

Dopo aver modificato gli indirizzi ip questi sono i risultati con il comando ping se è fatto correttamente:

- kali linux

```
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=14 ttl=64 time=0.995 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=15 ttl=64 time=1.33 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=16 ttl=64 time=1.01 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=17 ttl=64 time=1.16 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=18 ttl=64 time=1.14 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=19 ttl=64 time=2.59 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=20 ttl=64 time=1.35 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=21 ttl=64 time=4.23 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=22 ttl=64 time=0.939 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=23 ttl=64 time=0.664 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=24 ttl=64 time=0.965 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=25 ttl=64 time=2.15 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.101: icmp_seq=26 ttl=64 time=1.15 ms
```

```
(kali㉿kali)-[~]
$ ping 192.168.50.102
PING 192.168.50.102 (192.168.50.102) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=1 ttl=128 time=2.68 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=2 ttl=128 time=2.62 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=3 ttl=128 time=1.51 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=4 ttl=128 time=1.04 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=5 ttl=128 time=1.84 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=6 ttl=128 time=4.16 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=7 ttl=128 time=1.05 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=8 ttl=128 time=1.28 ms
```

- metasploitable

```
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=144 ttl=64 time=0.948 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=145 ttl=64 time=1.75 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=146 ttl=64 time=1.53 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=147 ttl=64 time=1.91 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=148 ttl=64 time=1.84 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=149 ttl=64 time=1.19 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=150 ttl=64 time=0.904 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=151 ttl=64 time=1.15 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=152 ttl=64 time=1.23 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=153 ttl=64 time=1.04 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.100: icmp_seq=154 ttl=64 time=1.06 ms
```

```
msfadmin@metasploitable:~$ ping 192.168.50.102
PING 192.168.50.102 (192.168.50.102) 56(84) bytes of data:
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=1 ttl=128 time=15.1 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=2 ttl=128 time=1.55 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=3 ttl=128 time=0.767 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=4 ttl=128 time=0.690 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=5 ttl=128 time=0.751 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=6 ttl=128 time=2.19 ms
64 bytes from 192.168.50.102: icmp_seq=7 ttl=128 time=2.89 ms
```

- windows 7

```
Pinging 192.168.50.100 with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 192.168.50.100: bytes=32 time=3ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.50.100: bytes=32 time<1ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.50.100: bytes=32 time<1ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.50.100: bytes=32 time<1ms TTL=64

Ping statistics for 192.168.50.100:
    Packets: Sent = 4, Received = 4, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
    Minimum = 0ms, Maximum = 3ms, Average = 0ms
C:\Users\vboxuser>$_
```

```
C:\Users\vboxuser>ping 192.168.50.101

Pinging 192.168.50.101 with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 192.168.50.101: bytes=32 time=1ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.50.101: bytes=32 time<1ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.50.101: bytes=32 time<1ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.50.101: bytes=32 time<1ms TTL=64

Ping statistics for 192.168.50.101:
    Packets: Sent = 4, Received = 4, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
    Minimum = 0ms, Maximum = 1ms, Average = 0ms
```