

Link to repository:

<https://github.com/FPSwitch/samerunittesting>

Task 2:

Before Test Coverage:

Coverage

Tests in 'jpacman.test' x

Element ^	Class, %	Method, %	Line, %
✓ nl.tudelft.jpacman	14% (8/55)	9% (30/312)	8% (93/1151)
> board	20% (2/10)	9% (5/53)	9% (14/141)
> fuzzer	0% (0/1)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/32)
> game	0% (0/3)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/37)
> integration	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/6)
> level	15% (2/13)	6% (5/78)	3% (13/350)
> npc	0% (0/10)	0% (0/47)	0% (0/237)
> points	0% (0/2)	0% (0/7)	0% (0/19)
> sprite	66% (4/6)	44% (20/45)	51% (66/128)
> ui	0% (0/6)	0% (0/31)	0% (0/127)
Ⓢ Launcher	0% (0/1)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/41)
Ⓢ LauncherSmokeTest	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/29)
Ⓢ PacmanConfigurationEx	0% (0/1)	0% (0/2)	0% (0/4)

After Test Coverage:

Coverage

Tests in 'jpacman.test' x

Element ^	Class, %	Method, %	Line, %
<div> <div></div> <div>nl.tudelft.jpacman</div> </div>	3% (2/55)	1% (6/312)	1% (15/1137)
<div> <div></div> <div>board</div> </div>	20% (2/10)	11% (6/53)	10% (15/141)
<div> <div></div> <div>fuzzer</div> </div>	0% (0/1)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/32)
<div> <div></div> <div>game</div> </div>	0% (0/3)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/37)
<div> <div></div> <div>integration</div> </div>	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/6)
<div> <div></div> <div>level</div> </div>	0% (0/13)	0% (0/78)	0% (0/345)
<div> <div></div> <div>npc</div> </div>	0% (0/10)	0% (0/47)	0% (0/237)
<div> <div></div> <div>points</div> </div>	0% (0/2)	0% (0/7)	0% (0/19)
<div> <div></div> <div>sprite</div> </div>	0% (0/6)	0% (0/45)	0% (0/119)
<div> <div></div> <div>ui</div> </div>	0% (0/6)	0% (0/31)	0% (0/127)
<div> <div></div> <div>Launcher</div> </div>	0% (0/1)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/41)
<div> <div></div> <div>LauncherSmokeTest</div> </div>	0% (0/1)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/29)
<div> <div></div> <div>PacmanConfigurationEx</div> </div>	0% (0/1)	0% (0/2)	0% (0/4)

Unit Tests:

PlayerTestMoveDown.java:

PlayerTestMoveLeft.java:

PlayerTestMoveRight:

Task 3:

- Are the coverage results from JaCoCo similar to the ones you got from IntelliJ in the last task? Why so or why not?
  -
- Did you find helpful the source code visualization from JaCoCo on uncovered branches?
  -
- Which visualization did you prefer and why? IntelliJ's coverage window or JaCoCo's report?
  -

Task 4:

#### - Test Account creation using known data

Name	Stmts	Miss	Cover	Missing
models/__init__.py	7	0	100%	
models/account.py	40	13	68%	26, 30, 34-35, 45-48, 52-54, 74-75
TOTAL	47	13	72%	

Ran 2 tests in 0.456s

OK

o moe@moes-MBP test\_coverage %

From the start, we had a total coverage of 72%. From here we added a test case for `repr(self)` and `to_dict(self)` into the `test_account.py` file. The purpose of this was to utilize and call the functions that were defined in the `account.py` file as this resulted in an increase in coverage by 2% and then an additional 3% going up to **77%**.

#### - Test the representation of an account

Name	Stmts	Miss	Cover	Missing
models/__init__.py	7	0	100%	
models/account.py	40	12	70%	30, 34-35, 45-48, 52-54, 74-75
TOTAL	47	12	74%	

Ran 3 tests in 0.386s

OK

o moe@moes-MBP test\_coverage %

#### - Test account to dict

Name	Stmts	Miss	Cover	Missing
models/__init__.py	7	0	100%	
models/account.py	40	11	72%	34-35, 45-48, 52-54, 74-75
TOTAL	47	11	77%	

Ran 4 tests in 0.368s

OK

o moe@moes-MBP test\_coverage %

Task 5:

RED - AssertionError: 404 !=201

```
from src.counter import app
ModuleNotFoundError: No module named 'src.counter'
```

Name	Stmts	Miss	Cover	Missing
src/status.py	6	6	0%	2-7
TOTAL	6	6	0%	

Ran 1 test in 0.005s

FAILED (errors=1)

Addition of code (def create\_counter(name):)

```
4
5
6 COUNTERS = {}
7
8 # We will use the app decorator and create a route called slash counters.
9 # specify the variable in route <name>
10 # let Flask know that the only methods that is allowed to called
11 # on this function is "POST".
12 @app.route('/counters/<name>', methods=['POST'])
13 def create_counter(name):
14     """Create a counter"""
15     app.logger.info(f"Request to create counter: {name}")
16     global COUNTERS
17     if name in COUNTERS:
18         return {"Message": f"Counter {name} already exists"}, status.HTTP_409_CONFLICT
19     COUNTERS[name] = 0
20     return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_201_CREATED
21
```

```

● moe@moes-MBP tdd % nosetests

Name                Stmts   Miss  Cover   Missing
-----
src/counter.py       2       0   100%
src/status.py        6       0   100%
-----
TOTAL                8       0   100%
-----

Ran 0 tests in 0.119s

OK

○ moe@moes-MBP tdd %

```

Addition of code (def test\_duplicate\_a\_counter(self):)

```

30
31     def test_duplicate_a_counter(self):
32         """It should return an error for duplicates"""
33         result = self.client.post('/counters/bar')
34         self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
35         result = self.client.post('/counters/bar')
36         self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_409_CONFLICT)

```

Def setUp(self):

```

23
24     def setUp(self):
25         self.client = app.test_client()

```

"If" statement added

```

13     # on this function is "POST".
14     @app.route('/counters/<name>', methods=['POST'])
15     def create_counter(name):
16         """Create a counter"""
17         app.logger.info(f"Request to create counter: {name}")
18         global COUNTERS
19         if name in COUNTERS:
20             return {"Message": f"Counter {name} already exists"}, status.HTTP_409_CONFLICT
21         COUNTERS[name] = 0
22         return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_201_CREATED
23

```

Red and Green phases appear:

Counter tests

- It should create a counter

- It should return an error for duplicates (ERROR)

=====

ERROR: It should return an error for duplicates

=====

Traceback (most recent call last):

File "/Users/moe/Documents/UNLV/CS472/tdd/tests/test\_counter.py", line 33, in test\_duplicate\_a\_counter

result = self.client.post('/counters/bar')

AttributeError: 'CounterTest' object has no attribute 'client'

Name	Stmts	Miss	Cover	Missing
src/counter.py	13	2	85%	20, 25
src/status.py	6	0	100%	
TOTAL	19	2	89%	

=====

Ran 2 tests in 0.128s

FAILED (errors=1)