

1. (a) $a = 4, b = 2, c = \log_2(a) = 2, f(n) = n^2 \log(n)$. It falls into case 2.

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^2 \log(n)^2)$$

(b) $a = 8, b = 6, c = \log_6(a) = \log_6(8), f(n) = n \log n$. It falls into case 1, $T(n) = \Theta(n^c) = \Theta(n^{\log_6(8)})$

(c) $a = \sqrt{6006}, b = 2, c = \log_2(a) = \log_2(\sqrt{6006}), f(n) = n^{\sqrt{6006}}$. It falls into case 3. $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\sqrt{6006}})$

$$\log_2 \sqrt{6006} + e = \sqrt{6006}$$

$$E = \sqrt{6006} - \log_2(\sqrt{6006})$$

(d) $a = 10, b = 2, c = \log_2(10), f(n) = 2n, f(n) = O(n^{\log_2(10) - e})$. It falls into case 1. $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2(10)})$

(e) set $S(m) = T(2^m)$. $S(m) = T(2^m) = 2T(2^{m/2}) + m = 2S(m/2) + m$
 $a = 2, b = 2, c = 1, f(m) = m$, it falls into case 2. $T(m) = m \log m, T(n) = f(\log n) = \log(n) \log \log(n)$

- $a = 1, b = 2, c = \log_2(1) = 0, f(n) = 10 - n, T(n) = \Theta(\log n)$
- $a = 2^n, b = 2, c = n, f(n) = n, T(n) = \Theta(n^n)$
- $A = 2, b = 4, c = 0.5, f(n) = n^{0.51}, T(n) = \Theta(n^{0.51})$
- $A = 0.5, b = 2, c = \log_2(0.5) = -1, f(n) = 1/n, T(n) = \Theta(n^{-1} \log n)$
- $A = 16, b = 4, c = 2, f(n) = n!, T(n) = \Theta(n!)$

2. (a) prove by contradiction: assume such local minimum for A does not exist. Then array A has to be strictly increasing or decreasing, but according to the problem, $A_1 \geq A_2$ and $A_n \geq A_{n-1}$, it cannot be strictly increasing or decreasing. Therefore, it has to have a local minimum.

(b)

1. if $n == 3$, we only need to return A_2
2. Else if $n > 3$, we take the number at $i = n/2$,
3. If $A[i-1] \geq A[i] \leq A[i+1]$ we have found local minimum,
4. If $A[i] > A[i-1]$, we continue searching for $n = A[0:i]$, else, we search for $n = A[i:A.length]$

Proof: if $A[i] > A[i-1]$ and also according to the problem $A[1] \geq A[2]$, by induction we can be sure that there must be a local minimum between 0 and i. Similarly, if not $A[i] > A[i-1]$, we can induce that there must be a local minimum between i and n because of $A[n] \geq A[n-1]$

Recurrence equation: $T(n) = T(n/2) + T(1)$

$a = 1, b = 2, c = 0 \quad T(n) = \Theta(\log n)$

3. Subproblem: when at i th city, we need to decide in terms of the following 4 cases:
- Case 1: Let Marco visit i th city
 - Case 2: Let Polo visit i th city
 - Case 3: Let Marco skip the last city in his current list and visit i th city instead, and let Polo visit the city that Marco skips.
 - Case 4: Let Polo skip the last city in his current list and visit i th city instead, and let Marco visit the city that Polo skips.
- Choose the case that minimizes the total travel time at i th city.

Recurrence relation: $\text{total_travel_time} = \min(\text{Marco_travel_ith_city_time} + \text{Polo_travel_time}, \text{Marco_travel_time} + \text{polo_travel_ith_city}, \text{Marco_travel_ith_city_instead_his_last_city_time} + \text{Polo_travel_Marco_last_city_time}, \text{Marco_travel_Polo_city_time} + \text{Polo_travel_ith_city_instead_his_last_city_time})$

Conner Case: if $n == 2$, then Marco visits city 0, and Polo visits city 1

```
def min_travel_time(cities, travel_time):
    marco = [0] # give marco 0 city as start
    polo = [1] # give polo 1 city as start
    marco_travel_time = 0
    polo_travel_time = 0
    for i in range(2, len(cities)):
        # 1. marco travels to ith city
        if len(marco) == 0:
            marco_travel_plus_ith_city = 0
        else:
            marco_travel_plus_ith_city = marco_travel_time + travel_time[marco[-1]][i]
        total_travel_time1 = marco_travel_plus_ith_city + polo_travel_time

        # 2. polo travels to ith city
        if len(polo) == 0:
            polo_travel_plus_ith_city = 0
        else:
            polo_travel_plus_ith_city = polo_travel_time + travel_time[polo[-1]][i]
        total_travel_time2 = polo_travel_plus_ith_city + marco_travel_time

        # 3. marco travels to ith city instead of marco[-1] city
        if len(marco) == 0:
            total_travel_time3 = math.inf
            # if marco only has one city to visit, this cannot happen, set the total travel time to +inf
```

```

elif len(marco) == 1:
    last_city1 = marco[0]
    insert1 = len(polo)
    marco_travel_ith_city_instead = 0
    for j in range(len(polo)):
        if last_city1 < polo[j]:
            insert1 = j
            break
    if insert1 == 0:
        polo_travel_marco_city = travel_time[last_city1][polo[insert1]] + polo_travel_time
        #total_travel_time3 = polo_travel_marco_city + marco_travel_ith_city_instead
    elif insert1 == len(polo):
        polo_travel_marco_city = polo_travel_time + travel_time[polo[-1]][last_city1]
        #total_travel_time3 = polo_travel_marco_city + marco_travel_ith_city_instead
    else:
        polo_travel_marco_city = polo_travel_time - travel_time[polo[insert1 - 1]][polo[insert1]]
+ travel_time[polo[insert1-1]][last_city1] + travel_time[last_city1][polo[insert1]]
        #total_travel_time3 = polo_travel_marco_city + marco_travel_ith_city_instead
    else:
        last_city1 = marco[-1]
        marco_travel_ith_city_instead = marco_travel_time - travel_time[marco[-2]][marco[-1]] +
travel_time[marco[-2]][i]
        insert1 = len(polo)
        for j in range(len(polo)):
            if last_city1 < polo[j]:
                insert1 = j
                break
        if insert1 == 0:
            polo_travel_marco_city = travel_time[last_city1][polo[insert1]] + polo_travel_time
            #total_travel_time3 = polo_travel_marco_city + marco_travel_ith_city_instead
        elif insert1 == len(polo):
            polo_travel_marco_city = polo_travel_time + travel_time[polo[-1]][last_city1]
            #total_travel_time3 = polo_travel_marco_city + marco_travel_ith_city_instead
        else:
            polo_travel_marco_city = polo_travel_time - travel_time[polo[insert1-1]][polo[insert1]] +
travel_time[polo[insert1-1]][last_city1] + travel_time[last_city1][polo[insert1]]

total_travel_time3 = marco_travel_ith_city_instead + polo_travel_marco_city

```

4. polo travels to ith city instead of polo[-1] city

```

if len(polo) == 0:
    total_travel_time4 = math.inf
elif len(polo) == 1:
    polo_travel_ith_city_instead = 0

```

```

last_city2 = polo[0]
insert2 = len(marco)
for j in range(len(marco)):
    if last_city2 < marco[j]:
        insert2 = j
        break
if insert2 == 0:
    marco_travel_polo_city = travel_time[last_city2][marco[insert2]] + marco_travel_time
    #total_travel_time4 = marco_travel_polo_city + polo_travel_ith_city_instead
elif insert2 == len(marco):
    marco_travel_polo_city = marco_travel_time + travel_time[marco[-1]][last_city2]
    #total_travel_time4 = marco_travel_polo_city + polo_travel_ith_city_instead
else:
    marco_travel_polo_city = marco_travel_time -
travel_time[marco[insert2-1]][marco[insert2]] + travel_time[marco[insert2-1]][last_city2] +
travel_time[last_city2][marco[insert2]]
    #total_travel_time4 = marco_travel_polo_city + polo_travel_ith_city_instead
else:
    last_city2 = polo[-1]
    polo_travel_ith_city_instead = polo_travel_time - travel_time[polo[-2]][polo[-1]] +
travel_time[polo[-2]][i]
    insert2 = len(marco)
    for j in range(len(marco)):
        if last_city2 < marco[j]:
            insert2 = j
            break
    if insert2 == 0:
        marco_travel_polo_city = travel_time[last_city2][marco[insert2]] + marco_travel_time
        #total_travel_time4 = polo_travel_ith_city_instead + marco_travel_polo_city
    elif insert2 == len(marco):
        marco_travel_polo_city = marco_travel_time + travel_time[marco[-1]][last_city2]
        #total_travel_time4 = marco_travel_polo_city + polo_travel_ith_city_instead

    else:
        marco_travel_polo_city = marco_travel_time -
travel_time[marco[insert2-1]][marco[insert2]] + travel_time[marco[insert2-1]][last_city2] +
travel_time[last_city2][marco[insert2]]
        total_travel_time4 = polo_travel_ith_city_instead + marco_travel_polo_city

    min_time = min(total_travel_time1, total_travel_time2, total_travel_time3,
total_travel_time4)

    if total_travel_time1 == min_time:
        marco.append(i)

```

```

marco_travel_time = marco_travel_plus_ith_city
polo_travel_time = polo_travel_time

elif total_travel_time2 == min_time:
    polo.append(i)
    marco_travel_time = marco_travel_time
    polo_travel_time = polo_travel_plus_ith_city
elif total_travel_time3 == min_time:
    marco = marco[:len(marco)-1] + [i]
    polo = polo[:insert1] + [last_city1] + polo[insert1:]
    marco_travel_time = marco_travel_ith_city_instead
    polo_travel_time = polo_travel_marco_city
elif total_travel_time4 == min_time:
    marco = marco[:insert2] + [last_city2] + marco[insert2:]
    polo = polo[:len(polo)-1] + [i]
    marco_travel_time = marco_travel_polo_city
    polo_travel_time = polo_travel_ith_city_instead

return marco, polo # return marco, polo city lists

```

Runtime complexity: the first loop iterates n times, the inner loop that calculates where to insert the city iterates at most n times. So the total runtime complexity is $O(n^2)$

Proof of its correctness: Firstly as prompted, $n \geq 2$, we do not worry about $n=1$. When there are n cities, we iterate through from $i=2$ to $i=n$. At each step, we make sure that the total travel time is the minimum we can make. So when $i=n$, the plan we make ensures the minimum total travel time.

4. (a) Subproblem: when Erica is making the plan for i th day, the decision is whether she should change $i-1$ th day's schedule so that on both $(i-1$ th + $i-2$ th day) and $(i-1$ th + i th day) have at least K lectures, or she can just change i th day's schedule so that $(i-1$ th + i th day) have at least K lectures. If the penalty at $i-2$ th day + the maximum in between $(K - (i-1$ th day) - $(i-2$ th day)), $(K - (i$ th day) - $(i-1$ th day)), and 0 is smaller than the penalty at $i-1$ th day + the maximum in between 0 , and $(K - i$ th day - $(i-1$ th day)), then she should change the schedule on $i-1$ th day. Otherwise, she should change the schedule on i th day. Once the penalty list is generated, she can schedule her study plan by adding the penalty difference from each day and $a[i]$ for $i \geq 1$, for day 0 , she can just study $a[0]$ lectures

(b) Recurrence equation: $dp[i] = \min(dp[i-2] + \max(K - A[i-1] - A[i-2], K - A[i] - A[i-1], 0), dp[i-1] + \max(K - A[i] - A[i-1], 0))$

Conner cases: if $n == 1$: $total_penalty = \max(K - A[0], 0)$

if $n == 2$: $total_penalty = \max(K - A[0] - A[1], 0)$

if $n \geq 3$: $dp[0] = 0, dp[1] = \max(K - A[0] - A[1], 0)$

(c) def helper(A,K):

dp = [0] * len(A)

plan = [0] * len(A)

if len(A) == 1:

plan[0] = K

dp[0] = max(K-A[0], 0)

return plan, dp[0]

if len(A) == 2:

plan[0] = A[0]

plan[1] = max(K - A[0], A[1])

dp[0] = A[0]

dp[1] = max(K - A[0] - A[1], 0)

return plan, dp[1]

dp[0] = 0

dp[1] = max(K - A[0] - A[1], 0)

for i in range(2, len(A)):

dp[i] = min(dp[i-2] + max(K - A[i-1] - A[i-2], K - A[i] - A[i-1], 0), dp[i-1] +

max(K - A[i] - A[i-1], 0))

plan[0] = A[0]

for i in range(1, len(dp)):

plan[i] = A[i] + dp[i] - dp[i-1]

return plan, dp[len(A) - 1]

Return value plan is the new plan, dp[len(A) -1] is the total penalty

(d) the algorithm iterates the list in linear time, so the time complexity is $O(n)$

5. (a) Top down approach. Start from the end of the array to the start (from $n-1$ to 0, 0 indexed). If the current number can be reached, any number after it can also be reached. The subproblem is: at index i , choose the current number i + the sum at $i + A[i]$ or choose the sum at $i+1$.

(b) Recurrence equation: $dp[i] = \max(a[i] + dp[i+a[i]], dp[i+1])$

Conner cases: if $i + a[i] \geq n$ and $i + 1 \geq n$, then $dp[i] = a[i]$.

If $i + a[i] \geq n$, then $dp[i] = \max(a[i], dp[i+1])$

```
(c)    n = len(a)
        dp = [-1] * len(a)
        for i in range(len(a) - 1, -1, -1):
            if i + a[i] >= n and i + 1 >= n:
                dp[i] = a[i]
            elif i + a[i] >= n:
                dp[i] = max(a[i], dp[i+1])
            else:
                dp[i] = max(a[i] + dp[i+a[i]], dp[i+1])

        return dp[0]
```

(d) The algorithm iterates the list only once, so Time complexity is $O(n)$ where n is the length of a .

6. Proof only strings having the same length can be J-similar:

Assume there are two strings that are not the same length and J-similar. String a has length a_1 , and string b has length $a_1 + n$. It will not satisfy the first condition $a == b$. In order for a and b to potentially satisfy the second condition. String a and b have to be even lengths. $\text{len}(a) = a_1$, $\text{len}(b) = a_1 + 2n$. Base case is $\text{len}(a) = 2$, $\text{len}(b) = 4$. When a and b enter the second call stack of J-similar function $\text{len}(a) = 1$, $\text{len}(b) = 2$. It will not satisfy condition 1 and condition 2. Induction Step: for any positive n , String a and b will not be J-similar. Induction Step: since original a and b do not have the same length, multiple J-similar functions must be called, and in each call, $\text{len}(a)$ and $\text{len}(b)$ will be divided by 2. Case 1: both a and b can be divided by 2. It will have a difference by $2n/\#$ of times the J-similar function gets called. Eventually, $\text{len}(a)$ and $\text{len}(b)$ will be 1 and 2. Therefore, they cannot be J-similar. Case 2: $\text{len}(a)$ or $\text{len}(b)$ will not be able to be divided by 2 during one of the function calls. If a or b cannot be further divided and $a \neq b$, they cannot be J-similar.

Therefore, only a and b with the same length can be J-similar.

Algorithm:

- (1) If $\text{len}(a) == 1$, check if a is equal to b . (because a and b must be the same length, so $\text{len}(a) == 1$ means $\text{len}(b) == 1$ as well)
- (2) Else If $a == b$, if true return true
- (3) Check if $\text{len}(a) != \text{len}(b)$ if their lengths are different, return false
- (4) Check if a and b can be further divided by 2, if not, return false
- (5) A_1 = first half of a A_2 = second half of a , b_1 = first half of b , b_2 = second half of b
- (6) Check ($J(a_1, b_1)$ and $J(a_2, b_2)$) or ($J(a_1, b_2)$ and $J(a_2, b_1)$) is true, if one of them is true, return true

Recurrence equation: $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n$

$A = 2, b = 2, c = 1. f(n) = n, T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$

7. Original $p = [0, 1, 1, 0]$ reversed $q = [1, 0, 0, 1]$

First inversion: $pq = [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1]$

Second inversion: $= [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0] = pqqp$

Third inversion $= pqqppppq$

...

Every 4 elements contain two original order arrays and two reversed order arrays.

So we can divide the infinite long array into 3 pieces:

1. From a counting forward, find the first index i that makes the equation $i \% (4*n) == 0$
Iterate the array to find the number of 1s in between a and i , mark as $l1$
2. From b counting backward, find the first index j that makes the equation $j \% (4*n) == 0$. Iterate the array to count the number of 1s in between j and b , mark as $l2$
3. The number of 1s in between i and j can be found using equation: $(j-i)/(4n)$
number of 1s in length $4n$.

Step 1 and 2 takes linear time, step 3 takes constant time. The total runtime complexity is $O(n)$