

Me

I want to make a function that is near identical to this one

```
; pre -- takes output from "get-clean-data" as input
; post -- returns a hash map which maps a player to a list containing their scores
(define (player->score# data [out-hash (make-immutable-hash)])
  (define current-entry (if (empty? data)
    "null; base case should be triggered"
    (first data)))
  (define current-key (if (empty? data)
    "null; base case should be triggered"
    (list(second current-entry))))
  (cond
    [(empty? data)
     out-hash]
    [(not (hash-has-key? out-hash current-key))
     (define updated-hash (hash-set
       out-hash
       current-key
       (list (third current-entry))))
     (player->score# (cdr data) updated-hash)]
    [else
     (define updated-value (append
       (hash-ref out-hash current-key)
       (list (third current-entry))))
     (define updated-hash (hash-set
       out-hash
       current-key
       updated-value))
     (player->score# (cdr data) updated-hash)]
  )
)
```

where the only difference is how current-key is defined:

```
; pre -- takes output from "get-clean-data" as input
; post -- returns a hash map which maps a player to a list containing their scores
(define (player->score# data [out-hash (make-immutable-hash)])
  (define current-entry (if (empty? data)
    "null; base case should be triggered"
    (first data)))
  (define current-key (if (empty? data)
```

```

"null; base case should be triggered"
(list(first current-entry))))
(cond
 [(empty? data)
  out-hash]
 [(not (hash-has-key? out-hash current-key))
  (define updated-hash (hash-set
                        out-hash
                        current-key
                        (list (third current-entry))))
  (player->score# (cdr data) updated-hash)]
 [else
  (define updated-value (append
                        (hash-ref out-hash current-key)
                        (list (third current-entry))))
  (define updated-hash (hash-set
                        out-hash
                        current-key
                        updated-value))
  (player->score# (cdr data) updated-hash)]
 )
)

```

Is there a way to replace that one changed function with a function parameter (the user could call it with first or second)?

ChatGPT

Yes, you can refactor the `player->score#` function to accept an additional parameter for selecting the key extractor function. This parameter can have a default value of second function. Here's how you can do it:

scheme

Copy code

```

(define/contract (player->score# data [key-extractor (-> any/c any/c #:optional second)] [out-hash
(make-immutable-hash)])

(define current-entry (if (empty? data)
"null; base case should be triggered"
(first data)))

(define current-key (if (empty? data)
"null; base case should be triggered"
(list (key-extractor current-entry))))

(cond
 [(empty? data)
  out-hash]
 [(not (hash-has-key? out-hash current-key))

```

```
(define updated-hash (hash-set  
out-hash  
current-key  
(list (third current-entry))))  
(player->score# (cdr data) key-extractor updated-hash)]  
[else  
(define updated-value (append  
(hash-ref out-hash current-key)  
(list (third current-entry))))  
(define updated-hash (hash-set  
out-hash  
current-key  
updated-value))  
(player->score# (cdr data) key-extractor updated-hash)]  
))
```

In this version:

- **key-extractor** parameter is added, which specifies the function for extracting the key from the data. It's optional and defaults to the second function.
- The **current-key** is defined by applying the **key-extractor** function to the **current-entry**.
- The **key-extractor** function is then passed to recursive calls of **player->score#**.

With this modification, you can call **player->score#** with different key extractor functions. For example:

scheme

Copy code

(player->score# data) ; Uses the default key extractor function (second)

(player->score# data first) ; Uses the first element as the key

(player->score# data (lambda (x) x)) ; Uses the identity function as the key extractor

These calls demonstrate how you can customize the behavior of **player->score#** by providing different key extractor functions.

Me

Why am I getting this contract violation?

max: contract violation

expected: real?

given: '(7260 7572)

ChatGPT

The error you're encountering indicates that the `max` function is expecting numerical arguments, but it's receiving a list instead. In Racket, the `max` function expects real numbers (floating-point numbers) as its arguments, not lists.

If you're trying to find the maximum value within a list of numbers, you can use the `apply` function to pass the list elements as separate arguments to the `max` function, like so:

```
``scheme
(define numbers '(7260 7572))
(define max-number (apply max numbers))
````
```

This code will correctly find the maximum value within the list `numbers`. If you're still encountering issues, please double-check the inputs you're providing to the `max` function and ensure they are real numbers.