CHILI Robots

Tangible Human-Swarm Interaction with the Cellulo Robots

Spring 2021



Part I

Understanding the Cellulo Sensor

Step 1 – Generating and understanding the launch files

In order to generate the launch file you should run the cellulo-robot-pool-launch-selector application. To do that, go to PATH-TO-YOUR-PROJECT/cellulo_swarm_practical_base/PoolGui_to_LaunchFile/cellulo-robot-pool-launch-selector-standalone.

Then, in a terminal, run ./cellulo-robot-pool-launch-selector.sh. As you run it you will see the GUI window as on Figure 1.



Figure 1: Screenshot of the cellulo-robot-pool-launch-selector GUI

This tool will help you to generate the launch file for each of the practical task. You can use it to find and add the robots you want to work with:

- 1. Press Scan to find nearby robots.
- 2. Press the "+" button next to the found robots. The shown numbers are the MAC Addresses of the robots; the last 4 bytes are written at the bottom of the robot.
- 3. Select "basic" under Type of Launch File.
- 4. Select Launch Directory and choose the folder: PATH-TO-YOUR-PROJECT/cellulo_swarm_practical_base/ros_cellulo_practical/launch/
- 5. Write to launch file (by pressing the related button).

Below we explain what are the main parts of this basic.launch file:

Listing 2: Adding the cellulo node to manage the connection and commands to the robot

```
<node name="sensor_node_$(arg mac_adr0)" pkg="ros_cellulo_swarm" type="ros_cellulo_sensor"
output="screen" args="$(arg mac_adr0) $(arg mac_adr1) ">
```

Listing 3: Adding the sensor node to calculate the distances to the neighbors

```
<node pkg="tf2_ros" type="static_transform_publisher" name="paper_world_broadcaster"
args="0 0 0 0 0 3.1415 base_footprint paper_world" />
```

Listing 4: Adding the paper frame in tf as a coordinate frame which sets the origin of the world



Figure 2: Description of the nodes created and their connections

Step 2 - Launch and use Rviz

In order to test the basic behaviors of the robots you selected, open a terminal and run:

```
cd catkin_ws
catkin build
source devel/setup.bash
roslaunch ros_cellulo_practical basic.launch
```

Rviz should be launched.

Under Marker/Marker Topic/, select $/cellulo_node_(mac_adr)/visualization_marker_robot$. You should then see the robot you connected in the paper reference frame.

Step 3 – Send a first command

In order to test the commands that can be sent, we propose you to send a first command setting the velocity of a robot. In a new terminal type:

```
rostopic pub /cellulo_node_00_06_66_74_40_D1/setGoalVelocity geometry_msgs/Vector3 "{x: 100.0, y: 50.0, z: 0.0}"
```

Note: You can use the tab key for command line autocompletion.

Step 4 - Echo the velocity

Here is the command line to check the velocity of a robot: rostopic echo /cellulo_node_(mac_adr)/velocity

Step 5 – Echo the sensor value

The aim of this step is to understand and discover the features of the Cellulo sensor. As explained in the session 0, there is a threshold distance after which the robot will not be able to detect obstacles or other robots. The initial value of this threshold is set in the launch file by changing the default value of the "threshold" argument. After running the launch file, this threshold can be changed by publishing of the corresponding topic as follows:

rostopic pub /setThreshold std msgs/Float64 "data: (your threshold value)"

Similarly, you can view the messages published on the detected robots/obstacles topic as follows: rostopic echo /sensor_node_(mac_adr)/detectedRobots and rostopic echo /sensor_node_(mac_adr)/detectedObstacles

Part II

Interactive Leader-Follower

In this part, you will implement a simple interactive leader follower behavior. In the first step, you will implement a node to select a leader by long pressing one of the Cellulo touch sensors. The LEDs on the leader robot should turn to red, whereas those of the follower should turn to green. In the second step, you will need to implement the control of the follower to maintain a constant bias from the leader equal to the same distance registered when the leader was selected. The final expected behavior that the follower Cellulo would change its position accordingly when the leader is moved by the user.

Step 1 - Leader Selection

Deliverable 1

Implement the function topicCallback_getTouchKeys in the file ros_cellulo_interaction/ros_cellulo_leader_node.cpp
In the report, include 2-3 sentences explaining your implentation, and include a code snippet.

To test your code, run:

cd catkin_ws
catkin build
source devel/setup.bash
roslaunch ros_cellulo_practical (launch file)

The launch file is the one you create using the same tool described in Part I: this time, select "interaction" instead of "basic" as the type of the interaction file. You should use these steps every time you make a change in your code.

Step 2 – Leader Following

Deliverable 2

Implement the function followingLeader in the file ros_cellulo_interaction/ros_cellulo_interaction_node.cpp.

In the report, include 2-3 sentences explaining your implentation, and include a code snippet.

Step 3 - Evaluation

The positions of the robots are saved in catkin_ws/logs/latest/tf_echo_(mac_adr)-stdout.log. You can use any software you are comfortable with (Python or MATLAB). A simple python code to parse from .log files to .csv files is provided to you here: https://github.com/chili-epfl/cellulo_swarm_practical_base/tree/master/analysis

Deliverable 3

Evaluate your implementation by plotting and comparing the trajectories of the leader and follower. In the report, include 2 plots: (1) plot the trajectories of the leader and the follower. (2) plot the error between the leader and the follower with respect to time. Finally, include 2-3 sentences concluding on the evalution and the comparison.

Part III

Aggregation

Aggregation is commonly observed in natural swarms, as agents can gather on a nutritive resource. In swarm robots aggregation, each of the robots positions itself close to each other in one spot. This is done by reducing the distance between them. The position of the aggregation can be specified or not. If it is not specified, the swarm self-organizes to find a consensus on the aggregation spot. Hence, aggregation at an unspecified spot has an inherent collective decision-making component. The behaviour of the swarm as a whole ultimately derives from the behaviour of the individual robot. Individual robot behaviour is organised into several behavioural states, each of which may be considered a distinct 'mode' of behaviour. Transitions between these states are triggered by certain events, just like in a state machine.

Step 1 – Two states approach

In this approach, we consider two behaviours for the robots: 1) wait and 2) random walk. In the random walk, each robot is asked to move in a random direction. If it sees another robot close by a certain distance, it waits. Obviously, the decision to move again depends on the distance and number of the neighboring robots.

Similarly to the previous parts, you will start by generating the launch file for the aggregation task.



Deliverable 4

Implement the two basic behaviours wait and random_walk.

Based on these two behaviors, implement the function

RosCelluloAggregation::naive_calculate_new_velocities() in the file

src/ros_cellulo_aggregation/RosCelluloAggregation.cpp

In the report, include 2-3 sentences explaining your reasoning. Also, include the code snippet.

Step 2 - Evaluation

There are several methods to evaluate the efficiency of the aggregation.

First let's consider the cluster size C_s . For this, we use a threshold $t_{robotClose}$ to determine robots in the same cluster. Considering $dist(R_i,R_j)$ as the distance between the i^{th} and j^{th} robots. The neighboring relationship is defined by the fact :

 $Neigh(R_i,R_j)=0 \iff dist(R_i,R_j)>t_{robotClose}, \ ext{and} \ Neigh(R_i,R_j)=1 \iff dist(R_i,R_j)<=t_{robotClose}.$ The cluster size for a robot, $size(R_i)$, is the number of robots in the cluster that robot belongs to. This metric calculates the average of cluster sizes for each robot in the swarm.

$$C_s = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n size(R_i)^2 \tag{1}$$

This metric ignores spatial distribution of clusters, but gives a measure for size of cluster each robot belongs to. This approach is useful for applications where robots must maintain local links with other robots in a cluster.

Another metric that can be used is the total distance \mathbb{Z} . The total distance uses the distance for each pair of robots:

$$Z = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} dist(R_i, R_j)$$
(2)

Deliverable 5

Test your implementation with an increasing number of robots. What parameters could influence this type of aggregation method? How does the time of the task completion change? Try to vary some parameters (e.g, sensor threshold), and plot the evaluation metrics against them.

Part IV

Deployment and Area Coverage

Swarm deployment, or dispersion, is a swarm behavior typically used for area coverage. This can be useful for example in a scenario involving a hazardous materials leak in a damaged structure: a swarm of mobile robots equipped with chemical sensors are deployed in the environment and return real-time data indicating the location and concentration of hazards. Other examples include multi-robot exploration and mapping problem. From an educational perspective, this behavior can be used in an activity aiming to illustrate for students some physical phenomenon, for instance, the distribution of charges on a conductor.

Potential fields


One deployment approach is potential-field-based, in which the nodes are treated as virtual particles, subject to virtual forces. To cover the area, these forces should repel the nodes from each other as well as from the obstacles. The initial compact configuration of nodes will therefore spread out to cover the desired area. In addition to these repulsive forces, nodes are subject to a viscous friction force used to ensure that the network will eventually reach a state of static equilibrium (nodes coming to a complete stop).

Each robot is subject to a force ${\bf F}$ that is the gradient of a scalar potential field ${\bf U}$: ${\bf F}=-\nabla {\bf U}$. The potential field can be divided into two components: the field ${\bf U}_o$ due to obstacles, and the field ${\bf U}_n$ due to other robots; these fields give rise to repulsive forces ${\bf F}_o$ and ${\bf F}_n$ respectively. Thus ${\bf U}={\bf U}_o+{\bf U}_n$ and ${\bf F}={\bf F}_o+{\bf F}_n$. Consider the potential field due to obstacles. If we imagine that each node and each obstacle carries an electric charge, we can write doen an expression for the resultant 'electrostatic' potential:

$$U_o = k_o \sum_i \frac{1}{r_i}.$$

The summation is over all obstacles that can be seen by the robot, k_o is a constant describing the strength of the field, and r_i is the Euclidean distance between the robot and obstacle i. Let \mathbf{x} denote the position of the robot and let x_i denote the position of obstacle i. The distance r_i is then given by $r_i = |\mathbf{r_i}| = |\mathbf{x_i} - \mathbf{x}|$. Using these definitions, the force F_o can be computed as:

$$\mathbf{F}_o = -\frac{dU_o}{d\mathbf{x}}$$



Derive the force equation F_o in function of k_o and $\mathbf{r_i}$.

By analogy with the obstacle field, we can derive expressions for the potential U_n and force F_n by replacing a summation over visible obstacles with a summation over visible nodes.

Equation of motion and control law

The trajectory of a node subject to force F can be computed using an equation of motion of the following form:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{F} - \mu \dot{\mathbf{x}})/m$$

where μ is the viscosity coefficient, m is the mass of the robot.

Deliverable 7

Derive the control law: what should be the new commanded velocity vector given the total force acting on the robot and its current velocity? Hint: Convert to discrete form.

Deliverable 8

 $Implement the function RosCelluloCoverage::calculate_new_velocities in the file src/ros_cellulo_coverage/RosCelluloCoverage.cpp \,.$

Effect of changing the control law parameters

There are four internal factors that will effect the output of the experiments: the weights k_o and k_n , the robot mass m and the viscosity coefficient μ .

```
<!-- Define the coverage arguments: -->
<arg name="ko" default="50000" />
<arg name="kr" default="50000" />
<arg name="m" default="0.2" />
<arg name="mu" default="1" />
<!-- End of coverage arguments: -->
```

The initial value of these parameters can be set in the launch file by changing the default value of the corresponding argument. After running the launch file, these values can be changed dynamically by publishing of the corresponding topic using the command line as follows:

```
rostopic pub /setFieldStrengthObstacles std_msgs/Float64 "data:(your threshold value)" rostopic pub /setFieldStrengthRobots std_msgs/Float64 "data:(your threshold value)" rostopic pub /setMass std_msgs/Float64 "data:(your threshold value)" rostopic pub /setViscocity std_msgs/Float64 "data:(your threshold value)"
```

Deliverable 9

Study the effect of varying these factors on the quality of coverage measured using the same metrics as before. In the report, discuss the effect of varying each of the 4 coverage parameters, and support your explanation by including plots of the metrics.

Aggregation using Potential Field - OPTIONAL

Now that you have implemented coverage using potential field, can use suggest a similar method to implement the aggregation task?