Multiple Linear Regression

It is a statistical method used to model the relationship between one continuous dependent variable and two or more independent variables, which may be continuous or categorical.

The goal is to predict the dependent variable based on the independent Variables by fitting a linear equation to the data.

Pepundent Intercept of independent variables variable

Mathematical Foundation

Let's take Sample data.

Gra | 19 | solary $\begin{cases} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_2 & x_3 \\ y_4 & y_5 \\ y_5 & y_6 \\ y_6 & y_6 \\ y_6 & y_6 \\ y_7 & y_8 & y_{11} \\ y_7 & y_8 & y_{12} \\ y_7 & y_8 & y_{13} \\ y_7 & y_8 & y_{14} \\ y_8 & y_{15} & y_{15} & y_{15} \\ y_8 & y_{15} & y_{15} & y_{15} \\ y_8 & y_{15} & y_{15} & y_{15} \\ y_8 & y_{15} & y_{15} \\ y_8 & y_{15} & y_{15} & y_{15} \\ y_8 &$ 5 × 11 (20 × 32) 10 γ_n = β₀ + β₁ ×_{n1} + β₂ ×_{n2} + ···· + β_m ×_{nm}

 $\hat{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{22} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{1} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{2} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{12} + \dots + \beta_{m} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{2} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{2} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{2} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \beta_{1} \times_{11} + \beta_{2} \times_{2m} \\ \hat{\gamma}_$

We can rewrite the modrix in different form by decomposing it. $\begin{array}{c}
Y = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \chi_{11} & \chi_{12} & \chi_{13} & \dots & \chi_{1m} \\
1 & \chi_{21} & \chi_{22} & \chi_{23} & \dots & \chi_{2m}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta_0 \\
\beta_1 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\beta_m
\end{bmatrix}$ \rightarrow $(m+1)\times 1$ $n \times (m + i)$ $\frac{\hat{\gamma}}{\gamma} = \chi \beta$ -eq. This equation is true for any number of dimensions) $V \times (\omega + 1) \leftarrow \gamma (\omega + 1) \times 1$ $\overline{n} \times 1$ \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (shape of \$\hat{2}\$) Error function for MLR Po = offset (reason) So, if we consider this data experience | grades | Salary

B₁ B₂ | Salary

lary bould be The salary would be Salary = exp x B, + gradus x Bz + Bo If the value of this are zero, than $= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 - \dot{\gamma}_1 \\ \gamma_2 - \dot{\gamma}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_n - \dot{\gamma}_n \end{bmatrix}$ Still there? would be some base salary. So, that's what go gives $e^{T}e = [\gamma_{1} - \hat{\gamma}_{1}]$ $e^{t}e = (Y_{1} - \hat{Y}_{1})^{2} + (Y_{2} - \hat{Y}_{2})^{2} +$ $e^{t}e = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2}$

$$E = (\gamma - \hat{y})^T (\gamma - \hat{y}) = (\gamma^T - \hat{y}^T)(\gamma - \hat{y})$$

$$= (\gamma^T - \hat{y})(\gamma - \hat{y})$$

$$= (\gamma^T - \hat$$

 $\beta^{T} = \gamma^{T} \times (\chi^{T} \times)^{-1}$

Now Transposing both sides
$$(\beta^{T})^{T} = \left[y^{T} \times (x^{T} \times)^{-1} \right]^{T}$$

$$\beta = \left[(x^{T} \times)^{-1} \right]^{T} (y^{T} \times)^{T}$$

$$\beta = \left[(x^{T} \times)^{-1} \right]^{T} (x^{T} y)$$

$$\beta = (x^{T} \times)^{-1} \times T y - eq(5)$$

· · B values has shape of (m+1 x1)

This entire method that we used, it's called as OLS (ordinary least square)

$$[(x^{T}x)^{-1}]^{T} = (x^{T}x)^{-1} \qquad (x^{T}x)^{-1} = Symmetric$$
Assume that $x^{T}x = A$

$$A A^{-1} = I$$

$$(A A^{-1})^{T} = I^{T}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} A^{T} = I$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} A = I$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} A = I$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} A = I$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} I = A^{-1}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} I = A^{-1}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} = A^{-1}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} = A^{-1}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} = A^{-1}$$

$$(A^{-1})^{T} = A^{-1}$$

$$(X^{T}x)^{-1} = A^{-1}$$

One of the reasons, Gradient descent is better than OLS is because in OLS, we are inversing a mostrix, and in inversing a mostrix, it mormally takes time (omplexity of O(n³) which requires a lot of Compotation.