

# Math 151BH - HW3

Will Firmin

May 15, 2023

## Problem 1:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle u_i, u_j \rangle &= \langle \frac{Av_i}{\sigma_i}, \frac{Av_j}{\sigma_j} \rangle \\
 &= \left( \frac{Av_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^* \left( \frac{Av_j}{\sigma_j} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} v_i^* A^* A v_j \\
 &= \frac{\lambda_j}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} v_i^* v_j \\
 &= \frac{\lambda_j}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ by orthogonality} \\ \frac{\lambda_i}{\sigma_i^2} = 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ by orthonormality} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let  $\bar{V}, \bar{U}, \bar{\Sigma}$  be the added columns.

$$\begin{aligned}
 AV &= A \begin{bmatrix} \hat{V}_r & \bar{V} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} A\hat{V}_r & A\bar{V} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r & A\bar{V} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \Rightarrow A &= AVV^* = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r & A\bar{V} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{V}_r^* \\ \bar{V}^* \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r \hat{V}_r^* + A\bar{V}\bar{V}^* \\
 &= \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r \hat{V}_r^*
 \end{aligned}$$

$A\bar{V} = 0$  since  $Av_i = 0$  for all  $i > r$ .

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 U\Sigma V^* &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U}_r & \bar{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{V}_r^* \\ \bar{V}^* \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U}_r & \bar{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}_r \hat{V}_r^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r \hat{V}_r^* \\
 &= A
 \end{aligned}$$


---

**Problem 2:**

$$\begin{aligned}
A^*Ax &= A = A^*b \\
\iff \hat{V}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{U}_r^* \hat{U}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r \hat{V}_r^* x &= \hat{V}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{U}_r^* b \\
\iff \hat{V}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{V}_r^* x &= \hat{V}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{U}_r^* b \\
\iff \hat{V}_r^* x &= (\hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{\Sigma}_r)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_r^* \hat{U}_r^* b \\
\iff \hat{V}_r^* x &= \hat{\Sigma}_r^{-1} \hat{U}_r^* b \\
\iff x &= \hat{V}_r \hat{\Sigma}_r^{-1} \hat{U}_r^* b
\end{aligned}$$

The converse of the last line holds as long as  $b \neq 0$  since  $\hat{V}_r$  has full rank, so only one value of  $x$  can produce the nonzero product on the right. Therefore  $x$  is the unique minimizer.

---

**Problem 3:**

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_i) &= -D_h^+ D_h^- y(x_i) \text{ for all } 0 < i < n \\
&= -D_h^+ \frac{y(x_i) - y(x_i - h)}{h} \\
&= -\frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{y(x_i + h) - y(x_i + h - h)}{h} - \frac{y(x_i) - y(x_i - h)}{h} \right] \\
&= \frac{2y(x_i) - y(x_{i+1}) - y(x_{i-1}))}{h^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $n-1$  equations  $\frac{2}{h^2} y_i - \frac{1}{h^2} y_{i-1} - \frac{1}{h^2} y_{i+1} = f(x_i)$  for  $0 < i < n$ . However this uses  $n+1$  variables  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^n$ . The boundary conditions  $y_0 = 1, y_n = 2$  give

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{h^2} y_1 - \frac{1}{h^2} y_2 - \frac{1}{h^2} y_0 &= f(x_1) \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{h^2} \\
\frac{2}{h^2} y_{n-1} - \frac{1}{h^2} y_{n-2} - \frac{1}{h^2} y_n &= f(x_{n-1}) \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{2}{h^2}
\end{aligned}$$

So  $(A_h)_{i,i} = \frac{2}{h^2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $(A_h)_{i,i-1} = -\frac{1}{h^2}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $(A_h)_{i,i+1} = -\frac{1}{h^2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ .  $A_h$  is tridiagonal, so all other entries are zero. Then  $A_h y_h = f_h$  enforces all the conditions.

(b)  $A_h$  is clearly symmetric from the construction above: each entry on the subdiagonal and superdiagonal is  $-\frac{1}{h^2}$ , and everything else besides the diagonal is zero.

Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, v \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
v_i (Av)_i &= \frac{1}{h^2} (2v_i^2 - v_i v_{i-1} - v_i v_{i+1}) \text{ for } 1 < i < n-1 \\
\Rightarrow v^\top Av &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} (2v_i^2 - v_i v_{i-1} - v_i v_{i+1}) + 2v_1^2 - v_1 v_2 + 2v_{n-1}^2 - v_{n-1} v_{n-2} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} v_i v_{i+1} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (v_i - v_{i+1})^2 + v_1^2 + v_{n-1}^2 \right] \\
&> 0
\end{aligned}$$

The result is strictly greater than 0 because if  $(v_i - v_{i+1}) = 0 \forall i$  then  $v_i = v_j \forall i, j$  which must mean that  $v_i^2 > 0$  or else  $v = 0$ .

---

**Problem 4:**

- (a) From last quarter's midterm, we know that for  $A$  hermitian and positive definite,  $\|A\|_2 = \lambda_{max}$  and  $\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \lambda_{min}^{-1}$ . Therefore  $\kappa = \frac{\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}} \geq 1$  since SPD implies positive real eigenvalues. Let  $a = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}{\sqrt{\kappa}-1} > 1$ . Then  $a^k > 1$  and  $a^{-k} \in (0, 1)$ , giving

$$2 \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}{\sqrt{\kappa}-1} \right)^k + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}{\sqrt{\kappa}-1} \right)^{-k} \right]^{-1} = 2(a^k + a^{-k})^{-1} \leq 2(a^k)^{-1} = 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1} \right)^k$$

- (b)

$$u(x) = \gamma - \frac{2x}{\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}} = \frac{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min} - 2x}{\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}}$$

Since  $u$  is linear with respect to  $x$ , its values between  $\lambda_{min}$  and  $\lambda_{max}$  will be between  $u(\lambda_{min})$  and  $u(\lambda_{max})$ .

$$u(\lambda_{min}) = \frac{\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}}{\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}} = 1$$

$$u(\lambda_{max}) = \frac{\lambda_{min} - \lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}} = -1$$

So  $-1 \leq u(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \in [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]$ .

- (c)  $T_k(x) = \cos(k \arccos(x))$ .  $p(x)$  is a  $k$  degree polynomial with  $p(0) = 1$ . Therefore  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^k$ .

$$|p(\lambda)| = \left| \frac{T_k(u(x))}{T_k(\gamma)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{T_k(\gamma)} \right|$$

If the given equality can be shown, it implies that

$$|p(\lambda)| \leq \left| \frac{1}{T_k(\gamma)} \right| = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}{\sqrt{\kappa}-1} \right)^k + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}{\sqrt{\kappa}-1} \right)^{-k} \right]^{-1}$$

Which means the first inequality will hold.

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
w &= \frac{1}{2}\left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right) \\
\Rightarrow 2zw &= z^2 + 1 \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= z^2 - 2zw + 1 \\
\Rightarrow z &= w \pm \sqrt{w^2 - 1} \text{ by the quadratic formula.}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $z_1 = w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1}$ ,  $z_2 = w - \sqrt{w^2 - 1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 z_2 &= w^2 - w^2 + 1 = 1 \Rightarrow z_1 = z_2^{-1} \\
\Rightarrow J(z_1^k) &= \frac{1}{2}(z_1^k + z_1^{-k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(z_2^{-k} + z_2^k) \\
&= J(z_2^k)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $w \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos(k \arccos(w)) &= \cos\left(\frac{k}{i} \operatorname{Log}(w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1})\right) \\
&= \cos\left(\frac{k}{i} \operatorname{Log}(z_1)\right) \\
&= \cos\left(\frac{1}{i} \operatorname{Log}(z_1^k)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[e^{\operatorname{Log}(z_1^k)} + e^{-\operatorname{Log}(z_1^k)}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[z_1^k + z_1^{-k}] \\
&= J(z_1^k)
\end{aligned}$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}
w = \gamma &\Rightarrow z_1 = \gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1} \\
&= \frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1}\right)^2 - 1} \\
&= \frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1} + \sqrt{\frac{4\kappa}{(\kappa - 1)^2}} \\
&= \frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1} + \frac{2\sqrt{\kappa}}{\kappa - 1} \\
&= \frac{(\sqrt{\kappa} + 1)^2}{(\sqrt{\kappa} + 1)(\sqrt{\kappa} - 1)} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1} \\
\Rightarrow T_k(\gamma) &= J\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}\right)^k\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}\right)^k + \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}\right)^{-k}\right]
\end{aligned}$$


---

**Problem 5:**

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} \\
 \Rightarrow f'(x) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{ikc_k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} \\
 \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f') &= (ikc_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ Suppose } f^{(p)}(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(ik)^p c_k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} \\
 \Rightarrow f^{(p+1)}(x) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(ik)^{p+1} c_k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the general statement is proved by induction.

(b) Let  $c_k^{(p)} = (ik)^p c_k$ . By Parseval's relation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_k |c_k^{(p)}|^2 &< \infty \\
 \Rightarrow 0 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} |c_k^{(p)}|^2 \\
 \Rightarrow 0 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} |c_k^{(p)}| \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} |(ik)^p c_k| \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} |k^p c_k| \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left| \frac{c_k}{k^{-p}} \right| \\
 \Rightarrow |c_k| &= o(|k|^{-p})
 \end{aligned}$$


---

### Problem 6:

(a) Let  $y_{\text{exact}} = 1 - x + x^2 + x^3$  and  $f(x) = -2 - 6x$

```
(b) import numpy as np
import math
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

yexact = lambda x: 1 - x + x**2 + x**3
f = lambda x: -2 - 6*x

ns = [2**i for i in range(3,11)]

def CG(n,b):
    m = len(b)
    bnorm = np.linalg.norm(b,2)
    Aprod = lambda v: (n)**2 * (((v[:-1]-v[1:])**2).sum() + v[0]**2 + v[-1]**2)
    def Amult(v):
        w = np.zeros(len(v))
        w[1:-1] = (n)**2 * (2*v[1:-1]-v[:-2]-v[2:])
        w[0] = (n)**2 * (2*v[0]-v[1])
        w[-1] = (n)**2 * (2*v[-1]-v[-2])
        return w
    x = np.zeros(m)
    r = b
    p = r
    count = 0
    while(count <= n and np.linalg.norm(r,2)/bnorm >= 10**(-10)):
        alpha = np.linalg.norm(r,2)**2/Aprod(p)
        x = x + alpha*p
        r1 = r
        r = r - alpha*Amult(p)
        beta = np.linalg.norm(r,2)**2/np.linalg.norm(r1,2)**2
        p = r + beta*p
        count += 1
    return x

results = []
for N in ns:
    X = np.linspace(0,1,N+1)[1:-1]
    fh = np.array([f(x) for x in X])
    fh[0] += N**2
    fh[-1] += 2*N**2
    yexac = np.array([yexact(x) for x in X])
    CG(N,fh)
    results.append(np.linalg.norm(CG(N,fh)-yexac,np.Inf))

plt.plot(np.log([1/n for n in ns]),np.log(results))
```

