On Zudilin's q-question about Schmidt's problem

Article in The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics · April 2012

CITATION

CITATION

13

2 authors:

Victor J. W. Guo
Huaiyin Normal University
161 PUBLICATIONS 1,738 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

READS

13

Uiang Zeng
Claude Bernard University Lyon 1
192 PUBLICATIONS 1,768 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project Orthogonal polynomials View project

On Zudilin's q-question about Schmidt's problem

Victor J. W. Guo¹ and Jiang Zeng²

¹Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn, http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo

²Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; Institut Camille Jordan, UMR 5208 du CNRS; 43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr, http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~zeng

Abstract. We propose an elemantary approach to Zudilin's q-question about Schmidt's problem [Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004), #R22], which has been solved in a previous paper [Acta Arith. 127 (2007), 17–31]. The new approach is based on a q-analogue of our recent result in [J. Number Theory 132 (2012), 1731–1740] derived from q-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity.

Keywords: Schmidt's problem, q-binomial coefficients, q-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity AMS Subject Classifications: 05A10, 05A30, 11B65

1 Introduction

In 2007, answering a question of Zudilin [7], the following result was proved in [3].

Theorem 1.1. Let $r \ge 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence of polynomials $\{c_i^{(r)}(q)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in q with nonnegative integral coefficients such that, for any $n \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{r\binom{n-k}{2} + (1-r)\binom{n}{2}} {n \brack k}^r {n+k \brack k}^r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^{\binom{n-i}{2} + (1-r)\binom{i}{2}} {n \brack i} {n+i \brack i} c_i^{(r)}(q). \tag{1.1}$$

Here, the q-binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k(q)_{n-k}}, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $(q)_0 = 1$ and $(q)_n = (1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ It is well known that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is a polynomial in q with nonnegative integral coefficients of degree k(n-k) (see [2, p. 33]).

The proof of (1.1) given in [3] is a q-analogue of Zudilin's [7] approach to Schmidt's problem (see [5,6]) by first using the q-Legendre inversion formula to obtain a formula for $c_k^{(r)}(q)$ and then applying a basic hypergeometric identity due to Andrews [1] to show that

the latter expression is indeed a polynomial in q with nonnegative integral coefficients. In this paper we propose a new and elementary approach to Zudilin's q-question, which yields not only a new proof of Theorem 1.1, but also more solutions to Zudilin's q-question about Schmidt's problem.

Our starting point is the following q-version of Lemma 4.2 in [4].

Lemma 1.2. Let $k \ge 0$ and $r \ge 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence of Laurent polynomials $\{P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)\}_{i=k}^{rk}$ in q with nonnegative integral coefficients such that, for any $n \ge k$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r = \sum_{i=k}^{\min\{n,rk\}} q^{(rk-i)n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q).$$
 (1.2)

Moreover, the polynomials $P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ can be computed recursively by $P_{k,k}^{(1)}(q) = 1$ and

$$P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q) = \sum_{i=k}^{rk} q^{(j-i)(j+k)} {k+i \brack i} {k \brack i-j} {k+j \brack j} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q), \ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant rk.$$
 (1.3)

To derive Theorem 1.1 from Lemma 1.2 we first consider a more general problem. Let f(x,y) and g(x,y) be any polynomials in x and y with integral coefficients. Multiplying (1.2) by $q^{-nkr+f(k,r)}$ and summing over k from 0 to n we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{-nkr+f(k,r)} {n \brack k}^r {n+k \brack k}^r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^{-ni-g(i,r)} {n \brack i} {n+i \brack i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q), \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$T_{ki}^{(r)}(q) = q^{f(k,r)+g(i,r)} P_{ki}^{(r)}(q), \ 0 \le k \le i, \text{ and } P_{ki}^{(r)}(q) = 0 \text{ if } i > kr.$$
 (1.5)

Obviously $T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ are Laurent polynomials in q with nonnegative integral coefficients. For example, taking f = g = 0, we immediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Let $r \ge 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence of Laurent polynomials $\{b_i^{(r)}(q)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in q with nonnegative integral coefficients such that, for any $n \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{-rkn} {n \brack k}^r {n+k \brack k}^r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} q^{-ni} {n \brack i} {n+i \brack i} b_i^{(r)}(q).$$
 (1.6)

Moreover, we have $b_i^{(r)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^i P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$.

Now, we look for a sufficient condition for $T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ in (1.4) to be a polynomial. It follows from (1.3) that

$$T_{k,i}^{(r+1)}(q) = \sum_{i=k}^{rk} q^{A} {k+j \brack j} {k \brack i-j} {i \brack k} T_{k,j}^{(r)}(q),$$
(1.7)

where

$$A = f(k, r+1) + g(i, r+1) - f(k, r) - g(j, r) + i(i-k-j).$$
(1.8)

Hence, the positivity of A will ensure that $T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q.

We shall first prove Lemma 1.2 in the next section and then prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 by choosing special polynomials f and g. Some open problems are raised in Section 4.

2 Proof of Lemma 1.2

We proceed by induction on r. We need the following form of Jackson's q-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity (see [2, pp. 37-38] or [5] for example):

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j \ge 0} q^{(N-j)(M-m-j)} \begin{bmatrix} M-m \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} N+m \\ m+j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+n+j \\ M+N \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.1)

Substituting $m \to k - i$, $n \to n + i$, $M \to n - i$ and $N \to i$ in (2.1), we get

which can be rewritten as

It is clear that (1.2) holds for r=1 with $P_{k,k}^{(r)}(q)=1$. Suppose that (1.2) holds for some $r\geqslant 1$. Multiplying both sides of (1.2) by $\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{k}$ and applying (2.2), we immediately get

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{r+1} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{r+1} = \sum_{i=k}^{rk} q^{(rk-i)n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)
\times \sum_{j=0}^{i} q^{(i-j)(n-k-j)} \frac{(q)_{k+i}(q)_{j}}{(q)_{k+j}(q)_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}
= \sum_{j=0}^{rk} q^{(rk-j)n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k+j \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q),$$
(2.3)

where $P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q)$ is given by (1.3). By the induction hypothesis, these $P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q)$ are Laurent polynomials in q with nonnegative integral coefficients. Hence Lemma 1.2 is true for r+1.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In (1.4), taking $f(k,r) = r\binom{k+1}{2}$, $g(i,r) = (r-2)\binom{i}{2} - i$, and multiplying by $q^{\binom{n}{2}}$, we obtain (1.1) with

$$c_i^{(r)}(q) = q^{(r-2)\binom{i}{2}-i} \sum_{k=0}^i q^{r\binom{k+1}{2}} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q).$$
(3.1)

By (1.8) the corresponding A reads as follows

$$A = (r - 2) \left[\binom{i}{2} - \binom{j}{2} \right] + \binom{i - k}{2} + (i - 1)(i - j).$$

If $r \ge 2$, since $i \ge j$, we have $A \ge 0$. If r = 1, then (1.7) implies that j = k and $A = 2\binom{i-k}{2} \ge 0$. Thus the $c_i^{(r)}(q)$ in (3.1) is a polynomial in q. For example, by (1.5) we have

$$T_{k,i}^{(2)}(q) = q^{2\binom{i-k}{2}} {2k \brack i} {i \brack k}^2,$$

and

$$c_i^{(2)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^i q^{2\binom{i-k}{2}} {2k \brack i} {i \brack k}^2,$$

which coincides with [3, (3,1)].

4 Open problems

For any positive integers r and s, it is easy to see that there are uniquely determined rational numbers $c_k^{(r,s)}$ $(k \ge 0)$, independent of n $(n \ge 0)$, satisfying

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^r \binom{n+k}{k}^r = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^s \binom{n+k}{k}^s c_k^{(r,s)}.$$
 (4.1)

When s = 1 and $r \ge 1$, the integrality of $c_k^{(r,s)}$ is the original problem of Schmidt [5]. When s > 1 and r > s, we observe that the numbers $c_k^{(r,s)}$ are not always integers. From arithmetical point of view, the following problems may be interesting.

Conjecture 4.1. For any s > 1 and $n \ge 0$, there is an integer r > s such that all the numbers $c_k^{(r,s)}$ $(0 \le k \le n)$ are integers.

For s = 2, via Maple, we find that the least such integers r := r(n, s) are r(0, 2) = r(1, 2) = r(2, 2) = 3, r(3, 2) = 7, r(4, 2) = 32, r(5, 2) = 212.

Conjecture 4.2. For any r > s > 1, there is a positive integer n such that $c_n^{(r,s)}$ is not an integer.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, The Theory and Application of Special Functions (R. Askey, Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] V.J.W. Guo, F. Jouhet, and J. Zeng, Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and q-binomial coefficients, Acta Arith. 127 (2007), 17–31.
- [4] V.J.W. Guo, J. Zeng, Proof of some conjectures of Z.-W. Sun on congruences for Apéry polynomials, J. Number Theory 132 (2012), 1731–1740.
- [5] A.L. Schmidt, Generalized q-Legendre polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 49 (1993), 243-249.
- [6] V. Strehl, Binomial identities combinatorial and algorithmical aspects, Discrete Math. 136 (1994), 309–346.
- [7] W. Zudilin, On a combinatorial problem of Asmus Schmidt, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004), #R22.