

CS221 Fall 2018 Homework Foundations

SUNet ID: prabhjot

Name: Prabhjot Singh Rai

By turning in this assignment, I agree by the Stanford honor code and declare that all of this is my own work.

Problem 1

- (a) In order to check whether the minima exists for the function, $f(\theta)$, we calculate $f''(\theta)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{df(\theta)}{d\theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(\theta - x_i) \\ g(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i\theta - \sum_{i=1}^n w_ix_i\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating $g(\theta)$, we get

$$\frac{dg(\theta)}{d\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \tag{1}$$

Since it is given that w_1, \dots, w_n are positive real numbers, therefore $f''(\theta) > 0$, which proves that the graph of this quadratic function is convex and minima exists at value of θ when $f'(\theta) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{df(\theta)}{d\theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(\theta - x_i) \\ 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i\theta - \sum_{i=1}^n w_ix_i \\ \theta &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_ix_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the value of θ which minimizes $f(\theta)$ is $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_ix_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$. If some of the w_i 's are negative, then from equation (1), it's not necessary that $f''(\theta)$ is always positive. If $f''(\theta) < 0$, the function will be a concave function and minima will not be defined. And if a point of inflection exists ($f''(\theta) = 0$), then the function would be a straight line and minima won't be defined.

- (b) Let n vectors out of x_1, \dots, x_d be negative where $n \leq d$. Let sum of negative vectors be $-\beta$ and sum of positive vectors be α , where α and β are both positive. Evaluating

$f(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} s x_i \\
&= \max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} s(\alpha) + \max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} s(-\beta) \\
&= \alpha + \beta \quad (\max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} s \text{ is } 1 \text{ for } \alpha \text{ and } -1 \text{ for } \beta)
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating $g(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x) &= \max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} \sum_{i=1}^d s x_i \\
&= \max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} s \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \\
&= \max_{s \in \{1, -1\}} s(\alpha - \beta) \\
g(x) &= \begin{cases} \alpha - \beta & \text{for } \alpha > \beta \\ \beta - \alpha & \text{for } \beta > \alpha \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha = \beta \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ for different cases:

$$f(x) - g(x) = \begin{cases} 2\beta & \text{for } \alpha > \beta \\ 2\alpha & \text{for } \beta > \alpha \\ 2\alpha = 2\beta & \text{for } \alpha = \beta \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if α and β are non-zero, $f(x) > g(x)$, else $f(x) = g(x)$. Hence, for all \mathbf{x} , $f(x) \geq g(x)$.

- (c) Let $E(a, b)$ denote the expected number of points when we stop. Only cases to consider would be 1, 2 and 6. Let's divide the sequences into 3 cases.

One third times we get a 1 first, and then we stop.

One third times we get a 2 first, and then we repeat.

One third times we get a 6 first, and then we repeat.

Expressing in equation form, it would be

$$\begin{aligned}
E(x) &= \frac{1}{3}(0) + \frac{1}{3}(-a + E(x)) + \frac{1}{3}(b + E(x)) \\
3E(x) &= -a + b + 2E(x) \\
E(x) &= b - a
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Since we know that value of p for which $\ln L(p) = 0$ must also satisfy $L(p) = 0$, checking the point where the slope of the function is zero

$$\begin{aligned}\ln L(p) &= 4 \ln p + 3 \ln(1 - p) \\ \frac{d \ln L(p)}{dp} &= \frac{4}{p} - \frac{3}{1 - p} \\ 0 &= -4 + 4p + 3p \\ p &= \frac{4}{7}\end{aligned}$$

In order to check if it's a maxima or a minima at $p = \frac{4}{7}$, let's check the sign on substituting p in $L''(p)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dL(p)}{dp} &= 4x^3(1 - x)^3 - 3x^4(1 - x)^2 \\ \frac{d^2L(p)}{dp^2} &= (1 - x)^2(12x^2 - 28x^3) - 2(1 - x)(4x^3 - 7x^4)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $p = \frac{4}{7}$ we get an approximate value of -0.238 , therefore, the function has a maxima at this point.

Intuitively, the maximum probability would be when p is equivalent to the number of times the desired result came up divided by the total number of events.

- (e) Let's say that the $a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{id})^\top$ and $b_j = (b_{j1}, b_{j2}, \dots, b_{jd})^\top$, then

$$\begin{aligned}f(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w)^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{i1}w_1 + a_{i2}w_2 \dots a_{id}w_{id} - (b_{j1}w_1 + b_{j2}w_2 \dots b_{jd}w_{jd}))^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the gradient $\nabla f(w)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(w) &= \left(\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_d} \right)^\top \\ &= \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w)(a_{i1} - b_{j1}) + 2\lambda w_1, \dots, 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w)(a_{id} - b_{jd}) + 2\lambda w_d \right)^\top\end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

- (a) This problem can be thought of finding the number of ways of creating a rectangle inside a rectangle of width m pixels and height n pixels ($m = n$ for this problem, but we will use it later). Therefore, the number of points which can be selected to create a rectangle are $m + 1$ and $n + 1$.

Total number of points = (Number of ways first point is selected) (Number of ways next point is selected on the remaining points on width) (Number of ways next point is selected on remaining points on height) / (Number of points of rectangle, since for each point, the remaining points are selected again)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{No of ways} &= \frac{\binom{(m+1)(n+1)}{1} \binom{m}{1} \binom{n}{1}}{4} \\ &= \frac{m(m+1)n(n+1)}{4} \end{aligned}$$

For the given problem, $m = n$. Therefore

$$\text{No of ways 1 rectange can be created} = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}$$

This way, the number of possible faces are

$$\text{No of ways 6 rectange can be created} = \left(\frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}\right)^6$$

Therefore, asymptotic complexity for this function is $O(n^{24})$.

- (b) Let $v(i, j)$ represent the cost at a particular point. Cost of touching the point (i, j) can be defined as the sum of cost at (i, j) and (cost of touching point at $(i - 1, j)$ or cost of touching point at $(i, j - 1)$).

$$c(i, j) = v(i, j) + c(i - 1, j) \text{ or } c(i, j) = v(i, j) + c(i, j - 1)$$

Minimum cost to reach point (i, j) is can be defined as

$$c_{min}(i, j) = v(i, j) + \min_{(i,j) \in \{(i-1,j), (i,j-1)\}} c_{min}(i, j)$$

where $\min_{(i,j) \in \{(i-1,j), (i,j-1)\}} c_{min}(i, j)$ is the minimum of the cost between reaching point $(i - 1, j)$ and $(i, j - 1)$.

Let cost_matrix be a matrix consisting of costs at all the points. Algorithm to compute this efficiently is defined as follows

```

def min_cost(i, j, cost_matrix):

    # iterating over first column and computing cost
    # for traversing till the element (i, 0)
    for m in range(1, i + 1):
        cost_matrix[m][0] = cost_matrix[m - 1][0] + cost_matrix[m][0]

    # iterating over first row and computing cost
    # for traversing till the element (j, 0)
    for n in range(1, j + 1):
        cost_matrix[0][n] = cost_matrix[0][n - 1] + cost_matrix[0][n]

    # iterating over remaining entries for which the
    # cost for top and left elements have already
    # been computed
    for m in range(1, i + 1):
        for n in range(1, j + 1):
            cost_matrix[m][n] = min(cost_matrix[m - 1][n],
                                     cost_matrix[m][n - 1]) \
                                + cost_matrix[m][n]

    return cost_matrix[i][j]

```

Since there are two nested loops for each dimension, the runtime of the function is $O(n^2)$.

- (c) Total number of ways to reach to the top can be calculated by counting the number of ways the person can select a step on moving forward towards his way to the top, given that he has to land on the final step.

There can be two different cases for each step, either the step is selected on the way to the top or is skipped.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Total ways} &= (\text{1st Selected or Skipped})(\text{2nd Selected or Skipped}) \dots (\text{Last Selected}) \\
 &= 2 * 2 * 2 \dots 1 \\
 &= 2^{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, total ways are 2^{n-1} .

- (d) For the strategy, we would want to break down the equation so that we can calculate constant values first. Simplifying the equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w)^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_i^n \sum_j^n (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w)(a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w) + \lambda \|w\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_i^n \sum_j^n (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w)^\top (a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w) + \lambda \|w\|_2^2 && \text{since for a scalar, } A = A^\top \\
&= \sum_i^n \sum_j^n (w^\top a_i - w^\top b_j)(a_i^\top w - b_j^\top w) + \lambda \|w\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_i^n w^\top a_i a_i^\top w - \sum_i^n \sum_j^n w^\top a_i b_j^\top w - \sum_i^n \sum_j^n w^\top b_j a_i^\top w \\
&\quad + \sum_j^n w^\top b_j b_j^\top w + \lambda \|w\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

For the above equation, $a_i a_i^\top$ is a $d \times d$ matrix, and we repeat it over n terms and therefore it yields a complexity of $O(nd^2)$.

Similarly, $b_j b_j^\top$ yields $d \times d$ and over n terms is $O(nd^2)$. Since both a and b are $d \times 1$ vectors, $a_i b_j^\top$ yields $d \times d$ again along with $b_j a_i^\top$ with complexity of $O(nd^2)$, since both repeat n times.

Therefore, now we have the constants calculated with $O(nd^2)$ and for calculating complexity for any vector w , we can compute it by multiplying its transpose, a $1 \times d$ vector, with constant matrix calculated earlier ($d \times d$) which is further multiplied by the vector w itself. Therefore, complexity to calculate for a given vector then will be $O(d^2)$.