



Walchand College of Engineering

(Government Aided Autonomous Institute)
Vishrambag, Sangli. 416415



Computer Algorithms

M1: Introduction

24-25

D B Kulkarni

Information Technology Department



Assignment

Profiling

Tools

1. gprof - with call graph
2. perf in linux
3. LTTng- Linux Tracing Toolbox next generation
4. VTune
5. Valgrind


Manholes



Why are they circular in shape?



Division?



Statement: Given n similar coins and balance find

- With least usage of balance, the only faulty (different weight) coin





Schedule

- 3 Lect/week
Mon (08:00-9:00AM) , Tue (08:00-9:00AM), Wed (08:00-9:00AM) Online till Aug 15
- 60 mins session
 - 5 mins Doubts/discussion/warmup
 - 20 mins session I
 - 5 mins break
 - 20 mins session II
 - 10 Discussion & attendance



Algorithm

- What is an algorithm? –Pseudocode, logic
- Phases- Devise, Validate, Analyze, Test (DVAT)
- Properties:
 - Written in simple English, statement, description
 - Should accept all possible input- Generic
 - Platform (s/w, H/w independent)
 - Terminate
- Algorithm evaluation
 - Priori- Performance analysis
 - Posteriori- Performance measurement




Algorithm: Analysis


- Analysis: Primitive operation (comparison/item manipulations/#of use of function(module))
 - Complexity
 - Time
 - Space
- Asymptotic notations:
 - Big O (upper bound)
 - Omega (lower bound)
 - Theta (both bound)
- Strategies
 - Iterative
 - Recursive




Algorithm Analysis: Growth of Function



BIG O' NOTATION TIME COMPLEXITY






$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$


Upper Bound



$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$$

$$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$$

Lower Bound



$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$$

LU (both) Bound



Algorithms: Examples

1. Finding largest and smallest
2. Finding largest and second largest
3. Knapsack problem



Examples: Finding largest and smallest

Finding largest and smallest

- (N-1) comparisons for each (smallest and largest)
 - Total (2N-2)?

- $(\lceil 3N/2 \rceil - 2)$



Examples: Finding Winner and Runner-up

Finding Winner and Runner-up

$(N-1)$ comparisons to find Winner, $(N-2)$ for Runner-up = Total $(3N-2)$

- Find Winner $(N-1)$
- Runner-up could be found by finding winner between all payers who lost to the winner $(\lceil \log N \rceil - 1)$
- Total $N-2 + (\lceil \log N \rceil)$

Knapsack problem

➤ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=33k8EPNriTM>



Knapsack problem

Knapsack (Bag)

- Weight handling capacity of Knapsack M
- Items to be inserted
- Item (wheat, jowar, rice...) Weight and profit/utilization factor given

➤ Optimization

- Best the one which carries max profit!!!
- Best the one which has least weight!!!

0-1 KNAPSACK PROBLEM

(CAN EITHER TAKE A WHOLE ITEM OR NOT AT ALL)





Fractional Knapsack Problem

Fractional Knapsack

- Fractional part of item/s are allowed to be added into the knapsack

Fractional Knapsack Problem: Put the items X_i (with weight w_i and profit p_i) in a knapsack of capacity M to get the maximum profit, given the weights and values of n items.

Maximise $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i p_i$ with constraint of $(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i) \leq M$

► Strategies

- Add item with min weight X
- Add item with max profit X
- Add item based on profit/weight ---Correct result



Fractional Knapsack: Example

Item (M=100)	1. Sugar	2. Tea	3. Masala	4. Salt
Weight	60	10	30	80
Profit	30	40	10	20

Optimal:

Greedy Approach 1: Less weight: Sort items w^{\wedge} - 2,3,1-**p80**

Greedy Approach 2: More profit: Sort items p^{\vee} -2,1,3/8(4)-p77.5

Greedy Approach 3:

Correct Approach: Less weight/profit: Sort items $(w/p)^{\wedge}$ -2,1,3-**p80**



0-1 Knapsack problem

- Fraction of item not allowed
 - Approach?
 - You cannot break an item, either pick the complete item or don't pick it (0-1 property).
-
- Brute force method: Consider all subsets of weight where sum is $\leq M$, select the one with highest profit
 - Dynamic programming approach



Dynamic programming Approach

- Determine the structure of an optimal solution
 - Decompose the problem into sub-problems
- Recursively define the value of an optimal solution.
- Compute the value of an optimal solution in a bottom-up fashion.
- Construct an optimal solution from computed information



Messages transmission

Communication

Plain, **Secure**

- ◆ **Encode a message composed of a string of characters**
- ◆ **Codes used by computer systems**
 - ASCII
 - uses 8 bits per character
 - can encode 256 characters
 - Unicode
 - 16 bits per character
 - can encode 65536 characters
 - includes all characters encoded by ASCII
- ◆ **ASCII and Unicode are *fixed-length codes***
 - all characters represented by same number of bits



Problem

Suppose that we want to encode a message constructed (only) from the symbols A, B, C, D, and E using a fixed-length code

- How many bits are required to encode each symbol?
 - ◆ at least 3 bits are required
 - ◆ 2 bits are not enough (can only encode four symbols)

How many bits are required to encode the message ACDBADDCDBAC?

- ◆ there are twelve symbols, each requires 3 bits
- ◆ $12 \times 3 = 36$ bits are required

A = 00
B = 01
C = 10
D = 11

A C D B A D D C D B A C

00 10 11 01 00 11 11 10 11 01 00 10



Fixed-length codes: Analysis

- ◆ **Benefit: Simple**
- ◆ **Drawback:**
 - **Same number of bits used to represent all characters:** 'a' and 'e' occur more frequently than 'q' and 'z'
 - **Wasted space:** Unicode uses twice as much space as ASCII, inefficient for plain-text messages containing only ASCII characters
- ◆ **Potential solution: use variable-length codes**
 - variable number of bits to represent characters when frequency of occurrence is known
 - short codes for characters that occur frequently



Variable-length codes

- ◆ **The advantage of variable-length codes over fixed-length is short codes can be given to characters that occur frequently**
 - on average, the length of the encoded message is less than fixed-length encoding
- ◆ **Potential problem: how do we know where one character ends and another begins?**
 - not a problem if number of bits is fixed!

- ◆ The following code **can not be used**

Symbol	Code
P	0
Q	1
R	01
S	10
T	11

- As the pattern 1110 can be decoded as

□ 1 1 1 0 (QQQP), 1 11 0 (QTP), 11 1 0 (QQS), or 11 10 (TS)



Prefix property

- ◆ A code has the prefix property if no character code is the prefix (start of the code) for another character

- ◆ Example:

Symbol	Code
P	000
Q	11
R	01
S	001
T	10

01001101100010

R S T Q P T

- ◆ 000 is not a prefix of 11, 01, 001, or 10
- ◆ 11 is not a prefix of 000, 01, 001, or 10 ...



- ◆ **Design a variable-length prefix-free code such that the message DEACAAAAABA can be encoded using 22 bits**
- ◆ **Possible solution:**
 - **A** occurs eight times while **B**, **C**, **D**, and **E** each occur once
 - represent **A** with a one bit code, say 0
 - remaining codes cannot start with 0
 - represent **B** with the two bit code 10
 - remaining codes cannot start with 0 or 10
 - represent **C** with 110
 - represent **D** with 1110
 - represent **E** with 11110



Encoded message

DEAACAAAAAB

A

Symbol	Code
A	0
B	10
C	110
D	1110
E	11110

1110111100011000000

100

22 bits



Another possible code

DEAACAAAAABA

Symbol	Code
A	0
B	100
C	101
D	1101
E	1111

1101111100101000001000

22 bits



Another possible code ...contd

DEAACAAAAABA

Symbol	Code
A	0
B	100
C	101
D	110
E	111

11011100101000001000

20 bits



What code to use?

- ◆ **Question: Is there a variable-length code that makes the most efficient use of space?**

Answer: Yes!



Huffman coding

YouTube video : <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dM6us854Jk0>

◆ **Binary tree**

- each leaf contains symbol (character)
- label edge from node to left child with 0
- label edge from node to right child with 1

◆ **Code for any symbol obtained by following path from root to the leaf containing symbol**

◆ **Code has prefix property**

- leaf node cannot appear on path to another leaf
- *note*: fixed-length codes are represented by a complete Huffman tree and clearly have the prefix property



Huffman tree

- ◆ **Find frequencies of each symbol occurring in message**
- ◆ **Begin with a forest of single node trees**
 - each contain symbol and its frequency
- ◆ **Do recursively**
 - select two trees with smallest frequency at the root
 - produce a new binary tree with the selected trees as children and store the sum of their frequencies in the root
- ◆ **Recursion ends when there is one tree**
 - this is the Huffman coding tree



Huffman code & encoded message


- ◆ Build the Huffman coding tree for the message

This is his message

- ◆ Character frequencies


Char	A	G	M	T	E	H	_	I	S
Freq	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	5

- ◆ Begin with forest of single trees











Huffman code & encoded message










Huffman code & encoded message






Huffman code & encoded message






Huffman code & encoded message






Huffman code & encoded message






Huffman code & encoded message






Huffman code & encoded message






Huffman code & encoded message





Huffman code & encoded message





Huffman code & encoded message

This is his message

S	11
E	010
H	011
_	100
I	101
A	0000
G	0001
M	0010
T	0011

0011 011 101 11 100 10111100011101111000010010111100000001010



Huffman code - Online Tool

[Huffman Coding Calculator - Compression Tree Generator - Online \(dcode.fr\)](https://www.dcode.fr/huffman-tree-compression)

<https://www.dcode.fr/huffman-tree-compression>

Questions

1. Given the instance of problem whether the coding will always be similar? Elaborate.
2. Given the encoded bit-string can we reform the message? Elaborate.



Matrix Multiplication

$$\begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ A \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \\ B \end{matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (5*1)+(2*2)+(3*1) & (5*7)+(2*5)+(3*9) \\ (2*1)+(0*2)+(4*1) & (2*7)+(0*5)+(4*9) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 72 \\ 6 & 50 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Suppose we have A, B matrices to be multiplied
 - That is, we want to compute the product $A * B$
 - Orders of matrix (rows*columns)
 - $A(2 \times 3)$
 - $B(3 \times 2)$
- Compatibility Condition for Mat-Mult $A(p \times q)$ and $A(r \times s)$ is $q=r$
- # Columns of A = # Rows of B



- To compute the number of scalar multiplications necessary, we must know:
 - Algorithm to multiply two matrices
 - Matrix dimensions
- Can you write the algorithm to multiply two matrices?



Algorithm to Multiply two Matrices


Input: Matrices $A_{p \times q}$ and $B_{q \times r}$ (with dimensions $p \times q$ and $q \times r$)

Result: Matrix $C_{p \times r}$ resulting from the product $A \cdot B$

MATRIX-MULTIPLY($A_{p \times q}, B_{q \times r}$)

1. **for** $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** p
2. **for** $j \leftarrow 1$ **to** r
3. $C[i, j] \leftarrow 0$
4. **for** $k \leftarrow 1$ **to** q
5. $C[i, j] \leftarrow C[i, j] + A[i, k] \cdot B[k, j]$
6. **return** C

Scalar multiplication in line 5 dominates time to compute.
Number of scalar multiplications = pqr



- Suppose we have a sequence or chain A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of n matrices to be multiplied
 - That is, we want to compute the product $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$
- There are many possible ways (parenthesizations) to compute the product



Matrix-chain Multiplication ...contd

- Example: consider the chain A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 of 4 matrices
 - Let us compute the product $A_1A_2A_3A_4$
- There are 5 possible ways:
 1. $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$
 2. $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$
 3. $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$
 4. $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$
 5. $((((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4))$



Matrix-chain Multiplication ...contd

- Example: Consider three matrices $A_{10 \times 100}$, $B_{100 \times 5}$, and $C_{5 \times 50}$
- There are 2 ways to parenthesize
 - $((AB)C) = D_{10 \times 5} \cdot C_{5 \times 50}$
 - $AB \Rightarrow 10 \cdot 100 \cdot 5 = 5,000$ scalar multiplications
 - $DC \Rightarrow 10 \cdot 5 \cdot 50 = 2,500$ scalar multiplications

} Total:
7,500
 - $(A(BC)) = A_{10 \times 100} \cdot E_{100 \times 50}$
 - $BC \Rightarrow 100 \cdot 5 \cdot 50 = 25,000$ scalar multiplications
 - $AE \Rightarrow 10 \cdot 100 \cdot 50 = 50,000$ scalar multiplications

} Total:
75,000



Matrix-chain Multiplication ...contd

- For example, if A_1 is a 10×30 matrix, A_2 is a 30×5 matrix, and A_3 is a 5×60 matrix, then
$$(A_1 A_2) A_3 \square (10 \times 30 \times 5) + (10 \times 5 \times 60) = 1500 + 3000 = 4500 \text{ operations}$$
$$A_1 (A_2 A_3) \square (30 \times 5 \times 60) + (10 \times 30 \times 60) = 9000 + 18000 = 27000 \text{ operations}$$
- To multiply n matrices $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\}$, all combinations can be checked and least one can be accepted....brute force method.....complexity 2^n
- Dynamic programming approach has complexity of n^3



Matrix-chain Multiplication ...contd

- Matrix-chain multiplication problem
 - Given a chain A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of n matrices, where for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, **matrix A_i has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_i$**
 - Parenthesize the product $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ such that the total number of scalar multiplications is minimized
- Brute force method of exhaustive search takes time exponential in n



- The structure of an optimal solution
 - Let us use the notation $A_{i..j}$ for the matrix that results from the product $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$
 - An optimal parenthesization of the product $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ splits the product between A_k and A_{k+1} for some integer k , where $1 \leq k < n$
 - First compute matrices $A_{1..k}$ and $A_{k+1..n}$; then multiply them to get the final matrix $A_{1..n}$



Dynamic Programming Approach ...contd

- **Key observation:** parenthesizations of the sub chains $A_1A_2\dots A_k$ and $A_{k+1}A_{k+2}\dots A_n$ must also be optimal if the parenthesization of the chain $A_1A_2\dots A_n$ is optimal (why?)
- That is, the optimal solution to the problem contains within it the optimal solution to subproblems

- Recursive definition of the value of an optimal solution
 - Let $m[i, j]$ be the minimum number of scalar multiplications necessary to compute $A_{i..j}$
 - Minimum cost to compute $A_{1..n}$ is $m[1, n]$
 - Suppose the optimal parenthesization of $A_{i..j}$ splits the product between A_k and A_{k+1} for some integer k where $i \leq k < j$



Dynamic Programming Approach ...contd

- $A_{i..j} = (A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_k) \cdot (A_{k+1} A_{k+2} \dots A_j) = A_{i..k} \cdot A_{k+1..j}$
- Cost of computing $A_{i..j}$ = cost of computing $A_{i..k}$ + cost of computing $A_{k+1..j}$ + cost of multiplying $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$
- Cost of multiplying $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$ is $p_{i-1} p_k p_j$
- $m[i, j] = m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1} p_k p_j$ for $i \leq k < j$
- $m[i, i] = 0$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$



Dynamic Programming Approach ...contd

- But... optimal parenthesization occurs at one value of k among all possible $i \leq k < j$
- Check all these and select the best one

$$m[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=j \\ \min_{i \leq k < j} \{m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j\} & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$$



Dynamic Programming Approach ...contd

- To keep track of how to construct an optimal solution, we use a table s
- $s[i, j]$ = value of k at which $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$ is split for optimal parenthesization
- Algorithm: next slide
 - First computes costs for chains of length $l=1$
 - Then for chains of length $l=2,3, \dots$ and so on
 - Computes the optimal cost bottom-up



Algorithm to Compute Optimal Cost

Input: Array $p[0..n]$ containing matrix dimensions and n

Result: Minimum-cost table m and split table s

MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER($p[], n$)

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** n

$m[i, i] \leftarrow 0$

for $l \leftarrow 2$ **to** n

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** $n-l+1$

$j \leftarrow i+l-1$

$m[i, j] \leftarrow \infty$

for $k \leftarrow i$ **to** $j-1$

$q \leftarrow m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p[i-1] p[k] p[j]$

if $q < m[i, j]$

$m[i, j] \leftarrow q$

$s[i, j] \leftarrow k$

return m and s

Takes $O(n^3)$ time

Requires $O(n^2)$ space



Constructing Optimal Solution

- Our algorithm computes the minimum-cost table m and the split table s
- The optimal solution can be constructed from the split table s
 - Each entry $s[i, j]=k$ shows where to split the product $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$ for the minimum cost



Example

- Show how to multiply this matrix chain optimally



Matrix	Dimension
A_1	30×35
A_2	35×15
A_3	15×5
A_4	5×10
A_5	10×20
A_6	20×25





- Solution on the board
 - Minimum cost 15,125
 - Optimal parenthesization
 $((A_1(A_2A_3))((A_4A_5)A_6))$



-
- Diagram illustrating a diamond-shaped grid structure, likely representing a 2D lattice or a discretized domain. The grid is composed of diamond-shaped cells arranged in a triangular pattern. The top row has 1 cell, the second row has 2 cells, the third row has 3 cells, the fourth row has 4 cells, and the bottom row has 5 cells. The cells in the bottom row are labeled 0, 0, 0, 0, 0. The cells in the fourth row are labeled 1, 2, 3, 4. The cells in the third row are labeled 2, 3. The cells in the second row are labeled 3, 4. The top cell is labeled 1. The grid is labeled with j on the left and i on the right. Below the grid, there are labels p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 with arrows pointing up to the bottom row of cells. Above the grid, there are labels A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 with arrows pointing down to the bottom row of cells. The label $m[i,j]$ is at the top right.



Compute	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Phase 1	m[1,2],m[2,3],m[3,4]	m[1,3], m[2,4]	m[1,4]
Record	s[1,4]	s[1,s[1,4]] or s[s[1,4],4]	Parentisize



$$\begin{aligned} m[1, 2] &= \min_{1 \leq k < 2} (m[1, k] + m[k + 1, 2] + p_0 p_k p_2) & m[2, 3] &= \min_{2 \leq k < 3} (m[2, k] + m[k + 1, 3] + p_1 p_k p_3) \\ &= m[1, 1] + m[2, 2] + p_0 p_1 p_2 = 120. & &= m[2, 2] + m[3, 3] + p_1 p_2 p_3 = 48. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m[1, 3] &= \min_{1 \leq k \leq 3} (m[1, k] + m[k + 1, 3] + p_0 p_k p_3) \\ &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m[1, 1] + m[2, 3] + p_0 p_1 p_3 \\ m[1, 2] + m[3, 3] + p_0 p_2 p_3 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= 88. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m[1, 4] &= \min_{1 \leq k < 4} (m[1, k] + m[k + 1, 4] + p_0 p_k p_4) \\ &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m[1, 1] + m[2, 4] + p_0 p_1 p_4 \\ m[1, 2] + m[3, 4] + p_0 p_2 p_4 \\ m[1, 3] + m[4, 4] + p_0 p_3 p_4 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= 158. \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} M[2,4] &= \min_{2 \leq k < 4} \{m[2,k] + m[k+1,4] + p_1 p_k p_4\} \\ &= \min\{(m[2,2] + m[3,4] + p_1 p_2 p_4), (\mathbf{m[2,3] + m[4,4] + p_1 p_3 p_4})\} = \text{Min}\{(254), (\mathbf{104})\} \\ &= 104; \end{aligned}$$

$S[2,4]=2$, $s[1,3]=\mathbf{1}$,
 $s[1,4]=\mathbf{3}$,

$$(A1(A2A3))A4$$




MCM Example..contd...




- For $m[i,j]$ let $s[i,j]=k$ for optimal splitting (index for which $m[i,j]$ is minimum)
- Lets split matrix chain $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$ into $(A_i \dots A_k) * (A_{k+1} \dots A_j)$ and compute $m[i,k]$ and $m[k+1,j]$
 - For $m[i,k]$ let $s[i,k]=l$ for optimal splitting and for $m[k+1,j]$ let $s[k+1,j]=m$ be the value for optimal splitting
 - split matrix chain $A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_k$ into $(A_i \dots A_l) * (A_{l+1} \dots A_k)$ and $A_{k+1} A_{k+2} \dots A_j$ into $(A_{k+1} \dots A_m) * (A_{m+1} \dots A_j)$
 - Recurse
- Example
 - Minimum from which $m[1,4]$ is calculated, will give value of corresponding k
 - Record this value as $s[1,4]$
 - $m[1,s[1,4]]$ OR $m[s[1,4]+1,4]$
- Parenthesize

Longest common sub-sequence (LCS)



Longest Common Subsequence (LCS)

- Given two sequences $x[1 \dots m]$ and $y[1 \dots n]$, find a longest subsequence common to them both.



LCS(x,y) = BCBA

- Given two sequences $\{X, Y\}$, finding the longest subsequence common to both. $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$
 - Sub-sequences are different from sub-string
- Complexity **NP Hard** problem with complexity - $2^{(\text{lenth of sequence})}$



LCS: Formula

- Let $Z=\{z_1, z_2 \dots z_k\}$ be the LCS of X and Y
 - If $z_k = x_n = y_m$, then z_{k-1} is LCS of X_{n-1} and Y_{m-1}
 - $X_n <> y_m$
 - $z_k <> x_n$ then z_k is LCS of X_{n-1} and Y_m
 - $z_k <> y_m$, then z_k is LCS of X_n and Y_{m-1}

Let the two sequences be $X=\{x_1, x_2 \dots x_i\}$ $Y=\{y_1, y_2 \dots y_j\}$ where $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$

- Then Longest common sub-sequence (LCS) of X and Y is given as
- $$\begin{aligned} \text{LCS}(i,j) &= 0, && \text{if } i=0 \text{ OR } j=0 \\ &= 1 + \text{LCS}(i-1, j-1), && \text{if } x_i = y_j \\ &= \max\{\text{LCS}(i, j-1), \text{LCS}(i-1, j)\}, && \text{if } x_i \neq y_j \end{aligned}$$
- To find Length of LCS & LCS

LCS: Example

$$X_{i=5} = \{A, C, A, D, B\}, Y_{j=4} = \{C, B, D, A\}$$

$$\text{LCS}(i, j) = 0, \quad \text{if } i=0 \text{ OR } j=0$$

$$= 1 + \text{LCS}(i-1, j-1), \quad \text{if } x_i = y_j$$

$$= \max\{\text{LCS}(i, j-1), \text{LCS}(i-1, j)\} \text{ if } x_i \neq y_j$$

	Y_j	$y_1=C$	$y_2=B$	$y_3=D$	$y_4=A$
X_i	0	0	0	0	0
$x_1=A$	0	0	0	0	1
$x_2=C$	0	1	1	1	1
$x_3=A$	0	1	1	1	2
$x_4=D$	0	1	1	2	2
$x_5=B$	0	1	2	2	2



LCS: Example $X=\{A,C,A,D,B\}$, $Y=\{C,B,D,A\}$

	C	B	D	A
A	0	0	0	0
C	0	1	1	1
A	0	1	1	2
D	0	1	2	2
B	0	1	2	2

	C	B	D	A
A	0	0	0	0
C	0	1	1	1
A	0	1	1	2
D	0	1	2	2
B	0	1	2	2

	C	B	D	A
A	0	0	0	0
C	0	1	1	1
A	0	1	1	2
D	0	1	2	2
B	0	1	2	2

Select the cells
with diagonal
arrows

	C	B	D	A
A	0	0	0	0
C	0	1	1	1
A	0	1	1	2
D	0	1	2	2
B	0	1	2	2

$\{C,A\}\{C,D\}\{C,B\}$

LCS: Homework Example

- $X=\{A,B,C,B,D,A,B\}$, $Y\{B,D,C,A,B,A\}$



- THANK YOU