UNIT TESTING REPORT by Uyen Tran

Link to repo: https://github.com/jenlcmc/SeniorDesign2.git

Tasks 2.1 and 3

```
aTest

public void testCreatePellet() {

// Arrange

PacManSprites sprites = new PacManSprites();

GhostFactory ghostFactory = new GhostFactory(sprites); // create a ghost factory with the default sprites

// create a level factory with the default sprites, ghost factory and point

// calculator

LevelFactory levelFactory = new LevelFactory(sprites, ghostFactory, new DefaultPointCalculator());

// Act

Pellet pellet = levelFactory.createPellet();

// Assert

// check if the pellet is not null, has the expected value and sprite
assertRoutNull(nellet, "created pellet should not be null");
assertEquals(10, pellet.getValue(), "Created pellet's value should be equal to 10");
assertEquals(sprites.getPelletSprite(), pellet.getSprite(),

"Created pellet's sprite should be equal to the expected pellet sprite");

}
```

```
void testRegisterPlayer() {
    // Arrange
    // create a player, square, board, ghost, start squares, collision map and level
    Player player = mock(Player.class);
    Square square = mock(Square.class);
    // use Collections.singletonList to create a list with a single element
    List<Square> startSquares = Collections.singletonList(square);
    Board board = mock(Board.class);

    // use Collections.emptyList to create an empty list
    ListsGhost> ghosts = Collections.emptyList();
    // create a collision map
    CollisionMap collisionMap = mock(CollisionMap.class);
    // create a level with the board, ghosts, start squares and collision map
    Level level = new Level(board, ghosts, startSquares, collisionMap);

// Act
level.registerPlayer(player);

// Assert
verify(player).occupy(square); // check if the player occupies the expected square
}
```

Before result:

	_				
~	١	nl.tudelft.jpacman	14% (8/55)	9% (30/312)	8% (93/1151)
	>	board board contact	20% (2/10)	9% (5/53)	9% (14/141)
	>	fuzzer	0% (0/1)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/32)
	>	game	0% (0/3)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/37)
	>	integration	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/6)
	>	level	15% (2/13)	6% (5/78)	3% (13/350)
	>	□ npc	0% (0/10)	0% (0/47)	0% (0/237)
	>	o points	0% (0/2)	0% (0/7)	0% (0/19)
	>	Sprite Sp	66% (4/6)	44% (20/45)	51% (66/128)
	>	© ui	0% (0/6)	0% (0/31)	0% (0/127)
		© Launcher	0% (0/1)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/41)
		© LauncherSmokeTest	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/29)
		© PacmanConfigurationExcept	0% (0/1)	0% (0/2)	0% (0/4)

After result:

✓ inl.tudelft.jpacman	27% (15/55)	14% (45/312)	12% (146/1169)
> 🖻 board	40% (4/10)	13% (7/53)	11% (16/142)
> le fuzzer	0% (0/1)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/32)
> langame	0% (0/3)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/37)
> 🖻 integration	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/6)
∵ ତ level	46% (6/13)	20% (16/78)	16% (60/360)
© CollisionInteractionMap	0% (0/2)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/41)
① CollisionMap	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
i © DefaultPlayerInteractionMap	0% (0/1)	0% (0/5)	0% (0/13)
© Level	50% (1/2)	23% (4/17)	25% (29/113)
© LevelFactory	50% (1/2)	42% (3/7)	31% (9/29)
© LevelTest	0% (0/1)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/30)
© MapParser	0% (0/1)	0% (0/10)	0% (0/71)
© Pellet	100% (1/1)	100% (3/3)	100% (6/6)
© Player	100% (1/1)	25% (2/8)	33% (8/24)
© PlayerCollisions	100% (1/1)	14% (1/7)	10% (3/28)
© PlayerFactory	100% (1/1)	100% (3/3)	100% (5/5)
> ⊚ npc	10% (1/10)	2% (1/47)	1% (3/243)
>	0% (0/2)	0% (0/7)	0% (0/20)
> 🕞 sprite	66% (4/6)	46% (21/45)	52% (67/128)

So all 3 tests I did are in the level folder (level and levelFactory files). In general, we can see the difference between before and after adding tests. Before, my level folder only had 15% in class, 6% in Methods, and 3% in lines. However, after adding tests, my result increased to 46% for class, 20% for Methods, and then 16% for lines

After trying the JaCoCo, my result is different compared to the IntelliJ one as for JaCoCo, I have 67% on Coverage of the missed Instructions and 57% of missed branches. I then looked at the missed lines and saw a different result. For JaCoCo, I have 6/105, while for IntelliJ, I have 29/113 for the lines. These 2 have different ways to test the coverage.

The source code visualization from JaCoCo is really helpful, and I prefer JaCoCo more as it provides me the coverage bar beside % and is more in-depth with different options that I can check. Also, seeing which part of the code pass, half-pass or not, is really useful.

Task 4

```
Test Account Model
- Test creating multiple Accounts
- Test Account creation using known data
- Test deleting an account
- Test finding an account
- from dict
- Test the representation of an account
- Test account to dict
- Test updating an account and updating without an ID
Name
                     Stmts
                            Miss Cover
                                           Missing
models/__init__.py
                               0
                                   100%
models/account.py
                       40
                                   100%
TOTAL
                       47
                               0 100%
Ran 8 tests in 0.395s
ОК
```

Here is my result at the end for task 4 in which that I have 100% coverages

```
1 def test_from_dict(self):
           data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand]
           account = Account()
           account.from_dict(data)
       def test_update_account(self):
           """ Test updating an account and updating without an ID """
           data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand]
           account.create()
           account.disabled = True
           account.update()
           account = Account()
           with self.assertRaises(DataValidationError):
               account.update()
       def test_delete_account(self):
           """ Test deleting an account """
           data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand]
           account.create()
           account.delete()
           self.assertEqual(len(Account.all()), 0)
       def test_find_account(self):
           """ Test finding an account """
           data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand]
           account.create()
```

Here is my code for task 4. We can see that for 1st test, I will just create a new account and then call the function, and then use the assertEqual() to make sure that it is the same for testing. 2nd test will do the same by calling create() to create a new account and then using .disable and update() to test whether it shows the same result. I also test the without ID to make sure that it should not do it. The rest are the same with these 2 as I will create a new account and then call those functions that I am supposed to test before using asserEqual() to make sure that it shows the same result.

Task 5:

```
→ nosetests
Counter tests
- It should create a counter (FAILED)

    It should return an error for duplicates (FAILED)

- It should read a counter (FAILED)

    It should update a counter (FAILED)

FAIL: It should create a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 69, in test_create_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
AssertionError: 404 != 201
______
FAIL: It should return an error for duplicates
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 30, in test_duplicate_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
AssertionError: 404 != 201
FAIL: It should read a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 55, in test_read_a_counter self.assertEqual(response.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
AssertionError: 404 != 201
FAIL: It should update a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 38, in test_update_a_counter self.assertEqual(response.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
AssertionError: 404 != 201
                Stmts Miss Cover Missing
src/counter.py 4 0 100%
                   6
                           0 100%
src/status.py
                   10
TOTAL
                          0 100%
Ran 4 tests in 0.211s
FAILED (failures=4)
```

Here are the result when it fail all tests

```
Counter tests
- It should create a counter
- It should return an error for duplicates
It should read a counter (FAILED)
- It should update a counter (FAILED)
______
FAIL: It should read a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 58, in test_read_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(response.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
AssertionError: 405 != 200
      ----- >> begin captured logging << ----
src.counter: INFO: Request to create counter: test_read_counter
               ---- >> end captured logging << <u>--</u>
______
FAIL: It should update a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 43, in test_update_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(response.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
AssertionError: 405 != 200
         ----- >> begin captured logging << ----
src.counter: INFO: Request to create counter: test_counter
       ------ >> end captured logging << --
              Stmts Miss Cover Missing
Name
                 11 0 100%
6 0 100%
src/counter.py
src/status.py
TOTAL
                 17
                       0 100%
Ran 4 tests in 0.234s
FAILED (failures=2)
```

This is result before I implement those 2 functions that I supposed to do

```
(.venv) —uyentran@jenlcmc ~/Desktop/tdd <main*>
 → nosetests
Counter tests
- It should create a counter
- It should return an error for duplicates

    It should read a counter (FAILED)

- It should update a counter
______
FAIL: It should read a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "/Users/uyentran/Desktop/tdd/tests/test_counter.py", line 58, in test_read_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(response.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
AssertionError: 405 != 200
    ------>>> begin captured logging << ------>
src.counter: INFO: Request to create counter: test_read_counter
 Stmts Miss Cover Missing
Name
src/counter.py
               17
                      0 100%
src/status.py
               6
                      0 100%
TOTAL
                23 0 100%
Ran 4 tests in 0.217s
FAILED (failures=1)
```

Here is the result before implement last testing function which is read counter

```
inosetests
 Counter tests
 - It should create a counter
 - It should return an error for duplicates
 - It should read a counter
 - It should update a counter
                    Miss Cover
                                Missing
 Name
              Stmts
                 22
 src/counter.py
                       Θ
                          100%
                6
 src/status.py
                       Θ
                          100%
 TOTAL
                 28
                       Θ
                          100%
 Ran 4 tests in 0.215s
 OK
```

Here is the result with extra tests to achieve the 100% coverage for counter.py

```
Test Cases for Counter Web Service
- API must be RESTful – see the status.py file. Following these guidelines, you can make assumptions about
how to call the web service and assert what it should return.
- The service must be able to read the counter
    """Counter tests"""
     """It should create a counter"""
```

Here is my test counter file with comments for readability. For the update counter test, I use .sjson to check the baseline which is before increment or update. Then I perform those updates and then recheck it with .json(). I also tested the test that the update counter does not exist and this one is one of those tests that help me achieve 100% coverage. The read counter also somewhat the same outline with update counter too and the last test which is check for counter not exist are one of the two that help me score 100% on cover

Here is my counter file, which I use the app decorator and create a route for each of my test. I also added the duplicate test for all of the routes like the update and read counter ones to make sure that no duplicates that could cause errors.