

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

John Smith's Company · Decision Orbit 2020-2025

System-level RPS profile across 148 logged decisions

RPS Baseline — Calm, repeatable execution

John Smith's Company's system-level baseline for 2020-2025 covers 148 decisions with an average D-NAV of 35.5. Return sits at 1.1, pressure at -3.4, and stability at 2.3. Return is modest but consistently positive; the system prefers repeatable wins over big swings. At the same time, decisions are made with time and slack rather than urgency, and decisions land on stable footing and preserve the operating base. They lean on calm, repeatable execution rather than high-volatility swings.

Category Profile — Where judgment actually lives

Most of John Smith's Company's judgment load in 2020-2025 sits in Supply Chain & Operations, Capital Allocation, R&D / Product, which together account for roughly 24% of all decisions. The clearest edge shows up in Investment Policy, where return trends strongest, while Supply Chain & Operations burns more effort for less payoff. This category profile reveals where John Smith's Company actually competes internally — the arenas where its judgment can either compound or quietly leak value.

Archetype Profile — Behavioral fingerprint

John Smith's Company's decisions in 2020-2025 express a primary archetype of Harvest. Together, these archetypes form John Smith's Company's behavioral fingerprint for 2020-2025 — how it seeks gain, absorbs pressure, and treats stability when making real calls.

Learning & Recovery — Decision debt & correction

In 2020-2025, John Smith's Company shows a learning profile with an average recovery span of 1.3 decisions and a learning win rate of 85%. The system recovers quickly; mistakes are absorbed and course-corrected within a couple of calls. A high learning win rate signals adaptive leadership — most follow-on decisions improve the situation rather than dig the hole deeper. Decision debt stays low; the system rarely carries old mistakes forward for long.

KEY METRICS SNAPSHOT

Period: 2020-2025

R · P · S averages

AVERAGE D-NAV	AVG RETURN (R)
35.5	1.1
Average judgment quality in this window	Net value creation per decision after cost.

AVG PRESSURE (P)	AVG STABILITY (S)
-3.4	2.3
Execution stress posture.	How safe decisions leave the system.

LEARNING CURVE INDEX
0.0
Recovery efficiency after dips.

DISTRIBUTIONS (RETURN / PRESSURE / STABILITY)

Positive 85.1% · Neutral 8.1% · Negative 6.8%



Pressured 8.8% · Neutral 3.4% · Calm 87.8%



Stable 87.8% · Neutral 0.7% · Fragile 11.5%



SYSTEM DIRECTIVE

This decision system is operating with stable footing and low overall pressure, favoring repeatable execution over high-variance bets.

The one thing the dataset must protect right now is Stability — improve results by increasing Impact selectively, without adding stress that weakens the base.

Most upside will come from concentration, not acceleration.

Apply this by logging new decisions in the categories below.

DECISION TERRAIN — TOP JUDGMENT ARENAS

Top 3 categories

Where judgment volume concentrates

Supply Chain & Operations 13 decisions

Volume: 8.8%

Avg D-NAV: 45.3

R / P / S: 0.4 / -3.2 / 2.0

Dominant: Confidence

CATEGORY ACTION INSIGHT STRONG SIGNAL

Stable footing with manageable pressure. Muted return—raise impact per decision. Watch: value leakage

Capital Allocation 12 decisions

Volume: 8.1%

Avg D-NAV: 34.5

R / P / S: 1.0 / -4.5 / 2.0

Dominant: Confidence

CATEGORY ACTION INSIGHT STRONG SIGNAL

Stable footing with manageable pressure. Return is healthy—protect what's working.

R&D / Product 10 decisions

Volume: 6.8%

Avg D-NAV: 41.0

R / P / S: 1.0 / -4.0 / 4.0

Dominant: Confidence

CATEGORY ACTION INSIGHT STRONG SIGNAL

Stable footing with manageable pressure. Return is healthy—protect what's working.

ARCHETYPE FINGERPRINT — 2020-2025

Primary: Harvest · Secondary: Gamble

ARCHETYPE	DECISIONS	SHARE
Harvest 	111	75.0%
Gamble 	12	8.1%
Idle 	10	6.8%
Complacency 	9	6.1%