MycoCosm: Comparative Analysis of Gene Families

Objective: Compare genomes of wood decay fungi to identify gene families which can be used to distinguish white rot and brown rot fungi

Many fungi of the phylum Basidiomycota are capable of degrading wood, including the recalcitrant polymer lignin, which gives wood its structural strength and resistance to microbial attack (Floudas et al. 2012; Riley et al. 2014). These wood decaying fungi are often classified as either white rot, in which lignin is completely degraded and cellulose is left somewhat intact; or brown rot, in which cellulose is degraded and lignin is left somewhat intact. While the precise enzymatic mechanisms vary from one fungus to another, in general the white rot fungi's genomes encode class II peroxidase enzymes (CAZy: AA2) to break down lignin; carbohydrate-binding motifs (CAZy: CBM1) to bind cellulose; and glycoside hydrolases of families 6 and 7 (CAZY: GH6 and GH7) to break down cellulose. The genome of a brown-rot fungus tends to lack genes encoding these enzymes, or have them in reduced numbers compared to white rot fungi.

Suppose we are comparing the genomes of four wood decaying fungi: *Auricularia subglabra*, *Calocera cornea*, *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* RP-78. Suppose, also, that we don't know which of them are white-rot or brown-rot fungi. How can we use MycoCosm to make predictions about their mode of decay?

Start by going to the genome group page created for this example (in real life we would use a similar genome group page, but with a larger, ecologically- or phylogenetically-relevant selection of organisms):

https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/WR BR example 2017/


								Info	• White	rot/brov	n rot exa	mple 2017
S	EAR	СН	BLAST	ANNOTATIONS - N	ICL CLUSTERS	GEO N	IAPPING	DOWNLOAD	INFO	HELP!		
	##	Name	•		Assembly	Length	# Genes	Published				
	1	1 Auricularia subglabra v2.0			76,	853,599	25,459	Floudas D et a	al., 2012			
	2	Caloc	era corn	ea v1.0	33,	244,933	13,177	Nagy LG et al	., 2016			
	3	Gloeo	<u>phyllum</u>	trabeum v1.0	37,	181,821	11,846	Floudas D et a	al., 2012			
	4	Phane	erochaet	e chrysosporium RP-78 v	<u>2.2</u> 35,	149,519	13,602	Ohm RA et al.	, 2014			

CAZy browser

Click on the CAZYMES item under ANNOTATIONS in the Main menu.




Here you will see a table representation of the predicted CAZymes (Levasseur et al. 2013). The organisms are labeled along the top. The CAZymes are organized by family and labeled along the sides. The numbers in the table tell you how many proteins from each organism's gene catalog were annotated with a given CAZyme. There is also a totals column. Notice that the CAZymes are hierarchically organized: you can see the total number of genes assigned to the general enzyme category (e.g. 'AA'). To expand top level assignment, click on the small arrow left of the category, or use the "Expand All" button at the top. Family designations ('AA1', 'AA2', etc.), and to subfamilies ('AA1_1', 'AA1_2', etc.) will then show up.



If we read Levasseur et al. 2013 we know that the AA2 family consists of peroxidases that may degrade lignin. Browsing the table, we see that for AA2, *P. chrysosporium* and *A. subglabra* possess 20 and 17 copies of AA2, whereas *G. trabeum* and *C. cornea* possess only one AA2 copy each. This might suggest that the former two are white rot fungi and the latter two brown rot fungi!

What about the carbohydrate binding motifs, CBM1? Let's say we don't want to scroll through the entire list of CAZymes. Type 'CBM1' into the 'CAZY terms' search box and select "Filter". This will limit the view to only those CAZymes that have a CBM1. Why do so many CAZymes besides CBM1 show up? Because CBM1 co-occurs on the same protein chain with many other CAZymes of diverse function. The numbers in the table will now show, for each CAZyme's row, the number of proteins that also have a CBM1.



Notice the abundance of CBM1-encoding genes in *P. chrysosporium* and *A. subglabra*, while *G. trabeum* and *C. cornea* have only a single CBM1-encoding gene each (co-occuring with GH5_5 and GH10 proteins). All of this indicates that we might be looking at two white-rot and two brown-rot fungi.

Click on the number (e.g., 48 for Aurde3_1) to see the CBM1-containing proteins of *A. subglabra* in more detail. Notice a variety of CAZymes co-occur with CBM1, including GH5 (various subfamilies), GH6, and many others.

White rot/brown rot example 2017											
SEARCH BLAST	ANNOTATIONS → MCL CLUSTERS	GEO MA	PPING I	DOWNLOAD INFO	HELPI						
Aurde3_1 » Auricularia subglabra v2.0											
Rows: 42 Page: 1 Last 25 v rows per page											
Protein Id	Location	Gene Length	Protein Length	CAZy Annotations	Domains	Models Domains					
Aurde3_1 1352721	scaffold_27:183,041-181,410	1,632	320	• Auxiliary Activity Family 9 • Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	Fungal cellulose binding domain Auxiliary Activity family 9 (formerly GH61)						
<u>Aurde3_1</u> 140513	scaffold_37:362,657-364,080	1,424	314	• Auxiliary Activity Family 9 Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	Fungal cellulose binding domain Auxiliary Activity family 9 (formerly GH61)	72 63 184 74 69 39 66 118 197 60 52 58 55 54 52 55 55 53 48					
<u>Aurde3_1 1413874</u>	scaffold_64:242.677-243.896	1,220	317	• Auxiliary Activity Family 9 • Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	Fungal cellulose binding domain Auxiliary Activity family 9 (formerly GH61)						
<u>Aurde3_1</u> 88830	scaffold 14:383,541-385,542	2,002	476	• Auxiliary Activity Family 12 • Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	Fungal cellulose binding domain NHL repeat						
<u>Aurde3_1 199593</u>	scaffold_3:1.244.730-1,246.936	2,207	363	• Auxiliary Activity Family 9 • Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	Fungal cellulose binding domain Auxiliary Activity family 9 (formerly GH61)						
Aurde3_1 1413064	scaffold_50:350,481-348,964	1,518	330	• Auxiliary Activity Family 9 • Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	Fungal cellulose binding domain Auxiliary Activity family 9 (formerly GH61)	- 647 147 140 238 139 50 52 54 51					
Aurde3_1 1328312	scaffold_24:378,206-380,552	2,347	658	Auxiliary Activity Family 3 / Subf 2 Carbohydrate- Binding Module Family 1	<u>GMC oxidoreductase</u> <u>Fungal cellulose binding domain</u> <u>GMC oxidoreductase</u>	47 591 468 93 677 118 23 74 49 50 48 58 51					


As an exercise, repeat the same search with GH6, GH7, and also the AA9 family of lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases, which may oxidatively act on lignin (Levasseur et al. 2013). Do the presence/absence patterns of these genes indicate the same conclusions about these fungi's mode of decay as we found with AA2 and CBM1? Is it a strict dichotomy, or are there some grey areas in the distribution of these genes?

(Answer: *P. chrysosporium* and *A. subglabra* induce white rot wood decay; *G. trabeum* and *C. cornea* brown rot. Notice that brown rot *G. trabeum* has a few AA9 genes, however, indicating that these genes may play a role in brown rot, not just white rot, where AA9s are expanded.)

Cluster page

Now that we have an idea which fungus uses which decay mode, let's ask the reverse question: what are the genes present in one lifestyle, and absent in the other? To do this, click the 'MCL CLUSTERS' item of the Main menu. Here you will see the results of protein sequence clustering by the MCL algorithm (Enright et al. 2002). You can think of clusters as protein families. As with the CAZy browser, the columns indicate organisms. The rows indicate a

protein cluster, one cluster per row, with the number of proteins each organism contributes to a cluster. See the HELP Menu for a full explanation of the cluster page.




Notice that under each organism label is a button 'any' that can be used to filter clusters by the number of proteins that organism contributes to a cluster, and thus limit which clusters are shown. As an experiment, set the white rot fungi (Aurde3_1 and Phchr2) to "1+" and the brown rot fungi (Calco1 and Glotr1_1) to "=0". Doing this returns only those clusters which are present in Aurde3_1/Phchr2 and absent in Calco1/Glotr1_1.



150 clusters fit these criteria. These clusters might include genes important to the white rot decay mode, because they are present in white rot fungi and absent in brown rot fungi. But some of these clusters might have no functional connection to wood decay mode - they are present/absent from the respective kinds of wood decay fungi merely by chance. These clusters nevertheless represent candidates for further analysis of possible connections to decay mode.

How does one begin interpreting the results? To help with this, each cluster row shows the Pfam domains (http://pfam.xfam.org) that are found in that cluster. Notice that the third row has a "Peroxidase" (PF00141) domain. Notice that the numbers are very close to what we found for the AA2 class II peroxidases in the CAZy browser. It turns out that PF00141 is a superfamily that includes the AA2 enzymes, but it is important to note that not all members of PF00141 can degrade lignin - some have other functions.

Scroll through the rest of the 150 clusters and you will see domains such as Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 and Fungal cellulose binding domain in cluster 507, which roughly overlap with the CAZy GH7 and CBM1 families. Click the '507' to explore that cluster in more detail. On the cluster detail page, a table is presented with one protein per row. Click the 'Domains' view on the rightmost column to see the domain structure of each protein. Notice that all of the proteins have the GH7 domain, and that most, but not all, have a single CBM1 motif at the C-terminus.



Models: 14 100 ✓ rows per page

ANNOTATIONS ▼ MCL CLUSTERS GEO MAPPING

SEARCH


Protein Id	Location Å	Gene Length	Protein Length	Domains		Model	Domains	Synteny	
<u>Aurde3_1 1228030</u>	scaffold_1:2,027,770-2,029,582	1,813	520	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7	46 '24'	134	0.0E+00	256	2.2E-10
Aurde3_1 199579	scaffold_7:522,359-524,267	1,909	515	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 • Fungal cellulose binding domain • Leucine operon leader peptide	6.2E-02 47 '24'	134	0.0E+00 38 65	88	5.5E-15 99 '24
<u>Aurde3_1 1233301</u>	scaffold_7:538,513-540,388	1,876	519	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 • Fungal cellulose binding domain	42 624	134	0.0E+00 38 65	189	1.3E-11
<u>Aurde3_1 1310233</u>	scaffold_21:150,095-151,901	1,807	519	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 • Fungal cellulose binding domain	46 '24'	134	0.0E+00	255	1.3E-10
<u>Aurde3_1 1240515</u>	scaffold_21:180,564-182,239	1,676	509	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7	46 1	57	0.0E+00	269	
<u>Aurde3_1 1317126</u>	scaffold_66:202,983-204,748	1,766	449	 Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 	52 '19' 46	. 88	2.5E-298 38 46	80	25 59
Phchr2 2976245	scaffold 2:2,207,605-2,209,644	2,040	513	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 Fungal cellulose binding domain	201		0.0E+00	306	1.3E-15
Phchr2 2976248	scaffold 2:2,215,861-2,217,914	2,054	513	Glycosyl hydrolase family 7 • Fungal cellulose binding domain	201		0.0E+00	306	1.3E-15

DOWNLOAD


Let's look at what other proteins have the CBM1 carbohydrate-binding motifs in them. Returning to the cluster run page (click the "MCL CLUSTERS" tab). Enter the phrase "fungal cellulose binding domain" (be sure to include the quotes) into the "filter by keywords" field and select "Update". This returns some 26 clusters, all of which have the Pfam domain CBM_1 (PF00734). We see that CBM1 motifs occur in a wide array of domain combinations: often with GMC oxidoreductases, AA9 lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases (formerly Glycosyl hydrolase family 61), and many hydrolytic enzymes such as GH5, GH6, and GH7. Notice that while these proteins typically are found in expanded copy number in the white rot fungi (Aurde3_1 and Phchr2) they are sometimes found, albeit in lower copy number, in the brown rot fungi (Calco1 and Glotr1_1).

As additional exercises you can (a) search for gene families absent in both white rot fungi; (b) find gene families absent in white rot but present in both brown rot fungi and look at functional domains associated with these families; (c) check if any of these domains are present only in brown rot fungi by resetting filters back to 'any' and searching for names of these domains.

A summary of tools available in MCL clustering are shown below.



Clicking in Cluster number provides additional tools as shown below.



References:

- Floudas, D., Binder, M., Riley, R., Barry, K., Blanchette, R. A., Henrissat, B., Martinez, A. T., Otillar, R., Spatafora, J. W., Yadav, J. S., Aerts, A., Benoit, I., Boyd, A., Carlson, A., Copeland, A., Coutinho, P. M., de Vries, R. P., Ferreira, P., Findley, K., Foster, B., Gaskell, J., Glotzer, D., Gorecki, P., Heitman, J., Hesse, C., Hori, C., Igarashi, K., Jurgens, J. A., Kallen, N., Kersten, P., Kohler, A., Kues, U., Kumar, T. K., Kuo, A., LaButti, K., Larrondo, L. F., Lindquist, E., Ling, A., Lombard, V., Lucas, S., Lundell, T., Martin, R., McLaughlin, D. J., Morgenstern, I., Morin, E., Murat, C., Nagy, L. G., Nolan, M., Ohm, R. A., Patyshakuliyeva, A., Rokas, A., Ruiz-Duenas, F. J., Sabat, G., Salamov, A., Samejima, M., Schmutz, J., Slot, J. C., St John, F., Stenlid, J., Sun, H., Sun, S., Syed, K., Tsang, A., Wiebenga, A., Young, D., Pisabarro, A., Eastwood, D. C., Martin, F., Cullen, D., Grigoriev, I. V., & Hibbett, D. S. 2012. The Paleozoic origin of enzymatic lignin decomposition reconstructed from 31 fungal genomes. Science, 336(6089): 1715-1719.
- Riley, R., Salamov, A. A., Brown, D. W., Nagy, L. G., Floudas, D., Held, B. W., Levasseur, A., Lombard, V., Morin, E., Otillar, R., Lindquist, E. A., Sun, H., LaButti, K. M., Schmutz, J., Jabbour, D., Luo, H., Baker, S. E., Pisabarro, A. G., Walton, J. D., Blanchette, R. A., Henrissat, B., Martin, F., Cullen, D., Hibbett, D. S., & Grigoriev, I. V. 2014. Extensive sampling of basidiomycete genomes demonstrates inadequacy of the white-rot/brown-rot paradigm for wood decay fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 111(27): 9923-9928.
- Levasseur, A., Drula, E., Lombard, V., Coutinho, P. M., & Henrissat, B. 2013. Expansion of the enzymatic repertoire of the CAZy database to integrate auxiliary redox enzymes. Biotechnol Biofuels, 6(1): 41.
- Enright, A. J., Van Dongen, S., & Ouzounis, C. A. 2002. An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res, 30(7): 1575-1584.