

# Diffusion equation for the financial markets

Damien Benveniste

## Introduction

I derive a diffusion equation for the PDF  $P_M(s_1, \dots, s_M, t | s_{01}, \dots, s_{0M}, t_0)$  to have a M-stocks market with value  $s_i$  for the  $i$ th stock if we know the value  $s_{0i}$  for the  $i$ th stock at a initial time  $t_0$ . The equation is derived using two approximations: a "mean field" approximation neglecting the fluctuations in the fine grained PDF and a Markovian approximation assuming a rapid decay of the time correlations.

In particular, I prove that the diffusion equation is exact when we have one random Log-normal distributed stock price. The diffusion equation model could therefore lead to corrections of a PDF departing slightly from the Log-normal PDF. I then re-derive a Black Scholes type equation using the stochastic form of the diffusion equation in the 1-stock market case.

## 1 The model

The first assumption is that a stock price  $s$  is only a function of an initial value  $s_0$  at a particular time  $t_0$  and the number of trades  $N - N_0$  processed between  $t_0$  and a time  $t$  such that  $s \equiv s(s_0, N_0 | N)$ .

Let  $\rho(s_0, N_0 | N) \equiv \rho(s(s_0, N_0 | N), N)$  be a random field which satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dN} s(N) = \rho(s, N), \quad s(N_0) = s_0 \quad (1)$$

We define  $n(t) = \frac{dN}{dt}$  the number of trades per unit of real time and we have

$$\frac{dt}{dN} \frac{d}{dt} s(t) = \frac{1}{n(t)} \frac{d}{dt} s(t) = \rho(s, t), \quad s(t_0) = s_0 \quad (2)$$

$n(t)$  is in practice time dependent and is specific to each stock. It is obvious that  $n(t)$  is a quantity strongly related to the common volatility.

We define the "the fine grained" PDF of a market of  $M$  stocks

$$P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t | \mathbf{S}_0, t_0) = \prod_{m=1}^M \delta(s_m - s(s_{0m}, t_0 | t)) \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{S} = (s_1, \dots, s_M)$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_0 = (s_{01}, \dots, s_{0M})$  and  $\mathbf{R}(t) = (n_1(t)\rho(s_1, t), \dots, n_M(t)\rho(s_M, t))$ . We take the time derivative of (3) and we have using (1)

$$\partial_t P_{M,\rho}(t) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\mathbf{R}(t) P_{M,\rho}(t)] \quad (4)$$

We now integrate this expression and we get

$$P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t) = P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t_0) - \nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl \mathbf{R}(l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \right] \quad (5)$$

We now split the random field  $\mathbf{R}(s, t)$  into its mean and fluctuating part

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{S}, t) = \bar{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{S}, t) + \mathbf{R}'(\mathbf{S}, t) \quad (6)$$

we substitute into (4)

$$\partial_t P_{M,\rho}(t) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\bar{\mathbf{R}}(t) P_{M,\rho}(t)] - \nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\mathbf{R}'(t) P_{M,\rho}(t)] \quad (7)$$

and we substitute (5) only in the fluctuating part of equation (7)

$$\partial_t P_{M,\rho}(t) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\bar{\mathbf{R}}(t) P_{M,\rho}(t)] - \nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\mathbf{R}'(t) P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t_0)] + \partial_{S_i} [R'_i(t) \partial_{S_j} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl R_j(l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \right]] \quad (8)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{M,\rho}(t) &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\bar{\mathbf{R}}(t) P_{M,\rho}(t)] - \nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\mathbf{R}'(t) P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t_0)] \\ &+ \partial_{S_i} \partial_{S_j} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl R'_i(S_i, t) R_j(S_j, l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \right] - \partial_{S_i} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl (\partial_{S_i} R'_i)(S_i, t) R_i(S_i, l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here  $S_i = s_i(s_{0i}, t_0|t)$  is understood to be a component of the vector  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $R_i(S_i, t) = n_i(t)\rho(s_i, t)$  a component of the vector  $\mathbf{R}$ .

We now average the last equation over the possible realizations of the random field  $\rho$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle P_{M,\rho}(t) \rangle_{\rho} &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\bar{\mathbf{R}}(t) \langle P_{M,\rho}(t) \rangle_{\rho}] - \nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\langle \mathbf{R}'(t) P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t_0) \rangle_{\rho}] \\ &+ \partial_{S_i} \partial_{S_j} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle R'_i(S_i, t) R_j(S_j, l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_{\rho} \right] \\ &- \partial_{S_i} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle (\partial_{S_i} R'_i)(S_i, t) R_i(S_i, l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_{\rho} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We have the PDF to have a M-stocks market to be

$$P_M(\mathbf{S}, t | \mathbf{S}_0, t_0) = \langle P_{M,\rho}(\mathbf{S}, t | \mathbf{S}_0, t_0) \rangle_{\rho} \quad (11)$$

We have an equation but we need some closure assumptions. We first make a "mean field" approximation that ignores the fluctuations in the fine grained PDF

$$\langle R'_i(S_i, t) R_j(S_j, l) P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_{\rho} = \langle R'_i(S_i, t) R'_j(S_j, l) \rangle_{\rho} \langle P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_{\rho} \quad (12)$$

---

What do we neglect with the "mean field" approximation? Lets split the time correlation function of the fluctuations and the fine grained PDF into their means and fluctuating parts

$$R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l) = \langle R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l) \rangle_\rho + \delta(R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l)) \quad (13)$$

$$P_{M,\rho}(l) = \langle P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_\rho + \delta P_{M,\rho}(l) \quad (14)$$

and we have

$$\langle R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l)P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_\rho = \langle R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l) \rangle_\rho \langle P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_\rho + \langle \delta(R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l))\delta P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_\rho \quad (15)$$

We therefore assume that

$$\langle \delta(R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l))\delta P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_\rho \ll \langle R'_i(S_i, t)R_j(S_j, l) \rangle_\rho \langle P_{M,\rho}(l) \rangle_\rho \quad (16)$$

We simplify further the equation by assuming that the correlation functions decay rapidly for  $l \ll t$ , changing much faster than  $P_M(l)$ . This allows to replace  $P_M(l)$  inside the time integral by  $P_M(t)$  giving a "Markovianized" equation. The Markovian approximation assumes that the variables  $R'_i(S_i, t)$  time - uncorrelate so fast that

$$\langle R'_i(S_i, t)R'_j(S_j, l) \rangle_\rho P_M(l) \simeq \delta(t - l)P_M(t) \quad (17)$$

We then obtain a diffusion equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_M(t) &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\bar{\mathbf{R}}(t)P_M(t)] \\ &+ \partial_{S_i} \partial_{S_j} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle R'_i(S_i, t)R'_j(S_j, l) \rangle_\rho P_M(t) \right] \\ &- \partial_{S_i} \left[ \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle (\partial_{S_i} R'_i)(S_i, t)R'_i(S_i, l) \rangle P_M(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We define

$$D_{ij}(S_i, S_j, t, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle R'_i(S_i, t)R'_j(S_j, l) \rangle_\rho \quad (19)$$

and

$$\bar{R}_i^*(S_i, t) = \bar{R}_i(S_i, t) + (\partial_{S_i} D_{ij}(S_i, S_j, t, t_0))|_{S_j=S_i} \quad (20)$$

It is important to notice that in theory  $D_{ij}$  and  $\bar{R}_i^*$  can be computed using real data. then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_M(t) &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{S}} \cdot [\bar{\mathbf{R}}^*(t)P_M(t)] \\ &+ \partial_{S_i} \partial_{S_j} [D_{ij}(S_i, S_j, t, t_0)P_M(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

A interesting quantity could be  $P_2(s, s + q, t|s_0, s_0 + r_0, t_0)$  giving you the probability of dispersion of 2 stocks from each other.

## 2 The 1-stock market

In the case where we have only one stock

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_1(t) &= -\partial_s[(n(t)\langle\rho(s,t)\rangle)P_M(t)] - \partial_s\left[\int_{t_0}^t dl\langle\partial_s(n(t)\rho'(s,t))n(l)\rho'(s,t|l)\rangle P_M(t)\right] \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\left[\int_{t_0}^t dl\langle n(t)\rho'(s,t)n(l)\rho'(s,t|l)\rangle_\rho P_M(t)\right] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

If we define

$$a(s,t) = n(t)\langle\rho(s,t)\rangle + \int_{t_0}^t dl\langle\partial_s(n(t)\rho'(s,t))n(l)\rho'(s,t|l)\rangle \quad (23)$$

and

$$b(s,t) = 2 \int_{t_0}^t dl\langle n(t)\rho'(s,t)n(l)\rho'(s,t|l)\rangle_\rho \quad (24)$$

We can cast the equation under its stochastic Langevin equivalent form

$$ds = a(s,t)dt + \sqrt{b(s,t)}dW \quad (25)$$

where  $W$  is a brownian motion. This later equation may be compared to the commonly accepted diffusion equation for the stock price

$$ds = \mu s dt + s \sigma dW \quad (26)$$

## 3 The particular case of the Log-normal distributed stock price

We have  $\ln(s) \sim N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)$  and  $\frac{ds}{dt} = r(s,t)$ . As a consequence

$$s \frac{d \ln(s)}{dt} = r(s,t) \quad (27)$$

We have

$$a(s,t) = \langle r(s,t) \rangle + (\partial_s \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle r'(s,t)r'(s_2,t|l) \rangle)|_{s_2=s} \quad (28)$$

because we have the property that

$$s(s_0, t_0|t)P_{1,\rho}(t) = sP_{1,\rho}(l) \quad (29)$$

we can write

$$\langle r(s,t) \rangle = s \frac{d}{dt} \langle \ln(s) \rangle = s\mu \quad (30)$$

Because the two random variables  $\ln s$  and  $\ln s_2$  are independent variables we have

$$\langle r'(s,t)r'(s_2,t|l) \rangle = ss_2 \frac{d^2}{dt dl} \langle (\ln s(t))'(\ln s_2(t|l))' \rangle = ss_2 \frac{d^2}{dt dl} \langle (\ln s(t))' \rangle \langle (\ln s_2(t|l))' \rangle = 0 \quad (31)$$

---

We have also

$$\begin{aligned}
b(s, t) &= 2 \int_{t_0}^t dl \langle r'(s, t) r'(s, t|l) \rangle \\
&= 2s^2 \int_{t_0}^t dl \frac{d^2}{dt dl} \langle (\ln s(t))' (\ln s(t|l))' \rangle \\
&= 2s^2 \int_{t_0}^t dl \frac{d^2}{dt dl} \sigma^2 \min(s, t) \\
&= 2s^2 \sigma^2 \int_{t_0}^t dl \delta(t - l)
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

For  $t' > t$  we have  $\int_{t_0}^{t'} dl \delta(t - l) = 1$ , therefore

$$\int_{t_0}^{t'} dl \delta(t - l) = \int_{t_0}^t dl \delta(t - l) + \int_t^{t'} dl \delta(t - s) = 2 \int_{t_0}^t dl \delta(t - l) \tag{33}$$

or  $\int_{t_0}^t dl \delta(t - l) = \frac{1}{2}$  and finally  $b(s, t) = s^2 \sigma^2$  and

$$ds = \mu s dt + s \sigma dW \tag{34}$$

We can conclude that for the Log-normal distributed case the mean field approximation and the Markov approximation lead to the exact form of the diffusion equation. Because the Log-normal distribution is usually consider as a "good guess" for the stock price, the different approximations giving equation (25) seem quite justified and could lead to a relevant correction of the distribution.

## 4 The Black-Scholes type equation

If  $C(t, s(t))$  is the price of a derivative of the stock  $s$ , using Ito lemma and the equation (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
dC(t, s(t)) &= \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} ds + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial s^2} b(s, t) dt \\
&= \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} a(s, t) dt + \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} \sqrt{b(s, t)} dW + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial s^2} b(s, t) dt
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We have a portfolio  $P$  with  $x_t$  share of the stock and  $y_t$  share of a bond of value  $B$

$$P = x_t S + y_t B \tag{36}$$

We assume the evolution of the bond to driven by processes such as interest rates and in general

$$dB = \frac{dB}{dt}(B, t) dt \tag{37}$$

---

We have

$$\begin{aligned} dP &= x_t dS + y_t dB \\ &= x_t a(s, t) dt + x_t \sqrt{b(s, t)} dW + y_t \frac{dB}{dt} dt \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

We want to match the evolution of  $P$  to the evolution of  $C$ . We get

$$x_t = \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} \tag{39}$$

and requiring  $P = C$  we have

$$y_t = \frac{1}{B} \left( C - s \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} \right) \tag{40}$$

Using  $dP = dC$  we get

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial s^2} b(s, t) + \frac{d(\ln B)}{dt} \left( s \frac{\partial C}{\partial s} - C \right) = 0 \tag{41}$$