The Effectiveness of Quantifier 1

Theorem 1. The average miscoverage ratio of confidence intervals $\{\mathcal{C}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ produced by the Adaptive Conformal Uncertainty Quantifier will converge to α , i.e.

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{j=1}^{h} (x_t^j \notin \mathcal{C}_t^j) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \alpha.$$

Proof. We first demonstrate that for a fixed α , the coverage rate will be equal or less than α , and then further prove that for the adaptive version as using adaptive α_t instead of α , the actual miscoverage rate will gradually converge to α . The proof is inspired by that of conformal prediction in [Vovk et al. (2005), Sun and Yu(2024), Gibbs and Candes (2021)]. The following lemma shows the validity of the conformal predictive distributions, whose cumulative distribution function is constructed as Eqn. (1).

$$\hat{F}_{j}(s) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{cal}| + 1} \left(\tau + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{cal}} \mathbb{I}(l^{j} < s) \right)$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Lemma 1 ([Vovk et al.(2017)]). Given a loss function $\ell: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a data sample $z \sim \mathbb{Z}$, calculate the loss as $l = \ell(z)$. Then, the cumulative distribution $\hat{F}_i(\cdot)$ constructed as Eqn. (1) is valid in the sense that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{Z}}\left[\hat{F}_{j}\left(s_{j}\right)\leq1-\alpha\right]=1-\alpha$, for any $0<\alpha<1$.

Given a test data sample $z_t = (X_{t-L:t-1}, Y_{t:t+H-1}) \sim \mathcal{Z}$, we want to prove that the confidence intervals $C_t = \{c_t, \dots, c_{t+H-1}\}$ output by ACCI satisfies:

$$P[y_j \in C_j] \ge 1 - \alpha, \quad \forall j \in \{t+1, \dots, t+H\}$$

Define a partial order for k-dimensional vectors \leq as $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}$ i.f.f. $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, h\}, \mathbf{u}_j \leq \mathbf{v}_j$. For every data point in \mathcal{L}_{cop} , we evaluate the cumulative probability of the loss metric with the estimated conformal predictive distributions: $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbf{u}^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{con}}}, \ \mathbf{u}^i = (u_1^i, \dots, u_H^i) = (u_1^i, \dots, u_H^i)$ $\left(\hat{F}_{1}\left(s_{1}^{i}\right),\ldots,\hat{F}_{k}\left(s_{H}^{i}\right)\right)$. We define an empirical multivariate quantile function for \mathcal{U} , a set of k-dimensional vectors,

based on the partial order:

$$Q(\mathcal{U}; \alpha) \triangleq \inf_{\mathbf{u}^*} \left\{ \mathbf{u}^* \mid \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{U}|} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}} sign(\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{u}^*) \right) \geq 1 - \alpha \right\}.$$

We first calculate $\mathbf{u}_j = \hat{F}_j\left(\ell\left(z_t\right)_j\right)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$. Let $\mathbf{u}^* = Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\infty\}; \alpha), \mathbf{u}^* \in [0, 1]^h$. An important observation for the conformal prediction proof is that if $\mathbf{u}^* \leq \mathbf{u}_t$, then

$$Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\infty\}; \alpha) = Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\mathbf{u}_t\}; \alpha),$$

the quantile remains unchanged. This fact can be re-written as

$$\mathbf{u}_t \leq Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\infty\}; \alpha) \iff \mathbf{u}_t \leq Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\mathbf{u}_t\}; \alpha)$$

The above describes the condition where \mathbf{u}_t is among the $\lceil (1-\alpha)t \rceil$ smallest of \mathcal{U} . By exchangability, the probability of \mathbf{u}_t 's rank among \mathcal{U} is uniform. Therefore,

$$P\left[\mathbf{u}_t \leq Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\infty\}; \alpha)\right] = \frac{\lceil (1-\alpha)(|\mathcal{U}|+1)\rceil}{(|\mathcal{U}|+1)} \geq 1 - \alpha \tag{2}$$

Note again that:

1.
$$\mathbf{u}^* = Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\infty\}; \alpha) = (\hat{F}_1(s_1^*), \dots, \hat{F}_t(s_H^*))$$

2.
$$\mathbf{u}_{t} = \left(\hat{F}_{1}(s_{t}), \dots, \hat{F}_{1}(s_{t+H})\right)$$

3. The confidence intervals are constructed as:

$$C_j \leftarrow \left\{ x : \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j\| < s_j^* \right\} \tag{3}$$

By definition of \leq , we have

$$\mathbf{u}_{t} \leq \mathbf{u}^{*} \iff \forall j \in \{0, \dots, H-1\}, (\mathbf{u}_{t})_{j} \leq \mathbf{u}_{j}^{*}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Lemma } 1}{\Longrightarrow} \forall j \in \{0, \dots, H-1\}, (s_{t})_{j} \leq s_{j}^{*}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Eqn. (3)}}{\Longrightarrow} \forall j \in \{0, \dots, H-1\}, x_{t+j} \in C_{j}$$

$$(4)$$

Combining Eqn. (2) and Eqn. (4), we have

$$P[X_t \in C_t] \ge P[\mathbf{u}_t \le Q(\mathcal{U} \cup \{\infty; \alpha\})]$$

$$\ge 1 - \alpha$$
(5)

It should be noted that the above proof is based on the assumption that the dataset is exchangable. Further, we discuss that replacing the fixed α with the adaptive miscoverage rate α_t , and updating it in an online manner will keep the validity of the conformal prediction without relying on this assumption.

Lemma 2. With probability one we have that $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_t \in [-\gamma, 1+\gamma].$

Proof: Assume by contradiction that with positive probability $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ is such that $\inf_t \alpha_t < -\gamma$ (the case where $\sup_t \alpha_t > 1+\gamma$ is identical). Note that $\sup_t |\alpha_{t+1} - \alpha_t| = \sup_t \gamma |\alpha - \operatorname{err}_t| < \gamma$. Thus, with positive probability we may find $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_t < 0$ and $\alpha_{t+1} < \alpha_t$. However,

$$\alpha_t < 0 \Longrightarrow \hat{Q}_t (1 - \alpha_t) = \infty \Longrightarrow \operatorname{err}_t = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow \alpha_{t+1} = \alpha_t + \gamma (\alpha - \operatorname{err}_t) \ge \alpha_t$$

and thus $\mathbb{P}(\exists t \text{ such that } \alpha_{t+1} < \alpha_t < 0) = 0$. We have reached a contradiction. With probability one we have that for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} err_t - \alpha \right| \le \frac{\max \{\alpha_1, 1 - \alpha_1\} + \gamma}{T\gamma}.$$

In particular, $\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \text{ err } \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \alpha$.

References

- [Gibbs and Candes(2021)] Isaac Gibbs and Emmanuel Candes. 2021. Adaptive Conformal Inference Under Distribution Shift. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 34 (2021), 1660–1672.
- [Sun and Yu(2024)] Sophia Sun and Rose Yu. 2024. Copula conformal prediction for multistep time series forecasting. *International Conference on Learning Representations* (ICLR) (2024).
- [Vovk et al.(2005)] Vladimir Vovk, Alexander Gammerman, and Glenn Shafer. 2005. Algorithmic learning in a random world. Vol. 29. Springer.
- [Vovk et al.(2017)] Vladimir Vovk, Jieli Shen, Valery Manokhin, and Min-ge Xie. 2017. Non-parametric predictive distributions based on conformal prediction. In *Conformal and probabilistic prediction and applications*. 82–102.