# Southern African Large Telescope

# PI Tool Spectrum Simulator Requirements

## Kenneth Nordsieck University of Wisconsin

Document Number: SALT-3172AS0005

Revision 1.1 27 Feb 2004

## **Change History**

| Rev | Date         | Description   |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 1.0 | 21 Jan, 2004 | Original  |
| 1.1 | 27 Feb, 2004 | Correct Zodiacal Light, Airglow, and Moonlight formulae |

## **Table of Contents**

| 1 | Intertaces     | 1           |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| 2 | Target Object. | 1           |
|   | Sky            | 3<br>4<br>4 |
| 4 | References:    | 5           |

#### 1 Interfaces

The SALT PI tool consists of a telescope simulator, to be developed by the SALT team, the instrument simulators, to be developed by the instrument teams, and a spectrum input simulator, which is being developed by the PFIS team and supplied to SALT. The spectrum input simulator will obtain target and Moon data from the telescope or from user inputs, and output to the instruments a target and sky spectral power as seen at the focal plane of the telescope. The spectra will be sampled on a 0.0625 Å grid over a range of 3140 - 9000 Å. The spectra and their UBVRI magnitudes will be displayed on the spectrum generator tool.

### Global Inputs:

 $A_{tel} = \text{Telescope geometric area (cm}^2) \qquad \qquad \text{default: } 61.1 \text{ m}^2$   $Z = \text{Target zenith distance (deg)} \qquad \qquad \text{default: } 37^\circ$   $\ell - \ell_{sun}, \, b = \text{Target ecliptic coordinates (deg)} \qquad \qquad \text{default: Ecliptic South Pole}$   $t = \text{Observation JD} \qquad \qquad \text{default: } 2453371.5 \quad [2005.0]$   $Z_M = \text{Lunar zenith angle (deg)} \qquad \qquad \text{default: } 180^\circ \quad [\text{nadir}]$   $\beta = \text{Lunar phase angle (deg)} \qquad \qquad \text{default: } 180^\circ \quad [\text{new Moon}]$ 

default: 180°

#### Global Data:

 $k(\lambda)$  = Sutherland mean extinction (mag/airmass)

 $\rho$  = Target- Moon Separation (deg)

 $C_{mir}(\lambda)$  = Telescope coating efficiency (roughly 0.76)

 $S_{AI}(\lambda)$  = Airglow spectrum at zenith, solar maximum

 $F_{sun}(\lambda) = solar flux$ 

### 2 Target Object.

The target object may be either a point target or a uniform diffuse target, in which case the spectrum will be per arcsec<sup>2</sup>. It will be any of six types of spectra, or, optionally, their sum:

$$\begin{split} P_{t}\left(\lambda\right) &= A_{tel} \ C_{mir}\left(\lambda\right) \ 10^{-0.4 \ k(\lambda) \ sec \ Z} \ \times \\ &\quad \left(O_{B}(T,V) + O_{P}(Index,V) + O_{C}(V) + O_{L,i}(F_{i},\lambda_{i},\sigma_{i}) + O_{*}(T,g,Z.V.E(B-V)) + O_{U}\right) \\ &\quad \left(erg/s-\text{Å. or } erg/s-\text{Å-arcsec}^{2} \ at \ focal \ plane\right) \end{split}$$

The spectrum types are:

#### 2.1 BlackBody

$$O_B(T,V) = a \lambda^{-5} / (e^{hc/\lambda kT} - 1)$$

$$T = Temperature, (° K) \qquad (default: solar)$$

$$V = V \text{ magnitude normalization (above atmosphere) (default: 20)}$$

#### 2.2 Power Law

$$O_{P}(v, V) = b \lambda^{v}$$
  
 $v = \text{power law index}$  (default: -2)

#### 2.3 Flat Continuum

$$O_{C}(V) = c$$

#### 2.4 Gaussian Emission Line

$$O_{L,i}$$
 ( $F_i$ ,  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\sigma_i$ ).  $i = 1,...,n$  lines 
$$F_i = Flux \text{ of } i^{th} \text{ line (erg/s/cm}^2) \qquad \qquad \text{(default: TBD)}$$
 
$$\lambda_i = \text{central wavelength of } i^{th} \text{ line} \qquad \qquad \text{(default: H}\alpha\text{)}$$
 
$$\sigma_i = \text{guassian width of } i^{th} \text{ line} \qquad \qquad \text{(default: very small)}$$

#### 2.5 Kurucz Model

$$O_*$$
 (T, g, z, V, E(B-V))  
 $g = log Gravity$  (default: solar)  
 $z = log metallicity/ solar$  (default: 0)  
 $E(B-V) = interstellar extinction (CCM, R = 3.1)$  (default: 0)

#### 2.6 User Supplied Spectrum

 $O_U$  is given in an ASCII file of multiple columns of which the first column is wavelength (Å) and the second column is  $F_{\lambda}$ ; subsequent columns are ignored.

#### 3 Sky

The sky spectrum will be the predicted sky spectral power per arcsec<sup>2</sup> at the focal plane in the direction of the target. It will be modeled as three components, airglow, zodiacal light, and moonlight.

$$\begin{split} P_{S}\left(\lambda\right) &= A_{tel} \ C_{mir}\left(\lambda\right) \left(S_{A}(t,Z) + \ S_{z}(b,\ell - \ell_{sun} \ , Z) + S_{M}(Z_{M},\beta,\rho,Z)\right) \\ & \left(erg/s - \text{Å-arcsec}^{2} \ at \ the \ focal \ plane\right) \end{split}$$

This model is considerably more sophisticated than other PI tools we have seen, which (at best)

model the sky as a continuum depending only on the lunar phase. We believe a good sky model is important for SALT since it is completely queue scheduled, and allowing the PI to specify the required sky darkness more precisely than lunar phase will make more efficient use of telescope grey time and will decrease the likelihood of poor signal/ noise due to sky conditions. If the maximum sky brightness is specified by the PI in V magnitudes based on running this tool, the queue scheduler can determine each night whether the sky brightness criterion is met.

#### 3.1 Airglow

The airglow spectrum will be based on the high resolution moonless sky spectrum obtained by UVES (Hanischik 2003). These data must be corrected to the zenith by removing the correction to above the atmosphere that was applied by Hanischik, and re-correcting to the zenith (airmass 1) using the extinction correction more appropriate for airglow (Krisciunas & Schaefer 1991). This spectrum is then adjusted to the dark sky UBVRI for Sutherland (factor  $e(\lambda)$ )

$$S_{cor}(\lambda) = S_{UVES} e(\lambda) 10^{-0.4} k(\lambda) X_{UVES} / (X_{UVES} 10^{-0.4} k(\lambda) (X_{UVES} - 1)) (erg/s-cm^2-Å-arcsec^2)$$

| λ                       | $e(\lambda)$ | $X_{UVES}(\lambda)$ |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| $\lambda < 3750$        | 0.6          | 1.143               |
| $3750 < \lambda < 4810$ | 0.6          | 1.241               |
| $4810 < \lambda < 6750$ | 0.8          | 1.144               |
| $\lambda > 6750$        | 0.8          | 1.108               |

Next, we assume the UVES spectrum is at solar maximum, and remove the appropriate amount of zodiacal light: We find the typical zodiacal light in the anti-solar hemisphere (section 3.2 below) to be  $60 \, \mathrm{S}_{10}$  units ( $10^{th}$  mag stars per  $\mathrm{deg}^2$ ) in the V-Band. The corrected UVES spectrum above is  $280 \, \mathrm{S}_{-1}0$ . Hence zodiacal light is 60/280 = 0.21 of the dark sky at solar maximum and may be removed at all wavelengths as follows:

$$S_{A1} = S_{cor} - 0.21 < S_{cor}(V) > (f_{sun}(\lambda)/f_{sun}(5500))$$

where <S $_{cor}(V)>$  is the mean of S $_{cor}$  over the V band:  $280 \text{ S}\_10 = 8.18 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg/s-cm}^2$ -Å-arcsec $^2$ , and  $f_{sun}(\lambda) = F_{sun}(\lambda) \times 10^{-10.4} \text{ k}(\lambda)$ , the solar spectrum at the zenith. S $_{A1}$  is stored as data in the program.

To simulate the airglow contribution to the sky at zenith distance Z and time t,

$$S_A(\lambda) = S_{A1}(\lambda) X(Z) 10^{-0.4} k(\lambda) (X(Z)-1) g(t)$$

where

$$X(Z) = (1 - 0.96 \sin^2 Z)^{-0.5}$$

is the effective airmass for atmospheric radiation (Krisciunas & Schaefer 1991), and

$$g(t) = (1 + C_s \cos 2\pi (t - t_0)/P_s)]/(1 + C_s),$$

is a fit to data in Krisciunas (1997) to model the effect of the solar cycle. Here

$$C_s$$
 = amplitude of solar modulation of airglow = 0.37

 $P_s$  = current period of solar cycle = 9.67 yrs

 $t_0 = \text{time of cycle } 23 \text{ maximum} = 2001.5$ 

#### 3.2 Zodiacal Light

The zodiacal light model takes the solar spectrum normalized at 5500 Å, corrects for atmospheric extinction, and multiplies by a fit of zodiacal light photometry by Levasseur-Regourd & Dumont (1980) vs ecliptic latitude b and solar elongation  $\ell$  -  $\ell_{sun}$  for  $\ell$  -  $\ell_{sun}$  > 60°

$$S_z(\lambda) = 2.35 \times 10^{-20} (F_{sun}(\lambda)/F_{sun}(5500)) \times 10^{\circ}(-0.4 \text{ k}(\lambda) \text{ sec } Z) \times h(\ell - \ell_{sun}, b)$$

where

$$\begin{split} h(\ell - \ell_{sun}, b) &= (C_{z0} + C_{z1} \; (1 - |sin \; b|) \; + \\ &\quad C_{z2} \; (100^\circ - (\ell - \ell_{sun})) / 40^\circ \times (sin \; 45^\circ - |sin \; b|) / sin \; 45^\circ \; ) \; (1 - |sin \; b|), \end{split}$$

in  $S_{10}(V)$  units =  $2.35 \times 10^{-20}$  erg/s-cm<sup>2</sup>-Å-arcsec<sup>2</sup>, with

$$C_{z0} = 56.5$$
 (S<sub>10</sub> at ecliptic pol)

 $C_{z1} = 92.0$  (additional  $S_{10}$  in ecliptic)

$$C_{z2}$$
 = 219.2 for  $\ell$  < 100° and b < 45°, and = 0 otherwise (additional  $S_{10}$  in the ecliptic plane for  $60^{\circ} < \ell - \ell_{sun} < 100^{\circ}$ )

#### 3.3 Moonlight

Finally, the moonlight simulation is based on a model for the V-Band brightness of moonlight in Krisciunas & Schaefer (1991), extrapolated to other wavelengths:

$$S_{M}(\lambda) = 1.12 \times 10^{-19} \text{ a}(\lambda) \times (F_{sun}(\lambda)/F_{sun}(5500)) \times 10^{-10.4} \times (\lambda) \times (Z_{m}) \times 10^{-10.4} \times (\beta) \times (1 - 10^{-10.4} \times (\lambda) \times (Z_{m})) \times (Z_{m} < 90^{\circ})$$

and  $S_M = 0$  when the Moon is below the horizon.

Where

$$a(\lambda) = (1 + 2.1 \times 10^{-4} (\lambda - 5500)),$$

is a fit of the lunar albedo based on data in Dobber, et al (1998), normalized at  $\lambda = 5500$  Å,

$$V_{M}(\beta) = -12.73 + 0.026 |\beta| + 4 \times 10^{-9} \beta^{4},$$

is the Lunar V magnitude as a function of phase  $\beta$ ;

$$10^{-0.4}(V_M(\beta) + 16.37]$$

is the illuminance of the Moon in footcandles, and

$$f(\rho) = (10^{5.36} (1.06 + \cos^2 \rho) (\lambda/5500)^{-4} + 10^{6.15 - \rho/40} (\lambda/5500)^{-0.5}) / (k(\lambda) / k(5500))$$

is the scattering phase function as a function of the target - Moon separation angle  $\rho$ .  $f(\rho)$  is normalized in Krisciunas & Schaefer (1991) to give the brightness of Moonlight in nanoLamberts =  $1.12 \times 10^{-19}$  erg/s-cm<sup>2</sup>-Å-arcsec<sup>2</sup>. The extrapolation to wavelengths beyond the V-band is treated by the wavelength dependent albedo  $a(\lambda)$  and the  $\lambda$  factors in  $f(\rho)$ , which assumes the Rayleigh scattering  $\sim \lambda^{-4}$  and the aerosol Mie scattering  $\sim \lambda^{-0.5}$ . The approximate correctness of this extrapolation was verified using moonlight data from Patat (2004). However, the observed moonlight appears to vary randomly by about a factor of two around the model, possibly because of natural variations in aerosol scattering,

#### 3.4 Limitations

The sky model does not include the following effects

- the effect of the different heights of the various components of the airglow emission on the extinction correction. This is a < 15% effect at SALT's zenith distance.
- the zodiacal light "Gegenschein" for  $\ell$ - $\ell_{sun} > 150^\circ$ . This is a <30% effect. We approximate the zodiacal light as being constant with longitude for  $\ell$ - $\ell_{sun} > 100^\circ$ , which is good to about  $\pm 15\%$ .
- The zodiacal light is underpredicted for  $\ell$   $\ell_{sun}$  < 60°. This is not a problem with SALT, since the minimum solar elongation out of astronomical twilight is  $18^{\circ} + (90^{\circ} 37^{\circ}) = 71^{\circ}$ .
- the lunar "opposition brightening" for  $|\beta| < 7^{\circ}$ . This is only for one night out of the month. When exactly full, the Moon is about 35% brighter than the model. Given the observed random variations noted above, this is insignificant.
- moonlight when the Moon is below the horizon. This is possibly a more serious problem. An improvement would be to scale the Moonlight from the model to 0 as the Lunar elevation goes from 0 to  $-18^{\circ}$ , the equivalent of astronomical twilight.
- twilight from the Sun. Another possible improvement.

#### 4 References:

Dobber, MR., Goede, APH & Burrows, JP 1998 Appl Optics 37, 7832.

Hanuschik, RW 2003, Astron Astrophys, 407, 1157.

Krisciunas, K & Schaefer, BE, 1991 *PASP* **103**, 1033.

Krisciunas, K, 1997 *PASP* **109**, 1181.

Levasseur-Regourd & Dumont, 1980, Astron Astrophys 84, 277.

Patat, F., 2003, *Astron Astrophys* **400**, 1183.

Patat, F. 2004, private communication.