

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

John Smith's Consulting · Decision Orbit 2000-2025

System-level RPS profile across 149 logged decisions

RPS Baseline — Calm, repeatable execution

John Smith's Consulting's system-level baseline for 2000-2025 covers 149 decisions with an average D-NAV of 20.0. Return sits at 0.8, pressure at -3.0, and stability at 0.9. Return is modest but consistently positive; the system prefers repeatable wins over big swings. At the same time, decisions are made with time and slack rather than urgency, and stability leans positive; the system tends to recover and hold its footing over time. Their judgment posture is still mixed — the system hasn't settled into a clear pattern yet.

Category Profile — Where judgment actually lives

Most of John Smith's Consulting's judgment load in 2000-2025 sits in Supply Chain & Operations, TEX EVASION, R&D / Product, which together account for roughly 17% of all decisions. The clearest edge shows up in TEX EVASION, where return trends strongest, while Supply Chain & Operations burns more effort for less payoff. This category profile reveals where John Smith's Consulting actually competes internally — the arenas where its judgment can either compound or quietly leak value.

Archetype Profile — Behavioral fingerprint

John Smith's Consulting's decisions in 2000-2025 express a primary archetype of Harvest. Together, these archetypes form John Smith's Consulting's behavioral fingerprint for 2000-2025 — how it seeks gain, absorbs pressure, and treats stability when making real calls.

Learning & Recovery — Decision debt & correction

In 2000-2025, John Smith's Consulting shows a learning profile with an average recovery span of 5.3 decisions and a learning win rate of 75%. Recovery is slow; errors linger across multiple decisions before the system stabilizes again. A high learning win rate signals adaptive leadership — most follow-on decisions improve the situation rather than dig the hole deeper. Decision debt stays low; the system rarely carries old mistakes forward for long.

SYSTEM DIRECTIVE

This dataset's stability is thin — protect stable footing first (lower Risk / lower Cost), then raise Impact selectively in the categories that can absorb it.

Apply this by logging new decisions in the categories below.

KEY METRICS SNAPSHOT

Period: 2000-2025

R · P · S averages

AVERAGE D-NAV	AVG RETURN (R)
---------------	----------------

20.0	0.8
-------------	------------

Average judgment quality in this window after cost.

AVG PRESSURE (P)	AVG STABILITY (S)
------------------	-------------------

-3.0	0.9
-------------	------------

Execution stress posture. How safe decisions leave the system.

LEARNING CURVE INDEX

1.7

Recovery efficiency after dips.

DISTRIBUTIONS (RETURN / PRESSURE / STABILITY)

Return Positive 75.2% · Neutral 10.1% · Negative 14.8%



Pressure Pressured 17.4% · Neutral 3.4% · Calm 79.2%



Stability Stable 62.4% · Neutral 2.7% · Fragile 34.9%



DECISION TERRAIN — TOP JUDGMENT ARENAS
Where judgment volume concentrates

Top 3 categories

Supply Chain & Operations

Log next decision in this category

9 decisions

SHARE OF VOLUME	AVG D-NAV	R / P / S
6.0%	25.0	0.3 / -2.2 / -0.7

DOMINANT FACTOR
Impact

TEX EVASION

Log next decision in this category

9 decisions

SHARE OF VOLUME	AVG D-NAV	R / P / S
6.0%	13.7	1.0 / 0.2 / -2.3

DOMINANT FACTOR
Impact

R&D / Product

Log next decision in this category

8 decisions

SHARE OF VOLUME	AVG D-NAV	R / P / S
5.4%	23.4	1.0 / -4.1 / 2.5

DOMINANT FACTOR
Impact

ARCHETYPE FINGERPRINT — 2000-2025Primary: Harvest    · Secondary: Prospect   

ARCHETYPE	DECISIONS	SHARE
Harvest   	73	49.0%
Prospect   	21	14.1%
Gamble   	13	8.7%
Complacency   	11	7.4%