(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} v_n z^{2n}$ are complex analytic functions in the disk $\{z: |z| < \sqrt{2} - 1\}$, given by the power series expansions

$$A(z) = \sqrt{2}\pi^2 \left(4 + 52z^2 + 477z^4 + 3809z^6 + \frac{451625}{16}z^8 + \cdots \right),$$

$$V(z) = \sqrt{2}\pi^2 \left(2 + 48z^2 + \frac{1269}{2}z^4 + 6600z^6 + \frac{1928025}{32}z^8 + \cdots \right).$$

The precise definitions of A and V are given in Section 4.3 of [4], notably in equations (4.2)–(4.3). Since the sequences $(a_n)_{\geq 0}$ and $(v_n)_{\geq 0}$ are expressed in terms of nested binomial sums, A(z) and V(z) satisfy linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients in z that can be found and proved automatically using creative telescoping [2]. Yu and Chen, resp. Melczer and Mezzarobba, use this methodology to find a linear recurrence satisfied by the coefficients $(d_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of

$$F(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi^4} \left(\frac{2V'(\sqrt{z})A(\sqrt{z}) - 3V(\sqrt{z})A'(\sqrt{z})}{\sqrt{z}} \right) = 72 + 1932z + 31248z^3 + \cdots,$$

respectively a linear differential equation satisfied by the function F(z).

Similarly, one can compute linear differential equations satisfied individually by

$$\bar{A}(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi^2} A(\sqrt{z}) = 4 + 52z + 477z^2 + 3809z^3 + \frac{451625}{16}z^4 + \frac{3195333}{16}z^5 + \cdots$$

and by

$$\bar{V}(z) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \, V(\sqrt{z}) = 2 + 48 \, z + \frac{1269}{2} z^2 + 6600 \, z^3 + \frac{1928025}{32} z^4 + \frac{2026101}{4} z^5 + \cdots \, .$$

Concretely, $\bar{A}(z)$ and $\bar{V}(z)$ satisfy second-order linear differential equations:

$$z(z-1)(z^{2}-6z+1)(z+1)^{2}\bar{A}''(z)+(z+1)(5z^{4}-8z^{3}-32z^{2}+28z-1)\bar{A}'(z) + (4z^{4}+11z^{3}-z^{2}-43z+13)\bar{A}(z) = 0$$

and respectively

$$z(z-1)(z+1)(z^{2}-6z+1)^{2}\bar{V}''(z)$$

$$+(z^{2}-6z+1)(7z^{4}-22z^{3}-18z^{2}+26z-1)\bar{V}'(z)$$

$$+3(3z^{5}-24z^{4}-2z^{3}+56z^{2}-25z+8)\bar{V}(z)=0.$$

From these equations, we deduce the following closed-form expressions:

Theorem 1. The following equalities hold for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \le z \le \sqrt{2} - 1$:

$$\bar{A}(z) = \frac{4(1-z^2)}{(z^2 - 6z + 1)^2} {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \frac{4z}{(1-z)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\bar{V}(z) = \frac{2(1-z)^3}{(z^2 - 6z + 1)^3} {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \frac{4z}{(1-z)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. It is enough to check that the right-hand side expressions satisfy the same linear differential equations as \bar{A} and \bar{V} , with the same initial conditions.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and of definition (2) we get:

Theorem 2. The function Iso admits the following closed-form expression:

$$\mathrm{Iso}^2(z) = \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \, \frac{{}_2F_1\!\left[{}^{-\frac{3}{2}}_{1}\!^{-\frac{3}{2}}; \frac{4z^2}{(1-z^2)^2}\right]^2}{{}_2F_1\!\left[{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{1}\!^{-\frac{1}{2}}; \frac{4z^2}{(1-z^2)^2}\right]^3} \, \left(\frac{1-z^2}{1+z^2}\right)^3.$$

Using the expression in Theorem 2, we can now prove the main result of [4].

Theorem 3. Iso is a strictly increasing function and $\lim_{z\to\sqrt{2}-1}\operatorname{Iso}(z)=1$. In particular, Iso is a bijection.

Proof. The value of $Iso^2(z)$ at $z = \sqrt{2} - 1$ is equal to

$$\operatorname{Iso}^{2}(\sqrt{2}-1) = \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 1 \end{array}; 1\right]^{2}}{{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{array}; 1\right]^{3}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}.$$

From Gauss's summation theorem [1, Th. 2.2.2] it follows that ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] = 32/(3\pi)$ and ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] = 4/\pi$; therefore,

$$\mathsf{Iso}^2(\sqrt{2}-1) = \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \, \frac{(32/(3\pi))^2}{(4/\pi)^3} \, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} = 1.$$

It remains to prove that Iso is strictly increasing. It is enough to show that

$$z \mapsto \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}{1};\frac{4z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]^{2}}{{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{1};\frac{4z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]^{3}}\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{3}$$

is increasing on $[0, 3 - 2\sqrt{2})$. Equivalently, via the change of variables $x = \frac{4z}{(1-z)^2}$, it is enough to prove that the function

$$h: x \mapsto \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{-\frac{3}{2}}{1}, \frac{-\frac{3}{2}}{2}; x\right]^{2}}{{}_{2}F_{1}\left[\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{1}, \frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{2}; x\right]^{3}} (x+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

is increasing on [0,1). Clearly, h can be written as $h=f^3\,g^2$, where

$$f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x+1}}{{}_2F_1\left[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; x\right]}$$
 and $g(x) = \frac{{}_2F_1\left[-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}; x\right]}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$.

Hence, it is enough to prove that both f and g are increasing on [0,1). We will actually prove a more general fact in Proposition 1, which may be of independent interest. Using that $w_{1/2} = 1/f$ and $w_{3/2} = g$, we deduce from Proposition 1 that both f and g are increasing. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

Proposition 1. Let $a \geq 0$ and let $w_a : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$w_a(x) = \frac{{}_2F_1\left[{}_1^{-a}, x \right]}{(x+1)^a}.$$

Then w_a is: decreasing if 0 < a < 1; increasing if a > 1; constant if $a \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Clearly, if $a \in \{0,1\}$, then $w_a(x)$ is constant, equal to 1 on [0,1].

Consider now the case a > 0 with $a \neq 1$. The derivative of $w_a(x)$ satisfies the hypergeometric identity

(3)
$$\frac{w_a'(x)(x+1)^{a+1}}{a(a-1)(1-x)^{2a}} = {}_2F_1 \begin{bmatrix} a+1 & a \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a direct consequence of Euler's transformation formula [1, Eq. (2.2.7), p. 68] and of Lemma 1 with a substituted by -a.

Since a>0, the right-hand side of (3) has only positive Taylor coefficients; therefore it is positive on [0,1). It follows that $w_a'(x)\geq 0$ on [0,1] if a-1>0, and $w_a'(x)\leq 0$ on [0,1] if a-1<0. Equivalently, w_a is increasing on [0,1] if a>1, and decreasing on [0,1] if a<1.

Lemma 1. The following identity holds:

$$(a+1)(1-x) \, _2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a+1 & a+2 \\ 2 \end{matrix} ; x \right] = a(x+1) \, _2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a+1 & a+1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} ; x \right] + \, _2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a & a \\ 1 \end{matrix} ; x \right].$$

Proof. We will use two of the classical Gauss' contiguous relations [1, §2.5]:

$$(4) 2F_1\begin{bmatrix} a+1 & b+1 \\ c+1 \end{bmatrix}; x = \frac{c}{bx} \left({}_2F_1\begin{bmatrix} a+1 & b \\ c \end{bmatrix}; x - {}_2F_1\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c \end{bmatrix}; x \right)$$

and

(5)
$$a\left({}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}a+1 & b\\ c & ;x\end{bmatrix} - {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}a & b\\ c & ;x\end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{(c-b) {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}a & b-1\\ c & ;x\end{bmatrix} + (b-c+ax) {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix}a & b\\ c & ;x\end{bmatrix}}{1-x}.$$

Applying (4) twice, once with (b, c) = (a, 1) and once with (b, c) = (a + 1, 1), the proof of the lemma is reduced to that of the identity

$$(x-1) {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} a+1 & a+1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} a & a+1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which follows from (5) with (b, c) = (a + 1, 1).

Remark 1. A natural question is whether the function Iso enjoys higher monotonicity properties. It can be easily seen that both Iso and its reciprocal 1/Iso are neither convex nor concave. However we will prove that $z \mapsto \mathsf{Iso}(\sqrt{z})$ is concave and $z \mapsto 1/\mathsf{Iso}(\sqrt{z})$ is convex, on their domain of definition $[0, 3-2\sqrt{2})$.

First recall that $1/\mathsf{Iso}(\sqrt{z}) = \frac{2^{5/4}\sqrt{\pi}}{3} w_{1/2}(r(z))^{3/2} w_{3/2}(r(z))^{-1}$, where we set $r(z) = 4z/(1-z)^2$. Since $w_{1/2} = 1/f$ and $w_{3/2}^{-1} = 1/g$ are positive and decreasing, while r is nonnegative and increasing, proving that $w_{1/2} \circ r$ and $w_{3/2}^{-1} \circ r$ are both convex is enough to establish convexity of $z \mapsto 1/\mathsf{Iso}(\sqrt{z})$.

From (3) and the chain rule it follows that

(6)
$$\frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}w_a(r(z))}{4\,a\,(a-1)} = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a+1 \ a \\ 2 \end{matrix}; \frac{4z}{(1-z)^2} \right] \frac{(1-6\,z+z^2)^{2a}}{(1-z)^{4a}} \frac{(1-z)^{2a-1}}{(1+z)^{2a+1}}.$$

We can justify convexity of both $w_{1/2}(r(z))$ and $w_{3/2}(r(z))^{-1}$ if we can prove that the right-hand side of (6) is decreasing on $[0, 3 - 2\sqrt{2})$. Moreover, it is easy to

see that $(1-z)^{2a-1}/(1+z)^{2a+1}$ is decreasing on this interval for $a>3/2-\sqrt{2}$. Therefore, after changing variables $x=4z/(1-z)^2$, it remains to show that

$$_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} a+1 & a \\ 2 & ; \frac{4z}{(1-z)^{2}} \end{bmatrix} \frac{(1-6z+z^{2})^{2a}}{(1-z)^{4a}} = {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{bmatrix} a+1 & a \\ 2 & ; x \end{bmatrix} (1-x)^{2a}$$

is decreasing for all $x \in [0,1)$. The derivative of the right-hand side is given by

$$-\left(\frac{a(3-a)}{2} \, _2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & a+1 \\ 3 \end{array}; x\right] + \frac{a(a+1)x}{6} \, _2F_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} a+1 & a+2 \\ 4 \end{array}; x\right]\right) \, (1-x)^{2a-1},$$

hence is indeed negative for all $x \in [0,1)$ if 0 < a < 3. From this and (6) it follows that $1/\mathsf{Iso}(\sqrt{z})$ is the product of two positive, decreasing and convex functions and therefore inherits these properties. Finally, this also shows that $\mathsf{Iso}(\sqrt{z})$ is both increasing and concave on $[0, 3 - 2\sqrt{2})$.

Remark 2. Bruno Salvy (private communication) found an alternative short proof of Proposition 1. The main idea is inspired by the Sturm-Liouville theory and the proof is based on the observation that $w_a(x)$ satisfies the linear differential equation (written in adjoint form):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(x\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^{2a}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}w_a(x)\right) = \frac{a(a-1)x}{(1+x)^2}\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^{4a}w_a(x).$$

The right-hand side is positive on (0,1) when a > 1 and negative if 0 < a < 1. The same holds for its integral over [0,t] for any t < 1. Looking at the left-hand side, this implies that $w'_a > 0$ whenever a > 1 and $w'_a < 0$ when 0 < a < 1.

We note that the same idea allows for a different proof of Remark 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mourad Ismail for his challenging question which led to Remark 1 and Bruno Salvy for his alternative proof of Proposition 1. Moreover, we are grateful to both for their interest in this work.

References

- George E. Andrews, Richard Askey, and Ranjan Roy, Special functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, DOI 10.1017/CBO9781107325937. MR1688958
- [2] Frédéric Chyzak, An extension of Zeilberger's fast algorithm to general holonomic functions (English, with English and French summaries), Discrete Math. 217 (2000), no. 1-3, 115– 134, DOI 10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00259-9. Formal power series and algebraic combinatorics (Vienna, 1997). MR1766263
- [3] Stephen Melczer and Marc Mezzarobba, Sequence positivity through numeric analytic continuation: uniqueness of the Canham model for biomembranes, Technical Report, arXiv:2011.08155 [math.CO], 2020.
- [4] Thomas Yu and Jingmin Chen, Uniqueness of Clifford torus with prescribed isoperimetric ratio, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 150 (2022), no. 4, 1749–1765, DOI 10.1090/proc/15750. MR4375762

Inria, Université Paris-Saclay, 1 rue Honoré d'Estienne d'Orves, 91120 Palaiseau, France

URL: https://specfun.inria.fr/bostan/
Email address: alin.bostan@inria.fr

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090, VIENNA, AUSTRIA; AND INRIA, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 1 RUE HONORÉ D'ESTIENNE D'ORVES, 91120 PALAISEAU, FRANCE

URL: https://homepage.univie.ac.at/sergey.yurkevich/ $Email\ address$: sergey.yurkevich@univie.ac.at