

# **Synchronization**

### **Hardware solutions**

Stefano Quer and Pietro Laface
Dipartimento di Automatica e Informatica
Politecnico di Torino

## Using the interrupt mechanism

- Use
  - > Disable interrupt in the reservation section
  - > Enable interrupt in the release section
    - Used only inside the kernel, and for short sections
    - In multi-processor (multi-core) the interrupts must be disabled on all processors

Enabling and disabling interrupts are privileged instructions

```
while (TRUE) {
   disable interrupt
   CS
   enable interrupt
   non critical section
}
```

## Using the lock mechanism

- An alternative strategy is to simplify the software solutions, using locking mechanisms supported by the hardware, by means of
- A lock can be uses to protect a CS
  - > The lock value allows or prohibits access to the CS
- An indivisible instruction executed in a single "memory cycle", which
  - Cannot be interrupted
  - ➤ Allows testing and simultaneous setting of a shared variable

# Using the lock mechanism

- Two main atomic lock instructions exist
  - > Test-And-Set
    - Sets to one and returns the previous value of a shared lock variable
    - Executed in a single indivisible cycle
  - Swap
    - Swaps the content of two variables, one of which is a shared lock
    - Executed in a single indivisible cycle

## **Test-And-Set**

Receives, the pointer to the shared lock.
The lock is initialized to FALSE

```
char TestAndSet (char *lock) {
  char val;
  val = *lock;
  *lock = TRUE;
  return val;
}
```

Sets the lock to TRUE, i.e., locks the CS

Returns the previous value of the lock

## **Using Test-And-Set instruction**

```
char lock = FALSE;
```

Shared lock variable

```
char TestAndSet (char *lock) {
  char val;
  val = *lock;
  *lock = TRUE; // Set new lock
  return val; // Return old lock
}
```

If lock==TRUE the CS is busy, thus waits

Reservation code:

**Test and Set** 

```
while (TRUE) {
    while (TestAndSet (&lock)); // lock
    CS
    lock = FALSE;
    Non critical section
}

If lock==FALSE
    Set lock=TRUE and enter CS
```

#### **Test-And-Set instruction**

```
char lock = FALSE;
```

TestAndSet is atomic

```
char TestAndSet (char *lock) {
  char val;
  val = *lock;
  *lock = TRUE; // Set new lock
  return val; // Return old lock
}
```

Busy form of waiting

```
while (TRUE) {
   while (TestAndSet (&lock)); // lock
   CS
   lock = FALSE; // unlock
   sezione non critica
}
```

# Swap

Receives, the pointer to the shared lock and to a local lock variable.
It shared lock initialized to FALSE

```
void swap (char *v1, char *v2) {
  char = *tmp;

  *tmp = *v1;
  *v1 = *v2;
  *v2 = *tmp;
  return;
}
```

Performs the **atomic** exchange

# Using swap

```
void swap (char *v1, char *v2) {
  char = *tmp;

  *tmp = *v1;
  *v1 = *v2;
  *v2 = *tmp;
  return;
}
```

```
char lock = FALSE;
```

Shared lock variable

swap is atomic

Setting key=TRUE reserve the CS

```
If lock==FALSE
the CS is free, set
key=FALSE,
lock =TRUE, and
enter the CS
```

```
while (TRUE) {
   key = TRUE;
   while (key==TRUE)
     swap (&lock, &key); // Lock
   CS
   lock = FALSE; // Unlock
   non critical section
}
```

# Using swap

```
void swap (char *v1, char *v2) {
  char = *tmp;

  *tmp = *v1;
  *v1 = *v2;
  *v2 = *tmp;
  return;
}
```

char lock = FALSE;

Busy form of waiting

```
while (TRUE) {
  key = TRUE;
  while (key==TRUE)
    swap (&lock, &key); // Lock
  CS
  lock = FALSE; // Unlock
  non critical section
}
```

#### Mutual exclusion without starvation

- The previous techniques
  - > Are symmetric
  - > Ensure mutual exclusion
  - > Ensure progress, avoiding the deadlock
  - Cannot ensure starvation
- To avoid starvation
  - > Extend the previous solution
  - > The solution illustrated uses TestAndSet
    - It is due to Burns [1978]

### Mutual exclusion without starvation

```
A reservation vector, with an
                         element per thread, initialized to
while (TRUE) ₹
                                    FALSE
  waiting[i] = TRUE;
  key = TRUE;
  while (waiting[i] && key)
    key = TestAndSet (&lock);
  waiting[i] = FALSE;
                                          Single shared lock
  CS
                                          initialized to FALSE
  j = (i+1) \% N;
  while ((j!=i) and (waiting[j]==FALSE))
    j = (j+1) % N;
  if (j==i)
    lock = FALSE;
  else
    waiting[j] = FALSE;
  non critical section
```

#### Mutual exclusion without starvation

waiting[j]=FALSE

```
while (TRUE) {
  waiting[i] = TRUE;
                                        Enter the CS if it is free
  key = TRUE;
                                       lock=FALSE → key=FALSE
  while (waiting[i] && key)
                                      or waiting[i] has been set to
    key = TestAndSet (&lock);
                                       FALSE by another thread
  waiting[i] = FALSE;
  CS
  j = (i+1) \% N;
  while ((j!=i) and (waiting[j]==FALSE))
    j = (j+1) % N;
  if (j==i)
                                  Releasing the SC set lock= FALSE
    lock = FALSE;
                                       if no thread is waiting
  else
    waiting[j] = FALSE;
  non critical section
                                    Otherwise yield the lock to a
                                     waiting thread by setting
```

## Conclusions

- Advantages of hardware solutions
  - > Can be used in multi-processor environments
  - > Easily extensible to N threads
  - > Easy to use from the user point of view
  - > Symmetric

### Conclusions

- Disadvantages of hardware solutions
  - Busy form of waiting with spin-lock
  - Possible starvation
  - Priority inversion: a higher priority task is preempted by a lower priority task.
    - Consider two threads H and L, of high and low priority, respectively, accessing a resource in mutual exclusion.
    - L is in its CS, H is blocked outside until L exits its CS.
    - If a third thread M of medium priority becomes ready, it preempts L, thus L does not leave its CS promptly, causing H, the highest priority process, to remain blocked.