

Chemnitz University of Technology

Faculty XXX

Chair XXX

faculty

chair



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT  
CHEMNITZ

# Diploma Thesis

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

XXX

academic  
degree

vorgelegt von

XXX

author

XXX

subject

Reviewer: XXX

XXX

Advisor: XXX

advisor

Chemnitz, date

date



## Summary

The Resource Description Framework (RDF) is a standard for describing resources on the web. RDF extends the Web's linking structure by using URIs to name the relationships between resources and the two ends of the link. It is designed for machine readability rather than human readability.

Developers often struggle with the time-consuming and complex task of manually generating example instances for new RDF schemas, which can lower their productivity and the seamless integration of these schemas into software projects.

This thesis aims to improve the user-friendliness visualization of RDF schema, by using a code editor extension that allows not just to better visualize the schema and the relations within but also provides automatically generated example instances for a better understanding.

The main challenge for this project is the User Interface Design. RDF data is structured as a graph of triples (subject-predicate-object), which can be difficult to represent visually. Unlike traditional tabular data, RDF's graph-based nature requires a UI that can effectively display nodes and their relationships. RDF schemas can vary widely in structure and content. Designing a UI that can dynamically adapt to different schemas and data types while remaining clear is a significant challenge. The UI should handle many types of vocabularies and ontologies.

Addressing this challenge will require regular software/web development knowledge but also a deep understanding of the Semantic web and its technologies, like RDFs and SPARQL.



## Task

Titel

Replace or  
remove title

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

to adjust



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation Scenario . . . . .	2
1.2	Theses and Scientific Contribution . . . . .	3
1.3	Positioning within the Scientific Context . . . . .	3
1.4	Structure of the Work (and Methodology) . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Fundamentals and Related Work</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Technologies . . . . .	5
2.1.1	Technology A . . . . .	5
2.1.2	Technology B . . . . .	6
2.1.3	Comparison of Technologies . . . . .	7
2.2	Standardization . . . . .	7
2.2.1	Internet Engineering Task Force . . . . .	7
2.2.2	International Telecommunication Union . . . . .	7
2.2.3	3GPP . . . . .	7
2.2.4	Open Mobile Alliance . . . . .	7
2.3	Concurrent Approaches . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Design and Specification</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Overview . . . . .	9
3.2	Functional requirements . . . . .	9
3.2.1	Instance Generation . . . . .	9
3.2.2	Schema Validation . . . . .	10
3.2.3	Multi-Vocabulary Support . . . . .	10
3.2.4	Import and Export . . . . .	10
3.2.5	Schema Visualization . . . . .	10
3.3	Non-functional requirements . . . . .	10
3.3.1	Performance . . . . .	10
3.3.2	Usability . . . . .	11
3.3.3	Compatibility . . . . .	11
3.4	Technical Requirements . . . . .	11
3.4.1	Web Architecture . . . . .	11
3.4.2	IDE extension . . . . .	11
3.5	Data privacy and Security . . . . .	11

3.5.1	Security . . . . .	11
3.5.2	Confidentiality . . . . .	11
3.6	Solution strategy . . . . .	12
3.6.1	Client . . . . .	12
3.6.2	Server . . . . .	15
<b>4</b>	<b>Concept</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Sub-component A . . . . .	17
4.2	Sub-component B . . . . .	18
4.3	Proposed API . . . . .	18
4.4	Layer X . . . . .	18
4.5	Interworking of X and Y . . . . .	18
4.6	Interface Specification . . . . .	18
<b>5</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1	Environment . . . . .	19
5.2	Project Structure . . . . .	19
5.3	Important Implementation Aspects . . . . .	20
5.4	Graphical User Interface . . . . .	20
5.5	Documentation . . . . .	21
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>23</b>
6.1	Test Environment . . . . .	23
6.2	Scalability . . . . .	23
6.3	Usability . . . . .	23
6.4	Performance Measurements . . . . .	23
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>25</b>
7.1	Summary . . . . .	25
7.2	Dissemination . . . . .	25
7.3	Problems Encountered . . . . .	25
7.4	Outlook . . . . .	26



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The World Wide Web (W3) is a wide-area hypermedia information retrieval initiative aiming to give universal access to a large universe of documents [www].

The Web works thanks to a set of standards and protocols that guarantees interoperability at various levels. It is designed for human interaction and not for machines, but the next generation web aims to make the Web understandable by computers: The Semantic Web [semanticWeb].

The Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML) is the standard markup language use for structuring and displaying documents on the Web. While this language is great for human-users, it is not optimized for structure data processing.

Consider the following Airline Scraper example:

Listing 1.1: Example of HTML flight data from a Airline company

```
<div class="flight-result">
  <h2>Berlin to New York</h2>
  <p>Airline: Lufthansa</p>
  <p>Price: $450</p>
  <p>Departure: 10:00 AM</p>
</div>
```

In this scenario, the labels of fields like "Price", "Departure", etc., are not standardized. If the Airline changes any of those label, the scraping algorithm will break. Developers would need to monitor every single Airline website and update the scraping algorithm as soon as possible in order to guarantee the availability of their scraping service.

It is now clear why the Semantic Web needs its own standard to describe the web resources that need to process by machines. The Resource Description Framework (RDF) extends the Web's linking structure by using URIs to name the relationships between resources and the two ends of the link. Consider the following Airline Scraper example:

Listing 1.2: RDF representation of the flight data

```
@prefix schema: <https://schema.org/> .

<https://example.com/flights/12345> a schema:Flight;
  schema:departureAirport "Berlin" ;
  schema:arrivalAirport "New_York" ;
  schema:airline "Lufthansa" ;
  schema:price "450"^^xsd:decimal ;
  schema:departureTime "10:00_AM"^^xsd:time .
```

In this scenario, the Airline company has decided to adopt the RDF standard to describe their data. Developers can now query the Airline web service without worrying about breaks due to changes from the Airline company.

The RDF standard is not exclusively used by web developers. It is also widely utilized by scientists, engineers, and researchers across various disciplines:

- **Data Scientists:**
  - RDF aligns with their work on structured data, semantic queries, and knowledge graphs.
  - They use RDF to model relationships, extract insights from interconnected datasets, and support machine learning on graph data.
- **Computer Scientists and Engineers:**
  - They focus on RDF's theoretical foundations, optimization of storage and querying, and application development for the Semantic Web.
  - They are often involved in creating RDF tools like RDF stores and query languages.
- **Knowledge Engineers:**
  - Specialize in designing ontologies and frameworks using RDF to represent knowledge in areas like AI, natural language processing, and expert systems.

To support scientists in their work, the Semantic Web supports a set of tools and technologies that enable the creation, sharing, and analysis of structured data. Platforms like Protégé, Apollo, and NeOn are well-known in the ontology engineering community. These ontology editors offer comprehensive support for creating and editing ontologies and RDF datasets through their graphical user interfaces (GUIs), which enhance visualization and make RDF development easier.

## 1.1 Motivation Scenario

The following hypothetical scenario illustrates the current situation. John Doe is a Junior Software Developer and conducts research on the Semantic Web. Recently, John

## 1.2 Theses and Scientific Contribution

Objective - What kind of problem do you address? Which issues do you try to solve? What solution do you propose? What is your goal? 'This thesis describes an approach to combining X and Y... The aim of this work is to...'

Despite all its capabilities, two main challenges must be faced when we work with RDF: Instance Generation and Data Visualization.

The instance generation process for A-Boxes resources is a complex and time-consuming task that requires a deep understanding of the underlying RDF schema and the relationships between resources. Creating few test instances for a small dataset is not an issue for a human, but with really large datasets, time starts playing its role.

Concerning Data Visualization are many great tools on the web and application that support data visualization for RDF graphs. Protégé is software that can be used to build both simple and complex ontology-based applications and allows to visualise many kind of schema structures [protege].

Several web-based tools and services are available for graph visualization, such as isSemantic [<empty citation>] and RDF Grapher [<empty citation>]. These services provide an efficient and intuitive approach for visualizing RDF graph data, enabling users to assess the structural correctness of their graphs and verify the validity of relationships between nodes.

Regardless of their extensive feature set, these services often struggle to find their place in an RDF developer's workflow due to their lack of integration with commonly used IDEs and development toolchains.

## 1.3 Positioning within the Scientific Context

Scope - Here you should describe what you will do and also what you will not do. Explain a little more specific than in the objective section. 'I will implement X on the platforms Y and Z based on technology A and B.'

Conclude this subsection with an image describing 'the big picture'. How does your solution fit into a larger environment? You may also add another image with the overall structure of your component.

'Figure 1.1 shows Component X as part of ...'

## 1.4 Structure of the Work (and Methodology)

The 'structure' or 'outline' section gives a brief introduction into the main chapters of your work. Write 2-5 lines about each chapter. Usually diploma thesis are separated into 6-8 main chapters.




Figure 1.1: Component X

This example thesis is separated into 7 chapters.

**Chapter 2** is usually termed 'Related Work', 'State of the Art' or 'Fundamentals'. Here you will describe relevant technologies and standards related to your topic. What did other scientists propose regarding your topic? This chapter makes about 20-30 percent of the complete thesis.

**Chapter 3** analyzes the requirements for your component. This chapter will have 5-10 pages.

**Chapter 4** is usually termed 'Concept', 'Design' or 'Model'. Here you describe your approach, give a high-level description to the architectural structure and to the single components that your solution consists of. Use structured images and UML diagrams for explanation. This chapter will have a volume of 20-30 percent of your thesis.

**Chapter 5** describes the implementation part of your work. Don't explain every code detail but emphasize important aspects of your implementation. This chapter will have a volume of 15-20 percent of your thesis.

**Chapter 6** is usually termed 'Evaluation' or 'Validation'. How did you test it? In which environment? How does it scale? Measurements, tests, screenshots. This chapter will have a volume of 10-15 percent of your thesis.

**Chapter 7** summarizes the thesis, describes the problems that occurred and gives an outlook about future work. Should have about 4-6 pages.

## Chapter 2

# Fundamentals and Related Work

This section is intended to give an introduction about relevant terms, technologies and standards in the field of X. You do not have to explain common technologies such as HTML or XML.

### 2.1 Technologies

This section describes relevant technologies, starting with X followed by Y, concluding with Z.

#### 2.1.1 Technology A

It's always a good idea to explain a technology or a system with a citation of a prominent source, such as a widely accepted technical book or a famous person or organization.

Exmple: Tim-Berners-Lee describes the "WorldWideWeb" as follows:

*"The WorldWideWeb (W3) is a wide-area hypermedia information retrieval initiative aiming to give universal access to a large universe of documents."* [timwww]

You can also cite different claims about the same term.

According to Bill Gates *"Windows 7 is the best operating system that has ever been released"* [billgates] (no real quote) In opposite Steve Jobs claims Leopard to be *"the one and only operating system"* [stevejobs]

If the topic you are talking about can be grouped into different categories you can start with a classification. Example: According to Tim Berners-Lee XYZ can be classified into three different groups, depending on foobar [timwww]:

- Mobile X
- Fixed X
- Combined X

### **2.1.2 Technology B**

For internal references use the 'ref' tag of LaTeX. Technology B is similar to Technology A as described in section 2.1.1.

### 2.1.3 Comparison of Technologies

Name	Vendor	Release Year	Platform
A	Microsoft	2000	Windows
B	Yahoo!	2003	Windows, Mac OS
C	Apple	2005	Mac OS
D	Google	2005	Windows, Linux, Mac OS

Table 2.1: Comparison of technologies

## 2.2 Standardization

This sections outlines standardization approaches regarding X.

### 2.2.1 Internet Engineering Task Force

The IETF defines SIP as ‘...’ [1]

### 2.2.2 International Telecommunication Union

Lorem Ipsum...

### 2.2.3 3GPP

Lorem Ipsum...

### 2.2.4 Open Mobile Alliance

Lorem Ipsum...

## 2.3 Concurrent Approaches

There are lots of people who tried to implement Component X. The most relevant are ...





## Chapter 3

# Design and Specification

This section determines the requirements and design choices necessary for developing the RDF Instance Generator Schema Visualizer and the RDF web service.

### 3.1 Overview

This project aims to simplify RDF schema exploration, validation, and instance generation. To achieve this the following requirements are defined:

- Functional requirements, for core features like, instance generation, schema validation, and visualization.
- Non-functional requirements, to take into account performance, usability and compatibility.
- Technical requirements, specified for underlying technologies and system design.
- Data privacy and Security, useful to ensure the security and privacy of user data.

The design prioritizes a client-server architecture, and cross-platform compatibility to enhance usability and efficiency.

The Web Service is designed to be compatible with various Browsers and IDEs.

The IDE extension will support users and will seamlessly integrate in their workflow.

### 3.2 Functional requirements

#### 3.2.1 Instance Generation

The very first requirement for this project is the generation of synthetic RDF instances. When the user is writing an RDF file, in turtle, N3, xml or other RDF formats, it will generate some synthetic RDF instances that could save him a lot of time avoiding the insertion of testing data.

The application will not just generate compatible triples instances, but also allow the user to modify the automatically generated instances.

### **3.2.2 Schema Validation**

The Program should be designed to validate a user-defined RDF schema by checking the structural integrity and semantic consistency of its triples. Each triple conforms to syntactic constraints (e.g., proper use of IRIs, literals, and blank nodes) and the asserted relationships lead to any logical contradictions or unexpected inferences.

In case of errors in the schema description, feedback should be provided to the user, via UI or Terminal.

### **3.2.3 Multi-Vocabulary Support**

Interoperability and semantic richness are crucial for ensuring seamless data integration, enhancing knowledge representation, and enabling efficient querying and reasoning across diverse RDF datasets. By supporting multiple vocabularies and ontologies such as RDFS, FOAF, Schema.org and others, the system should be able to handle a wide range of RDF data sources and their visualization as graphs.

### **3.2.4 Import and Export**

The software should allow the users to import their custom RDF files, and export the file with the automatic generated instances in the same format.

### **3.2.5 Schema Visualization**

To simplify the exploration and analyzes of an RDF from a human eye, the program should be able to display the described RDF schema with its graphical representation. The displayed graph should have some interactive features like zooming, panning and node collapse.

The graphical representation should adapt based on the schema complexity and hierarchical structure.

In the IDE extension, the user should be able to visualize the graph in a side panel window next to the RDF file their working on.

## **3.3 Non-functional requirements**

### **3.3.1 Performance**

The system should ensure high performance rendering of large RDF graphs to facilitate seamless visualization and interaction. Additionally, operations such as node selection and zooming should be executed with minimal latency to maintain a responsive user experience. The system should optimize rendering pipelines to support real-time exploration of complex RDF schemas without compromising efficiency.

### **3.3.2 Usability**

Both the IDE extension and the web application should feature an intuitive and user-centric interface that enhances accessibility and minimizes the learning curve for users. To achieve this objective, the design should incorporate familiar UI elements or skeuomorphic design principles, ensuring a seamless and intuitive user experience.

### **3.3.3 Compatibility**

To ensure seamless integration across various development environments, the web service should be designed with cross-platform compatibility, enabling effortless adoption in different IDEs with minimal modifications. This approach enhances interoperability, allowing the service to function efficiently within diverse software ecosystems while maintaining consistent performance and usability.

To validate this, minor features in a secondary IDE should be implemented.

## **3.4 Technical Requirements**

### **3.4.1 Web Architecture**

The system should be designed with a client-server architecture. There are no constraints on the specific technologies (e.g. SOAP, REST, gRPC), but it must follow the web principles and use Web technologies, such as HTML, CSS, JavaScript or WebAssembly, and protocols (e.g. HTTP, WebSockets).

### **3.4.2 IDE extension**

The application should be accessible via a web-based client to ensure broad availability; however, primary emphasis should be placed on its integration as an extension within widely used IDEs.

## **3.5 Data privacy and Security**

### **3.5.1 Security**

To ensure the confidentiality and integrity of RDF data, the system should implement secure data transmission protocols, such as HTTPS, to protect sensitive information during transmission.

### **3.5.2 Confidentiality**

Based on the current design, no information should be saved from the Business Logic Layer.

All data processing occurs in-memory, either on the client-side or server-side, ensuring that no persistent storage of user data takes place within the system. This ephemeral data handling approach significantly reduces the risk of data retention and unauthorized

access. As a result, compliance requirements related to long-term data storage, such as those outlined in the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), are minimized.

### 3.6 Solution strategy

As stated previously in the requirements section, the system is designed with a client-server architecture, where the client and server play distinct roles in the overall functionality.




Figure 3.1: Client / Server

#### 3.6.1 Client

To ensure a broad availability of the system, the system is designed to support two type of user-agents:

- Browsers
- Integrated Development Environments

The Browser chosen by the user, should be able to access the web application via HTTP requests protocol. The user will then upload their RDF file and send it to the server. The Client will then receive the processed information and display the resulting graph.




Figure 3.2: Browser file drop zone and graph view

In addition to this, the user should be able to read the RDF file with the generated instances next to its graphical representation.




Figure 3.3: Browser new RDF side panel view

Within the IDE, the user experience UX will be slight different from the Browser one. Instead of manually uploading an RDF file, users will interact with the system directly within the IDE. By working on an RDF file and executing a predefined command, a

web-based visualization panel of the file on focus will be dynamically launched as a side panel within the IDE. This panel will render an interactive graphical representation of the RDF schema in real time, allowing users to analyze relationships, structures, and dependencies without disrupting their coding environment.



Figure 3.4: IDE Graph side panel view

The extension will not only support the visualization of RDF files in the format requested by the user, similar to its browser counterpart, but it will also enable real-time modification of the file.



Figure 3.5: IDE new RDF side panel view

### 3.6.2 Server

To assure system intercompatibility across various device, Operative Systems, and User-Agents, the server is responsible for all computational tasks and data processing. By centralizing calculations and graph data manipulation on the server side, the server minimizes client-side resource consumption and enhances performance consistency.

The server place a crucial role in managing RDF data ensuring schema validation, instance generation, SPARQL query processing, and graph construction.

When the server receives an RDF file, it must scan the file to see potential floss, syntactic or semantic errors. It should be able to handle multiple RDF serialization formats such as Turtle, N3, XML, and JSON-LD.

The service must be able to generate synthetic RDF instances based on a given schema. It must ensure logical consistency between the generated schema and the original one. The system should be able to identify classes and properties within an RDF schema and generate additional instances where necessary to maintain semantic correctness. This is particularly important in cases where a property references an instance of a specific class that has not been explicitly defined in the dataset. For example, if a schema includes a `hasCar` property that requires an instance of the `Car` class, but no such instance exists, the system should automatically create one. This ensures that the schema remains logically consistent and that all property constraints are met. By dynamically generating required instances, the system helps maintain data integrity, prevents incomplete assertions, and improves the reliability of reasoning processes within RDF applications.

The additional instance generated should have properties and values in order to be consistent





## Chapter 4

# Concept

This chapter introduces the architectural design of Component X. The component consists of subcomponent A, B and C.

In the end of this chapter you should write a specification for your solution, including interfaces, protocols and parameters.

### 4.1 Sub-component A

The concept chapter provides a high-level explanation of your solution. Try to explain the overall structure with a picture. You can also use UML sequence diagrams for explanation.

Figure 4.1 illustrates the situation between Alice and Bob. (sequence diagram from [www.websequencediagrams.com](http://www.websequencediagrams.com))




Figure 4.1: Alice and Bob

## **4.2 Sub-component B**

Lorem Ipsum...

## **4.3 Proposed API**

Lorem Ipsum...

## **4.4 Layer X**

Lorem Ipsum...

## **4.5 Interworking of X and Y**

Lorem Ipsum...

## **4.6 Interface Specification**

Lorem Ipsum...

## Chapter 5

# Implementation

This chapter describes the implementation of component X. Three systems were chosen as reference implementations: a desktop version for Windows and Linux PCs, a Windows Mobile version for Pocket PCs and a mobile version based on Android.

### 5.1 Environment

The following software, respectively operating systems, were used for the implementation:

- Windows XP and Ubuntu 6
- Java Development Kit (JDK) 6 Update 10
- Eclipse Ganymede 3.4
- Standard Widget Toolkit 3.4

### 5.2 Project Structure

The implementation is separated into 2 distinguished eclipse projects as depicted in figure 5.1.




Figure 5.1: Project Structure

The following listing briefly describes the single packages of both projects in alphabetical order to give an overview of the implementation:

**config**

Lorem Ipsum...

**server**

Lorem Ipsum...

**utils**

Lorem Ipsum...

### 5.3 Important Implementation Aspects

Do not explain every class in detail. Give a short introduction about the modules or the eclipse projects. If you want to explain relevant code snippets use the 'lstlisting' tag of LaTeX. Put only short snippets into your thesis. Long listing should be part of the annex.

Listing 5.1: JSON String Code Snippet

```
{
    id: 1,
    method: "myInstance.getGroup",
    params: ["Teammates", 2, true]
}

{
    id: 2,
    result: [
        "groupDesc": "These are my teammates",
        {
            "javaClass": "src.package.MemberClass",
            "memberName": "Bob",
        }
    ]
}
```

You can also compare different approaches. Example: Since the implementation based on X failed I choosed to implement the same aspect based on Y. The new approach resulted in a much faster ...

### 5.4 Graphical User Interface

Lorem Ipsum...

## 5.5 Documentation

Lorem Ipsum...



# Chapter 6

## Evaluation

In this chapter the implementation of Component X is evaluated. An example instance was created for every service. The following chapter validates the component implemented in the previous chapter against the requirements.

Put some screenshots in this section! Map the requirements with your proposed solution. Compare it with related work. Why is your solution better than a concurrent approach from another organization?

### 6.1 Test Environment

Fraunhofer Institute FOKUS' Open IMS Playground was used as a test environment for the telecommunication services. The IMS Playground ...

### 6.2 Scalability

Lorem Ipsum

### 6.3 Usability

Lorem Ipsum

### 6.4 Performance Measurements

Lorem Ipsum





## Chapter 7

# Conclusion

The final chapter summarizes the thesis. The first subsection outlines the main ideas behind Component X and recapitulates the work steps. Issues that remained unsolved are then described. Finally the potential of the proposed solution and future work is surveyed in an outlook.

### 7.1 Summary

Explain what you did during the last 6 month on 1 or 2 pages!

The work done can be summarized into the following work steps

- Analysis of available technologies
- Selection of 3 relevant services for implementation
- Design and implementation of X on Windows
- Design and implementation of X on mobile devices
- Documentation based on X
- Evaluation of the proposed solution

### 7.2 Dissemination

Who uses your component or who will use it? Industry projects, EU projects, open source...? Is it integrated into a larger environment? Did you publish any papers?

### 7.3 Problems Encountered

Summarize the main problems. How did you solve them? Why didn't you solve them?

## 7.4 Outlook

Future work will enhance Component X with new services and features that can be used  
...

# Appendix



# Bibliography

- [1] J. Rosenberg et al. *SIP: Session Initiation Protocol*. RFC 3261 (Proposed Standard). Updated by RFCs 3265, 3853, 4320, 4916, 5393. June 2002. URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3261.txt>.



## Acknowledgment

Since with a thesis usually a personal phase of life ends, it is customary to end the work with an acknowledgment. But it should not be phrased too pathetically, that it seems exaggerated.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.





## Statement of Authorship

I hereby certify to the Technische Universität Chemnitz that this thesis is all my own work and uses no external material other than that acknowledged in the text.

type of the-  
sis

This work contains no plagiarism and all sentences or passages directly quoted from other people's work or including content derived from such work have been specifically credited to the authors and sources.

This thesis has neither been submitted in the same or a similar form to any other examiner nor for the award of any other degree, nor has it previously been published.

.....  
Author

Author

.....  
Author 2

## Selbstständigkeitserklärung

Ich erkläre gegenüber der Technischen Universität Chemnitz, dass ich die vorliegende XXX selbstständig und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe.

type of the-  
sis

Die vorliegende Arbeit ist frei von Plagiaten. Alle Ausführungen, die wörtlich oder inhaltlich aus anderen Schriften entnommen sind, habe ich als solche kenntlich gemacht.

Diese Arbeit wurde in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch bei keinem anderen Prüfer als Prüfungsleistung eingereicht und ist auch noch nicht veröffentlicht.

.....  
Autor

Autor

.....  
Autor 2